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# TRAVELLING WAVE SOLUTIONS FOR THE PAINLEVÉ-INTEGRABLE COUPLED KDV EQUATIONS 

JIBIN LI, XIAO-BIAO LIN


#### Abstract

We study the travelling wave solutions for a system of coupled KdV equations derived by Lou et al 11. In that paper, they found 5 types of Painlevé integrable systems for the coupled KdV system. We show that each of them can be reduced to a partially or completely uncoupled system, through which the dynamical behavior of travelling wave solutions can be determined. In some parameter regions, exact formulas for periodic and solitary waves can be obtained while in other cases, bounded travelling wave solution are discussed.


## 1. Introduction

The KdV equation is an important model for dispersive waves [1, 14]. There has been some interest in coupled KdV systems [4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 13. In this paper we consider the coupled KdV system

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1 T}+\alpha_{1} A_{2} A_{1 X}+\left(\alpha_{2} A_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{3} A_{1} A_{2}+\alpha_{4} A_{1 X X}+\alpha_{5} A_{1}^{2}\right)_{X}=0 \\
A_{2 T}+\delta_{1} A_{2} A_{1 X}+\left(\delta_{2} A_{1}^{2}+\delta_{3} A_{1} A_{2}+\delta_{4} A_{2 X X}+\delta_{5} A_{2}^{2}\right)_{X}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the ten constants $\alpha_{i}, \delta_{i}, i=1,2,3,4,5$ are arbitrary. This system is derived by Lou et al 11 from a two-layer fluid model which is used to describe the atmospheric and oceanic phenomena such as the atmospheric blocking, the interactions between the atmosphere and ocean. Under the condition $\alpha_{4}=\delta_{4}=1$, they obtained five types of Painlevé-integrable coupled KdV systems:

## P-integrable model 1

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1 T}+\left[A_{1 X X}-\left(c_{0}+3\right)\left(c_{0}+6\right) A_{1}^{2}-c_{0}^{2} A_{2}^{2}\right]_{X} \\
& +2 c_{0}\left[\left(c_{0}+6\right) A_{1 X} A_{2}+\left(c_{0}+3\right) A_{1} A_{2 X}\right]=0 \\
& A_{2 T}+\left[A_{2 X X}-c_{0}\left(c_{0}-3\right) A_{2}^{2}-\left(c_{0}+3\right)^{2} A_{1}^{2}\right]_{X}  \tag{1.2}\\
& +2\left(c_{0}+3\right)\left[c_{0} A_{2} A_{1 X}+\left(c_{0}-3\right) A_{1} A_{2 X}\right]=0
\end{align*}
$$
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## P-integrable model 2

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1 T}+\left(A_{1 X X}+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}-c_{1}-c_{1} c_{2}\right) A_{1}^{2}+c_{1} A_{1} A_{2}-\frac{1}{2} A_{2}^{2}\right)_{X}=0  \tag{1.3}\\
& A_{2 T}+\left(A_{2 X X}+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{1}-c_{2}-1\right) A_{2}^{2}+c_{2} A_{1} A_{2}-\frac{1}{2} c_{1} c_{2} A_{1}^{2}\right)_{X}=0
\end{align*}
$$

## P-integrable model 3

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1 T}+\left(A_{1 X X}+A_{1}^{2}+A_{1} A_{2}\right)_{X}=0, \quad A_{2 T}+\left(A_{2 X X}+A_{2}^{2}+A_{1} A_{2}\right)_{X}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## P-integrable model 4

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1 T}+\left[A_{1 X X}+\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)^{2}\right]_{X}=0, \quad A_{2 T}+\left[A_{2 X X}+\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)^{2}\right]_{X}=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## P-integrable model 5

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1 T}+\left[A_{1 X X}+A_{1}^{2}\right]_{X}+2 A_{2} A_{1 X}=0, \quad A_{2 T}+\left[A_{2 X X}+A_{2}^{2}\right]_{X}+2 A_{1} A_{2 X}=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we are interested in the existence and exact expression of the travelling wave solutions of $\sqrt{1.2}$ and some dynamical behavior of these solutions such as whether the solutions are solitary, periodic or bounded solutions.

