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# LINKING METHOD FOR PERIODIC NON-AUTONOMOUS FOURTH-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH SUPERQUADRATIC POTENTIALS 

CHENGYUE LI, CHANGHUA SHI


#### Abstract

By means of the Schechter's Linking method, we study the existence of $2 T$-periodic solutions of the non-autonomous fourth-order ordinary differential equation $$
u^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}-A u^{\prime \prime}-B u-V_{u}(t, u)=0
$$ where $A>0, B>0, V(t, u) \in \mathbb{C}^{1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is $2 T$-periodic in $t$ and satisfies either $0<\theta V(t, u) \leq u V_{u}(t, u)$ with $\theta>2$, or $u V_{u}(t, u)-2 V(t, u) \geq d_{3}|u|^{r}$ with $r \geq 1$.


## 1. Introduction

Pulse propagation through optical fibers involving a fourth-order negative dispersion term leads to a generalized nonlinear Schrodinger equation [1, 4]. After an appropriate scaling of the variables this equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial t^{4}}+|w|^{2} w=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering harmonic spatial dependence $w(t, x)=u(t) e^{i k x}$ with $k<0$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(4)}-u^{\prime \prime}+k u-u^{3}=0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Motivated by (1.2), we shall discuss the more general equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(4)}-A u^{\prime \prime}-B u-V_{u}(t, u)=0, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A>0, B>0$, the potential $V(t, u) \in \mathbb{C}^{1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), V_{u}(t, u)=\partial V(t, u) / \partial u$.
Indeed, many other types of fourth-order differential equation models in physical, chemical or biological systems have been studied for recent years. We give some examples as follows:
(i) The equation $u^{(4)}-\gamma u^{\prime \prime}-u+u^{3}=0$ serves as a model in studies of pattern formation and phase transitions near Lifshitz points. If $\gamma>0$, it is the Extended Fisher-Kolmogorov equation proposed by Dee and Saarloos van in [6]. If $\gamma<0$, it

[^0]is the Swift-Hohenberg equation which has been proposed by Swift and Hohenberg [12]. For the existence of its periodic solutions, we refer the readers to [8].
(ii) In the theory of shallow water waves driven by gravity and capillarity, the equation $u^{(4)}+p u^{\prime \prime}+u-u^{2}=0$ has been studied with $p<0$ [2], which was extensively considered by Buffoni [3].
(iii) Chen and McKenna [5] studied the equation $u^{(4)}+c^{2} u^{\prime \prime}+V^{\prime}(u)=0$ under the assumptions that $V \in \mathbb{C}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a potential such that $V^{\prime}(u)=(u+1)_{+}-1+g(u)$ with $\left|g^{\prime \prime}(u)\right| \leq K$ for some $K>0$.This result was improved by Smets and Van den Berg

(iv) Tersian and Chaparova [13] studied the equation $u^{(4)}+p u^{\prime \prime}+a(x) u-b(x) u^{2}-$ $c(x) u^{3}=0$ where $a(x), b(x), c(x)$ are periodic, and $0<a_{1} \leq a(x), 0<c_{1} \leq c(x)$. They obtained the existence of periodic solutions of the equation for $p \neq 0$.
(v) Gyulov and Tersian [7] discussed the equation $u^{(4)}+a u^{\prime \prime}+b u+V_{u}(t, u)=0$ where $V(t, u) \geq c|u|^{p}$ with $p>2$, and obtained the existence and nonexistence of nontrival periodic solutions of the equation by Brezis-Nirenberg's linking Theorem and minimizing methods.

