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# NONLINEAR BOUNDARY DISSIPATION FOR A COUPLED SYSTEM OF KLEIN-GORDON EQUATIONS 

ALDO T. LOURÊDO, M. MILLA MIRANDA

Abstract. This article concerns the existence of solutions and the decay of the
energy of the mixed problem for the coupled system of Klein-Gordon equations energy of the mixed problem for the coupled system of Klein-Gordon equations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+\alpha v^{2} u=0 & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty) \\
v^{\prime \prime}-\Delta v+\alpha u^{2} v=0 & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty),
\end{array}
$$

with the nonlinear boundary conditions,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+h_{1}\left(., u^{\prime}\right)=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty) \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}+h_{2}\left(., v^{\prime}\right)=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty)
\end{array}
$$

and boundary conditions $u=v=0$ on $\left(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{1}\right) \times(0, \infty)$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \leq 3), \alpha>0$ a real number, $\Gamma_{1}$ a subset of the boundary $\Gamma$ of $\Omega$ and $h_{i}$ a real function defined on $\Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty)$.

Assuming that each $h_{i}$ is strongly monotone in the second variable, the existence of global solutions of the mixed problem is obtained. For that it is used the Galerkin method, the Strauss' approximations of real functions and trace theorems for non-smooth functions. The exponential decay of the energy for a particular stabilizer is derived by application of a Lyapunov functional.

## 1. Introduction

A mathematical model that describes the interaction of two electromagnetic fields $u$ and $v$ with masses $a$ and $b$, respectively, and with interaction constant $\alpha>0$ is given by the following Klein-Gordon system

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{t t}(x, t)-\Delta u(x, t)+a^{2} u(x, t)+\alpha v^{2}(x, t) u(x, t)=0, & x \in \Omega, t>0 \\
v_{t t}(x, t)-\Delta v(x, t)+b^{2} v(x, t)+\alpha u^{2}(x, t) v(x, t)=0, & x \in \Omega, t>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{array}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. This model was proposed by Segal [18.
As the interest of this paper is to make the mathematical analysis of the model 1.1, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $a=b=0$.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set of the $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with boundary $\Gamma$. The existence and uniqueness of solutions of the mixed problem with null Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\Gamma$ for system (1.1) with coupled nonlinear terms $\alpha|v|^{\sigma+2}|u|^{\sigma} u$ and $\alpha|u|^{\sigma+2}|v|^{\sigma} v$ was studied by Medeiros and second author, in the cases $\alpha>0$ and

[^0]$\alpha<0$, in [14] and [15], respectively. Here $\sigma \geq 0$ is related with the dimension $n$ of the $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and the embedding of Sobolev spaces.

Let $\{u, v\}$ be a solution of system (1.1) with null Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\Gamma$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(t)= & \left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|v^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|\nabla u(t)\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n}}^{2} \\
& +\|\nabla v(t)\|_{\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{n}}^{2}+\alpha\|u(t) v(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

the energy associated to the problem. Then

$$
E(t)=E(0), \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

Thus, to obtain a decay of the energy, we need to introduce a dissipation in the problem, on the boundary $\Gamma$, for instance. In what follows we describe this problem.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $n \leq 3$ with boundary $\Gamma$ of class $C^{2}$. Assume that $\Gamma$ is constituted by two disjoint closed parts $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ both with positive Lebesgue measures (Thus $\Gamma$ is not connected). By $\nu(x)$ is represented the unit outward normal at $x \in \Gamma_{1}$. Consider two real valued functions $h_{1}(x, s)$ and $h_{2}(x, s)$ defined in $x \in \Gamma_{1}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. With these notations we have the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+\alpha v^{2} u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
v^{\prime \prime}-\Delta v+\alpha u^{2} v=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \times(0, \infty), \\
v=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \times(0, \infty), \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+h_{1}\left(., u^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.2}\\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}+h_{2}\left(., v^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty), \\
u(0)=u_{0}, \quad v(0)=v_{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1} \quad v^{\prime}(0)=v_{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega .
\end{gather*}
$$

In the case of one equation (that is, when $\alpha=0$ ), $\Omega$ a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, h(x, s)=\delta(x) s$, Komornik and Zuazua [8], using the semigroup theory, showed the existence of solutions. Under the same hypotheses, but applying the Galerkin method with a special basis, the second author and Medeiros [16], obtained a similar result. The second method, furthermore to be constructive, has the advantage of showing the Sobolev space where lies $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$. Applying this second method to a wave equation with a nonlinear term, Araruna and Maciel [1], derived an analogous result.

The existence of solutions of the wave equation with a nonlinear dissipation on $\Gamma_{1}$ has been obtained, using the theory of monotone operators, among others, by Zuazua [21, Lasiecka and Tataru 9, Komornik 6], and applying the method of Galerkin, by Vitillaro [20] and Cavalcanti et al. 4].

In Alabau-Boussouira [2, as in all above works, the exponential decay of the energy associated to the wave equation is obtained by applying functionals of Lyapunov and the technique of multipliers.

It is worth emphasizing that the known results on the exponential decay of the energy associated to the wave equation with a nonlinear boundary dissipation were obtained by supposing that $h(s)$ has a linear behavior in the infinite; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0}|s| \leq|h(s)| \leq d_{1}|s|, \quad \forall|s| \geq R \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ sufficiently large ( $d_{0}$ and $d_{1}$ positiveconstants). See Komornik [6] and the references therein.

Returning to system (1.2) we can mention the work of Cousin et al. 5] where the conditions on the boundary are linear. We will also mention the work of Komornik and Rao [7] where the coupled terms are the form $\alpha(u-v)$ and $\alpha(v-u)$ and the boundary conditions are similar to 1.2 . More precisely, in this work under the hypotheses

$$
\alpha \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \alpha \geq 0
$$

$h$ is continuous, nondecreasing, $h(s)=0$ if $s=0$;
$|h(s)| \leq 1+c|s|$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ where $c$ is a positive constant;
and using results of maximum monotone operators, they showed the existence of solutions. With $h$ satisfying $(1.3)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and applying the technique of the multipliers, they obtained the exponential decay of the energy associated to the problem.

In this work we are interested in studying the existence of solutions of Problem (1.2) under very general conditions on $h_{i}, i=1,2$. In fact, assuming that

$$
h_{i} \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right), \quad h_{i}(x, 0)=0, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Gamma_{1}
$$

and $h_{i}$ is strongly monotone in the second variable; that is,

$$
\left[h_{i}(x, s)-h_{i}(x, r)\right](s-r) \geq d_{i}(s-r)^{2}, \quad \forall s, r \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $d_{i}$ are positive constant for $i=1,2$. We obtain the existence of global solutions for $\sqrt{1.2}$. In our approach, we apply the Galerkin method with a special basis, an appropriate Strauss' Lipschitz approximation of $h_{i}$ and results on the trace of non-smooth functions. In the passage to the limit in the nonlinear boundary term $h_{i l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}\right)\left(\left(h_{i l}\right)\right.$ are the Strauss' approximations of $h_{i}$ and $\left(u_{l}\right)$, approximate solutions of $(1.2)$ ), we use the compactness method (In what follows $i=1,2)$. For that we need to obtain estimates for $\left(u_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(u_{l}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. It is possible thanks to the strong monotonicity of $h_{i}$. These estimates allow us to obtain the strong convergence

$$
u_{l}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right), \forall T>0
$$

This, Strauss' Theorem [19] and results on trace of non-smooth functions (Lemma 3.2) give

$$
h_{i l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow h_{i}\left(., u^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { in } L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right), \quad \forall T>0 .
$$

As consequence of the mentioned estimates, we are driven to obtain global strong solutions of 1.2 . The existence of global weak solution for 1.2 with the general hypotheses on $h_{i}$ is an open problem.

