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# HETEROCLINIC SOLUTIONS TO AN ASYMPTOTICALLY AUTONOMOUS SECOND-ORDER EQUATION 

GREGORY S. SPRADLIN


#### Abstract

We study the differential equation $\ddot{x}(t)=a(t) V^{\prime}(x(t))$, where $V$ is a double-well potential with minima at $x= \pm 1$ and $a(t) \rightarrow l>0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. It is proven that under certain additional assumptions on $a$, there exists a heteroclinic solution $x$ to the differential equation with $x(t) \rightarrow-1$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$ and $x(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The assumptions allow $l-a(t)$ to change sign for arbitrarily large values of $|t|$, and do not restrict the decay rate of $|l-a(t)|$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.


## 1. Introduction

Consider the autonomous second-order differential equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ddot{x}(t)=l V^{\prime}(x(t)),  \tag{1.1}\\
x(t) \rightarrow-1 \text { as } t \rightarrow-\infty, \quad x(t) \rightarrow 1 \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty . \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $l>0, V \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R},[0, \infty)), V(-1)=V(1)=0$, and $V>0$ on $(-1,1)$. The presence of $l$ seems superfluous at this point; however, we will use it later. It is easy to show that (1.1)- 1.2 has a solution: multiply both sides of 1.1 by $\dot{x}(t)$ and integrate, and conclude that $\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}(t)^{2}-l V(x(t))$ is constant. Assuming that $V(x) \leq c(1 \pm x)^{2}$ for some $c>0$ in a neighborhood of -1 and 1 respectively, then setting the constant equal to zero, we find that (1.1)-(1.2) has a solution, which solves the first-order equation $\dot{x}(t)=\sqrt{2 l V(x(t))}$. That solution is unique if we impose the condition $x(0)=0$. From now on, we will refer to the unique solution of (1.1)-(1.2) with $x(0)=0$ as $\omega$.

The function $\omega$ can also be characterized as the unique (modulo translation) minimizer of the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{l}(u)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \dot{u}(t)^{2}-l V(u(t)) d t \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

over the affine space

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\left\{u \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}): u+1 \in W^{1,2}((-\infty, 0]), u-1 \in W^{1,2}([0, \infty))\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]An interesting problem is to replace $l$ by a nonconstant, positive coefficient function $a(t)$ and find conditions on $a$ under which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}(t)=a(t) V^{\prime}(x(t)) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $(1.2)$ has solutions. We must assume something: note that if $a$ is continuous and increasing, then if $x$ solves $1.1-1.2$, then $\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}(t)^{2}-a(t) V(x(t)) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow$ $\infty$, but

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}(t)^{2}-a(t) V(x(t))\right) & =\ddot{x}(t) \dot{x}(t)-a(t) V^{\prime}(x(t)) \dot{x}(t)-\dot{a}(t) V(x(t))  \tag{1.6}\\
& =-\dot{a}(t) V(x(t))<0
\end{align*}
$$

This is impossible.
There are many results concerning equations like 1.5 in which the analogue of $a(t)$ is periodic, and homoclinic, heteroclinic, and multitransition solutions of the equations are found. See [6], [10]. There seems to be fewer results for the case
(A1) $a(t) \rightarrow l>0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$
In [2, Chapter 2, Thm. 2.2], a solution is found for when $0<a(t) \leq l$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In [5] (Section 5, Example 1) a solution is found when the coefficient $a(t)$ is definitively increasing with respect to $|t|$. In [8], a solution is found in the case $l \leq a(t) \leq L$ and $L$ is suitably bounded from above. This result is a specific case of the result proven in this paper and is described more precisely later. In [9, a solution is found when $a(t)$ is increasing on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and decreasing on $\left(-\infty, t_{0}\right]$ for some $t_{0}>0$ and $l-a(t)$ decays to zero slowly enough as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. In this paper, we find conditions on $a$ which allow $l-a(t)$ to change sign for arbitrarily large $|t|$ and do not require any assumptions on the monotonicity of $a$ or the decay rate of $l-a(t)$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. In more related work, in [7] heteroclinic orbits to a nonautonomous differential equation are found that connect stationary points of different energy levels. In 4, heteroclinic solutions connecting nonconsecutive equilibria of a triple-well potential are found for a fourth-degree ordinary differential equation.

Let $V$ satisfy
(V1) $V \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$;
(V2) $V(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$;
(V3) $V(-1)=V(1)=0$;
(V4) $V>0$ on $(-1,1)$;
(V5) $V^{\prime \prime}(-1)>0, V^{\prime \prime}(1)>0$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{-}=\min \left\{x: x>-1, V^{\prime}(x)=0\right\}, \quad \xi_{+}=\max \left\{x: x<1, V^{\prime}(x)=0\right\} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\xi_{-}$and $\xi_{+}$are well-defined by (V3)-(V5). Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=\min \left(\int_{-1}^{\xi_{-}} \sqrt{V(x)} d x, \int_{\xi_{+}}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x\right)>0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function satisfying (A1) and
(A2) $0<\underline{l} \leq a(t) \leq L \equiv l+4 \nu \sqrt{l \underline{l}} / \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$
We will prove the following result.
Theorem 1.1. Let $V$ and a satisfy (V1)-(V5), (A1)-(A2). Then (1.5), 1.2) has a solution taking values in $(-1,1)$.

