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# EXISTENCE OF WEAK SOLUTIONS FOR NONLINEAR SYSTEMS INVOLVING SEVERAL P-LAPLACIAN OPERATORS 
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#### Abstract

In this article, we study nonlinear systems involving several pLaplacian operators with variable coefficients. We consider the system $$
-\Delta_{p_{i}} u_{i}=a_{i i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}-\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} a_{i j}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} u_{j}+f_{i}(x)
$$ where $\Delta_{p}$ denotes the $p$-Laplacian defined by $\Delta_{p} u \equiv \operatorname{div}\left[|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right]$ with $p>1, p \neq 2 ; \alpha_{i} \geq 0 ; f_{i}$ are given functions; and the coefficients $a_{i j}(x)$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$ are bounded smooth positive functions. We prove the existence of weak solutions defined on bounded and unbounded domains using the theory of nonlinear monotone operators.


## 1. Introduction

The generalized formulation of many boundary-value problems for partial differential equations leads to operator equations of the form

$$
A(u)=f
$$

on a Banach space $V$. For this operator equation, we have the so-called weak formulation:

Find $u \in V$ such that $(A(u), v)=(f, v)$ for all $v \in V$.
Then functional analysis has tools for proving existence of generalized (weak) solutions for a relatively wide class of differential equations that appear in mathematical physics and industry.

The existence of weak solutions for $2 \times 2$ nonlinear systems involving several $p$ Laplacian operators have been proved, using the method of sub and super solutions in [5], and using the theory of nonlinear monotone operators in [6].

Here, we use the theory of nonlinear monotone operators to prove the existence of weak solutions for the following nonlinear systems involving several $p$-Laplacian operators with variable coefficients defined on a bounded domain $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with

[^0]boundary $\partial \Omega$,
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta_{p_{i}} u_{i} \equiv-\operatorname{div}\left[\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i}\right] \\
=a_{i i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}-\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} a_{i j}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} u_{j}+f_{i}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u_{i}=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

Then, we generalize our results to systems defined on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
This article is organized as follow: In section 2 we introduce some technical results and definitions concerning the theory of nonlinear monotone operators. We study the existence of weak solutions for $n \times n$ nonlinear systems defined on a bounded domain in section 3, and on unbounded domains in section 4 .

## 2. Preliminary Results

First, we introduce some results concerning the theory of nonlinear monotone operators 4.

Let $A: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ be an operator on a Banach space $V$. We say that the operator $A$ is:
Bounded if it maps bounded sets into bounded; i.e., for each $r>0$ there exists $M>0(M$ depending on $r)$ such that

$$
\|u\| \leq r \text { implies }\|A(u)\| \leq M, \quad \forall u \in V
$$

coercive if $\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty}\langle A(u), u\rangle /\|u\|=\infty$;
monotone if $\left\langle A\left(u_{1}\right)-A\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V$;
strictly monotone if $\left\langle A\left(u_{1}\right)-A\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle>0$ for all $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V, u_{1} \neq u_{2}$;
continuous if $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ implies $A\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow A(u)$, for all $u_{k}, u \in V$;
strongly continuous if $u_{k} \xrightarrow{w} u$ implies $A\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow A(u)$, for all $u_{k}, u \in V$;
continuous on finite-dimensional subspaces if $A: V_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}^{\prime}$ is continuous for each subspace $V_{n}$ of finite dimension.
demicontinuous if $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ implies $A\left(u_{k}\right) \xrightarrow{w} A(u)$, for all $u_{k}, u \in V$;
the operator $A$ is said to be satisfy the $M_{0}$-condition if $u_{k} \xrightarrow{w} u, A\left(u_{k}\right) \xrightarrow{w} f$, and $\left[\left\langle A\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}\right\rangle \rightarrow\langle f, u\rangle\right]$ imply $A(u)=f$.

Remark 2.1. (i) Strongly continuous operators are continuous, and they are continuous on finite dimensional subspaces.
(ii) Strongly continuous operators are bounded and satisfy the $M_{0}$-condition.
(iii) Strictly monotone operators are monotone operators.
(iv) Monotone and continuous operators satisfy the $M_{0}$-condition.