Note that the way to write 1.1 is not unique. Instead of $A_{2} A_{1 X}$, one can leave $A_{1} A_{2 X}$ terms outside of the divergence forms. With $\alpha_{4}=\delta_{4}=1$, we will use an equivalent form to 1.1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1 T}+A_{1 X X X}+a_{1} A_{1} A_{1 X}+a_{2} A_{1} A_{2 X}+a_{3} A_{2} A_{1 X}+a_{4} A_{2} A_{2 X}=0 \\
& A_{2 T}+A_{2 X X X}+b_{1} A_{1} A_{1 X}+b_{2} A_{1} A_{2 X}+b_{3} A_{2} A_{1 X}+b_{4} A_{2} A_{2 X}=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

If we set

$$
U=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)^{\tau}, \quad Q_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{3} & a_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad Q_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1} & b_{2} \\
b_{3} & b_{4}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\tau$ denotes the transpose of a vector, then the nonlinear terms of the equations can be written as bilinear forms,

$$
U^{\tau} Q_{1} U_{X}, \quad U^{\tau} Q_{2} U_{X}
$$

In the case that the matrices $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are symmetric, we can express the bilinear forms as divergence of quadratic forms:

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(U^{\tau} Q_{1} U\right)_{X}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(U^{\tau} Q_{2} U\right)_{X}
$$

There are many results concerning simultaneously co-diagonalize symmetric matrices, see [8], that will be used in this paper to further simplify the quadratic forms.

If the coupled system of KdVs $U_{T}+U_{X X X}+F\left(U, U_{X}\right), U=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)^{\tau}$ has a travelling wave solution with the wave speed $c$, then in the travelling coordinate $\xi=X-c T, U=U(\xi)$ and satisfies a system of ODEs:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-c U^{\prime}(\xi)+U^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)+F\left(U, U^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $U$ is a travelling periodic or solitary wave of the PDE system, then $U(\xi)$ is a periodic or homoclinic solution of the corresponding ODE system. Throughout this paper, the higher order system 1.8 is associated to a first order system by introducing auxiliary variables $\left(U, U^{\prime}, U^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in the standard way. We say $U_{0}$ is an equilibrium for 1.8 if $\left(U_{0}, 0,0\right)$ is an equilibrium for the associated first order system. We say $U(\xi)$ is a homoclinic solution to 1.8) if $\left(U(\xi), U^{\prime}(\xi), U^{\prime \prime}(\xi)\right)$ is a homoclinic solution to the associated first order system, etc. This convention also applies to any coupled second order system of equations.

In Section 2, we treat the general coupled KdV system 1.7) and P-integrable model 1. Following Lou et al [11], we identify an invariant subspace on which the system reduces to a single KDV equation. For the P-integrable mode 1, we show that the system can be partially decoupled. The reduced system is equivalent to the reduced system of the P-integrable models 3 and 5 . Detailed description of the travelling waves are deferred to section 4 where the P-integrable models 3 and 5 are discussed.

In section 3, we treat the P-integrable mode 2 which is in the divergence form. The corresponding bilinear forms are symmetric. Using standard matrix algorithms, we introduce a method that can remove the non-diagonal terms of the quadratic forms. For the P-integrable model 2, the reduced system consists of two uncoupled equations. The method may be used on non-P-integrable system as long as the original system (1.1) is in divergence form.

The P-integrable models 3,4 and 5 can be simplified by some change of variables and are treated in section 4 . We show that the P-integrable model 4 can be completely decoupled while the models 3 and 5 can be partially decoupled. In some cases, we find bounded travelling wave solutions rather than travelling periodic or solitary waves.

In $\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)$-phase plane, the second order equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}=c u+\beta u^{2}, \quad c \neq 0, \quad \beta \neq 0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a Hamiltonian $H\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)$ of which each orbit corresponds to a unique level curve

$$
H\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2}-c \frac{u^{2}}{2}-\frac{\beta}{3} u^{3}=h, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Bounded solutions of 1.9 can be classified by the following lemma.
Lemma 1.1. Assume that $c \neq 0, \beta \neq 0$. In the phase plane $\left(u, u^{\prime}\right), 1.9$ has two equilibrium points $O(0,0)$ and $E(-c / \beta, 0)$.
(I) If $c>0$ then $O$ is a saddle and $E$ is a center. If $c<0$ then $O$ is a center and $E$ a saddle.
(II) There is a unique homoclinic orbit $\Gamma$ asymptotic to the saddle and encircling the center. There is also a family of periodic orbits encircling the center and filling up the interior of the homoclinic loop $\Gamma$.
(III) Up to a shift in $\xi$, the homoclinic orbit $\Gamma$ is parametrized by a homoclinic solution $u=q(\xi, c, \beta)$ to (1.9).

$$
q(\xi, c, \beta):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{3 c}{2 \beta} \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} \xi\right), \quad c>0  \tag{1.10}\\
\frac{|c|}{\beta}\left(1-\frac{3}{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{|c|}}{2} \xi\right)\right), \quad c<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