The exponential decay of the energy of $\sqrt{1.2}$ is derived for the particular case

$$
h_{i}(x, s)=m(x) \cdot \nu(x) g_{i}(s)
$$

$g_{i} \in C^{0}(\mathbb{R}), g_{i}$ satisfying 1.3) and $m(x)=x-x^{0}, x^{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In this part we use a functional of Lyapunov (see Komornik and Zuazua [8) and the technique of multipliers (see [17]). The exponential decay for more general stabilizers is an open problem.

In Section 2 we state our main results and in Section 3, we prove these results.

## 2. Notation and main Results

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with boundary $\Gamma$ of class $C^{2}$ and $\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{1}, \nu(x)$ as in the Introduction. The scalar product and norm of $L^{2}(\Omega)$ are represented, respectively, by $(u, v)$ and $|u|$. By $V$ is denoted the Hilbert space

$$
V=\left\{v \in H^{1}(\Omega) ; v=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{0}\right\}
$$

equipped with the scalar product

$$
((u, v))=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \quad \text { and norm } \quad\|u\|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{2}
$$

To state our results, we introduce some hypotheses. Consider real functions $h_{1}(x, s)$ and $h_{2}(x, s)$ defined on $\Gamma_{1} \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following hypotheses:
(H1) $h_{i} \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$;
$h_{i}(x, s)$ is nondecreasing in $s$ for a.e. $x$ in $\Gamma_{1}$;
$h_{i}(x, 0)=0$ a.e. $x \in \Gamma_{1}$;
$\left[h_{i}(x, s)-h_{i}(x, r)\right](s-r) \geq d_{i}(s-r)^{2}$, for all $s, r \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $x$ in $\Gamma_{1}$,
where $i=1,2$. Here $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are positive constants and we use the notation $\left(h_{i}(s)\right)(x)=h_{i}(x, s)$.
(H2) $n \leq 3$ and $\alpha \geq 0$;
(H3) $\left\{u^{0}, v^{0}\right\} \in[D(-\Delta)]^{2}$ and $\left\{u^{1}, v^{1}\right\} \in\left[H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right]^{2}$ where

$$
D(-\Delta)=\left\{u \in V \cap H^{2}(\Omega) ; \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{1}\right\}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Assume (H1)-(H3). Then there exist a pair of functions $\{u, v\}$ in the class
(C) $\{u, v\} \in\left[L^{\infty}(0, \infty ; V)\right]^{2},\left\{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\} \in\left[L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}(0, \infty ; V)\right]^{2}$, $\left\{u^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right\} \in\left[L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)\right]^{2}$,
satisfying the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+\alpha u v^{2}=0 & \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{2.1}\\
v^{\prime \prime}-\Delta v+\alpha v u^{2}=0 & \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+h_{1}\left(., u^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(0, \infty ; L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}+h_{2}\left(., v^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(0, \infty ; L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and the initial conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
u(0)=u^{0}, & v(0)=v^{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1}, & v^{\prime}(0)=v^{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 2.2. If in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 we have
(H4) there are positive constant $k_{1}, k_{2}$ such that

$$
\left|h_{1}(x, s)\right| \leq k_{1}|s|, \quad\left|h_{2}(x, s)\right| \leq k_{2}|s|
$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $x$ in $\Gamma_{1}$.
Then the solution $\{u, v\}$ given by Theorem 2.1 belongs to the class
$\left(\mathrm{C}^{*}\right)\{u, v\} \in\left[L^{\infty}(0, \infty ; V) \cap L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)\right)\right]^{2} ;$
this solution is unique in the classes $(C),\left(C^{*}\right)$, and satisfies the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+h_{1}\left(., u^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right), \\
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}+h_{2}\left(., v^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.3. By (H3), we have $\frac{\partial u^{0}}{\partial \nu}=0, \frac{\partial v^{0}}{\partial \nu}=0$ on $\Gamma_{1}$, and $u^{1}=0, v^{1}=0$ on $\Gamma_{1}$. Therefore, since $h_{i}(., 0)=0$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial u^{0}}{\partial \nu}+h_{1}\left(., u^{1}\right)=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \\
\frac{\partial v^{0}}{\partial \nu}+h_{2}\left(., v^{1}\right)=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{1}
\end{array}
$$

In the general case, that is, when $\left\{u^{0}, v^{0}\right\} \in\left[V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right]^{2}$ and $\left\{u^{1}, v^{1}\right\} \in V^{2}$ satisfying the compatibility conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial u^{0}}{\partial \nu}+h_{1}\left(., u^{1}\right)=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \\
\frac{\partial v^{0}}{\partial \nu}+h_{2}\left(., v^{1}\right)=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{1}
\end{array}
$$

the existence of global solutions of 1.2 with initial data $\left\{u^{0}, v^{0}\right\}$ and $\left\{u^{1}, v^{1}\right\}$ is an open problem. In our approach, when $u^{0}, u^{1} \in V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$, the condition

$$
\frac{\partial u^{0}}{\partial \nu}+h_{1}\left(., u^{1}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1}
$$

does not imply necessarily

$$
\frac{\partial u^{0}}{\partial \nu}+h_{1 l}\left(., u^{1}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1}, \quad \forall l .
$$

Thus in this case, we cannot to construct a special basis of $V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$ in order to apply the Galerkin method.

Next we state the result on the decay of solutions of Problem 1.2 . We assume that there exists a point $x^{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\Gamma_{0}=\{x \in \Gamma: m(x) \cdot \nu(x) \leq 0\}, \quad \Gamma_{1}=\{x \in \Gamma: m(x) \cdot \nu(x)>0\}
$$

where $m(x)=x-x^{0}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $\eta \cdot \xi$ denotes the scalar product of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of the vectors $\eta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Consider the particular functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}(x, s)=m(x) \cdot \nu(x) g_{1}(s), \quad h_{2}(x, s)=m(x) \cdot \nu(x) g_{2}(s), \quad x \in \Gamma_{1}, s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{1}(s)$ and $g_{2}(s)$ are continuous real functions with $g_{i}(0)=0, i=1,2$ and satisfy
(H5) $\left[g_{i}(s)-g_{i}(r)\right](s-r) \geq d_{i}^{*}(s-r)^{2}$, for all $s, r \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2$;
(H6) $\left|g_{i}(s)\right| \leq k_{i}^{*}|s|$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, where $d_{i}^{*}$ and $k_{i}^{*}$ are positive constants, $i=1,2$.