In the present paper, we shall study the existence of periodic solutions of the non-autonomous fourth-order equation 1.3 . Our main results are as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let $A>0, B>0$. Assume that $V(t, u) \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the assumptions:
(V1) $V(t, u)=V(t+2 T, u), V(t, u)=V(t,-u)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathbb{R}$;
(V2) $V(t, u)=o\left(|u|^{2}\right)$, as $u \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
(V3) There exists a constant $\theta>2$ such that

$$
0<\theta V(t, u) \leq u V_{u}(t, u), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Then (1.3) has at least one nontrivial $2 T$-periodic solution, provided that $\frac{T}{T_{1}} \notin \mathbb{N}$ with $T_{1}=\pi \sqrt{2} / \sqrt{-A+\sqrt{A^{2}+4 B}}$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $A>0, B>0$. Suppose that $V(t, u) \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies that (V1), (V2) and the following conditions:
(V3') $V(t, u) /|u|^{2} \rightarrow \infty$, as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
(V4) There are constants $\mu, d_{1}, d_{2}>0$ such that $\left|V_{u}(t, u)\right| \leq d_{1}|u|^{\mu}+d_{2}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathbb{R}$;
(V5) There are constants $h, d_{3}>0, r \geq \max \{1, \mu\}$ such that

$$
u V_{u}(t, u)-2 V(t, u) \geq d_{3}|u|^{r}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},|u|>h .
$$

Then the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 holds.
Remark 1.3. Hypothesis (V3) is so-called Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz superquadratic condition which implies that there exist constants $r_{1}>0, r_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t, u) \geq r_{1}|u|^{\mu}-r_{2}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By direct computation we notice that, for example, $V(t, u)=u^{2} \ln \left(1+u^{2 i}\right) \ln (1+$ $\left.2 u^{2 j}\right)$ or $V(t, u)=u^{2} \ln \left(1+u^{2 i}\right)(i, j \in \mathbb{N})$ satisfies (V3'), (V4), and (V5), but does not satisfy (1.4). Therefore, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 study two types of superquadratic nonlinearities.

## 2. Preliminaries

To study the existence of $2 T$-periodic solutions of (1.3), we first consider the solvability of the two-point boundary problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}-A u^{\prime \prime}-B u-V_{u}(t, u)=0, \quad 0<t<T \\
u(0)=u(T)=0, u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(T)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

We shall obtain $2 T$-periodic solutions of (1.3) which are antisymmetric with respect to $t=0$ and $t=T$ taking the $2 T$-periodic extension of the odd extension

$$
\bar{u}(t)= \begin{cases}u(t), & 0 \leq t \leq T  \tag{2.2}\\ -u(-t), & -T \leq t \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

of the solution $u(t)$ for problem (2.1).
Assume $X=H^{2}([0, T]) \cap H_{0}^{1}([0, T])$ be a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)=\int_{0}^{T}\left(u^{\prime \prime}(t) v^{\prime \prime}(t)+u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t)+u(t) v(t)\right) d t \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds the norm

$$
\|u\|_{X}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+|u(t)|^{2}\right) d t\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

From the Poincare inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{2} d t \leq \frac{T^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t, \quad \int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{2} d t \leq \frac{T^{4}}{\pi^{4}} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we know that $\|u\|_{X}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u\|=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\right.  \tag{2.5}\\
\|u\|_{*}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2}+A\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

are equivalent norms in $X(T)$. In addition, an important fact in $X(T)$ is that the set of functions $\left\{\sin \frac{k \pi t}{T}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a complete orthogonal basis [7].

A function $u \in X(T)$ is said to be a weak solution of 2.1, if

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(u^{\prime \prime}(t) v^{\prime \prime}(t)+A u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t)-B u(t) v(t)\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T} V_{u}(t, u) v d t=0, \quad \forall v \in X(T)
$$

Define the pertinent functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u ; T)=\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{2}\left(u^{\prime \prime 2}+A u^{\prime 2}-B u^{2}\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T} V(t, u) d t, \quad \forall u \in X(T) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the assumption of $V(t, u) \in \mathbb{C}^{1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, we easily show that $I(u ; T) \in$ $C^{1}(X(T), R)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{\prime}(u ; T) v=\int_{0}^{T}\left(u^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}+A u^{\prime} v^{\prime}-B u v\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T} V_{u}(t, u) v d t=0, \quad \forall u, v \in X(T) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

So weak solutions of 2.1 ) are critical points of $I(u ; T)$. In fact, by the standard way, weak solutions of 2.1 are exactly its classical solutions.

