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## UNIQUE SOLVABILITY FOR A SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR SYSTEM VIA TWO GLOBAL INVERSION THEOREMS

ROBERT DALMASSO


#### Abstract

In this paper we use two global inversion theorems to establish the existence and uniqueness for a nonlinear second order homogeneous Dirichlet system.


## 1. Introduction

Let $n \geq 1$ and let $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right):[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a continuous function. We consider the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)+f(x, u(x))=0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\
u(0)=u(1)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

We first introduce some notations:

$$
\|u\|=\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left(\left|u_{j}\right|\right), \quad u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

$M(n)$ is the space of $n \times n$ matrices with real entries and $\rho(M)$ is the spectral radius of $M \in M(n)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|M\|=\max _{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|m_{j k}\right|, \quad M=\left(m_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} \in M(n) \\
\|y\|_{p}=\left(\int_{0}^{1}|y(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}, \quad y \in L^{p}(0,1), 1 \leq p<+\infty \\
\|y\|_{\infty}=\underset{(0,1)}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }|y|, \quad y \in L^{\infty}(0,1) \\
\|y\|_{p}=\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left(\left\|y_{j}\right\|_{p}\right), \quad y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in L^{p}\left((0,1), \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 1 \leq p \leq+\infty \\
\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ; x_{j} \geq 0, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Recently the author proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1 ([4]). Assume that the partial derivatives $\partial f_{j} / \partial u_{k}$ exist and are continuous on $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $j, k=1, \ldots, n$. Let $\Lambda=\left(\lambda_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow M(n)$ be

[^0]a continuous map with $\lambda_{j k}$ nondecreasing and bounded for $j, k=1, \ldots, n$. Assume that
\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial u_{k}}(x, u)\right| \leq \lambda_{j k}(\|u\|) \quad \forall(x, u) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, 1 \leq j, k \leq n  \tag{1.2}\\
\rho(\Lambda(t))<\pi^{2} \quad \forall t \geq 0  \tag{1.3}\\
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \operatorname{det}\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda(t)\right) d t=+\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

Then the boundary value problem (1.1) has a unique solution.
The purpose of this paper is to improve and complement Theorem 1.1. We have the following results.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that the partial derivatives $\partial f_{j} / \partial u_{k}$ exist and are continuous on $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $j, k=1, \ldots, n$. Let $\Lambda=\left(\lambda_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow M(n)$ be $a$ continuous map with $\lambda_{j k}$ nondecreasing for $j, k=1, \ldots, n$. Assume that 1.2 and (1.3) hold and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{d t}{\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda(t)\right)^{-1}\right\|}=+\infty \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the boundary value problem (1.1) has a unique solution.
Theorem 1.3. Assume that the partial derivatives $\partial f_{j} / \partial u_{k}$ exist and are continuous on $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $j, k=1, \ldots, n$. Let $b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ and let $A=\left(a_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow$ $M(n)$ be a continuous map with $a_{j k}$ nondecreasing for $j, k=1, \ldots, n$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{j} f_{j}(x, u) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{j k}(\|u\|)\left|u_{j} u_{k}\right|+b_{j}\left|u_{j}\right| \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(x, u) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, 1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho(A(t))<\pi^{2} \quad \forall t \geq 0  \tag{1.7}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{t}{\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-A(t)\right)^{-1}\right\|}=+\infty \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\Lambda=\left(\lambda_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow M(n)$ be a continuous map with $\lambda_{j k}$ nondecreasing for $j, k=1, \ldots, n$. Assume that (1.2) and 1.3) hold. Then the boundary value problem 1.1 has a unique solution.

In Section 2 we recall some results from the theory of nonnegative matrices. We also give two global inversion theorems. We prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 3 and Theorem 1.3 in section 4. Finally in Section 5 we conclude with some examples.

## 2. Preliminaries

We begin with some results from the theory of nonnegative matrices. We refer the reader to [1] for proofs.

Definition 2.1. $A \in M(n)$ is called $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$-monotone if $A x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ implies $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$. $N=\left(n_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ is nonnegative if $n_{j k} \geq 0$ for $j, k=1, \ldots, n$.