Theorem 2.2. Let $V$ be a separable reflexive Banach space and $A: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ an operator which is: coercive, bounded, continuous on finite-dimensional subspaces and satisfying the $M_{0}$-condition. Then the equation $A(u)=f$ admits a solution for each $f \in V^{\prime}$.

Next, we introduce the Sobolev space $W^{1, p}(\Omega), 1<p<\infty$, defined as the completion of $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (see [1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W^{1, p}}=\left[\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p}+|u|^{p}\right]^{1 / p}<\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we are studying a Dirichlet problem, we define the space $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}=\left[\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p}\right]^{1 / p}<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to the norm given by 2.1). Both spaces $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ are well defined reflexive Banach Spaces. The space $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is compactly imbedded in the space $L^{p}(\Omega)$; i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq c\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}, \quad \text { i.e., } \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p} \leq c^{\prime} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, where $a(x)$ is a smooth bounded positive function.
Now, we introduce some results [2] concerning the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p} u \equiv-\operatorname{div}\left[|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right]=\lambda a(x)|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

We will say that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of 2.5 if there exists $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, $u \neq 0$, such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi=\lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p-2} u \varphi
$$

hods for all $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Then $u$ is called an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda$.

Lemma 2.3. The eigenvalue problem 2.5 admits a positive principal eigenvalue $\lambda=\lambda_{a}(\Omega)>0$ which is associated with a positive eigenfunction $u \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$ normalized by $\|u\|_{p}=1$. Moreover, the first eigenvalue is characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{a}(\Omega)=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p}: \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p}=1\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from the characterization of the first eigenvalue given by 2.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{a}(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p} \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Nonlinear systems defined on bounded domains

Let us consider the nonlinear system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p_{i}} u_{i}=a_{i i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}-\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} a_{i j}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} u_{j}+f_{i}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.1}\\
u_{i}=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a_{i i}(x)$ is a smooth bounded positive function, $\Omega$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{i} \geq 0, \quad f_{i} \in L^{p_{i}^{*}}(\Omega)  \tag{3.2}\\
\frac{1}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{*}}=1, \quad \frac{\alpha_{i}+1}{p_{i}}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. For $\left(f_{i}\right) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} L^{p_{i}^{*}}(\Omega)$, there exists a weak solution $\left(u_{i}\right)$ in the space $\prod_{i=1}^{n} W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ for the system (3.1), if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{a_{i i}}(\Omega)>1, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We transform the weak formulation of (3.1) to the operator form $(A-B) U=$ $F$, where, $A, B$ and $F$ are operators defined on $\prod_{i=1}^{n} W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
(A U, \Phi) \equiv & \left(A\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right),\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i} \nabla \phi_{i}  \tag{3.5}\\
(B U, \Phi) \equiv & \equiv\left(B\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right),\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\int_{\Omega} a_{i i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i} \phi_{i}-\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \int_{\Omega} a_{i j}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} u_{j} \phi_{i}\right]  \tag{3.6}\\
& (F, \Phi) \equiv\left(\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right),\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} f_{i} \phi_{i} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, consider the operator $J$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(J(u), \phi)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This operator is bounded: Since

$$
|(J(u), \phi)| \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-1}|\nabla \phi|
$$

using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$
|(J(u), \phi)| \leq\left[\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p}\right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left[\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi|^{p}\right]^{1 / p}=\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}\|\phi\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}
$$

Also, we can prove that $J$ is continuous, let us assume that $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Then $\left\|u_{k}-u\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$, so that $\left\|\nabla u_{k}-\nabla u\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$. Applying Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain

$$
\left\|\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k}-|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0
$$

and hence

$$
\left\|J\left(u_{k}\right)-J(u)\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq\left\|\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k}-|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0
$$

Finally, $J$ is strictly monotone:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(J\left(u_{1}\right)-J\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right)= & \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{1} \nabla u_{1}+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{2}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{2} \nabla u_{2} \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{1} \nabla u_{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{2}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{2} \nabla u_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(J\left(u_{1}\right)-J\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{p}+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{2}\right|^{p}-\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{p}\right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{2}\right|^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \quad-\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{2}\right|^{p}\right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{p}\right]^{1 / p} \\
& =\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p}-\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}-\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(J\left(u_{1}\right)-J\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \\
& \geq\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}-\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}\right)\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}-\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, $A U$ can be written as the sum of $J_{1}\left(u_{1}\right), J_{2}\left(u_{2}\right), \ldots, J_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)$ where

$$
\left(J_{i}\left(u_{i}\right), \phi_{i}\right)=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i} \nabla \phi_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n,
$$

and as above, the operators $J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots$ and $J_{n}$ are bounded, continuous and strictly monotone; so their sum, the operator $A$, will be the same.