Introduce the following constants ( $K, K^{*}$ positive) such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|w\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)} \leq K\|w\|, \quad\|w\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)}^{2} \leq K^{*}\|w\|^{2}, \quad \forall w \in V  \tag{2.5}\\
R=\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\|m(x)\| ;  \tag{2.6}\\
N\left(\alpha, u^{0}, v^{0}, u^{1}, v^{1}\right)=N=\left|u^{1}\right|^{2}+\left|v^{1}\right|^{2}+\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\left\|v^{0}\right\|^{2}+\alpha\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}\left\|v^{0}\right\|^{2}+1 \\
\text { with } \alpha \geq 0  \tag{2.7}\\
L_{i}=\frac{3}{4}(n-1)^{2} k_{i}^{*} R\left(K^{*}\right)^{2}, \quad i=1,2  \tag{2.8}\\
L=\max \left\{R^{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} k_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}+L_{1}+1, R^{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} k_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}+L_{2}+1\right\} ;  \tag{2.9}\\
M=2\left(R+\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{n-1}{2 \lambda_{1}}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator associated to the triplet $\left\{V, L^{2}(\Omega),((u, v))\right\}$ (see [10]). Define the energy

$$
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|v^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\|u(t)\|^{2}+\|v(t)\|^{2}+\alpha|u(t) v(t)|^{2}\right], \quad t \geq 0
$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the $L^{2}$ norm.
Theorem 2.4. Consider

$$
\left\{u^{0}, v^{0}\right\} \in[D(-\Delta)]^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{u^{1}, v^{1}\right\} \in\left[H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right]^{2}
$$

and a positive real number $\alpha_{0}$ such that
(H7) $\alpha_{0} N \leq 1 /\left(8 R K^{3}\right)$.
Let $\{u, v\}$ be the solution obtained in Theorem 2.1 with hypotheses $(\mathrm{H} 4)-(\mathrm{H} 6)$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq 3 E(0) e^{-2 \omega t / 3}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\omega=\min \left\{\frac{d_{1}^{*}}{L}, \frac{d_{2}^{*}}{L}, \frac{1}{2 M}\right\}
$$

We make some comments. The open sets $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying the geometrical condition given above (existence of $x^{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ which permits to determine $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ satisfying conditions of Theorem 2.1) were introduced by Lions [12]. The decay of solutions of Problem (1.2) for more general $\Omega$, for example, when $\Omega$ satisfy the geometrical control condition of Bardos, Lebeau and Rauch (see [12]), is an open problem.

Hypothesis (H6) says that our feedback is between two linear feedbacks. This, hypothesis $(H 5)$ and $\alpha_{0}$ small state that Problem 1.2 of Theorem 2.4 is a small perturbation of the linear problems associated to 1.2 , that is, $\alpha=0$ and $h_{i}(x, s)$ linear in $s$.

When $\alpha=0$, all our results can be applied to the equation given by 1.2 . In this case $\Omega$ is an open bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Consider the equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(x, t)-\Delta u(x, t)+f(u(x, t))=0, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
f \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}), \quad f(s) s \geq 0, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R} \\
(f(s)-f(r)) \leq a\left(1+|s|^{p-1}+|r|^{p-1}\right)(s-r), \quad \forall s, r \in \mathbb{R}, a>0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $1<p \leq \frac{n}{n-2}$ if $n \geq 3$, and $p>1$ if $n=1,2$; and the nonlinear dissipation of 1.2. Then our results can be applied to obtain the existence of solutions of this problem. This result is a nonlinear boundary version of the work of Araruna and Maciel 1].

## 3. Proof of Results

To prove Theorem 2.1 we need the following two lemmas.
Lemma 3.1. Let $h(x, s)$ be a real function defined on $\Gamma_{1} \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (H1) with strongly monotone constant $d_{0}$. Then there exists a sequence $\left(h_{l}\right)$ in $C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R} ; L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$ satisfying
(i) $h_{l}(x, 0)=0$ for a.e. $x$ in $\Gamma_{1}$;
(ii) $\left[h_{l}(x, s)-h_{l}(x, r)\right](s-r) \geq d_{0}(s-r)^{2}$, for all $s, r \in \mathbb{R}$, for a.e. $x$ in $\Gamma_{1}$;
(iii) there exists a function $c_{l} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|h_{l}(x, s)-h_{l}(x, r)\right| \leq c_{l}(x)|s-r|, \quad \forall s, r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text { for a.e. } x \text { in } \Gamma_{1}
$$

(iv) $\left(h_{l}\right)$ converges to $h$ uniformly on bounded sets of $\mathbb{R}$, for a.e.x in $\Gamma_{1}$.

Proof. For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$
h_{l}(x, s)= \begin{cases}C_{1 l}(x) s, & \text { if } 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{l}, \\ l \int_{s}^{s+\frac{1}{l}} h(x, \tau) d \tau, & \text { if } \frac{1}{l} \leq s \leq l, \\ C_{2 l}(x) s, & \text { if } s>l, \\ C_{3 l}(x) s, & \text { if }-\frac{1}{l} \leq s \leq 0 \\ -l \int_{s-\frac{1}{l}}^{s} h(x, \tau) d \tau, & \text { if }-l \leq s \leq-\frac{1}{l} \\ C_{4 l}(x) s, & \text { if } s<-l,\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1 l}(x)=l^{2} \int_{\frac{1}{l}}^{\frac{2}{l}} h(x, \tau) d \tau, \quad C_{2 l}=\int_{l}^{l+\frac{1}{l}} h(x, \tau) d \tau, \\
C_{3 l}(x)=-l^{2} \int_{-\frac{2}{l}}^{-\frac{1}{l}} h(x, \tau) d \tau, \quad C_{4 l}(x)=-\int_{-l-\frac{1}{l}}^{-l} h(x, \tau) d \tau .
\end{gathered}
$$

The sequence $\left(h_{l}\right)$ satisfies the conditions of the lemma.
Lemma 3.2. Let $T>0$ be a real number. Consider a sequence $\left(w_{l}\right)$ of vectors of $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \cap L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$ and vectors $w \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right), \quad \chi \in$ $L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$ such that
(i) $w_{l} \rightarrow w$ weak in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$,
(ii) $w_{l} \rightarrow \chi$ in $L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$.

Then $w=\chi$.