For $u \in X(T)$, using Fourier series, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
u=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k} \sin \left(\frac{k \pi t}{T}\right)  \tag{2.9}\\
I(u ; T)=\frac{T}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{2} P_{k}(T)-\int_{0}^{T} V(t, u) d t \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $P_{k}(T)=P\left(\frac{k \pi}{T}\right)$ with $P(\xi)=\xi^{4}+A \xi^{2}-B, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly for every $T>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P_{1}(T)<P_{2}(T)<P_{3}(T)<\cdots<P_{n}(T)\right)<\ldots . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the equation $P_{n}(T)=0$ has the unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=n T_{1}, \quad T_{1}=\pi \sqrt{2} / \sqrt{-A+\sqrt{A^{2}+4 B}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $P_{n}(T)>0$, if $T<n T_{1} ; P_{n}(T)<0$, if $T>n T_{1}$.
To prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 , we shall use linking method due to Schechter. For that, we start recalling the definition of linking sets in the sense of homeomorphisms 9 .

Let $E$ be a real Banach space and let $\Phi$ be the set of all continuous maps $\Gamma=\Gamma(t)$ from $E \times[0,1]$ to $E$ such that (i) $\Gamma(0)=I$, the identity map. (ii) For each $t \in[0,1$ ), $\Gamma(t)$ is a homeomorphism of $E$ into $E$ and $\Gamma^{-1}(t) \in \mathbb{C}(E \times[0,1], E)$. (iii) $\Gamma(1) E$ is a single point in $E$ and $\Gamma(t) A$ converges uniformly to $\Gamma(1) E$ as $t \rightarrow 1$ for each bounded set $A \subset E$. (iv) For each $t_{0} \in[0,1)$ and each bounded set $Y \subset E$, $\sup _{0 \leq t \leq t_{0}, u \in Y}\left\{\|\Gamma(t) u\|+\left\|\Gamma^{-1}(t) u\right\|\right\}<\infty$.

We say that $Y$ links $Z$ if $Y$ and $Z$ are subsets of $E$ such that $Y \cap Z=\phi$ and, for each $\Gamma \in \Phi$, there is a $t \in(0,1]$ such that $\Gamma(t) Y \cap Z \neq \phi$. Many examples of linking sets are presented in [9]. A typical one is as follows:

Example. [9, Example 3, P.38]. Let $M$ and $N$ be closed subspaces of Banach space $E$ such that $\operatorname{dim} N<\infty$ and $E=M \oplus N$. Let $w_{0} \neq 0$ be an element of $M$, $0<\rho<R$, and take

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y=\{v \in N:\|v\| \leq R\} \cup\left\{v+\lambda w_{0}: v \in N, \lambda \geq 0,\left\|v+\lambda w_{0}\right\|=R\right\} \\
Z=\partial B_{\rho}(0) \cap M
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $Y$ links $Z$.
It was shown in 9 that with the aid of linking method a deformation theorem was obtained and then, using standard minimax arguments, the following result was proved by Schechter:

Theorem 2.1 (Linking Theorem 2.1.1 and Corollary 2.8.2 in [9]). Assume that $E$ is a real Banach space, the functional $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^{1}(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{R})$. $Y$ and $Z$ are subsets of $E$ such that $Y$ is compact and $Y$ links $Z$, and satisfies that $a_{0}:=\sup _{Y} \varphi \leq b_{0}:=\inf f_{Z} \varphi$. If $a=\inf _{\Gamma \in \Phi} s u p_{0 \leq s \leq 1, u \in Y} \varphi(\Gamma(s) u)$ is finite, then there is a sequence $\left(u_{m}\right) \subset E$ such that $\varphi\left(u_{m}\right) \rightarrow a \geq b_{0},\left(1+\left\|u_{m}\right\|\right) \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, if $a=b_{0}$, then $\operatorname{dist}\left(u_{m}, Z\right) \rightarrow 0$.