For the operator $B$,

$$
B: \prod_{i=1}^{n} W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n} L^{p_{i}}(\Omega)
$$

we can prove that it is a strongly continuous operator. To prove that, let us assume that $u_{i k} \xrightarrow{w} u_{i}$ in $W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega), i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then, using 2.3), $\left(u_{i k}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{i}\right)$ in $\prod_{i=1}^{n} L^{p_{i}}(\Omega)$. By the Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i i}(x)\left|u_{i k}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i k} & \rightarrow a_{i i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i} \quad \text { in } L^{p_{i}}(\Omega) \\
-a_{i j}(x)\left|u_{i k}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j k}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} u_{j k} & \rightarrow-a_{i j}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} u_{j} \quad \text { in } L^{p_{j}}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(B U_{k}-B U, W\right)= & \left(B\left(u_{1 k}, u_{2 k}, \ldots, u_{n k}\right)-B\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right),\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\int_{\Omega} a_{i i}(x)\left(\left|u_{i k}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i k}-\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}\right) w_{i}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \int_{\Omega} a_{i j}(x)\left(\left|u_{i k}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j k}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} u_{j k}-\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} u_{j}\right) w_{i}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|B U_{k}-B U\right\| \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left\|a_{i i}(x)\left(\left|u_{i k}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i k}-\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}\right)\right\|_{L^{p_{i}}(\Omega)}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \| a_{i j}(x)\left(\left|u_{i k}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j k}\right|^{\alpha_{j}+1}-\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}+1}\right)\right) \|_{L^{p_{i}}(\Omega)}\right] \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $B$ is a strongly continuous operators. According to Remark 2.1, the operator $A-B$ satisfies the $M_{0}$-condition. Now, to apply Theorem 2.2 it
remains to prove that $A-B$ is a coercive operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((A-B) U, U) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\int_{\Omega} a_{i i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}-\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \int_{\Omega} a_{i j}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}+1}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}+1}\right] \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} a_{i i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
((A-B) U, U) & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{a_{i i}}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_{a_{i i}}(\Omega)}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence,

$$
((A-B) U, U) \geq k \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}^{p_{i}}=k\left\|\left(u_{i}\right)\right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{n} W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)}
$$

So that

$$
((A-B) U, U) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as }\left\|\left(u_{i}\right)\right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{n} W_{0}^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \infty
$$

This proves the coercivity condition and so, the existence of a weak solution for systems 3.1.

## 4. Nonlinear systems defined on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$

We consider the nonlinear system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p_{i}} u_{i}=a_{i i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}-\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} a_{i j}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} u_{j}+f_{i}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{4.1}\\
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u_{i}(x)=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
\end{gather*}
$$

We assume that $1<p_{i}<N, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and the coefficients $a_{i i}(x)$ and $a_{i j}(x)$ are smooth bounded positive functions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<a_{i i}(x) \in L^{\frac{N}{p_{i}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad 0<a_{i j}(x) \in L^{\frac{N}{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}+2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To discuss this problem, we need the following results which are studied in [3] and that we recall briefly.

Let us introduce the Sobolev reflexive Banach space

$$
D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \nabla u \in\left(L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)^{n}\right\}
$$

which is defined as the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p}\right]^{1 / p}<\infty \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is embedded continuously in the space $L^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$; that is, $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{N p}{N-p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{\frac{N p}{N-p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}} \leq k\|u\|_{D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.1. The eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{P} u \equiv-\operatorname{div}\left[|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right]=\lambda a(x)|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\
u(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad u>0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