Proof. The preceding lemma follows by noting that convergence (i) and (ii) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{l} \rightarrow w & \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \\
w_{l} & \rightarrow \chi \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $w=\chi$.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\left(h_{1 l}\right)$ and $\left(h_{2 l}\right)$ be two sequences of real functions in the conditions of Lemma 3.1 that approximate $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$, respectively. Also let $\left(u_{l}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(v_{l}^{1}\right)$ be two sequences of vectors of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{l}^{1} \rightarrow u^{1} \quad \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \quad \text { and } \quad v_{l}^{1} \rightarrow v^{1} \quad \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\frac{\partial u^{0}}{\partial \nu}+h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{1}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1}, \forall l
$$

since $u_{l}^{1}=0$ and $\frac{\partial u^{0}}{\partial \nu}=0$ on $\Gamma_{1}$. Analogously

$$
\frac{\partial v^{0}}{\partial \nu}+h_{2 l}\left(., v_{l}^{1}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1}, \forall l .
$$

Fix $l \in \mathbb{N}$. We apply the Faedo-Galerkin's method with a special basis. In fact, consider the basis

$$
\left\{w_{1}^{l}, w_{2}^{l}, w_{3}^{l}, w_{4}^{l}, \ldots\right\}
$$

of $V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$ where $u^{0}, v^{0}, u_{l}^{1}$ and $v_{l}^{1}$ belong to the subspace generated by $w_{1}^{l}, w_{2}^{l}, w_{3}^{l}$ and $w_{4}^{l}$. Note that $u_{l}^{1}$ and $v_{l}^{1}$ belong to $V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$. With this basis we determine approximate solutions $u_{l m}(t)$ and $v_{l m}(t)$ of Problem 1.2 ; that is,

$$
u_{l m}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{j l m}(t) w_{j}^{l} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{l m}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{j l m}(t) w_{j}^{l},
$$

when $g_{j l m}(t)$ and $h_{j l m}(t)$ are defined by the system:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t), w_{k}\right)+\left(\left(u_{l m}(t), w_{k}\right)\right)+\alpha\left(u_{l m}(t) v_{l m}^{2}(t), w_{k}\right)+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right) w_{k} d \Gamma=0 \\
\left(v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t), w_{p}\right)+\left(\left(v_{l m}(t), w_{p}\right)\right)+\alpha\left(v_{l m}(t) u_{l m}^{2}(t), w_{p}\right)+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} h_{2}\left(., v_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right) w_{p} d \Gamma=0 \\
u_{l m}(0)=u^{0}, \quad v_{l m}(0)=v^{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u_{l m}^{\prime}(0)=u_{l}^{1}, \quad v_{l m}^{\prime}(0)=v_{l}^{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega . \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $k=1,2, \ldots, m$ and all $p=1,2, \ldots, m$.
The above finite-dimensional system has a solution $\left\{u_{l m}(t), v_{l m}(t)\right\}$ defined on $\left[0, t_{l m}[\right.$. The following estimate allows us to extend this solution to the interval $[0, \infty[$.
First Estimate. Considering $2 u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)$ instead of $w_{k}$ in 3.2$)_{1}$ and $2 v_{l m}^{\prime}(t)$ instead of $w_{p}$ in 3.2$)_{2}$ and adding these results, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left|u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{l m}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left|v_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|v_{l m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& +\alpha \int_{\Omega} v_{l m}^{2}(t) \frac{d}{d t}\left(u_{l m}^{2}(t)\right) d x+\alpha \int_{\Omega} u_{l m}^{2}(t) \frac{d}{d t}\left(v_{l m}^{2}(t)\right) d x \\
& +2 \int_{\Gamma_{1}} h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right) u_{l m}^{\prime}(t) d \Gamma+2 \int_{\Gamma_{1}} h_{2 l}\left(., v_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right) v_{l m}^{\prime}(t) d \Gamma=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that

$$
\int_{\Omega} v_{l m}^{2}(t) \frac{d}{d t} u_{l m}^{2}(t) d x+\int_{\Omega} u_{l m}^{2}(t) \frac{d}{d t} v_{l m}^{2}(t) d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{d t}\left[u_{l m}(t) v_{l m}(t)\right]^{2} d x
$$

By the two preceding expressions, after integrate on $\left[0, t\left[, 0<t \leq t_{l m}\right.\right.$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{l m}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left|v_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|v_{l m}(t)\right\|^{2}+\alpha\left|u_{l m}(t) v_{l m}(t)\right|^{2} \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l m}^{\prime}(s)\right) u_{l m}^{\prime}(s) d \Gamma d s+2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} h_{2 l}\left(., v_{l m}^{\prime}(s)\right) v_{l m}^{\prime}(s) d \Gamma d s  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\left|u_{l}^{1}\right|^{2}+\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\left|v_{l}^{1}\right|^{2}+\left\|v^{0}\right\|^{2}+\alpha\left|u^{0} v^{0}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By Part (ii) of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
h_{i l}(x, s) s \geq d_{i} s^{2}, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R} \text { and a.e. } x \text { in } \Gamma_{1}, \forall l, i=1,2
$$

Note that $\left|u^{0} v^{0}\right|<\infty$ because $n \leq 3$ and $u^{0}, v^{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Taking into account these two considerations and convergence (3.1), in 3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{l m}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left|v_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|v_{l m}(t)\right\|^{2}+\alpha\left|u_{l m}(t) v_{l m}(t)\right|^{2} \\
& +2 d_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left[u_{l m}^{\prime}(s)\right]^{2} d \Gamma d s+2 d_{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left[v_{l m}^{\prime}(s)\right]^{2} d \Gamma d s \\
& \leq\left[\left|u^{1}\right|^{2}+\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\left|v^{1}\right|^{2}+\left\|v^{0}\right\|^{2}+\alpha\left|u^{0} v^{0}\right|^{2}+1\right]=N_{1}, \quad \forall l \geq l_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constant $N_{1}$ is independent of $t, m$ and $l \geq l_{0}$. Thus

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(u_{l m}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{\infty}(0, \infty ; V), \quad \forall l \geq l_{0}, \forall m \\
\left(u_{l m}^{\prime}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad \forall l \geq l_{0}, \forall m  \tag{3.4}\\
\left(u_{l m}^{\prime}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right), \quad \forall l \geq l_{0}, \forall m
\end{gather*}
$$

Analogous boundedness holds for $\left(v_{l m}\right)$ and $\left(v_{l m}^{\prime}\right)$. Also

$$
\left(u_{l m} v_{l m}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad \forall l \geq l_{0}, \forall m
$$

As we are in a finite dimensional setting, the above estimates allows us to prolong the approximate solutions $\left\{u_{l m}(t), v_{l m}(t)\right\}$ to the interval $[0, \infty[$.
Second Estimate. Derive with respect to $t$ equations 3.2$)_{1}$ and $(3.2)_{2}$ and consider $2 u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ and $2 v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ instead $w_{k}$ and $w_{p}$ in 3.2$)_{1}$ and 3.2$)_{2}$, respectively. We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha\left(u_{l m}^{\prime}(t) v_{l m}^{2}(t), u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \\
& +4 \alpha\left(u_{l m}(t) v_{l m}(t) v_{l m}^{\prime}(t), u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)+2 \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2} h_{1 l}^{\prime}\left(., u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right) d \Gamma=0  \tag{3.5}\\
& \frac{d}{d t}\left|v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\frac{d}{d t}\left\|v_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha\left(v_{l m}^{\prime}(t) u_{l m}^{2}(t), v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \\
& +4 \alpha\left(v_{l m}(t) u_{l m}(t) u_{l m}^{\prime}(t), v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)+2 \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2} h_{2 l}^{\prime}\left(., v_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right) d \Gamma=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

- Analysis of the term: $\left(u_{l m}^{\prime}(t) v_{l m}^{2}(t), u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)$. Using the Holder inequality, the Sobolev embedding $V \hookrightarrow L^{6}(\Omega)$ (note that $n \leq 3$ ) and estimates (3.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(u_{l m}^{\prime}(t) v_{l m}^{2}(t), u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right|_{\mathbb{R}}\left|v_{l m}^{2}(t)\right|_{\mathbb{R}}\left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|_{\mathbb{R}} d x \\
& \leq\left\|u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)}\left\|v_{l m}(t)\right\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)}^{2}\left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|  \tag{3.7}\\
& \leq C\left\|u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|\left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ denotes the several constants independent of $l$ and $m$.