In addition, we also recall the limit case of Rabinowitz's Mountain Pass Lemma, which shall be employed in the section 3 and section 4 .

Theorem $2.2\left([14)\right.$. Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^{1}(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the $(P S)$ condition, $\varphi(0)=0$. If $\varphi$ satisfies
(a) There is an open neighborhood $Y$ of the origin 0 such that $\left.\varphi\right|_{\partial Y} \geq 0$;
(b) There is e $\notin \bar{Y}$ such that $\varphi(e) \leq 0$,
then $\varphi$ possesses a critical value $b \geq 0$ at the level characterized by

$$
b=\sup _{Z \in W} \inf _{u \in \partial Z} \varphi(u)
$$

where $W=\{Z \subset E: Z$ is open $0 \in Z$ and $e \notin \bar{Z}\}$. Moreover, if $b=0$, there is $a$ critical point of $\varphi$ on $\partial Y$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 3.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. the $(P S)$ condition holds for $I(u ; T)$. Namely, if $\left(u_{m}\right) \subset X(T)$ satisfies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I\left(u_{m} ; T\right)\right| \leq M_{1}, \quad\left|I^{\prime}\left(u_{m} ; T\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $M_{1}>0$, then there is a subsequence of $\left(u_{m}\right)$ converging to a limit $u_{0} \in X(T)$.

Proof. Choose $\theta^{*} \in(2, \theta)$. By (V3), (1.4) and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{1}+\left\|u_{m}\right\| \geq & I\left(u_{m} ; T\right)-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}} I^{\prime}\left(u_{m} ; T\right) u_{m} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2}+A u_{m}^{\prime 2}-B u_{m}^{2}\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T} V\left(t, u_{m}\right) d t \\
& -\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2}+A u_{m}^{\prime 2}-B u_{m}^{2}\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T} V_{u}\left(t, u_{m}\right) u_{m} d t\right) \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2}+A u_{m}^{\prime 2}\right) d t-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right) \int_{0}^{T} B u_{m}^{2} d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left(\frac{V_{u}\left(t, u_{m}\right) u_{m}}{\theta^{*}}-V\left(t, u_{m}\right)\right) d t \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2}+A u_{m}^{\prime 2}\right) d t-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right) \int_{0}^{T} B u_{m}^{2} d t  \tag{3.2}\\
& +\frac{\theta-\theta^{*}}{\theta^{*}} \int_{0}^{T} V\left(t, u_{m}\right) d t \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2}+A u_{m}^{\prime 2}\right) d t-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right) \int_{0}^{T} B u_{m}^{2} d t \\
& +r_{1} \frac{\theta-\theta^{*}}{\theta^{*}}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{\theta}}^{\theta}-T r_{2} \frac{\theta-\theta^{*}}{\theta^{*}} \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2}+A u_{m}^{\prime 2}\right) d t-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right) B\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& +r_{3}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\theta}-T r_{2} \frac{\theta-\theta^{*}}{\theta^{*}}
\end{align*}
$$

with $r_{3}>0$. We claim that $\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ is bounded. Otherwise, $\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}} \rightarrow \infty,\left\|u_{m}\right\| \rightarrow$ $\infty$. Thus, since $\theta>2$, for $m$ sufficiently large, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right) B\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+r_{3}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\theta}-\operatorname{Tr}_{2} \frac{\theta-\theta^{*}}{\theta^{*}}>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, by (3.2) and (3.3), we deduce that

$$
M_{1}+\left\|u_{m}\right\| \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2}+A u_{m}^{\prime 2}\right) d t \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right)\left\|u_{m}\right\|^{2}
$$