admits a positive principal eigenvalue $\lambda=\lambda_{a}(\Omega)$ which is associated with a positive eigenfunction $u \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Moreover, the principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{a}(\Omega)$ is characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{a}(\Omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|u|^{p} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p}, \quad \forall u \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<a(x) \in L^{\frac{N}{p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this section, we assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{i} \geq 0, \quad f_{i} \in L^{\frac{N p_{i}}{N\left(p_{i}-1\right)+p_{i}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}+2<N, 1<p_{i}<n \\
\frac{1}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{*}}=1, \quad \frac{\alpha_{i}+1}{p_{i}}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{4.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 4.2. For $\left(f_{i}\right) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} L^{\frac{N p_{i}}{N\left(p_{i}-1\right)+p_{i}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, there exists a weak solution $\left(u_{i}\right)$ in $\prod_{i=1}^{n} D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for system 4.1, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{a_{i i}}(\Omega)>1, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As in section 3, we transform the weak formulation of the system (4.1) to the operator form $(A-B) U=F$, where, $A, B$ and $F$ are operators defined on $\prod_{i=1}^{n} D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{gather*}
(A U, \Phi) \equiv\left(A\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right),\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\right) \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i} \nabla \phi_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(J_{i}\left(u_{i}\right), \phi_{i}\right)  \tag{4.10}\\
(B U, \Phi) \equiv\left(B\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right),\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\right) \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a_{i i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i} \phi_{i}-\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a_{i j}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} u_{j} \phi_{i}\right]  \tag{4.11}\\
(F, \Phi) \equiv\left(\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right),\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{i} \phi_{i} \tag{4.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

First, we prove that $A, B$ and $F$ are bounded operators on $\prod_{i=1}^{n} D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
For the operator $A$, by using 4.10 and applying Holder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(A U, \Phi)| & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-1}\left|\nabla \phi_{i}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}\right]^{\left(p_{i}-1\right) / p_{i}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \phi_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}\right]^{1 / p_{i}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{i}-1}\left\|\phi_{i}\right\|_{D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{i}-1}\right)\left(\left\|\left(\phi_{i}\right)\right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{n} D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the boundedness of the operator $A$.
For the operator $B$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |(B U, \Phi)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a_{i i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-1}\left|\phi_{i}\right|+\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a_{i j}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}+1}\left|\phi_{i}\right|\right] \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a_{i i}(x)^{\frac{N}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{N}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{i}\right|^{\frac{N p_{i}}{N-p_{i}}}\right)^{\frac{\left(p_{i}-1\right)\left(N-p_{i}\right)}{N p_{i}}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\phi_{i}\right|^{\frac{N p_{i}}{N-p_{i}}}\right)^{\frac{N-p_{i}}{N p_{i}}}\right. \\
& +\sum_{j \neq i}^{n}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(a_{i j}(x)\right)^{\frac{N}{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}+2}}\right]^{\frac{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}+2}{N}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{i}\right|^{\frac{N p_{i}}{N-p_{i}}}\right]^{\frac{\alpha_{i}\left(N-p_{i}\right)}{N p_{i}}} \\
& \left.\times\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\frac{N p_{j}}{N-p_{j}}}\right]^{\frac{\left(\alpha_{j}+1\right)\left(N-p_{j}\right)}{N p_{j}}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\phi_{i}\right|^{\frac{N p_{i}}{N-p_{i}}}\right]^{\frac{N-p_{i}}{N p_{i}}}\right] \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[k_{i}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{i}-1}\left\|\phi_{i}\right\|_{D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} l_{i}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{D^{1, p p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\alpha_{i}}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{D^{1, p_{j}}}^{\left.\alpha_{j}+\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|\phi_{i}\right\|_{D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\right] \\
& =\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[k_{i}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{D^{1, p p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{i}, 1}+\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} l_{i}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\alpha_{i}}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{D^{1, p j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\alpha_{j}+1}\right]\right] \\
& \times\left\|\left(\phi_{i}\right)\right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{n} D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the operator $F$, we have $(F, \Phi)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f_{i} \phi_{i}$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(F, \Phi)| & =\left|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f_{i} \phi_{i}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|f_{i}\right|^{\frac{n p_{i}}{n\left(p_{i}-1\right)+p_{i}}}\right]^{\frac{n\left(p_{i}-1\right)+p_{i}}{n p_{i}}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\phi_{i}\right|^{\frac{n p_{i}}{n-p_{i}}}\right]^{\frac{n-p_{i}}{n p_{i}}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{L^{\frac{n p_{i}}{n\left(p_{i}-1\right)+p_{i}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right)\left\|\left(\phi_{i}\right)\right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{N} D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, as in section 3, the operator $A$ defined by $(A U, \Phi)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(J_{i}\left(u_{i}\right), \Phi\right)$ is continuous. Also it is strictly monotone on $\prod_{i=1}^{n} D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(J_{i}\left(u_{1}\right)-J_{i}\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \\
& \geq\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{i}-1}-\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{D_{i}^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{i}-1}\right)\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{D^{1, p_{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}-\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{D^{1, p_{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