- Analysis of the term $\left(u_{l m}(t) v_{l m}(t) v_{l m}^{\prime}(t), u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)$. Applying the same arguments used fo (3.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(u_{l m}(t) v_{l m}(t) v_{l m}^{\prime}(t), u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)\right| \leq C\left(\left\|v_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|\left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|\right) \leq C\left(\left\|v_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way, we obtain estimates for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{l m}^{\prime}(t) u_{l m}^{2}(t), v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(v_{l m}(t) u_{l m}(t) u_{l m}^{\prime}(t), v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.5) and (3.6) on [0, t] adding these results, using estimates (3.7)-(3.9) and noting that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} h_{1 l}(x, s) \geq d_{1}>0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s} h_{2 l}(x, s) \geq d_{2}>0
$$

for a.e. $x$ in $\Gamma_{1}$ and a.e $s$ in $\mathbb{R}$, we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad+2 d_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2} d \Gamma d s+2 d_{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2} d \Gamma d s  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq\left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\left|v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{l m}^{\prime}(0)\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{l m}^{\prime}(0)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t} C\left[\left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right|^{2}+\left|v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{l m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{l m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2}\right] d s
\end{align*}
$$

The Gronwall's Lemma implies that there exists $C(t), t>0$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{l m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad+2 d_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2} d \Gamma d s+2 d_{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2} d \Gamma d s \\
& \leq C(t)\left(\left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\left|v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{l m}^{\prime}(0)\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{l m}^{\prime}(0)\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We need to bound $\left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|^{2}$ and $\left|v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|^{2}$ by a constant independent of $l$ and $m$. This is one of the key points of the proof. These bounds are obtained thanks to the choice of the special basis of $V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$. It is showed in the next estimate.
Third Estimate. Note that $u_{l m}(0)=u^{0}$ e $v_{l m}(0)=v^{0}$, respectively, for all $l, m$, and $\frac{\partial u^{0}}{\partial \nu}+h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{1}\right)=0 \quad$ on $\Gamma_{1}$. Take $t=0$ in 3.2$)_{1}$. Then these two results and Green formulae, give

$$
\left(u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(0), \varphi\right)+\left(-\Delta u^{0}, \varphi\right)+\alpha\left(u^{0}\left(v^{0}\right)^{2}, \varphi\right)=0
$$

Taking $\varphi=u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(0)$ in this equality, we derive

$$
\left|u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right| \leq\left|\Delta u^{0}\right|+\alpha\left|u^{0}\left(v^{0}\right)^{2}\right| \leq C, \quad \forall l, m
$$

Thus $\left(u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, for all $l, m$. Analogously $\left(v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, for all $l, m$. Taking into account these last two boundness in 3.10, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\left(u_{l m}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { is bounded in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}(0, \infty ; V), & \forall l \geq l_{0}, m \\
\left(u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}\right) \quad \text { is bounded in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), & \forall l \geq l_{0}, \forall m  \tag{3.11}\\
\left(u_{l m}^{\prime \prime}\right) \quad \text { is bounded in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right), & \forall l \geq l_{0}, \forall m .
\end{array}
$$

Analogous boundedness hold for $\left(v_{l m}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
Fourth Estimate. By the Holder inequality, the embedding $V \hookrightarrow L^{6}(\Omega)$ and estimate (3.4), we obtain

$$
\left|u_{l m}(t) v_{l m}^{2}(t)\right|^{2} \leq\left\|u_{l m}(t)\right\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)}^{2}\left\|v_{l m}(t)\right\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)}^{4} \leq C
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{l m} v_{l m}^{2}\right) \quad \text { is bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad \forall l \geq l_{0}, \forall m \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{l m} u_{l m}^{2}\right) \quad \text { is bounded in } L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad \forall l \geq l_{0}, \forall m \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the estimates obtained are independent of $l$ and $m$, it is natural to take the limit in $l$ and $m$ in (3.2), but there are a difficulty in the passage to the limit in the nonlinear term on the boundary $\Gamma_{1}$. For that, first we take the limit in $m$ in 3.2 and then in $l$.

Passage to the Limit in $m$. The index $l$ is fixed. Estimates (3.4) and (3.11) allow us, by induction and diagonal process (in order to have sequences converging on all $[0, \infty)$ ), to obtain a subsequences of $\left(u_{l m}\right)$ and $\left(v_{l m}\right)$, still denoted by $\left(u_{l m}\right)$ and $\left(v_{l m}\right)$, and functions $\left.u_{l}, v_{l}: \Omega \times\right] 0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{l m} \rightarrow u_{l}, m \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { weak star in } L^{\infty}(0, \infty ; V), \\
u_{l m}^{\prime} \rightarrow u_{l}^{\prime}, m \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { weak star in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}(0, \infty ; V), \\
u_{l m}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow u_{l}^{\prime \prime}, m \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { weak star in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{3.14}\\
u_{l m}^{\prime} \rightarrow u_{l}^{\prime}, m \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { weak in } L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right), \\
u_{l m}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow u_{l}^{\prime \prime}, m \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { weak in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Analogous convergence holds for $\left(v_{l m}\right),\left(v_{l m}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(v_{l m}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ to $v_{l}, v_{l}^{\prime}$ and $v_{l}^{\prime \prime}$, respectively.
In what follows we work with subsequence of $\left(u_{l m}\right)$, always denoted by $\left(u_{l m}\right)$, obtained by induction and diagonal process. We analyze the nonlinear terms. By $(3.14)_{2}$ we have

$$
u_{l m}^{\prime} \rightarrow u_{l}^{\prime} \quad \text { weak star in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty .
$$

This convergence, (3.14 5 and Compactness Aubin-Lions' Theorem give

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{l m}^{\prime} \rightarrow u_{l}^{\prime} \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By part (iii) of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left[h_{1 l}\left(x, u_{l m}^{\prime}(x, t)\right)-h_{1 l}\left(x, u_{l}^{\prime}(x, t)\right]^{2} d \Gamma d t\right. \\
& \leq\left\|c_{1 l}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)}^{2}\left\|u_{l m}^{\prime}-u_{l}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the above convergence in this inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l m}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2 l}\left(., v_{l m}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow h_{2 l}\left(., v_{l}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \text { as }, m \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Convergence $(3.14)_{1},(3.14)_{2}$, and Compactness Aubin-Lions' Theorem imply