which contradicts $\left\|u_{m}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. So $\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ is bounded. Therefore, by (3.2), there exists $M_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}+\left\|u_{m}\right\| \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right) \int_{0}^{T} u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2} d t+M_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta^{*}}\right)\left\|u_{m}\right\|^{2}+M_{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality implies $\left\|u_{m}\right\|$ is bounded in $X(T)$. Then we can assume that, without loss of generation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m} \rightharpoonup u_{0} \in X(T), \quad u_{m} \rightarrow u_{0} \in \mathbb{C}([0, T]) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by (2.8) and (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{m}-u_{0}\right\|^{2}+A \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{m}^{\prime}-u_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d t \\
& =B \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{m}-u_{0}\right|^{2} d t+\left(I^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right)-I^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)\left(u_{m}-u_{0}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T}\left(V_{u}\left(t, u_{m}\right)-V_{u}\left(t, u_{0}\right)\right)\left(u_{m}-u_{0}\right) d t \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

namely, $u_{m} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $X(T)$.
Lemma 3.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, if $T>T_{1}$ and $\frac{T}{T_{1}} \notin \mathbb{N}$, then the functional $I(u ; T)$ possesses a nontrivial critical point in $X(T)$.

Proof. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n T_{1}<T<(n+1) T_{1}$. Define

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{n}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\sin \frac{\pi t}{T}, \sin \frac{2 \pi t}{T} \ldots \sin \frac{n \pi t}{T}\right\}  \tag{3.7}\\
Y=\left\{v \in E_{n}:\|v\| \leq R\right\} \cup\left\{v+\lambda e: v \in E_{n}, \lambda \geq 0,\|v+\lambda e\|=R\right\}  \tag{3.8}\\
Z=\partial B_{\rho}(0) \cap E_{n}^{\perp} \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $e \in E_{n}^{\perp},\|e\|=1$ and $0<\rho<R$. By the typical example in section $2, Y$ links with $Z$. We shall verify for $R$ sufficiently large and $\rho$ sufficiently small, that the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{Y} T(u ; T) \leq 0 \leq \inf _{Z} I(u ; T) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Firstly, for every $v \in E_{n}, v(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} \sin \left(\frac{k \pi t}{T}\right)$, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}(T)<P_{2}(T)<P_{3}(T)<\ldots P_{n}(T)<0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(v ; T)=\frac{T}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{k}(T) c_{k}^{2}-\int_{0}^{T} V(t, v(t)) d t \leq 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Secondly, we take $e=c_{n+1} \sin \frac{(n+1) \pi t}{T} \in E_{n}^{\perp}$ with $c_{n+1}$ such that $\|e\|=1$, and let

$$
w=u+\lambda e=\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} \sin \left(\frac{k \pi t}{T}\right)+\lambda e, \lambda \geq 0
$$

Then $\|w\|=\|u\|+\|\lambda e\|=\|u\|+\lambda$. There exist $r_{4}, r_{4}^{\prime}$ such that $r_{4}^{\prime}\|w\|_{L^{\theta}} \leq\|w\| \leq$ $r_{4}\|w\|_{L^{\theta}}$, for all $w \in E_{n+1}$. Therefore, by (1.4), we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
I(w ; T) & =\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(w^{\prime \prime 2}+A w^{\prime 2}-B w^{2}\right)\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T} V(t, w) d t \\
& =\frac{T}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{k}(T) c_{k}^{2}+\frac{T}{4} P_{n+1}(T) \lambda^{2} c_{n+1}^{2}-\int_{0}^{T} V(t, w) d t  \tag{3.13}\\
& \leq \frac{T}{4} P_{n+1}(T) \lambda^{2} c_{n+1}^{2}-\int_{0}^{T} V(t, w) d t \\
& \leq \frac{T}{4} P_{n+1}(T) c_{n+1}^{2}\|w\|^{2}-r_{1} r_{4}^{-\theta}\|w\|^{\theta}+r_{2} T \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

for $\|w\|=R$ large enough. Finally, by (V2) for each $\varepsilon>0$, there is $\delta \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
|V(t, u)| \leq \varepsilon|u|^{2} \quad \text { if }|u| \leq \delta \text { and } t \in[0, T]
$$