Theorem 2.2 ([1, p. 113]). $A \in M(n)$ is $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$-monotone if and only if $A$ is nonsingular and $A^{-1}$ is nonnegative.

Theorem 2.3 ([1, p. 113]). Let $A=\alpha I-N$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in M(n)$ is nonnegative. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $A$ is $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$-monotone;
(ii) $\rho(N)<\alpha$.

Remark 2.4. With the notations of Theorem 2.3, assume that (i) (or (ii)) holds. Then $\operatorname{det} A>0$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 makes use of the following global inversion theorem of Hadamard-Lévy type established by M. Rǎdulescu and S. Rǎdulescu [5, Theorem $2]$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\left(Y, N_{0}\right)$ be a Banach space and let $L: D(L) \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator with closed graph, where $D(L)$ is a linear subspace of $Y$. Then $D(L)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm defined by

$$
N_{1}(u)=N_{0}(u)+N_{0}(L u), \quad u \in D(L) .
$$

Further, let $K:\left(Y, N_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, N_{0}\right)$ be a $C^{1}$ map and let $X$ be a linear subspace of $D(L)$ which is closed in the norm $N_{1}$. Consider the nonlinear map $S:\left(X, N_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(Y, N_{0}\right)$ defined by $S=L-K$, and assume that $S$ is a local diffeomorphism. If there exists a continuous map $c: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\star}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} c(t) d t=+\infty \\
N_{0}\left(S^{\prime}(u)(h)\right) \geq c\left(N_{0}(u)\right) N_{0}(h) \quad \forall u, h \in X
\end{gathered}
$$

then $S$ is a global diffeomorphism.
The proof of Theorem 1.3 makes use of the Banach-Mazur-Caccioppoli global inversion theorem ([2], 3] and [6]).
Theorem 2.6. Let $E$ and $F$ be two Banach spaces. Then $S: E \rightarrow F$ is a global homeomorphism if and only if $S$ is a local homeomorphism and a proper map.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We begin with two lemmas.
Lemma 3.1. Let $w \in C^{1}([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ be such that $w(0)=w(1)=0$. Then

$$
\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \geq \pi\|w\|_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \geq 2\|w\|_{\infty}
$$

The first inequality is known as the Wirtinger inequality and the second inequality is known as the Lees inequality.

Lemma 3.2. Let

$$
X=\left\{h \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) ; h(0)=h(1)=0\right\}
$$

and let $V=\left(v_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}:[0,1] \rightarrow M(n)$ be a continuous map. Assume that there exists $N=\left(n_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} \in M(n)$ such that $\rho(N)<\pi^{2}$ and

$$
\left|v_{j k}(x)\right| \leq n_{j k} \quad \forall x \in[0,1], 1 \leq j, k \leq n
$$

If $T: X \rightarrow C\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the operator defined by

$$
T(h)(x)=h^{\prime \prime}(x)+V(x) h(x), \quad h \in X, x \in[0,1]
$$

then

$$
\|T(h)\|_{\infty} \geq \frac{2}{\pi\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-N\right)^{-1}\right\|}\|h\|_{\infty} \quad \forall h \in X
$$

Proof. Let $h=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right) \in X$ and let $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Integrating by parts we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} h_{j}(x) T(h)_{j}(x) d x & =\int_{0}^{1} h_{j}(x)\left(h_{j}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{j k}(x) h_{k}(x)\right) d x \\
& =-\int_{0}^{1} h_{j}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{j k}(x) h_{j}(x) h_{k}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Then using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 3.1 we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|h_{j}\right\|_{2}\left\|T(h)_{j}\right\|_{2} & \geq-\int_{0}^{1} h_{j}(x) T(h)_{j}(x) d x \\
& =\left\|h_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} v_{j k}(x) h_{j}(x) h_{k}(x) d x \\
& \geq \pi\left\|h_{j}\right\|_{2}\left\|h_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{n} n_{j k}\left\|h_{j}\right\|_{2}\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{2} \\
& \geq \pi\left\|h_{j}\right\|_{2}\left\|h_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} n_{j k}\left\|h_{j}\right\|_{2}\left\|h_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T(h)_{j}\right\|_{2} \geq \pi\left\|h_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} n_{j k}\left\|h_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Let $a, b$ denote the vectors

$$
a=\left(\left\|h_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq n} \quad \text { and } \quad b=\left(\pi\left\|T(h)_{j}\right\|_{2}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq n}
$$