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{l m} \rightarrow u_{l}, \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty \\
v_{l m} \rightarrow v_{l} \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.u_{l m} v_{l m}^{2} \rightarrow u_{l} v_{l}^{2} \quad \text { a.e. in } Q=\Omega \times\right] 0, T[\text { as } m \rightarrow \infty \\
\left.v_{l m} u_{l m}^{2} \rightarrow v_{l} u_{l}^{2} \quad \text { a. e. in } Q=\Omega \times\right] 0, T[\text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

This convergence, the fourth estimate and Lions' Lemma [11], give

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{l m} v_{l m}^{2} \rightarrow u_{l} v_{l}^{2} \quad \text { weak in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty \\
v_{l m} u_{l m}^{2} \rightarrow v_{l} u_{l}^{2} \quad \text { weak in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.18}
\end{array}
$$

Convergence (3.14), (3.16)-(3.18) allow us to take the limit in $m$ in $(3.2)_{1}$ and $(3.2)_{2}$. Thus by these convergence and the density of $V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$ in $V$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u_{l}^{\prime \prime}(s), \varphi\right) \theta(s) d s+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\left(u_{l}(s), \varphi\right)\right) \theta(s) d s+\alpha \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u_{l}(s) v_{l}^{2}(s), \varphi\right) \theta(s) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}(s)\right) \varphi \theta(s) d \Gamma d s=0, \quad \forall \varphi \in V, \forall \theta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty) \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(v_{l}^{\prime \prime}(s), \psi\right) \theta(s) d s+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\left(v_{l}(s), \psi\right)\right) \theta(s) d s+\alpha \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(v_{l}(s) u_{l}^{2}(s), \psi\right) \theta(s) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} h_{2 l}\left(., v_{l}^{\prime}(s)\right) \psi \theta(s) d \Gamma d s=0, \quad \forall \psi \in V, \forall \theta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty) \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Now considering $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ in the last two equalities and taking into account that $u_{l}^{\prime \prime}, v_{l}^{\prime \prime}, u_{l} v_{l}^{2}$ and $v_{l} u_{l}^{2}$ belong to $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{l}^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u_{l}+\alpha u_{l} v_{l}^{2}=0 & \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \\
v_{l}^{\prime \prime}-\Delta v_{l}+\alpha v_{l} u_{l}^{2}=0 & \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

The above equalities give $\Delta u_{l}, \Delta v_{l} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. As $u_{l}, v_{l} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(0, \infty ; V)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu}, \frac{\partial v_{l}}{\partial \nu} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [13] and [10]).
Multiplying both sides of equation 3.21$)_{1}$ by $\varphi \theta$ with $\varphi \in V$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$, integrating on $[0, \infty[$, using Green formulae and regularity 3.22 , we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u_{l}^{\prime \prime}(s), \varphi\right) \theta(s) d s+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\left(u_{l}(s), \varphi\right)\right) \theta(s) d s+\alpha \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u_{l}(s) v_{l}^{2}(s), \varphi\right) \theta(s) d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\langle\frac{\partial u_{l}(s)}{\partial \nu}, \varphi\right\rangle \theta(s) d s=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\langle;\rangle$ represents the duality pairing between $H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ and $H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$. Comparing this result with equation 3.19 , we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu}+h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By similar arguments, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial v_{l}}{\partial \nu}+h_{2 l}\left(., v_{l}^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passage to the Limit in $l$. As estimates (3.4, (3.11, (3.12 and (3.13) are independent of $l$ and $m$, we obtain with $\left(u_{l}\right)$ and $\left(v_{l}\right)$ similar convergence to (3.14) and (3.18), that is, we have functions $u, v: \Omega \times] 0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{l} \rightarrow u \quad \text { weak star in } L^{\infty}(0, \infty ; V), \\
u_{l}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \quad \text { weak star in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}(0, \infty ; V), \\
u_{l}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { weak star in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{3.25}\\
u_{l}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \quad \text { weak in } L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right), \\
u_{l}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { weak in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

analogous convergence holds for $\left(v_{l}\right),\left(v_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(v_{l}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ to $v, v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. Also

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{l} v_{l}^{2} \rightarrow u v^{2} \quad \text { weak in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \\
& v_{l} u_{l}^{2} \rightarrow v u^{2} \quad \text { weak in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) . \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ in (3.19), using convergence 3.25, 3.26) and applying similar arguments as in 3.21, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}-\Delta u+\alpha u v^{2}=0 \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime}-\Delta v+\alpha v u^{2}=0 \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We analyze the convergence in 3.23. As in 3.15, we get the convergence

$$
u_{l}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Fix $T>0$. The preceding convergence implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{l}^{\prime}(x, t) \rightarrow u^{\prime}(x, t) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Sigma_{1}=\Gamma_{1} \times\right] 0, T[ \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $(x, t) \in \Sigma_{1}$. Then by 3.29 the set $\left\{u_{l}^{\prime}(x, t) ; l \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is bounded. Part (iv) of Lemma 3.1 says that $\left(h_{1 l}\right)$ converges to $h_{1}$ uniformly on bounded sets of $\mathbb{R}$, a.e. $x$ in $\Gamma_{1}$. These two results and 3.29 imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1 l}\left(x, u_{l}^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \rightarrow h_{1}\left(x, u^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \quad \text { a.e.in } \Sigma_{1} . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2 l}\left(x, v_{l}^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \rightarrow h_{2}\left(x, v^{\prime}(x, t)\right) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Sigma_{1} . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by $3.211_{1}$ we obtain

$$
\left.\left(u_{l}^{\prime \prime}(t), u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\left(\left(u_{l}(t), u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)+\alpha\left(u_{l}(t) v_{l}^{2}(t)\right), u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right) u_{l}^{\prime}(t) d \Gamma=0
$$

or

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{1}} h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right) u_{l}^{\prime}(t) d \Gamma=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left|u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{l}(t)\right\|^{2}-\alpha\left(u_{l}(t) v_{l}^{2}(t), u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right)
$$

By analogous arguments used to obtain 3.7), we deduce

$$
\left|\left(u_{l}(t) v_{l}^{2}(t), u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \leq C\left[\left\|u_{l}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left|u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right] .
$$

Note that $u_{l} \in C^{0}([0, T] ; V), u_{l}^{\prime} \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and that $\left(u_{l}(T)\right)$ and $\left(u_{l}^{\prime}(T)\right)$ are bounded in $V$ and $L^{2}(\Omega)$, respectively (see similar estimates 3.4 and 3.11) for $\left.\left(u_{l}\right)\right)$. By the last two expressions and preceding considerations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right) u_{l}^{\prime}(t) d \Gamma d t \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{l}^{\prime}(T)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{l}^{1}\right|-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{l}(T)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\alpha C \int_{0}^{T}\left[\left\|u_{l}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left|u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right] d t \leq C
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$ for all $l \geq l_{0}$. As $h_{1 l}(x, s) s \geq 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right) u_{l}^{\prime}(t) d \Gamma d t \leq C, \forall t \in[0, T], \quad \forall l \geq l_{0} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant independent of $l \geq l_{0}$ and $t \in[0, T]$. By 3.30, 3.32) and Strauss' Theorem [19, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow h_{1}\left(., u^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { in } L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1} \times\right] 0, T[) . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By similar considerations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2 l}\left(., v_{l}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow h_{2}\left(., v^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { in } L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1} \times\right] 0, T[) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by convergence 3.25 , we find $u_{l} \rightarrow u$ weak in $L^{2}(0, T ; V)$ and by (3.21) and convergence (3.25),

$$
\Delta u_{l} \rightarrow \Delta u \quad \text { weak in } L^{2}\left(0, T: L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

