

EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS ON CLOSED MOVING CONSTRAINTS IN BANACH SPACES

ADEL MAHMOUD GOMAA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the existence of solutions to a multivalued differential equation with moving constraints. We use a weak compactness type condition expressed in terms of a strong measure of noncompactness.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the existence of solutions to the multivalued differential equation with moving constraints

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &\in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{a.e. on } I, \\ x(t) &\in \Gamma(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \\ x(0) &= x_0 \in \Gamma(0).\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

Where $F : [0, T] \times E \rightarrow P_{ck}(E)$ (P_{ck} is the family of nonempty convex compact subsets of E) and $\Gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow P_f(E)$, ($P_f(E)$ is the family of closed subsets of E). Problem (1.1) has been studied by many authors; see for example [6, 2, 25, 8, 26] when F is lower semicontinuous, and [14, 6] when F is upper semicontinuous with Γ is independent of t . For Γ depending on t , we refer to [2, 20, 5]. In [16] we consider the differential inclusions $\dot{x}(t) \in A(t)x(t) + F(t, x(t))$, $x(0) = x_0$ where $\{A(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a family of densely defined closed linear operators generating a continuous evolution operator $S(t, s)$ and F is a multivalued function with closed convex values in Banach spaces. there, we show how that this results can be used in abstract control problems. Also in [17] we consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [0, T] \\ x(0) &= x_0,\end{aligned}$$

where $f : [0, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ and E is a Banach space. In [11, 12], we study nonlinear differential equations. In [10] we study some differential inclusions with delay and their topological properties. Much work has been done in the study of topological properties of solution for differential inclusions; see [1, 3, 7, 21, 19, 15, 18].

In this paper we to prove the existence of solutions to (1.1) by using a measure of strong noncompactness, γ , (see the next section). Since the Kuratowski measure

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32F27, 32C35, 35N15.

Key words and phrases. Differential inclusions; moving constraints; existence solutions.

©2009 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted December 1, 2008. Published January 27, 2009.

of noncompactness and the ball measure of noncompactness are measures of strong noncompactness and we can construct many measures such γ as in [9], in this paper Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of results for example Szufila [26] and Ibrahim-Gomaa [20]. In Theorem 3.2, the assumption on noncompactness is weaker than that of Benabdellah-Castaing and Ibrahim [5].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let E be a Banach space, E^* its topological dual space, E_w the Banach space E endowed with the weak topology, $B(0, 1)$ unit ball of E , $I = [0, T]$, ($T > 0$), and λ be the Lebesgue measure on I . Consider B is the family of all bounded subsets of E and $C(I, E)$ is the space of all weakly continuous functions from I to E endowed with the topology of weak uniform convergence.

Definition 2.1. By a measure of strong noncompactness, γ , we will understand a function $\gamma : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that, for all $U, V \in B$,

- (M1) $U \subset V \implies \gamma(U) \leq \gamma(V)$,
- (M2) $\gamma(U \cup V) \leq \max(\gamma(U), \gamma(V))$,
- (M3) $\gamma(\overline{\text{conv}U}) = \gamma(U)$,
- (M4) $\gamma(U + V) \leq \gamma(U) + \gamma(V)$,
- (M5) $\gamma(cU) = |c|\gamma(U)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (M6) $\gamma(U) = 0 \iff U$ is relatively compact in E ,
- (M7) $\gamma(U \cup \{x\}) = \gamma(U)$, $x \in E$.

Definition 2.2. For any nonempty bounded subset U of E the weak measure of noncompactness, β , and the Kuratowski's measure of noncompactness, α , is defined as:

$$\alpha(U) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : U \text{ admits a finite number of sets with diameter less than } \varepsilon.\}$$

For the properties of β and α we refer the reader to [4, 13].

Definition 2.3. By a Kamke function we mean a function $w : I \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that:

- (i) w satisfies the Caratheodry conditions,
- (ii) for all $t \in I$; $w(t, 0) = 0$,
- (iii) for any $c \in (0, b]$, $u \equiv 0$ is the only absolutely continuous function on $[0, c]$ which satisfies $\dot{u}(t) \leq w(t, u(t))$ a.e. on $[0, c]$ and such that $u(0) = 0$.