Inequality (3.1) can be written

$$
b-\left(\pi^{2} I-N\right) a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}
$$

Theorem 2.3 implies that $\pi^{2} I-N$ is $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$-monotone. Then using Theorem 2.2 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\pi^{2} I-N\right)^{-1} b-a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\pi\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-N\right)^{-1}\right\|\|T(h)\|_{2} \geq\left\|h_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Using Lemma 3.1 and the fact that $\|T(h)\|_{2} \leq\|T(h)\|_{\infty}$ we deduce that

$$
\|T(h)\|_{\infty} \geq \frac{2}{\pi\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-N\right)^{-1}\right\|}\|h\|_{\infty}
$$

and the lemma is proved.
Now we can complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 Let $Y=C\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be equipped with the sup norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ and let $L: D(L) \rightarrow Y$ be the linear operator defined by

$$
L u=u^{\prime \prime}, \quad u \in D(L)
$$

where $D(L)=C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Since $L$ has closed graph, it follows from Theorem 2.5 that $D(L)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm $N_{1}$ defined by

$$
N_{1}(u)=\|u\|_{\infty}+\|L u\|_{\infty}, \quad u \in D(L)
$$

Let $K:\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ be given by

$$
K(u)(x)=-f(x, u(x)), \quad u \in Y, x \in[0,1]
$$

The regularity assumptions on $f$ imply that $K$ is of class $C^{1}$. The set $X=\{u \in$ $D(L) ; u(0)=u(1)=0\}$ is a closed subspace of $D(L)$ in the norm $N_{1}$. Let $S=L-K$. Clearly $S:\left(X, N_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ is of class $C^{1}$. Let $u \in X$ be fixed and let $V=\left(v_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}:[0,1] \rightarrow M(n)$ be such that

$$
v_{j k}(x)=\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial u_{k}}(x, u(x)), \quad x \in[0,1], 1 \leq j, k \leq n .
$$

We have

$$
S^{\prime}(u)(h)(x)=h^{\prime \prime}(x)+V(x) h(x), \quad h \in X, x \in[0,1]
$$

Also (1.2) implies

$$
\left|v_{j k}(x)\right| \leq \lambda_{j k}\left(\|u\|_{\infty}\right) \quad \forall x \in[0,1], 1 \leq j, k \leq n
$$

Then using Lemma 3.2 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S^{\prime}(u)(h)\right\|_{\infty} \geq \frac{2}{\pi\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda\left(\|u\|_{\infty}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\|}\|h\|_{\infty} \quad \forall h \in X \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ be defined by

$$
Q(h)(x)=-V(x) h(x), \quad h \in X, x \in[0,1] .
$$

The operator $L: X \rightarrow Y$ is one to one and onto. We have $S^{\prime}(u)=L-Q=$ $L\left(I-L^{-1} Q\right)$. By (3.3) $\operatorname{ker}\left(S^{\prime}(u)\right)=\{0\}$. Then $\operatorname{ker}\left(I-L^{-1} Q\right)=\{0\}$. Since $L^{-1}:\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right) \rightarrow\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ is compact, $L^{-1} Q$ is compact too. By the Fredholm alternative we obtain that $I-L^{-1} Q$ is onto. Therefore $S^{\prime}(u):\left(X, N_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ is an invertible operator. By the local inversion theorem we have that $S$ is a local diffeomorphism. Now let

$$
c(t)=\frac{2}{\pi\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda(t)\right)^{-1}\right\|}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

This function satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5. Therefore $S$ is a global diffeomorphism and consequently the equation $S u=0$ has a unique solution $u \in X$. This is also the unique solution of the boundary value problem (1.1).