These two convergences imply

$$
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \quad \text { weak in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

(see [13]). As $\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu}=-h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T: L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$ (see 3.23) we have that $\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu} \in$ $L^{1}\left(0, T: L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$. Then convergence (3.33) gives

$$
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu} \rightarrow h_{1}\left(., u^{1}\right) \quad \text { in } L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

These two last convergences and Lemma 3.2 provide

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+h_{1}\left(., u^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

By induction and diagonal process we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+h_{1}\left(., u^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(0, \infty ; L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}+h_{2}\left(., v^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(0, \infty ; L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Convergence (3.25) shows that $\{u, v\}$ belongs to class (C), expressions (3.27) and (3.28) are equations (2.1) and (3.35), (3.36) are the boundary conditions (2.2) of the theorem. The verification of the initial conditions (2.3) follows by convergence $3.19 l$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Hypothesis (H4) ${ }_{1}$ and estimate 3.43 give

$$
\left(h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad \text { is bounded in } L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Hence there exists $\chi$ in $L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ such that

$$
h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \chi \quad \text { weak in } L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

By (3.33), we have

$$
h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow h_{1}\left(., u^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(0, \infty ; L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Writing these two convergences in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(0, \infty ; L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$, we obtain by the uniqueness of limits,

$$
h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow h_{1}\left(., u^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { weak in } L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) .
$$

This and 3.35 provides

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+h_{1}\left(., u^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

In a similar way,

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}+h_{2}\left(., v^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

The facts

$$
u \in L^{\infty}(0, \infty ; V), \quad \Delta u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \in L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

give $u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; H^{3 / 2}(\Omega)\right)$ (see [13] and [10).
Regularity of solutions $\{u, v\}$ given by class (C) allows us to apply the energy method in equations (2.1) and to obtain the uniqueness of solutions (see [11])

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $\left(g_{1 l}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2 l}\right)$ be the sequences obtained in Lemma 3.1 for the functions $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$, respectively. By direct computations, we show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{1 l}(s)\right| \leq \frac{3}{2} k_{1}^{*}|s|, \quad\left|g_{2 l}(s)\right| \leq \frac{3}{2} k_{2}^{*}|s|, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the approximate solutions $\left\{u_{l}, v_{l}\right\}$ of $\{u, v\}$ satisfying (3.21) and boundary conditions (3.23) and (3.24) constructed with

$$
h_{1 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}\right)=(m . \nu) g_{1 l}\left(u_{l}^{\prime}\right), \quad h_{2 l}\left(., u_{l}^{\prime}\right)=(m . \nu) g_{2 l}\left(u_{l}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Introduce the energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{l}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left|u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|v_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{l}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{l}(t)\right\|^{2}+\alpha\left|u_{l}(t) v_{l}(t)\right|^{2}\right], \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove inequality 2.11 for $E_{l}(t)$. The theorem will follow by taking the $\lim$ inf of both sides of this inequality. First of all, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{l}, v_{l} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad \forall l \geq l_{0} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, fix $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\left(u_{l m}\right)$ be the sequences obtained in Theorem 2.1 that approximates $u_{l}$. As $g_{1 l}$ is Lipschitzian and $g_{1 l}(0)=0$, by [3], we have $g_{1 l}\left(u_{l m}^{\prime}\right) \in V$. This fact, 3.37 and estimate 3.111 give

$$
\left(g_{1 l}\left(u_{l m}^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad \text { is bounded in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

So

$$
g_{1 l}\left(u_{l m}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \chi_{l} \quad \text { weak star in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

As in (3.16) we obtain

$$
g_{1 l}\left(u_{l m}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow g_{1 l}\left(u_{l}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

These two convergences imply

$$
(m \cdot \nu) g_{1 l}\left(u_{l}^{\prime}\right) \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

This result and boundary condition (3.23) give

$$
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; H^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Also, noting that $u_{l}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\alpha u_{l} v_{l}^{2}$ belong to $L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ (see proof of Theorem 2.1), we obtain

$$
-\Delta u_{l}=-u_{l}^{\prime \prime}-\alpha u_{l} v_{l}^{2} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

Applying results of regularity of elliptic problems to there two expressions, we obtain (3.39) for $u_{l}$. Analogously for $v_{l}$.

Regularity 3.39 allows us to obtain Rellich's identity for $u_{l}$, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left(\Delta u_{l}(t), m \cdot \nabla u_{l}(t)\right) \\
& =(n-2)\left\|u_{l}(t)\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu)\left|\nabla u_{l}(t)\right|^{2}+2 \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u_{l}(t)}{\partial \nu}\left[m \cdot \nabla u_{l}(t)\right] d \Gamma \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

(see [8 and 17]).
By (3.21) and boundary conditions (3.23, (3.24), we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t} E_{l}(t)=-\int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu) g_{1 l}\left(u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right) u_{l}^{\prime}(t) d \Gamma-\int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu) g_{2 l}\left(v_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right) v_{l}^{\prime}(t) d \Gamma
$$

and by hypothesis (H5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} E_{l}(t) \leq-d_{1}^{*} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m . \nu) u_{l}^{\prime 2}(t) d \Gamma-d_{2}^{*} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m . \nu) v_{l}^{\prime 2}(t) d \Gamma \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce the perturbed energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{l \varepsilon}(t)=E_{l}(t)+\varepsilon \psi_{l}(t), \quad \varepsilon>0 \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi_{l}(t)=\rho_{l}(t)+\theta_{l}(t)  \tag{3.43}\\
\rho_{l}(t)=2\left(u_{l}^{\prime}(t), m \cdot \nabla u_{l}(t)\right)+(n-1)\left(u_{l}^{\prime}(t), u_{l}(t)\right)  \tag{3.44}\\
\theta_{l}(t)=2\left(v_{l}^{\prime}(t), m \cdot \nabla v_{l}(t)\right)+(n-1)\left(v_{l}^{\prime}(t), v_{l}(t)\right) \tag{3.45}
\end{gather*}
$$

By direct computations, we have $\left|\psi_{l}(t)\right| \leq M E_{l}(t)$, where $M$ were defined in 2.10 . Then, for $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 M}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} E_{l}(t) \leq E_{l \varepsilon}(t) \leq \frac{3}{2} E_{l}(t), \quad 0<\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2 M} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

To facilitate the writing we omit the argument $t$ in $\rho_{l}(t)$. By identity 3.40), Green formulae, boundary condition (3.23) and noting that $u_{l}^{\prime \prime}=\Delta u_{l}-\alpha u_{l} v_{l}^{2}$, it
follows from 3.44