Lemma 2.4 ([22, 4]). *If $\gamma : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfies conditions (M2), (M4), (M6), then, for any nonempty $U \in B$,*

$$\gamma(U) \leq \gamma(B(0, 1))\alpha(U)$$

Lemma 2.5 ([24, 23]). *If γ is a measure of weak (strong) noncompactness and $A \subset C(I, E)$ be a family of strongly equicontinuous functions, then $\gamma(A(I)) = \sup\{\gamma(A(t)) : t \in I\}$.*

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Gamma : I \rightarrow P_f(E)$ be a set-valued function such that its graph, G , is left closed and $F : I \times E \rightarrow P_{ck}(E)$ be a scalarly measurable set-valued function such that for any $t \in I$, $F(t, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous on E . Suppose that F satisfies the following conditions:*

(A1) For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a closed subset I_ε of I with $\lambda(I - I_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ such that for any nonempty bounded subset Z of E , one has

$$\gamma(F(J \times Z)) \leq \sup_{t \in J} w(t, \gamma(Z))$$

for any compact subset J of I_ε ;

(A2) there is $\mu \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^+)$, such that $\|F(t, x)\| < \mu(t)(1 + \|x\|)$, for all $(t, x) \in G$;

(A3) for each $(t, x) \in ([0, T] \times E) \cap G$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) \in G$ such that $0 < t_\varepsilon - t < \varepsilon$ and that

$$x_\varepsilon - x \in \int_t^{t_\varepsilon} F(s, x) ds + (t_\varepsilon - t)\varepsilon B(0, 1).$$

Then, for any $x_0 \in \Gamma(0)$, there is a solution for (1.1).

Proof. Let $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing sequence in $]0, 1]$ with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. By [5, Proposition 6.1], there exist $m > 1$, a sequence $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of right continuous functions $\theta_n : I \rightarrow I$ such that $\theta_n(0) = 0$, $\theta_n(T) = T$ and $\theta_n(t) \in [t - \varepsilon_n, t]$, and a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from I to E with

- (i) for all $t \in I$, $x_n(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t \dot{x}_n(s) ds$, where $\dot{x}_n \in L^1(I, E)$;
- (ii) for all $t \in I$, $x_n(\theta_n(t)) \in \Gamma(\theta_n(t))$;
- (iii) $\dot{x}_n(t) \in F(t, x_n(\theta_n(t))) + \varepsilon_n B(0, 1)$ a.e on I ;
- (iv) $\|\dot{x}_n(t)\| \leq m\mu(t) + 1$, a.e on I .

From (iv) the sequence (x_n) is equicontinuous in $C(I, E)$. For each $t \in I$, set

$$A(t) = \{x_n(t) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(t) = \gamma(A(t)).$$

We claim that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is relatively compact in the space $C(I, E)$. So we will show that $\rho \equiv 0$. Since for each $(t, \tau) \in I \times I$, we have

$$\gamma\{(x_n)(\tau) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq \gamma\{(x_n)(t) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} + \gamma\{(x_n)(\tau) - (x_n)(t) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and

$$\gamma\{(x_n)(t) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq \gamma\{(x_n)(\tau) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} + \gamma\{(x_n)(t) - (x_n)(\tau) : n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

then, from Lemma 2.4,

$$|\rho(\tau) - \rho(t)| \leq \gamma(B(0, 1))\alpha(\{x_n(t) - x_n(\tau) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}),$$

which implies

$$|\rho(\tau) - \rho(t)| \leq 2\gamma(B(0, 1)) \left| \int_t^\tau (m\mu(s) + 1) ds \right|.$$

It follows that ρ is an absolutely continuous and hence differentiable a.e. on I . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we can find $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T\varepsilon_n < \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma(B(0, 1))}$, for all $n \geq n_0$. Now let $(t, \tau) \in I \times I$ with $t \leq \tau$. In view of Condition (iii) and

properties of γ ((M4), (M7)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\tau) - \rho(t) &\leq \gamma\left(\int_t^\tau \dot{x}_n(s) ds : n \in \mathbb{N}\right) \\ &\leq \gamma\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_t^\tau F(s, \theta_n(s)) ds\right) + \gamma(\{\varepsilon_n B(0, 1)(\tau - t) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \\ &= \gamma\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_t^\tau F(s, \theta_n(s)) ds\right) + \gamma(\{\varepsilon_n B(0, 1)(\tau - t) : n \geq n_0\}) \\ &\leq \gamma\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_t^\tau F(s, \theta_n(s)) ds\right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma(B(0, 1))} \gamma(B(0, 1)) \\ &\leq \gamma\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_t^\tau F(s, \theta_n(s)) ds\right) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\rho(\tau) - \rho(t) \leq \gamma\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_t^\tau F(s, \theta_n(s)) ds\right). \quad (3.1)$$