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We keep the notations introduced in Section 3. In the same way we show that $S:\left(X, N_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ is a local diffeomorphism. Now let $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in X$ and let $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Integrating by parts we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} u_{j}(x) S(u)_{j}(x) d x & =\int_{0}^{1} u_{j}(x)\left(u_{j}^{\prime \prime}(x)+f_{j}(x, u(x)) d x\right. \\
& =-\int_{0}^{1} u_{j}^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{1} u_{j}(x) f_{j}(x, u(x)) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Then using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, 1.6 and Lemma 3.1 we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{2}\left\|S(u)_{j}\right\|_{2} & \geq-\int_{0}^{1} u_{j}(x) S(u)_{j}(x) d x \\
& \geq\left\|u_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} a_{j k}(\|u(x)\|)\left|u_{j}(x) u_{k}(x)\right| d x-b_{j} \int_{0}^{1}\left|u_{j}(x)\right| d x \\
& \geq\left\|u_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{j k}\left(\|u\|_{\infty}\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{2}\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{2}-b_{j}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{2} \\
& \geq \pi\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{2}\left\|u_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{j k}\left(\|u\|_{\infty}\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{2}\left\|u_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}-b_{j}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S(u)_{j}\right\|_{2} \geq \pi\left\|u_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{j k}\left(\|u\|_{\infty}\right)\left\|u_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}-b_{j} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Let $r, s$ and $b$ denote the vectors

$$
r=\left(\left\|u_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq n}, \quad s=\left(\pi\left\|S(u)_{j}\right\|_{2}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq n}, \quad b=\left(\pi b_{j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq n}
$$

Inequality 4.1 can be written as

$$
s-\left(\pi^{2} I-A\left(\|u\|_{\infty}\right)\right) r+b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}
$$

Theorem 2.3 implies that $\pi^{2} I-A\left(\|u\|_{\infty}\right)$ is $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$-monotone. Then using Theorem 2.2 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\pi^{2} I-A\left(\|u\|_{\infty}\right)\right)^{-1}(s+b)-r \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\pi\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-A\left(\|u\|_{\infty}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\|\left(\|S(u)\|_{2}+\|b\|\right) \geq\left\|u_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Using Lemma 3.1 and the fact that $\|S(u)\|_{2} \leq\|S(u)\|_{\infty}$ we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|S(u)\|_{\infty} \geq \frac{2\|u\|_{\infty}}{\pi\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-A\left(\|u\|_{\infty}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\|}-\|b\| . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall prove that 4.3 implies that $S:\left(X, N_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ is a proper map. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $X$ and $v \in Y$ such that $S\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow v$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. (1.8) and 4.3) imply that there exists a constant $M>0$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq M$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $K:\left(X, N_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ is a compact operator, it follows that the sequence $\left(K\left(u_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ contains a convergent subsequence. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\left(K\left(u_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent to $w \in Y$. Letting $n \rightarrow+\infty$ in the equality

$$
u_{n}=L^{-1} S\left(u_{n}\right)+L^{-1} K\left(u_{n}\right)
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{n}-L^{-1}(v)-L^{-1}(w)\right\|_{\infty}=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|L\left(u_{n}\right)-L\left(L^{-1}(v)+L^{-1}(w)\right)\right\|_{\infty} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\left(S\left(u_{n}\right)-v\right)+\left(K\left(u_{n}\right)-w\right)\right\|_{\infty}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this equality and 4.4, we deduce that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} N_{1}\left(u_{n}-L^{-1}(v+w)\right)=0
$$

Therefore $S:\left(X, N_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ is a proper map. Using Theorem 2.6 we conclude that $S$ is a global homeomorphism and consequently the equation $S u=0$ has a unique solution $u \in X$. This is also the unique solution of the boundary value problem (1.1).

## 5. Examples

In this section we give two examples to illustrate Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 . Define $a, h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$by

$$
a(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { if } t \leq 1, \\
1-\frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} & \text { if } t \geq 1
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad h(t)=\int_{1}^{t} a(s) d s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}\right.
$$

where $\alpha>0$.
Example 5.1. Let $n=2$. We set

$$
f_{1}(x, u)=\pi^{2} h\left(u_{1}\right)+g_{1}(x), \quad f_{2}(x, u)=\left|u_{1}\right|^{\beta}+\pi^{2} h\left(u_{2}\right)+g_{2}(x)
$$