(IV) Each periodic orbit corresponds to a unique $h \in\left(-\frac{c^{3}}{6 \beta^{2}}, 0, c>0\right.$ or $h \in$ $\left(0,-\frac{c^{3}}{6 \beta^{2}}\right), c<0$. Up to a shift in $\xi$, the family of periodic orbits is parametrized by periodic solutions $p(\xi, c, \beta, h)$ of (1.9). Depending on $\beta<0$ or $\beta>0$, using elliptic functions, the periodic solution can be expressed as

$$
p(\xi, c, \beta, h):= \begin{cases}r_{1}-\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) s n^{2}\left(\Omega \xi, k_{1}\right), & \beta<0  \tag{1.11}\\ r_{3}+\left(r_{2}-r_{3}\right) s n^{2}\left(\Omega \xi, k_{2}\right), & \beta>0\end{cases}
$$

The parameters $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$, with $r_{1}>r_{2}>r_{3}$, are defined by

$$
\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}=2 h+c u^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \beta u^{3}=\frac{2}{3}|\beta|\left(r_{1}-u\right)\left(u-r_{2}\right)\left(u-r_{3}\right),
$$

$k_{1}^{2}=\frac{r_{1}-r_{2}}{r_{1}-r_{3}}$ if $\beta<0$. While for $\beta>0$, they are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}=2 h+c u^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \beta u^{3}=\frac{2}{3} \beta\left(r_{1}-u\right)\left(r_{2}-u\right)\left(u-r_{3}\right), \\
& k_{2}^{2}=\frac{r_{2}-r_{3}}{r_{1}-r_{3}} \cdot \Omega=\frac{\sqrt{|\beta|\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right)}}{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. General coupled KDV and the P-integrable mode 1

To find travelling wave wave solutions, let $\xi=X-c T$ be the travelling coordinate. From 1.7 we obtain the travelling wave system

$$
\begin{align*}
& -c A_{1}^{\prime}+A_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{1} A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2} A_{1} A_{2}^{\prime}+a_{3} A_{2} A_{1}^{\prime}+a_{4} A_{2} A_{2}^{\prime}=0 \\
& -c A_{2}^{\prime}+A_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}+b_{1} A_{1} A_{1}^{\prime}+b_{2} A_{1} A_{2}^{\prime}+b_{3} A_{2} A_{1}^{\prime}+b_{4} A_{2} A_{2}^{\prime}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Following Lou et al [11, we look for solutions that satisfy $A_{1}=\omega A_{2}, \omega \neq 0$. Substituting $A_{1}=\omega A_{2}$ into (2.1), integrating (2.1) and taking the integral constants as zero, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{2}^{\prime \prime}=c A_{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1} \omega+\left(a_{2}+a_{3}\right)+\frac{a_{4}}{\omega}\right) A_{2}^{2}  \tag{2.2}\\
& A_{2}^{\prime \prime}=c A_{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{1} \omega^{2}+\left(b_{2}+b_{3}\right) \omega+b_{4}\right) A_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The two equations of system 2.2 are the same if and only if $\omega$ is a non-zero real root of the cubic algebraic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1} \omega^{3}+\left(b_{2}+b_{3}-a_{1}\right) \omega^{2}+\left(b_{4}-a_{2}-a_{3}\right) \omega-a_{4}=0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now assume that $\omega$ satisfies 2.3) and denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{1} \omega^{2}+\left(b_{2}+b_{3}\right) \omega+b_{4}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

System $\sqrt[2.2]{ }$ is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}^{\prime \prime}=c A_{2}-B A_{2}^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the same as 1.9 with $\beta=-B$. In the phase plane $\left(A_{2}, A_{2}^{\prime}\right), 2.5$ has two equilibrium points $O(0,0)$ and $E(c / B, 0)$. It is easy to see that when $c>0(<0)$, $O$ is a saddle point (a center); $E$ is a center (a saddle point).

Using Lemma 1.1, we obtain the following results.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\omega$ be a real root of (2.3) and $B$ be as in 2.4.
(1) If $c>0$, then the origin $O$ is a saddle and $E$ a center. If $c<0$, then $O$ is a center and $E$ a saddle.
(2) 1.7) has a family of periodic wave solutions encircling the center parameterized by $h \in\left(-\frac{c^{3}}{6 B^{2}}, 0\right)$ if $c>0$ or $h \in\left(0,-\frac{c^{3}}{6 B^{2}}\right)$ if $c<0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}(\xi)=p(\xi, c,-B, h), \quad A_{1}(\xi)=\omega A_{2}(\xi) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

System (1.7) also has a solitary wave solutions of peak type asymptotic to the saddle point