Since ρ is continuous and w is Caratheodory we can find a closed subset I_ε of I , $\delta > 0$, $\eta > 0$ ($\eta < \delta$) and for $s_1, s_2 \in I_\varepsilon$; $r_1, r_2 \in [0, 2T]$ such that if $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta$, $|r_1 - r_2| < \delta$, then $|w(s_1, r_1) - w(s_2, r_2)| < \varepsilon$ and if $|s_1 - s_2| < \eta$, then $|\rho(s_1) - \rho(s_2)| < \frac{\delta}{2}$. Consider the following partition, of $[t, \tau]$, $t = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = \tau$ such that $t_i - t_{i-1} < \eta$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. From Condition (A1) we can find a closed subset J_ε of I such that $\lambda(I - J_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and that for any compact subset K of J_ε and any bounded subset Z of E , $\gamma(f(K \times Z)) \leq \sup_{s \in K} w(s, \gamma(Z))$. Let $T_i = J_\varepsilon \cap [t_{i-1}, t_i] \cap I_\varepsilon$, $P = \bigcup_{i=1}^m T_i = [t, \tau] \cap J_\varepsilon \cap I_\varepsilon$, $Q = [t, \tau] - P$ and $A_i = \{x_n(\theta_n(t)) : n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in T_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. In view of the mean value theorem, properties of γ ((M3), (M5)) and Condition (A1), this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_P F(s, x_n(\theta_n(s))) ds\right) &\leq \gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{T_i} F(s, x_n(\theta_n(s))) ds\right) \\ &\leq \gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda(T_i) (\overline{\text{conv}} F(T_i \times A_i))\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda(T_i) \gamma(\overline{\text{conv}} F(T_i \times A_i)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda(T_i) \sup_{s_i \in T_i} w(s_i, \gamma(A_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(A_i) &= \gamma(\{x_n(\theta_n(s)) : n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in T_i\}) \\ &\leq \gamma(\{x_n(s) : n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in T_i\}) + \gamma(\{x_n(\theta_n(s)) - x_n(s) : n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in T_i\}) \\ &\leq \gamma(\{x_n(s) : n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in T_i\}) + \gamma\left(\left\{\int_s^{\theta_n(s)} \dot{x}_n(r) dr : n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in T_i\right\}\right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.4 we know that

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma\left(\left\{\int_s^{\theta_n(s)} \dot{x}_n(r)dr : n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in T_i\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \gamma(B(0, 1))\alpha\left(\left\{\int_s^{\theta_n(s)} \dot{x}_n(r)dr : n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in T_i\right\}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Also $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\theta_n(s) - s| = 0$. So, $\gamma(A_i) = \gamma(\{x_n(s) : n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in T_i\}) + \frac{\delta}{2}$. Applying Lemma 2.5, we get $\gamma(A_i) = \sup_{\xi_i \in T_i} \rho(\xi_i) + \frac{\delta}{2}$. Since w and ρ are continuous on the closed subsets of T_i , then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\left(\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_P F(s, x_n(\theta_n(s)))ds\right) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda(T_i) \sup_{s_i \in T_i} w\left(s_i, \sup_{\xi_i \in T_i} \rho(\xi_i) + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda(T_i) w\left(q_i, \rho(\xi_i) + \frac{\delta}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where q_i and ξ_i are elements of T_i . Moreover, for all $s \in T_i$, we have

$$\left|w(s, \rho(s) + \frac{\delta}{2}) - w(q_i, \rho(\xi_i) + \frac{\delta}{2})\right| \leq |\rho(s) - \rho(\xi_i)| + \frac{\delta}{2} < \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta.$$