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{l}^{\prime}= & (n-2)\left\|u_{l}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Gamma}(m \cdot \nu)\left|\nabla u_{l}\right|^{2}+2 \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu}\left(m \cdot \nabla u_{l}\right) d \Gamma \\
& -2 \alpha\left(u_{l} v_{l}^{2}, m \cdot \nabla u_{l}\right)+2\left(u_{l}^{\prime}, m \cdot \nabla u_{l}^{\prime}\right)+(n-1)\left|u_{l}^{\prime}\right|^{2}  \tag{3.47}\\
& -(n-1)\left\|u_{l}\right\|^{2}-(n-1) \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu) g_{1 l}\left(u_{l}^{\prime}\right) u_{l} d \Gamma-\alpha(n-1)\left|u_{l} v_{l}\right|^{2} \\
= & I_{1}+I_{2}+\cdots+I_{9} .
\end{align*}
$$

The idea is to obtain

$$
\rho_{l}^{\prime} \leq-\eta E_{1 l}-\eta\left|u_{l} v_{l}\right|+C \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu) u_{l}^{2} d \Gamma
$$

where $\eta>0, C>0$ and

$$
E_{1 l}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left|u_{l}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{l}(t)\right\|^{2}\right]
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{i}}=\nu_{i} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu}, \quad\left|\nabla u_{l}\right|^{2}=\left(\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu}\right)^{2} \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

By 3.48, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=-\int_{\Gamma}(m . \nu)\left|\nabla u_{l}\right|^{2} d \Gamma=-\int_{\Gamma_{0}}(m \cdot \nu)\left(\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu}\right)^{2} d \Gamma-\int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m . \nu)\left|\nabla u_{l}\right|^{2} d \Gamma \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Analysis of $I_{3}=2 \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu}\left(m . \nabla u_{l}\right) d \Gamma$.

By (3.48) and boundary condition (3.23), we derive

$$
I_{3}=2 \int_{\Gamma_{0}}(m . \nu)\left(\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu}\right)^{2} d \Gamma-2 \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m . \nu) g_{1 l}\left(u_{l}^{\prime}\right)\left(m . \nabla u_{l}\right) d \Gamma
$$

Recall $R$ defined in (2.6). By (3.37), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu) g_{1 l}\left(u_{l}^{\prime}\right)\left(m . \nabla u_{l}\right) d \Gamma & \leq R^{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu)\left[g_{1 l}\left(u_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2} d \Gamma+\int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu)\left|\nabla u_{l}\right|^{2} d \Gamma \\
& \leq R^{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} k_{1}^{*}\right)^{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m . \nu) u_{l}^{\prime 2} d \Gamma+\int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m . \nu)\left|\nabla u_{l}\right|^{2} d \Gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3} \leq 2 \int_{\Gamma_{0}}(m \cdot \nu)\left(\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu}\right)^{2} d \Gamma+R^{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} k_{1}^{*}\right)^{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m . \nu) u_{l}^{\prime 2} d \Gamma+\int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m . \nu)\left|\nabla u_{l}\right|^{2} d \Gamma \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplifying similar terms in 3.49, 3.50 and noting that $\int_{\Gamma_{0}}(m . \nu)\left(\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial \nu}\right)^{2} d \Gamma \leq 0$, we obtain

$$
I_{2}+I_{3} \leq R^{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} k_{1}^{*}\right)^{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m . \nu) u_{l}^{\prime 2} d \Gamma
$$

- Analysis of $I_{4}=-2 \alpha\left(u_{l} v_{l}^{2}, m . \nabla u_{l}\right)$. We recall $N$ given by $(2)$ and the embedding constant $K$ given by (2.5). By (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{l}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{l}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq N, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall l \geq l_{0} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.51) and Holder inequality, we deduce

$$
I_{4} \leq 2 \alpha R K^{3} N\left\|u_{l}\right\|^{2}
$$

- Analysis of $I_{5}=2\left(u_{l}^{\prime}, m . \nabla u_{l}^{\prime}\right)$. By Green formulae and noting that $\frac{\partial m_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}=1$ and $u_{l}^{\prime}=0$ on $\Gamma_{0}$, we obtain

$$
I_{5}=-n\left|u_{l}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu) u_{l}^{\prime 2} d \Gamma
$$

- Analysis of $I_{8}=-(n-1) \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu) g_{1 l}\left(u_{l}^{\prime}\right) u_{l} d \Gamma$. Recall the embedding constant $K^{*}$ given by (2.5) and the constant $L_{1}$ given by (2.8). By (3.37) and usual inequalities, we get

$$
I_{8} \leq \frac{1}{2}(n-1)^{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} k_{1}^{*}\right)^{2} R\left(K^{*}\right)^{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m . \nu) u_{l}^{\prime 2} d \Gamma+\frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{l}\right\|^{2}
$$

that is,

$$
I_{8} \leq L_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu) u_{l}^{\prime 2} d \Gamma+\frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{l}\right\|^{2}
$$

By (3.47), using estimates for $I_{2}+I_{3}, I_{4}, I_{5}, I_{8}$ and cancelling equal terms with different sign, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{l}^{\prime} \leq & -\left|u_{l}^{\prime}\right|^{2}-\left\|u_{l}\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha R K^{3} N(\alpha)\left\|u_{l}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{l}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left[R^{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} k_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}+L_{1}+1\right] \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu) u_{l}^{\prime 2} d \Gamma-\alpha(n-1)\left|u_{l} v_{l}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall $L$ defined by 2.9. Hypothesis (H7) implies

$$
\rho_{l}^{\prime} \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{l}^{\prime}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{l}\right\|^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{4}\left|u_{l} v_{l}\right|^{2}+L \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu) u_{l}^{\prime 2} d \Gamma, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_{0}
$$

Similarly, $\theta_{l}$ given by 3.45, satisfies

$$
\theta_{l}^{\prime} \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left|v_{l}^{\prime}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{l}\right\|^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{4}\left|u_{l} v_{l}\right|^{2}+L \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu) v_{l}^{\prime 2} d \Gamma, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_{0}
$$

Combining these two inequalities with (3.42, (3.43) and using inequality (3.41), we have

$$
E_{l \varepsilon}^{\prime} \leq-\varepsilon E_{l}-\left(d_{1}^{*}-\varepsilon L\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m . \nu) u_{l}^{\prime 2} d \Gamma-\left(d_{2}^{*}-\varepsilon L\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m \cdot \nu) v_{l}^{\prime 2} d \Gamma
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{l \varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\varepsilon E_{l}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0,0<\varepsilon \leq \min \left\{\frac{d_{1}^{*}}{L}, \frac{d_{2}^{*}}{L}\right\}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_{0} \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $\omega$ given by the theorem. Then (3.46) and (3.52) hold with $\varepsilon=\omega$. By (3.46) and 3.52 , we deduce

$$
E_{l \varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\frac{2}{3} \omega E_{l \varepsilon}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0,0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_{0}
$$

This inequality and $(3.46)$ give 2.11 with $E_{l}(t)$. Inequality $(2.11)$ for the solution $\{u, v\}$ follows by taking the lim inf of both sides of the preceding inequality.
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