By the Sobolev embedding Theorem, there exists a constant $r_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{C([0, T])}=\|u\|_{L^{\infty}[0, T]} \leq r_{5}\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in X(T) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0<\rho<\min \left\{\delta / r_{5}, R\right\}$ and $\|u\|=\rho$, then $|u(t)| \leq \delta$ for all $t \in[0, T]$. Therefore,

$$
\int_{0}^{T} V(t, u(t)) d t \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
$$

Noticing $0<p_{n+1}(T)<p_{n+2}(T) \ldots$ for $u \in E_{n}^{\perp} \cap B_{\rho}(0), u=\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_{k} \sin \left(\frac{k \pi t}{T}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u ; T) & =\frac{T}{4} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} P_{k}(T) c_{k}^{2}-\int_{0}^{T} V(t, u(t)) d t \\
& \leq P_{n+1}(T)\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\varepsilon \int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{2} d t  \tag{3.15}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} P_{n+1}(T)\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

if $0<\varepsilon<\frac{1}{2} P_{n+1}(T)$. Then (3.12), 3.13) and (3.15) imply that 3.10) holds. Thus, by Theorem 2.1, there exists a sequence $\left(u_{m}\right) \subset X(T)$ satisfies that

$$
\begin{gather*}
I\left(u_{m} ; T\right) \rightarrow d_{0} \geq 0  \tag{3.16}\\
\left(1+\left\|u_{m}\right\|\right) I^{\prime}\left(u_{m} ; T\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

By Lemma 3.1, we may assume that $u_{m} \rightarrow u_{0} \in X(T)$. And, by (3.17), we can show that $u_{0}$ is a critical point of $I(u ; T)$. If $d_{0}>0$, then $u_{0} \neq 0$. If $d_{0}=0$, then $\operatorname{dist}\left(u_{m}, Z\right) \rightarrow 0$ by Theorem 2.1. Hence there is a sequence $\left(v_{m}\right) \subset Z$ such that $u_{m}-v_{m} \rightarrow 0$ in $X(T)$, so $v_{m} \rightarrow u_{0}$, thus $\left\|u_{0}\right\|=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{m}\right\|=\rho \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, if $0<T<T_{1}$, then the functional $I(u ; T)$ possesses a nontrivial critical point in $X(T)$.
Proof. We shall use Theorem 2.2 to prove the existence of the critical point of $I(u ; T)$. Under the condition $0<T<T_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<P_{1}(T)<P_{2}(T)<P_{3}(T)<\ldots P_{n}(T)<\ldots \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to Lemma 3.2, for $0<\varepsilon<\frac{1}{2} P_{1}(T)$, there exists $\delta \in(0,1)$ such that $V(t, u) \leq \varepsilon|u|^{2}$ if $|u| \leq \delta$ and $t \in[0, T]$. Then for every $u=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k} \sin \left(\frac{k \pi t}{T}\right) \in$ $X(T)$ such that $\|u\|=\rho<\delta / r_{5}$, where $r_{5}$ is defined in (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u ; T) & =\frac{T}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k}(T) c_{k}^{2}-\int_{0}^{T}(V(t, u(t)) d t \\
& \leq P_{1}(T)\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\varepsilon \int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{2} d t  \tag{3.19}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} P_{1}(T)\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

which is non-negative. Next, for some $\bar{u} \in E \backslash\{0\}$ and all $\sigma>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I(\sigma \bar{u} ; T) & =\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\bar{u}^{\prime \prime 2}+A \bar{u}^{\prime 2}-B \bar{u}^{2}\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T} V(t, \sigma \bar{u}) d t  \tag{3.20}\\
& \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left(\bar{u}^{\prime \prime 2}+A \bar{u}^{\prime 2}-B \bar{u}^{2}\right)\right) d t-r_{1} \sigma^{\theta} \int_{0}^{T}|\bar{u}|^{\theta} d t+r_{2} T
\end{align*}
$$

Then $I(\sigma \bar{u} ; T) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.2, the functional $I(u ; T)$ has at least one nontrivial critical point in $X(T)$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows from combining Lemmas $3.1,3.2$ and 3.3

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 4.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, if $T>T_{1}$ and $\frac{T}{T_{1}} \notin \mathbb{N}$, then the functional $I(u ; T)$ possesses a nontrivial critical point in $X(T)$.