This implies $|w(s, \rho(s) + \frac{\delta}{2}) - w(q_i, \rho(\xi_i) + \frac{\delta}{2})| < \varepsilon$ for all $s \in T_i$. Consequently, $\lambda(T_i)w(q_i, \rho(\xi_i) + \frac{\delta}{2}) \leq \int_{T_i} w(s, \rho(s) + \frac{\delta}{2}) ds + \varepsilon\lambda(T_i)$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\left(\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_P F(s, x_n(\theta_n(s)))ds\right) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{T_i} w(s, \rho(s) + \frac{\delta}{2}) ds + \varepsilon\lambda(T_i)\right) \\ &= \int_P w(s, \rho(s) + \frac{\delta}{2}) ds + \varepsilon\lambda(P) \\ &\leq \int_t^\tau w(s, \rho(s) + \frac{\delta}{2}) ds + \varepsilon(\tau - t). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\gamma(\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_Q F(s, x_n(\theta_n(s)))ds) \leq 2m\gamma(B(0, 1)) \int_Q \mu(s)(1 + \|x_n(\theta_n(s))\|)ds.$$

As $\lambda(Q) < 2\varepsilon$ and since ε is arbitrary, then

$$\gamma(\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_t^\tau F(s, x_n(\theta_n(s)))ds) \leq \int_t^\tau w(s, \rho(s) + \frac{\delta}{2}) ds, \tag{3.2}$$

Thus, from two relations (3.1), (3.2),

$$\dot{\rho}(t) \leq w(s, \rho(s)) \quad \text{a.e. on } I.$$

$\rho(0) = 0$ and w is a Kamke function, then ρ is identically equal to zero. It follows that (x_n) is relatively compact in $C(I, E)$. Since, for all $t \in I$,

$$\gamma(\{x_n(\theta_n(t)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \leq \gamma(\{x_n(t) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) + \gamma\left(\int_{\theta_n(t)}^t (m\mu(s) + 1)ds\right)B(0, 1)$$

and since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\theta_n(t) - t| = 0$, the set $\tilde{A}(t) := \{x_n(\theta_n(t)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is relatively compact in E . By our assumption $F(t, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous, it follows that $F(t, \tilde{A}(t))$ is compact for all $t \in I$. Furthermore, we have

$$\dot{x}_n(t) \in F(t, \overline{\tilde{A}(t)}) + \varepsilon_n B(0, 1), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall t \in I.$$

Since \dot{x}_n is uniformly integrable, by [5, Theorem 5.4], the sequence \dot{x}_n is relatively $\sigma(L^1(I, E), L^\infty(I, E))$ compact. Therefore there are $x_{nk} \in C(I, E), g \in L^1(I, E)$ and a subsequence (x_{nk}) of (x_n) such that (x_{nk}) converges to x in $C(I, E)$ and (\dot{x}_{nk}) converges to g in $L^1(I, E)$ for $\sigma(L^1(I, E), L^\infty(I, E))$, with

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t g(s) ds, \forall t \in I.$$

Thus $g = \dot{x}$. Clearly for all $t \in I, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{nk}(\theta_n(t)) = x(t)$, and $x(t) \in \Gamma(t)$, for all $t \in I$. Finally, in virtue [5, Theorem 5.6, Remark 6.3] and the property (iii) we obtain

$$\dot{x}(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{a.e. on } I.$$

□

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\Gamma : I \rightarrow P_f(E)$ be a set-valued function with closed graph, G , and $F : G \rightarrow P_{ck}(E)$ be a set-valued function such that for any $t \in I, F(t, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous on E . Assume that F satisfies the following conditions:*

(A1') *For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a closed subset I_ε of I with $\lambda(I - I_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ such that for any nonempty bounded subset Z of E , one has*

$$\gamma(F(G \cap (I \times Z))) \leq \sup_{t \in I} w(t, \gamma(Z)),$$

for any compact subset J of I_ε ;

(A2') *there is a positive number c such that*

$$\|F(t, x)\| < c(1 + \|x\|), \forall (t, x) \in G;$$

(A3') *for each $(t, x) \in ([0, T] \times E) \cap G$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) \in G$ such that $0 < t_\varepsilon - t < \varepsilon$ and*

$$\frac{x_\varepsilon - x}{t_\varepsilon - t} \in F(t, x) + \varepsilon \overline{B(0, 1)}.$$

Then, for each $x_0 \in \Gamma(0)$, there is a solution of (1.1).