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}(\xi)=q(\xi, c,-B), \quad A_{1}(\xi)=\omega A_{2}(\xi) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find travelling wave solutions for the P-integrable model 1 , let $\xi=X-c T$, $u=A_{1}(\xi), v=A_{2}(\xi)$. From 1.2,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -c u^{\prime}+u^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left[\left(c_{0}+3\right)\left(c_{0}+6\right) u^{2}+c_{0}^{2} v^{2}\right]_{\xi}+2 c_{0}\left[\left(c_{0}+6\right) u_{\xi} v+\left(c_{0}+3\right) u v_{\xi}\right]=0 \\
& -c v^{\prime}+v^{\prime \prime \prime}-\left[\left(c_{0}+3\right)^{2} u^{2}+c_{0}\left(c_{0}-3\right) v^{2}\right]_{\xi}+2\left(c_{0}+3\right)\left[c_{0} v u_{\xi}+\left(c_{0}-3\right) u v_{\xi}\right]=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Corresponding to (2.8), the parameters of (2.1) hasve the special values:

$$
a_{1}=-2\left(c_{0}+3\right)\left(c_{0}+6\right), \quad a_{2}=2 c_{0}\left(c_{0}+3\right), \quad a_{3}=2 c_{0}\left(c_{0}+6\right), \quad a_{4}=-2 c_{0}^{2}
$$

$b_{1}=-2\left(c_{0}+3\right)^{2}, \quad b_{2}=2\left(c_{0}+3\right)\left(c_{0}-3\right), \quad b_{3}=2 c_{0}\left(c_{0}+3\right), \quad b_{4}=-2 c_{0}\left(c_{0}-3\right)$.
The cubic equation 2.3 becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(c_{0}+3\right)^{2} \omega^{3}-3\left(c_{0}+3\right)\left(c_{0}+1\right) \omega^{2}+3 c_{0}\left(c_{0}+2\right) \omega-c_{0}^{2} \\
& =\left(\left(c_{0}+3\right) \omega-c_{0}\right)^{2}(\omega-1)=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The roots of (2.9) are $\omega=c_{0} /\left(c_{0}+3\right)$ and $\omega=1$. This suggests the change of variables $X=\left(c_{0}+3\right) u-c_{0} v, Y=u-v$, or $u=\frac{1}{3} X-\frac{c_{0}}{3} Y, v=\frac{1}{3} X-\frac{c_{0}+3}{3} Y$. The result is a partially uncoupled system of equations,

$$
\begin{gather*}
X^{\prime \prime \prime}=c X^{\prime}+12 X X^{\prime}  \tag{2.10}\\
Y^{\prime \prime \prime}=c Y^{\prime}+6 X Y^{\prime} \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

We can recover $(u, v)$ by

$$
\binom{u}{v}=M\binom{X}{Y}, \quad M=\frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -c_{0}  \tag{2.12}\\
1 & -\left(c_{0}+3\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Integrating once and taking the integration constant to be zero, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{\prime \prime} & =c X+6 X^{2} \\
Z^{\prime \prime} & =c Z+6 X Z
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Z=Y^{\prime}$ and $Y=\int Z d \xi$.
Theorem 2.2. For the P-integrable model 1, we have
(1) on the plane $\left(c_{0}+3\right) u-c_{0} v=0$, or $X=0$, the $P$-integrable model 1 reduces to $Y^{\prime \prime \prime}=c Y^{\prime}$. The only bounded solutions are harmonic periodic waves oscillating around the mean value $A_{1}=K / c, A_{2}=\left(c_{0}+3\right) K /\left(c c_{0}\right)$. They occur only if $c<0$.
(2) On the plane $u-v=0$ or $Y=0$, model 1 reduces to $X^{\prime \prime}=c X+6 X^{2}$, the same as 1.9 with $\beta=6$. The only bounded solutions are solitary waves $X=q(\xi, c, 6)$ and periodic waves $X=p(\xi, c, 6, h)$. The travelling waves in $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ can be expressed as $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)^{\tau}=M(X, 0)^{\tau}$.

Apart from the particular solutions described in Theorem 2.2, much richer dynamical behavior of the system can be found if we consider bounded travelling wave solutions of $X$ from 2.10 first then plug them into 2.11 for $Y$. Discussion of such solutions will be deferred to Section 4 while similar cases from P-integrable models 3 and 5 are considered.

## 3. Travelling wave solutions of the P-Integrable model 2

The travelling wave solutions of $(1.3)$ in travelling coordinate satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1 \xi \xi}=c A_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{1}-c_{2}+c_{1} c_{2}\right) A_{1}^{2}-c_{1} A_{1} A_{2}+\frac{1}{2} A_{2}^{2} \\
& A_{2 \xi \xi}=c A_{2}+\frac{1}{2} c_{1} c_{2} A_{1}^{2}-c_{2} A_{1} A_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}-c_{1}+1\right) A_{2}^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The quadratic forms in (3.1) can be expressed as