For the operator $B$, we can prove that it is a strongly continuous operator by using Dominated Convergence theorem and continuous imbedding property for the space $\prod_{i=1}^{n} D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ into $\prod_{i=1}^{n} L^{\frac{N p_{i}}{N-p_{i}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. To prove that, let us assume that $u_{i k} \xrightarrow{w} u_{i}$ in $D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then $\left(u_{i k}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{i}\right)$ in $\prod_{i=1}^{n} L^{\frac{N p_{i}}{N-p_{i}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Now, the sequence $\left(u_{i k}\right)$ is bounded in $D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$, then it is containing a subsequence again denoted by $\left(u_{i k}\right)$ converges strongly to $u_{i}$ in $L^{\frac{N p_{i}}{N-p_{i}}}\left(B_{r_{0}}\right)$, $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, for any bounded ball $B_{r_{0}}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:\|x\| \leq r_{0}\right\}$. Since
$u_{i k}, u_{i} \in L^{\frac{N p_{i}}{N-p_{i}}}\left(B_{r_{0}}\right)$, Then using the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a_{i i}(x)\left(\left|u_{i k}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i k}-\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}\right)\right\|_{\frac{N p_{i}}{N\left(p_{i}-1\right)+p_{i}}} \rightarrow 0 \\
\left\|a_{i j}(x)\left(\left|u_{i k}\right|^{\alpha_{i}-1}\left|u_{j k}\right|^{\alpha_{j}+1} u_{j k}-\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}-1}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}+1} u_{j}\right)\right\|_{\frac{N p_{i}}{N\left(p_{i}-1\right)+p_{i}}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(B U_{k}-B U\right), W\right)= & \left(B\left(u_{1 k}, u_{2 k}, \ldots, u_{n k}\right)-B\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right),\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a_{i i}(x)\left(\left|u_{i k}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i k}-\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}\right) w_{i}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a_{i j}(x)\left(\left|u_{i k}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j k}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} u_{j k}-\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} u_{j}\right) w_{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|B U_{k}-B U\right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{n} D^{1, p_{i}}\left(B_{r_{0}}\right)} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left\|a_{i i}(x)\left(\left|u_{i k}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i k}-\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}\right)\right\|_{\frac{N p_{i}}{N\left(p_{i}-1\right)+p_{i}}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{j \neq i}^{n}\left\|a_{i j}(x)\left(\left|u_{i k}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j k}\right|^{\alpha_{j}+1}-\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}+1}\right)\right\|_{\frac{N p_{i}}{N\left(p_{i}-1\right)+p_{i}}}\right] \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in [6], we can prove that, the norm

$$
\left\|B U_{k}-B U\right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{n} D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}
$$

tends strongly to zero and then the operator $B$ is strongly continuous. According to Remark 2.1, the operator $A-B$ satisfies the $M_{0}$-condition. Now, to apply Theorem 2.2, it remains to prove that the operator $A-B$ is a coercive operator,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((A-B) U, U) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a_{i i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}-\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a_{i j}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}+1}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}+1}\right] \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a_{i i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using 4.6, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
((A-B) U, U) & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{a_{i i}}(\Omega)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_{a_{i i}}(\Omega)}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From 4.9, we deduce

$$
((A-B) U, U) \geq k \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p_{i}}=k\left\|\left(u_{i}\right)\right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{n} D^{1, p_{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}
$$

So that $((A-B) U, U) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\left\|\left(u_{i}\right)\right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{n} D^{1, p_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow \infty$. This proves the coercivity condition and so, the existence of a weak solution for systems 4.1).
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