Proof. Let $A_\varepsilon([0, \tau])$ ($\varepsilon > 0, \tau \in I$) be the set of all points (x, θ) where $\theta : [0, \tau] \rightarrow [0, \tau]$ is an increasing right continuous function with $\theta(0) = 0, \theta(\tau) = \tau$ and for all $t \in]0, \tau[, \theta(t) \in [t - \varepsilon, t]$ and $x : [0, \tau] \rightarrow E$ is such that:

- (i) for all $t \in [0, \tau], x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t \dot{x}(s) ds$, where $\dot{x} \in L^1(I, E)$;
- (ii) for all $t \in [0, \tau], x(\theta(t)) \in \Gamma(\theta(t))$;
- (iii) for all $t \in [0, \tau], \dot{x}(t) \in F(t, x(\theta(t))) + \varepsilon \overline{B(0, 1)}$, a.e.

Let $\varepsilon \in]0, 1]$ and $(\theta, x) \in A_\varepsilon([0, \tau])$. Then by (A2') and the fact that, for all $t \in [0, \tau], \theta(t) \in [t - \varepsilon, t]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(\theta(t))\| &\leq \|x_0\| + \int_0^{\theta(t)} \|\dot{x}(s)\| ds \leq \|x_0\| + \int_0^t \|\dot{x}(s)\| ds \\ &\leq \|x_0\| + \varepsilon T + \int_0^t c(1 + \|x(\theta(s))\|) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's lemma, we obtain $\|x(\theta(t))\| \leq (\|x_0\| + T)e^{cT}$ which gives us

$$\|x(\theta(t))\| + 1 \leq (1 + \|x_0\| + T)e^{cT}.$$

Consequently we get for all $t \in [0, \tau]$,

$$F(t, x(\theta(t))) \subseteq pc\overline{B(0, 1)} \tag{3.3}$$

where $p = (1 + \|x_0\| + T)e^{cT}$. Let $A_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{\tau \in I} A_\varepsilon([0, \tau])$. Obviously $A_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$. Partially order A_ε such that for any $(\theta_i, x_i) \in A_\varepsilon([0, \tau_i]) \subseteq A_\varepsilon$ ($i = 1, 2$) $(\theta_1, x_1) \leq (\theta_2, x_2) \iff \tau_1 \leq \tau_2, \theta_1 = \theta_2|_{[0, \tau_1]}$ and $x_1 = x_2|_{[0, \tau_2]}$. Let C be a subset of A_ε such that each two elements of it are comparable that is there exists a subset $\mathbb{N}' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $C = \{(\theta_j, x_j) : j \in \mathbb{N}'\} \subseteq A_\varepsilon$ and each $(\theta_n, x_n), (\theta_m, x_m) \in C$ we have $(\theta_n, x_n) \leq (\theta_m, x_m)$ or $(\theta_m, x_m) \leq (\theta_n, x_n)$. Now we prove that C has an upper bound. Let $\tau = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}'} \tau_j$. Also let $\theta : [0, \tau] \rightarrow [0, \tau]$ is such that, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}', \theta|_{[0, \tau_j]} = \theta_j$ and $x : [0, \tau[\rightarrow E$ with $x|_{[0, \tau_j]} = x_j$, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}'$. Let $\{\tau_{k_n}\}$ be increasing sequence in \mathbb{N}' such that $\tau = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_{k_n}$ and for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, m < n$ we have $\dot{x}_{k_n} = \dot{x}_{k_m}$ a.e. on $[0, \tau_{k_n}]$. Now we can define $\dot{x} : [0, \tau[\rightarrow E$ by, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, \dot{x}(t) = \dot{x}_{k_n}(t)$ a.e. on $[0, \tau_{k_n}]$. From (3.3) \dot{x} is measurable and $\|\dot{x}(t)\| \leq pc + \varepsilon \leq pc + 1$. We claim that x, \dot{x} can be extend to $[0, \tau]$. Now for all $t \in [0, \tau[, x(t) = x_0 + \int_{[0, t]} \dot{x}(s) ds$, for all $t \in [0, \tau[, \dot{x}(\theta(t)) \in \Gamma(\theta(t))$ and $\dot{x}(t) \in F(t, \dot{x}(\theta(t))) + \varepsilon \overline{B(0, 1)}$ a.e. on $[0, \tau[$. If $x'(t) = x_0 + \int_{[0, t]} \dot{x}(s) ds$ for all $t \in [0, \tau]$ then, for $(t, t') \in [0, \tau[\times [0, \tau[$, we have $\|x'(t) - x'(t')\| \leq \int_{[t, t']} (ps + 1) ds$. Then $x^* := \lim_{t \rightarrow \tau-0} (x_0 + \int_{[0, t]} \dot{x}(s) ds) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_0 + \int_{[0, \tau_{k_n}]}$ exists. Since $x'(\tau_{k_n}) \in \Gamma(\tau_{k_n})$ and G is left closed, then $(\tau, x^*) \in G$ and hence the result. Let $x^* = x(\tau)$ and $\dot{x}(\tau) = 0$. Then $x(\tau) = x_0 + \int_{[0, \tau]} \dot{x}(s) ds, x^* = x(\tau) \in \Gamma(\tau)$ and $\dot{x}(t) \in F(t, x(\theta(t))) + \varepsilon \overline{B(0, 1)}$ a.e. on $[0, \tau]$. Consequently we can extend (θ, x) to $[0, \tau]$ such that (θ, x) belongs to $A_\varepsilon([0, \tau])$ and it is an upper bound for C . By Zorn's lemma (A_ε, \leq) has a maximal element $(\theta_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) \in A_\varepsilon([0, \tau_\varepsilon])$. We shall prove that $\tau_\varepsilon = T$. Let $\tau_\varepsilon < T$. If $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\delta_\varepsilon < \inf(\varepsilon, T - \tau_\varepsilon)$. Then by (A3') there exists $(\hat{t}, \hat{x}) \in G$ such that $0 < \hat{t} - \tau_\varepsilon \leq \delta_\varepsilon$ and