$$
\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) Q_{1}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)^{\tau}, \quad\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) Q_{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)^{\tau}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(c_{1}-c_{2}+c_{1} c_{2}\right) / 2 & -c_{1} / 2 \\
-c_{1} / 2 & 1 / 2
\end{array}\right) \\
Q_{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1} c_{2} / 2 & -c_{2} / 2 \\
-c_{2} / 2 & \left(c_{2}-c_{1}+1\right) / 2
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Under the conditions $c_{1} \neq 1, c_{2} \neq 1$ and $c_{2} \neq c_{1}$, the matrices $A$ and $B$ satisfies a condition of simultaneous diagonilization by nonsingular real matrices 8]. Our calculation shows that only $c_{2} \neq 1$ is required in the co-diagonalization. Setting

$$
M=\frac{1}{1-c_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
1 & -c_{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad M^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-c_{2} & 1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad c_{2} \neq 1
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
M^{\tau} Q_{1} M=\frac{1}{2\left(1-c_{2}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-c_{1} & 0 \\
0 & c_{1}-c_{2}
\end{array}\right), \\
M^{\tau} Q_{2} M=\frac{1}{2\left(1-c_{2}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-c_{1} & 0 \\
0 & c_{2}\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)^{\tau}=M \cdot(u, v)^{\tau} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the non-diagonal terms in the quadratic forms of (3.1) can removed. This leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1}^{\prime \prime} & =c A_{1}+\frac{1-c_{1}}{2\left(1-c_{2}\right)} u^{2}+\frac{c_{1}-c_{2}}{2\left(1-c_{2}\right)} v^{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
A_{2}^{\prime \prime} & =c A_{2}+\frac{1-c_{1}}{2\left(1-c_{2}\right)} u^{2}+\frac{c_{2}\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right)}{2\left(1-c_{2}\right)} v^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the inverse transform of (3.2), $u=A_{2}-c_{2} A_{1}, v=A_{2}-A_{1}$ to (3.3), the reduced system should have no $u v$ term. What unexpected is that the result is a completely uncoupled system of two equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\xi \xi}=c u+\frac{1-c_{1}}{2} u^{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
& v_{\xi \xi}=c v+\frac{c_{2}-c_{1}}{2} v^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (3.4 has two equilibria $U_{0}=0, U_{1}=2 c /\left(c_{1}-1\right)$ while (3.5) has two equilibria $V_{0}=0, V_{1}=2 c /\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right)$.
Lemma 3.1. Assume that $c_{1} \neq 1, c_{2} \neq 1$ and $c_{1} \neq c_{2}$. Then
(I) If $c>0$, then for (3.4), $U_{0}$ is a saddle with eigenvalues $\pm \sqrt{|c|}$, and $U_{1}$ is a center with eigenvalues $\pm \sqrt{|c|} i$. For (3.5), $V_{0}$ is a saddle with eigenvalues $\pm \sqrt{|c|}$, and $V_{1}$ is a center with eigenvalues $\pm \sqrt{|c|} i$.
(II) If $c<0$, then similar properties for (3.4) (or (3.5)) still hold if we switch $U_{0}$ with $U_{1}$ (or $V_{0}$ with $V_{1}$ ).

Define

$$
e_{1}=-\frac{2 c}{1-c_{1}-c_{2}+c_{1} c_{2}}, \quad e_{2}=\frac{2 c}{c_{2}-c_{1}-c_{2}^{2}+c_{1} c_{2}}, \quad e_{3}=-\frac{2 c}{c_{1}-c_{2}-c_{1}^{2}+c_{1} c_{2}} .
$$

It is now clear that (3.1) has four equilibrium points corresponding to the combinations of equilibrium points of (3.4) and (3.5):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(U_{0}, V_{0}\right) \Leftrightarrow E_{0}:\left\{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)=(0,0)\right\} \\
\left(U_{1}, V_{0}\right) \Leftrightarrow E_{1}:\left\{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)=\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)\right\} \\
\left(U_{0}, V_{1}\right) \Leftrightarrow E_{2}:\left\{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)=\left(e_{2}, c_{2} e_{2}\right)\right\} \\
\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) \Leftrightarrow E_{3}:\left\{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)=\left(e_{3}, c_{1} e_{3}\right)\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From Lemma 3.1, we have the following results about equilibria $E_{0}$ to $E_{3}$ of (3.1).
Lemma 3.2. Assume that $c_{1} \neq 1, c_{2} \neq 1$ and $c_{1} \neq c_{2}$. Then for (3.1),
(I) if $c>0, E_{0}$ is a saddle with eigenvalues $\pm \sqrt{|c|}$ while $E_{3}$ is a center with eigenvalues $\pm \sqrt{|c|} i$. Both the algebraic and geometric multiplicities of these eigenvalues are equal to 2. (semi-simple eigenvalues). $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are center-saddle points with eigenvalues $\pm \sqrt{|c|}$ and $\pm \sqrt{|c|} i$.
(II) If $c<0$, then the properties on $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ remain unchanged but properties on $E_{0}$ and $E_{3}$ must be switched.