Proof. In the same way as (3.7)-(3.9), we define $E_{n}, Y$ and $Z$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 , we can verify that 3.12, (3.13 and 3.15 still hold, whose proofs are similar to that of Lemma 3.1 with the exception of the inequality (3.13) resulting from (V3). In its place we proceed as follows

Still take $e=c_{n+1} \sin \left(\frac{(n+1) \pi t}{T}\right) \in E_{n}^{\perp}$ with $c_{n+1}$ such that $\|e\|=1$, and let

$$
w=u+\lambda e=\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} \sin \left(\frac{k \pi t}{T}\right)+\lambda e, \lambda \geq 0
$$

Then $\|w\|=\|u\|+\|\lambda e\|=\|u\|+\lambda$. There exist $r_{6}, r_{6}^{\prime}>0$ such that $r_{6}^{\prime}\|w\|_{L^{2}} \leq$ $\|w\| \leq r_{6}\|w\|_{L^{2}}$, for all $w \in E_{n+1}$.

By (V3'), there exists $r_{7}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t ; u) \geq\left(\frac{T}{4} P_{n+1}(T) c_{n+1}^{2} r_{6}^{2}+1\right)|u|^{2}-r_{7}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(w ; T) & \left.=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(w^{\prime \prime 2}+A w^{\prime 2}-B w^{2}\right)\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T} V(t, w) d t \\
& =\frac{T}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} P_{k}(T)+\frac{T}{4} \lambda^{2} P_{n+1}(T) c_{n+1}^{2}-\int_{0}^{T} V(t, w) d t \\
& \leq \frac{T}{4} \lambda^{2} P_{n+1}(T) c_{n+1}^{2}-\int_{0}^{T} V(t, w) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{T}{4} P_{n+1}(T) c_{n+1}^{2} r_{6}^{2}\|w\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\left(\frac{T}{4} P_{n+1}(T) c_{n+1}^{2} r_{6}^{2}+1\right)\|w\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+r_{7} T \\
& =-\|w\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+r_{7} T \\
& \leq-r_{6}^{-2}\|w\|^{2}+r_{7} T \rightarrow-\infty \quad(\text { as }\|w\| \rightarrow \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, under the assumptions of Theorem $1.2, Y$ links $Z$, so, by Theorem 2.1, there exists a sequence $\left(u_{m}\right) \subset X(T)$ such that (3.16) and 3.17) hold. We shall prove that $\left(u_{m}\right)$ is bounded in $X(T)$. If not, we may assume that $\left\|u_{m}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. From $\left(V_{5}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
2 I\left(u_{m} ; T\right)-I^{\prime}\left(u_{m} ; T\right) u_{m} & =\int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}(t) V_{u}\left(t, u_{m}(t)\right)-2 V\left(t, u_{m}(t)\right)\right) d t \\
& \leq d_{3} \int_{\left|u_{m}(t)\right| \geq h}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r} d t+d_{4} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

with $d_{4}$ being a constant. By (3.16), (3.17) and 4.2 , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left\|u_{m}\right\|} \int_{\left|u_{m}(t)\right| \geq h}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r} d t \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, in view of (V4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& I^{\prime}\left(u_{m} ; T\right) u_{m} \\
&= \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2}+A u_{m}^{\prime 2}-B u_{m}^{2}\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T} u_{m}(t) V_{u}\left(t, u_{m}\right) d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2}+A u_{m}^{\prime 2}-B u_{m}^{2}\right) d t-d_{1} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\mu+1} d t-d_{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{m}(t)\right| d t \\
&= \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2}+A u_{m}^{\prime 2}-B u_{m}^{2}\right) d t-d_{1}\left(\int_{\left|u_{m}(t)\right| \geq h}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\mu+1} d t\right. \\
&\left.+\int_{\left|u_{m}(t)\right| \leq h}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\mu+1} d t\right)-d_{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{m}(t)\right| d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2}+A u_{m}^{\prime 2}-B u_{m}^{2}\right) d t-d_{1}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{\left|u_{m}(t)\right| \geq h}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\mu} d t  \tag{4.4}\\
&-d_{2}\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{1}}-d_{5} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2}+A u_{m}^{\prime 2}-B u_{m}^{2}\right) d t-d_{6}\left\|u_{m}\right\| \int_{\left|u_{m}(t)\right| \geq h}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\mu} d t \\
&-d_{7}\left\|u_{m}\right\|-d_{5} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}^{\prime \prime 2}+A u_{m}^{\prime 2}-B u_{m}^{2}\right) d t-d_{6} h^{\mu-r}\left\|u_{m}\right\| \int_{\left|u_{m}(t)\right| \geq h}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r} d t \\
&-d_{7}\left\|u_{m}\right\|-d_{5},
\end{align*}
$$