$$\frac{\hat{x} - x_\varepsilon}{\hat{t} - \tau_\varepsilon} \in F(\tau_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon(\tau_\varepsilon)) + \varepsilon \overline{B(0, 1)}.$$

Let $\hat{y} \in F(\tau_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon(\tau_\varepsilon)) + \varepsilon \overline{B(0, 1)}$ such that $\hat{x} - x_\varepsilon(\tau_\varepsilon) = (\hat{t} - \tau_\varepsilon)\hat{y}$. If $\hat{\theta} : [0, \hat{t}] \rightarrow [0, \hat{t}]$ and $\tilde{x} : [0, \hat{t}] \rightarrow E$ are defined as:

$$\hat{\theta}(t) = \begin{cases} \theta_\varepsilon & \text{if } t \in [0, \tau_\varepsilon] \\ \tau_\varepsilon & \text{if } t \in]\tau_\varepsilon, \hat{t}] \\ \hat{t} & \text{if } t = \hat{t}, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{x}(t) = \begin{cases} x_\varepsilon & \text{if } t \in [0, \tau_\varepsilon] \\ \hat{x} & \text{if } t \in [\tau_\varepsilon, \hat{t}] \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that [5, p. 10.25] $(\hat{\theta}, \tilde{x}) \in A_\varepsilon([0, \hat{t}])$ and $(\theta_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) < (\hat{\theta}, \tilde{x})$. This contradicts the fact that $(\theta_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon)$ is maximal. Now there exist $p > 1$, (from (3.3)) a sequence $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of right continuous functions $(\theta_n) : I \rightarrow I$ such that $\theta_n(0) = 0, \theta_n(T) = T$ and $\theta_n(t) \in [t - \varepsilon_n, t]$, if we have decreasing sequence (ε_n) such that $0 < \varepsilon_n \leq 1, \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $T\varepsilon_n < \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma(\overline{B(0, 1)})}$, for all $n \geq n_0$ we can define a sequence (x_n) of approximated solutions as the follows:

$\forall t \in I, x_n(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t \dot{x}_n(s) ds$, where $\dot{x}_n \in L^1(I, E)$. $(\theta_n(t), x_n(\theta_n(t))) \in G$. $\dot{x}_n(t) \in F(t, x_n(\theta_n(t))) + \varepsilon_n \overline{B(0, 1)}$, a.e on I . $\|\dot{x}_n(t)\| \leq pc + 1$, a.e on I .