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{u}\left(E_{0}\right):=\left\{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right): A_{2}-A_{1}=0\right\}, \quad W_{v}\left(E_{0}\right):=\left\{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right): A_{2}-c_{2} A_{1}=0\right\} \\
& W_{u}\left(E_{1}\right):=\left\{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right): A_{2}-A_{1}=0\right\}, \quad W_{v}\left(E_{1}\right):=\left\{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right): A_{2}-c_{2} A_{1}=U_{1}\right\} . \\
& W_{u}\left(E_{2}\right):=\left\{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right): A_{2}-A_{1}=V_{1}\right\}, \quad W_{v}\left(E_{2}\right):=\left\{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right): A_{2}-c_{2} A_{1}=0\right\} . \\
& W_{u}\left(E_{3}\right):=\left\{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right): A_{2}-A_{1}=V_{1}\right\}, \quad W_{v}\left(E_{3}\right):=\left\{\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right): A_{2}-c_{2} A_{1}=U_{1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.4) and (3.5), $W_{u}\left(E_{j}\right)$ and $W_{v}(j)$ are invariant under the flow of 3.1) and $E_{j} \in W_{u}\left(E_{j}\right) \cap W_{v}\left(E_{j}\right)$. Using Lemma 1.9, we find all the travelling waves for the P-integrable model 2.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $c_{1} \neq 1, c_{2} \neq 1$ and $c_{1} \neq c_{2}$. Then
(I) if $c>0$, then on $W_{u}\left(E_{1}\right)$ there is a family of travelling periodic solutions encircling $E_{1}: u=p\left(\xi, c,\left(1-c_{1}\right) / 2, h\right)$. On $W_{v}\left(E_{1}\right)$, exists a unique solitary wave solution $v=q\left(\xi, c,\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right) / 2\right)$. On $W_{u}(E 2)$, there exists a unique solitary wave $u=q\left(\xi, c,\left(1-c_{1}\right) / 2\right.$ asymptotic to $E_{2}$. On $W_{v}\left(E_{2}\right)$ there is a family of travelling periodic solutions encircling $E_{2}: v=p\left(\xi, c,\left(c_{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.c_{1}\right) / 2, h\right)$.
(II) If $c<0$ then the conclusions similar to part (I) hold if $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ get switched.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $c_{1} \neq 1, c_{2} \neq 1$ and $c_{1} \neq c_{2}$. Then
(I) if $c>0$, then there exist solitary waves on $W_{u}\left(E_{0}\right): u=q\left(\xi, c,\left(1-c_{1}\right) / 2\right)$ and on $W_{v}\left(E_{0}\right): v=q\left(\xi, c,\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right) / 2\right)$ asymptotic to $E_{0}$. There exist families of travelling periodic waves on both $W_{u}\left(E_{3}\right)$ and $W_{v}\left(E_{3}\right)$ encircling $E_{3}$. They are $u=p\left(\xi, c,\left(1-c_{1}\right) / 2, h\right)$ and $v=p\left(\xi, c,\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right) / 2, h\right)$.
(II) If $c<0$ then similar conclusion hold if we switch $E_{0}$ with $E_{3}$.

Corollary 3.5. The travelling wave solutions for P-integrable model 2 are

$$
\left(A_{1}(\xi), A_{2}(\xi)\right)^{\tau}=M\left(u\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right), v\left(\xi-\xi_{2}\right)\right)^{\tau}
$$

where $(u, v)$ are travelling wave solutions as in Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 and $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ are arbitrarily constants.

Remark 3.6. (1) If $c_{1}=1, c_{2} \neq 1$, then the only equilibria are $E_{0}$ and $E_{2}$. If $c_{1} \neq 1, c_{2}=c_{1}$, then the only equilibria are $E_{0}$ and $E_{1}$. If $c_{1}=c_{2}=1$, the only the equilibrium is $E_{0}$. In these special cases, 3.1) is much simpler and its travelling waves are easy to analyze. We will skip the details.
(2) The cubic equation 2.3 for P-integrable model 2 is
$\left.c_{1} c_{2} \omega^{3}-\left(c_{2}+c_{1}+c_{1} c_{2}\right) \omega^{2}+\left(c_{1}+c 2+1\right) \omega-1=\left(c_{2} \omega-1\right)\left(c_{1} \omega-1\right)(\omega-1)\right)=0$,
with three distinct roots $\omega=1, c_{1}, c_{2}$. Only $\omega=1$ and $c_{2}$ are used in our change of variables. We have tried the variable $A 2-c_{1} A_{1}$ and found that (3.1) does not get simplified.

We prefer matrices diagonalization since it provides definitive result. If after eliminating the non-diagonal terms the system does not decouple, then we can show that there does not exist a linear change of variable that can further decouple the system, unless the two original quadratic forms are linearly dependent. In this case, one of the decoupled equation is linear.