for $(x, u) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} . \beta>1$ is a constant and $g_{1}, g_{2} \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$. Then we can take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{11}=a_{22}=\pi^{2} a, \quad a_{12}=0, \quad a_{21}(t)=t^{\beta-1}, \quad t \geq 0 \\
& b_{j}=\left\|g_{j}\right\|_{\infty}, \quad j=1,2 \\
& \lambda_{11}=\lambda_{22}=\pi^{2} a, \quad \lambda_{12}=0, \quad \lambda_{21}(t)=\beta t^{\beta-1}, \quad t \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We easily verify that $\rho(A(t))=\rho(\Lambda(t))=\pi^{2} a(t)<\pi^{2}$ for $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{t}{\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-A(t)\right)^{-1}\right\|}=\frac{\pi^{4} t^{1-\alpha}}{\pi^{2}+t^{\alpha+\beta-1}} \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq 1 \\
\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda(t)\right)^{-1}\right\|=\frac{t^{\alpha}}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{\beta}{\pi^{4}} t^{2 \alpha+\beta-1} \quad \text { for } t \geq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $a_{21}$ and $\lambda_{21}$ are unbounded. If $2 \alpha+\beta<2$ we can use either Theorem 1.2 or Theorem 1.3. Now let $2 \alpha<1$ and $\beta=2(1-\alpha)$. Then Theorem 1.2 applies but Theorem 1.3 does not apply.

Example 5.2. Let $n=2$. We set

$$
f_{1}(x, u)=\pi^{2} h\left(u_{1}\right)+g_{1}(x), \quad f_{2}(x, u)=\cos \left|u_{1}\right|^{\beta}+\pi^{2} h\left(u_{2}\right)+g_{2}(x),
$$

for $(x, u) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} . \beta>1$ is a constant and $g_{1}, g_{2} \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$. Then we can take

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{11}=a_{22}=\pi^{2} a, \quad a_{12}=a_{21}=0, \quad b_{1}=\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{\infty}, \quad b_{2}=1+\left\|g_{2}\right\|_{\infty}, \\
\lambda_{11}=\lambda_{22}=\pi^{2} a, \quad \lambda_{12}=0, \quad \lambda_{21}(t)=\beta t^{\beta-1}, \quad t \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

We easily verify that $\rho(A(t))=\rho(\Lambda(t))=\pi^{2} a(t)<\pi^{2}$ for $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{t}{\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-A(t)\right)^{-1}\right\|}=\frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\pi^{2}} \quad \text { for } t \geq 1 \\
\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda(t)\right)^{-1}\right\|=\frac{t^{\alpha}}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{\beta}{\pi^{4}} t^{2 \alpha+\beta-1} \quad \text { for } t \geq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

Notice that $\lambda_{21}$ is unbounded. If $2 \alpha+\beta \leq 2$, then Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 apply. If $2 \alpha+\beta>2$ and $\alpha<1$, Theorem 1.3 still applies but not Theorem 1.2

We conclude this paper with the following remark.
Remark 5.3. With the notations of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 , assume that $\lambda_{j k}$ are bounded for $j, k=1, \ldots, n$. Then (1.4) implies (1.5). Indeed we have

$$
\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda(t)\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda(t)\right)} B(t), \quad t \geq 0
$$

where $B(t) \in M(n)$ is nonnegative and $\operatorname{det}\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda(t)\right)>0$ (see Remark 2.4). Since $\lambda_{j k}$ are bounded for $j, k=1, \ldots, n$, there exists a constant $d>0$ such that $\|B(t)\| \leq d$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then we can write

$$
\frac{1}{\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda(t)\right)^{-1}\right\|}=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda(t)\right)}{\|B(t)\|} \geq \frac{1}{d} \operatorname{det}\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda(t)\right), \quad t \geq 0
$$

and our claim follows.
It is easily seen that 1.5 does not imply 1.4 in general. Indeed let

$$
\lambda_{11}(t)=\lambda_{22}(t)=\pi^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad t \geq 1
$$

and $\lambda_{12}=\lambda_{21}=0$. Then we have

$$
\frac{1}{\left\|\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda(t)\right)^{-1}\right\|}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{t} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{det}\left(\pi^{2} I-\Lambda(t)\right)=\frac{\pi^{4}}{t^{2}}, \quad t \geq 1
$$
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