By the same arguments used in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we can prove that the sequence (x_n) converges to an absolutely continuous function x which is a solution for problem (1.1). □

4. CONCLUSION

Let us remark that, if we replace γ in (A1') by α , the condition

(A4) For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a closed subset I_ε of I with $\lambda(I - I_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ such that for almost all $t \in I_\varepsilon$ and for any nonempty bounded subset Z of E , one has

$$\inf_{\delta > 0} \alpha(F(G \cap (([t - \delta, t] \cap I) \times Z))) \leq w(t, \alpha(Z))$$

implies Condition (A1') and the converse is not true. Indeed Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since w is Caratheodory function, we can find a closed subset I_ε of I with $\lambda(I - I_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ such that w is continuous on I_ε and Condition (A4) holds on I_ε . Let Z be a nonempty bounded subset of E . It follows from (A4) that, for any $\tau > 0$ and any $t \in I_\varepsilon$, there exists a $\delta_{\tau, t}$ such that $\alpha(F(G \cap (([t - \delta_{\tau, t}, t] \cap I) \times Z))) \leq w(t, \alpha(Z)) + \tau$. Let τ be arbitrary but fixed, J be a compact subset of I_ε . The collection $\{(t - \frac{\delta_t}{2}, t + \frac{\delta_t}{2}) : t \in J\}$ is an open cover for J . By compactness of J , there exist t'_1, t'_2, \dots, t'_n such that $J \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n (t'_i - \frac{\delta_{t'_i}}{2}, t'_i + \frac{\delta_{t'_i}}{2}) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n [t'_i - \frac{\delta_{t'_i}}{2}, t'_i + \frac{\delta_{t'_i}}{2}]$. Now if $J_i = J \cap [t'_i - \frac{\delta_{t'_i}}{2}, t'_i + \frac{\delta_{t'_i}}{2}]$ and $t_i = \max J_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, then there exist $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in J$ such that $J_i \subseteq [t_i - \delta_{t_i}, t_i]$ and $J \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n [t_i - \delta_{t_i}, t_i]$. This implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(F(G \cap (J \times Z))) &\leq \alpha(\cup_{i=1}^n F(G \cap (([t_i - \delta_{t_i}, t_i] \cap I) \times Z))) \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha(F(G \cap (([t_i - \delta_{t_i}, t_i] \cap I) \times Z))) \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} w(t_i, \alpha(Z)) + \tau \leq \max_{t \in J} w(t, \alpha(Z)) + \tau \end{aligned}$$

Since τ is arbitrary, Condition (A1') holds. To show that the converse is not true we give an example. Let $f : [0, 1] \times B(0, 1) \rightarrow E$ be the single valued function defined by $f(t, x) = k(t)x$, where $k : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$k(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \text{ is irrational} \\ 1/t^2 & \text{if } t \text{ is rational} \end{cases}$$

Let also $w(t, s) = k(t)s$, for all $(t, s) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Clearly, w is a Kamke function. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose a closed subset I_ε of I such that $\lambda(I - I_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and k is continuous on I_ε . Then for any compact subset J of I_ε and any bounded subset Z of E ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f(G \cap (J \times Z))) &\leq \alpha(f(J \times Z)) = \alpha(\cup_{t \in J, x \in Z} f\{(t, x)\}) \\ &= \alpha(\cup_{t \in J} k(t)Z) = \sup_{t \in J} k(t)\alpha(Z) \\ &= \sup_{t \in J} w(t, \alpha(Z)). \end{aligned}$$

Then Condition (A1') holds as the measure γ replaced by the measure α . But for each $t \in (0, 1)$ and each nonempty subset Z of E we have $\alpha(f([t - \delta, t] \times Z)) = \alpha(\cup_{s \in [t - \delta, t]} k(s)Z) = \alpha(Z) \cdot (\sup_{s \in [t - \delta, t]} k(s)) = \frac{\alpha(Z)}{(t - \delta)^2}$. Thus, $\inf_{\delta > 0} \alpha(F([t - \delta, t] \cap I) \times Z) = \frac{\alpha(Z)}{t^2}$. So if t is irrational then $\inf_{\delta > 0} \alpha(F([t - \delta, t] \cap I) \times Z) = \frac{\alpha(Z)}{t^2} > \alpha(Z) = k(t)\alpha(Z) = w(t, \alpha(Z))$. Then (A4) does not hold and consequently Theorem 3.2 is a generalization of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 (Benabdellah-Castaing and Ibrahim [5]). *Let F and Γ be as in Theorem 3.2 except F satisfies Condition (A4) instead of (A1'). Then, for any $x_0 \in \Gamma(0)$, there is a solution for (1.1).*