## 4. Travelling wave solutions for the P-integrable mode 3, 4 and 5

For the P-integrable models 3,4 and 5 , (see 1.4 , 1.5 ) and 1.6 ), we make the change of variables $A_{1}(\xi)+A_{2}(\xi)=u(\xi), A_{1}(\xi)-\overline{A_{2}(\xi)}=v(\xi)$, i.e., $A_{1}(\xi)=$ $\frac{1}{2}(u+v), A_{2}(\xi)=\frac{1}{2}(u-v)$. Then, the travelling wave solutions of 1.4) are determined by the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\xi \xi}-c u+u^{2}=0, \quad v_{\xi \xi}+(u-c) v=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The travelling wave solutions of 1.5 are given by the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\xi \xi}-c u+2 u^{2}=0, \quad v_{\xi \xi}-c v=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The travelling wave solutions of 1.6 are determined by the system

$$
u_{\xi \xi}-c u+u^{2}=0, \quad A_{1 \xi \xi \xi}+(2 u-c) A_{1 \xi}=0
$$

Let $A_{1 \xi}=w$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\xi \xi}-c u+u^{2}=0, \quad w_{\xi \xi}+(2 u-c) w=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the change of variables is invertible: $A_{1}(\xi)=\int^{\xi} w(s) d s, A_{2}(\xi)=u(\xi)-$ $A_{1}(\xi)$.
4.1. The P-integrable model 4. We first discuss system 4.2 which consists of two uncoupled equations. We are interested in the bounded solutions of 4.2). Therefore, we assume that $c<0$. Using Lemma 1.1 with $\beta=-2$, we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 4.1. System (1.5) has the following bounded exact travelling wave solutions:
(i) Asymptotically periodic solutions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}(\xi)=\frac{1}{2}[q(\xi, c,-2)+\gamma \cos \sqrt{|c|} \xi] \\
& A_{2}(\xi)=\frac{1}{2}[q(\xi, c,-2)-\gamma \cos \sqrt{|c|} \xi] \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) Quasi-periodic solutions, with $h \in\left(0,-c^{3} / 24\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}(\xi)=\frac{1}{2}[p(\xi, c,-2, h)+\gamma \cos \sqrt{|c|} \xi]  \tag{4.5}\\
& A_{2}(\xi)=\frac{1}{2}[p(\xi, c,-2, h)-\gamma \cos \sqrt{|c|} \xi] .
\end{align*}
$$

4.2. The P-integrable model 3 and 5. We now consider systems 4.1) and 4.3). The first equations for the two systems are the same:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}=c u-u^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $c<0$. Equation 4.6 has two equilibrium points: center $O(0,0)$ and saddle point $E(c, 0)$. By Lemma 1.1, with $\beta=-1$, we find that
(1) Equation 4.6 has a family of periodic orbits encircling $O$, parametrized by the periodic solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=p(\xi, c,-1, h), \quad h \in\left(0,-c^{3} / 6\right) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) Equation (4.6) also has a unique homoclinic orbit asymptotic to $E$ defined by the homoclinic solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\xi)=q(\xi, c,-1) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.7) and (4.8) into 4.1, we find two possible equations for $v$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{\xi \xi}+\left(|c|+r_{1}-\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) \operatorname{sn}^{2}(\Omega \xi, k)\right) v=0,  \tag{4.9}\\
v_{\xi \xi}+\left(\frac{3|c|}{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{|c|}}{2} \xi\right)\right) v=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting 4.7) and 4.8 into 4.3, we find two possible equations for $w$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{\xi \xi}+\left(2 r_{1}+|c|-2\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) \operatorname{sn}^{2}(\Omega \xi, k)\right) w=0,  \tag{4.11}\\
w_{\xi \xi}+\left(c+3|c| \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{|c|}}{2} \xi\right)\right) w=0 . \tag{4.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equations 4.9) and 4.11) are special forms of the Hill equation $x^{\prime \prime}+(a+\phi(t)) x=$ $x^{\prime \prime}+p(t) x=0$ (see Cesari 3). Denote $p_{1}(\xi)=|c|+r_{1}-\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) \operatorname{sn}^{2}(\Omega \xi, k)$ and $p_{2}(\xi)=2 r_{1}+|c|-2\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) \operatorname{sn}^{2}(\Omega \xi, k)$. It is easy to show that for $h \in\left(0,-\frac{1}{6} c^{3}\right)$, we have $p_{1}(\xi)>0$,

$$
p_{1 m} \equiv \frac{\Omega}{2 K(k)} \int_{0}^{\frac{2 K(k)}{\Omega}} p_{1}(\xi) d \xi=|c|+r_{3}+\frac{\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right)}{2} \frac{E(k)}{K(k)}
$$

and when $2 r_{2}+|c|>0, p_{2}(\xi)>0$,

$$
p_{2 m} \equiv \frac{\Omega}{2 K(k)} \int_{0}^{\frac{2 K(k)}{\Omega}} p_{2}(\xi) d \xi=|c|+2 r_{3}+\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right) \frac{E(k)}{K(k)}
$$