with $d_{5}, d_{6}, d_{7}$ being positive constants. The two sides of (4.4) are divided by $\left\|u_{m}\right\|^{2}$, by (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{I^{\prime}\left(u_{m} ; T\right) u_{m}}{\left\|u_{m}\right\|^{2}} \geq & \frac{\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{*}^{2}}{\left\|u_{m}\right\|^{2}}-B \int_{0}^{T}\left(\frac{u_{m}}{\left\|u_{m}\right\|}\right)^{2} d t  \tag{4.5}\\
& -d_{6} h^{\mu-r} \frac{\int_{\left|u_{m}(t)\right| \geq h}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r} d t}{\left\|u_{m}\right\|}-\frac{d_{7}\left\|u_{m}\right\|+d_{5}}{\left\|u_{m}\right\|^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Set $\widetilde{u_{m}}(t)=\frac{u_{m}(t)}{\left\|u_{m}\right\|}$, then $\widetilde{u_{m}}(t)=1$. We may assume that $\widetilde{u_{m}}(t) \rightharpoonup \chi \in X(T)$ and $\widetilde{u_{m}}(t) \rightarrow \chi$ in $\mathbb{C}([0, T])$, and $\frac{\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{*}}{\left\|u_{m}\right\|} \rightarrow \tau>0$. Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.5), and by 4.2, we have $B \int_{0}^{T}(\chi(t))^{2} d t \geq \tau^{2}>0$, which implies the measure of $\Omega:=\{t \in[0, T]$ : $\chi(t)) \neq 0\}$ is positive. For every $t \in \Omega$, we have $\left|u_{m}(t)\right|=\left\|u_{m}\right\| \widetilde{u_{m}}(t) \mid \rightarrow \infty$, so by (3.16), (3.17) and (V5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 d_{0} \leftarrow & \leftarrow 2 I\left(u_{m} ; T\right)-I^{\prime}\left(u_{m} ; T\right) u_{m} \\
= & \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{m}(t) V_{u}\left(t, u_{m}(t)\right)-2 V\left(t, u_{m}(t)\right)\right) d t \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{m}(t) V_{u}\left(t, u_{m}(t)\right)-2 V\left(t, u_{m}(t)\right)\right) d t  \tag{4.6}\\
& +\int_{[0, T] \backslash \Omega}\left(u_{m}(t) V_{u}\left(t, u_{m}(t)\right)-2 V\left(t, u_{m}(t)\right)\right) d t \\
\leq & d_{3} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r} d t+\text { a bounded term } \rightarrow \infty
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\left(u_{m}\right)$ is bounded in $X(T)$. Referring to (3.5)(3.6), we can show that $u_{m}$ converges to some critical point $u_{0}$ of $I(u ; T)$ in $X(T)$. Following the proof of Lemma 3.2, we also have $u_{0} \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, if $0<T<T_{1}$, then the functional $I(u ; T)$ possesses a nontrivial critical point in $X(T)$.

The proof of the above lemma is simple, so we omit it; see also Lemma 3.3 .
The Proof of Theorem 1.2 follows from Lemma 4.1 and $(4.2)$.
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