REFERENCES

- [1] Anguraj, A.; Balachandran K.; *On the solution sets of differential inclusion in Banach spaces*. Tam., 23(1):59-65, 1992.
- [2] Aubin, J. P.; Cellina, A.; *Differential inclusions set-valued maps and viability theory*. Springer-Verlage, Berlin, 1984.
- [3] Avgerinos, E. P.; Papageorgiou, N. S.; *On the solution set of maximal monotone differential inclusions in \mathbb{R}^m* . Math. Japonica, 38(1):91-110,1993.
- [4] Banasch, J.; Goebel, K.; *Measure of noncompactness in Banach spaces, Lecture notes in pure and applied mathematics*. Marcel Dekker Inc v 60, New York; 1980.
- [5] Benabdellah, H.; Castaing, C.; Ibrahim, A.-G.; *Bv solution of multivalued differential equations on closed moving sets in Banach spaces*. Geometry in nonlinear control and differential inclusions, Banach Center Publications, Polish Academy of Science, Warszwaw, 32:53-81, 1995.
- [6] Castaing, C.; Valadier, M.; *Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions*, Lecture notes in mathematics v 580. Springer Verlage; 1977.
- [7] Cellina, A.; Ornelas, A.; *Convexity and the closure of the solution set to differential inclusions*. Bollettino U.M.I., (7)4-B:255-263, 1993.
- [8] Cichon, M.; *Multivalued differential equations with noncontinuous righthand side*. Fasciculi Mathematici, 23:43-50, 1991.
- [9] Cichon, M.; *On measure of weak noncompactness*. Publications, Math. Debrecen, 45:93-102, 1994.
- [10] Gomma, A. M.; *Existence and topological properties of solution sets for differential inclusions with delay*, Annales Societatis Mathematicae Polonae, Comm. Math., 48(1): 45-57, 2008.
- [11] Gomma, A. M.; *Existence of weak and strong solutions of nonlinear differential equations with delay in Banach space*, to appear in Journal of Physics: Conference Series Second International Symposium on Nonlinear Dynamics, 27-30 Oct, 2007, Shanghai, China.
- [12] Gomma, A. M.; *On theorems for weak solutions of nonlinear equations with and without delay in Banach spaces*, Annales Societatis Mathematicae Polonae, Comm. Math., XLVII(2): 179-191, 2007.
- [13] Deimling, K.; *Ordinary Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*. LNM 596, Berlin-Heidelberg, New-York; 1992.
- [14] Deimling, K.; *Multivalued differential equations*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York; 1992.
- [15] Gomma, A. M.; *On the solution sets of three-point boundary value problems for nonconvex differential inclusions*. J. Egypt. Math. Soc. 12(2):97-107, 2004.
- [16] Gomma, A. M.; *On the topological properties of the solution set of differential inclusions and abstract control problems*. J. Frac. Calcul., 24:87-99, 2003.
- [17] Gomma, A. M.; *Weak and strong solutions for differential equations in Banach spaces*. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 18(4):687-692, 2003.
- [18] Ibrahim, A. G., Gomma, A. M.; *Existence theorems for a functional multivalued three-point boundary value problem of second order*. J. Egypt. Math. Soc., 8(2):155-168, 2000.
- [19] Ibrahim, A. G., Gomma, A. M.; *Extremal solutions of classes of multivalued differential equations*. Appl. Math. Comput.,136 no. 2-3:297-314, 2003.
- [20] Ibrahim, A.G.; Gomma, A. M.; *Strong and weak solutions for differential inclusions with moving constraints in Banach spaces*. PU. M. A., 8(1):53-65, 1997.
- [21] Ibrahim, A.G.; Gomma, A. M.; *Topological properties of the solution sets of some differential inclusions*. PU. M. A., 10(2):197-223, 1999.
- [22] Janicka, R.; *Zastosowanie miar niezwartosciw teorii rownan rozniczkowych i calkowych*. Ph. D thesis, Lublin, 1978.
- [23] Kubiacyk, I.; *On the existence of solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces*. Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math., 33: 607-614, 1985.
- [24] Mitchell, A. R.; Smith, C. H.; *An existence theorem for weak solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces*. Nonlinear Equations in Abstract Spaces, 387-404, 1978.
- [25] Papageorgiou, N.S.; *Weak solutions of differential equation in Banach spaces*. Bull Austral Math Soc. 33:407-418, 1986.
- [26] Szufia, S.; *On existence of solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces*. Bull Acad Polon Sci Math 1982;30:507-514.

ADEL MAHMOUD GOMAA
MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, HELWAN UNIVERSITY, CAIRO, EGYPT
E-mail address: gomaa5@hotmail.com