We can show that the condition of Borg's theorem [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \int_{0}^{T}\left|p_{j}(\xi)\right| d \xi=\left(\frac{2 K(k)}{\Omega}\right)^{2}\left|p_{j m}\right| \leq 4, \quad j=1,2 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

cannot be satisfied. So we cannot use it to conclude that any solution of 4.9 and (4.11) is bounded or stable.

However conditions 4.13) are only sufficient conditions for the existence of bounded solutions of 4.9) and 4.11). By using Theorem 8.1 in Hale [7, there exist two real sequences of the number $|c|:\left\{c_{0}<c_{1} \leq c_{2} \leq \ldots\right\}$ and $\left\{c_{1}^{*} \leq c_{2}^{*} \leq\right.$ $\left.c_{3}^{*} \leq \ldots\right\}$, when $k \rightarrow \infty, c_{k}, c_{k}^{*} \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
c_{0}<c_{1}^{*} \leq c_{2}^{*}<c_{1} \leq c_{2}<c_{3}^{*} \leq c_{4}^{*}<c_{3} \leq c_{4}<\ldots
$$

such that (4.9) and 4.11) have periodic solutions with period $\frac{2 K(k)}{\Omega}$ (or $\frac{4 K(k)}{\Omega}$ ), if and only if for some $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, we have $|c|=c_{k}$ (or for some $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, we have $|c|=c_{k}^{*}$ ). The solutions of 4.9) and 4.11) are stable in the intervals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{0}, c_{1}^{*}\right), \quad\left(c_{2}^{*}, c_{1}\right), \quad\left(c_{2}, c_{3}^{*}\right), \quad\left(c_{4}^{*}, c_{3}\right), \ldots \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

And the solutions of 4.9 and 4.11 are unstable in the intervals

$$
\left(-\infty, c_{0}\right], \quad\left(c_{1}^{*}, c_{2}^{*}\right), \quad\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right), \quad\left(c_{3}^{*}, c_{4}^{*}\right), \quad\left(c_{3}, c_{4}\right), \ldots
$$

Therefore, (4.9) and 4.11) have bounded solutions when the parameter $|c|$ belongs to a stable interval in (4.14). We summarize our results in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. Assume that $c<0$ in (4.1) and 4.3). Then there are infinitely many pairs $(c, h)$ where $h \in\left(0,-\frac{1}{6} c^{3}\right),|c|=c_{k}, c_{k}^{*}$ or $|c|$ is in one of the intervals of (4.14). For such $(c, h)$, 4.1) and (4.3) have solutions $(u, v)$ and $(u, w)$ where $u=p(\xi, c,-1, h)$ is periodic and $v(\xi)$ and $w(\xi)$ are bounded.
(1) For the P-integrable model 3, the bounded travelling waves are

$$
A_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(u+v), \quad A_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(u-v)
$$

(2) For the P-integrable model 5, if $\int^{\xi} w(s) d s$ is a bounded function on $\mathbb{R}$, then The bounded travelling wave solutions are

$$
A_{1}(\xi)=\int^{\xi} w(s) d s, \quad A_{2}(\xi)=u(\xi)-A_{1}(\xi)
$$

In particular, for any constant $\gamma,\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)=(\gamma, u-\gamma)$ is a periodic travelling wave solution.

Remark 4.3. The condition for $\int w(\xi) d \xi$ to be a bounded function is rather complicated and better left to a separate paper.

If $c>0$, there are periodic solutions $u=p(\xi, c,-1, h)$ oscillating around the center $E$. It is possible to plug these solutions into the equations for $v$ and $w$ and look for bounded solutions.

Finally, we consider equation 4.10 and 4.12 . Let

$$
p_{3}(\xi)=\frac{3|c|}{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{|c|}}{2} \xi\right), \quad p_{4}(\xi)=c+3|c| \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{|c|}}{2} \xi\right)
$$

Because $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{3}(t) d t$ is convergent and $c<0$, by using the results mentioned in Cesari [3, we find that the solutions of 4.10 and 4.12 are non-oscillating and unbounded.

Remark 4.4. A general coupled $K d V$ system has been studied in [12] where the third order coefficients may not be equal. Apparently 2.10-2.11 from model 1 correspond to the case (ii) in [12, system 4.1) from model 3 corresponds to the case (vii) in [12], and system (4.3) corresponds to (vi) in [12]. Models 2 and 4 were not studied in [12].
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