Note: if $V$ is even and $V>0$ on $(-1,0)$, then $L=l+2 \sqrt{l \underline{l}}$ in (A2). If $\underline{l}=l$, we obtain the result of [8]. Due to a dearth of counterexamples, it is not known whether the upper bound on $a$ in (A2) is really necessary.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 lays out the variational methods used in the proof and an outline of the proof. Section 3 contains the proofs of some subordinate propositions and lemmas, with the most involved proposition concerning the convergence of Palais-Smale sequences of the functional associated with 1.5). Section 4 wraps up the proof of Theorem 1.1 .

## 2. Variational Method and Outline of Proof

Define the functional $F: W_{\text {loc }}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}(t)^{2}+a(t) V(x(t)) d t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (V1)-(V3), $F(x)<\infty$ for all $x \in W . F: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is Fréchet differentiable with

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(x) u=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{x}(t) \dot{u}(t)+V^{\prime}(x(t)) u(t) d t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in W, u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$. Critical points of $F: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$are solutions of 1.5), (1.2). We will show via a minimax argument that $F$ has at least one critical point. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=F_{l}(\omega)>0, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{l}$ is as in 1.3). A Palais-Smale sequence for $F$ is a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right) \subset W$ with $F\left(x_{n}\right)$ convergent and $\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\left\|F^{\prime}(x)\right\|$ is defined by the operator norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime}(x)\right\|=\sup \left\{F^{\prime}(x) u: u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}),\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})}=1\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use the usual norm on $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})}=\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{u}(t)^{2}+u(t)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$-norm will be denoted simply by $\|\cdot\|$ for the rest of this article. We will prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\left(x_{n}\right) \subset W$ with $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow b \in[0, \mathcal{B}) \cup(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}+2 \nu \sqrt{2 \underline{l}}) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists $\bar{x} \in W$ solving 1.5, 1.2) and a subsequence of $\left(x_{n}\right)$ (also called $\left.\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$ with $\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

It is interesting that the conclusion of Proposition 2.1 fails precisely when $b=$ $\mathcal{B}$. To verify this, define the translation operator $\tau$ by $\tau_{a} u(t)=u(t-a)$ for any $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the Palais-Smale sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)=\left(\tau_{n} \omega\right)$ satisfies $F\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but $x_{n} \rightarrow-1$ pointwise.

We use a minimax argument similar to that in 8]. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C(\mathbb{R}, W):\left\|\tau_{t} \omega-\gamma(t)\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|t| \rightarrow \infty\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(\gamma(t)) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $c \geq \mathcal{B}$. We will show in Section 4 that $c<\mathcal{B}+2 \nu \sqrt{2 \underline{l}}$. There are two cases to consider: $c=\mathcal{B}$ and $c>\mathcal{B}$. If $c>\mathcal{B}$, then a standard deformation argument shows that there exists a Palais-Smale sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ with $F\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Applying Proposition 2.1, there exists a solution $\bar{x}$ of (1.5), 1.2) and a subsequence of $\left(x_{n}\right)$ (also denoted $\left(x_{n}\right)$ ) with $\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $c=\mathcal{B}$, then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\gamma_{n} \in \Gamma$ with $\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}} F\left(\gamma_{n}(t)\right)<\mathcal{B}+1 / n$. Choose $t_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\gamma_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)(0)=0$ and let $x_{n}=\gamma_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)$. Since $\left(F\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$ is bounded, we will show there exists a subsequence (also called $\left.\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$ and $x \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\left(x_{n}\right)$ converges to $x$ locally uniformly and weakly in $W^{1,2}([-T, T])$ for all $T>0$. We will show in Section 4 that in fact $x \in W$ and $F(x) \leq \mathcal{B}$. If $x$ is a critical point of $F$, then Theorem 1.1 is proven. Otherwise, let $\mathcal{W}(y)$ denote the gradient of $F$ at $y$ for all $y \in W$; that is, for all $y \in W$ and $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{W}(y), \varphi)_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})}=F^{\prime}(y) \varphi \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the standard inner product on $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f, g)_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{f}(t) \dot{g}(t)+f(t) g(t) d t \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\eta$ denote the solution of the gradient vector flow induced by the initial value problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d s} \eta(s, u)=-\mathcal{W}(\eta(s, u)) ; \eta(0, u)=u \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show in Section 4 that $\eta$ is well-defined on $[0, \infty) \times W$.
Recall that we have $x \in W$ with $F(x) \leq \mathcal{B}$ and $F^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$. Since $F$ is nonnegative, there exists a sequence $\left(s_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$with $F^{\prime}\left(\eta\left(s_{n}, x\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Proposition 2.1, there exists $\bar{x}$ satisfying (1.5), (1.2).

## 3. Palais-Smale Sequences

In this section, we prove Proposition 2.1 and some subsidiary lemmas and propositions leading up to it. Although the full strength of Proposition 2.1] is not necessary to prove Theorem 1.1, the strong convergence of Palais-Smale sequences that it implies is interesting and may be useful for other problems. From now on we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)>0 \quad \text { for all }|x|>1, \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x)=\infty . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may make this assumption because the solution we will find to 1.5 takes values in $(-1,1)$.

Lemma 3.1. If $x \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $F(x)<\infty$, then $x(t) \rightarrow-1$ or $x(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$, and $x(t) \rightarrow 1$ or $x(t) \rightarrow-1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, $x+1 \in W^{1,2}((-\infty, 0])$ or $x-1 \in W^{1,2}((-\infty, 0])$, and $x+1 \in W^{1,2}([0, \infty))$ or $x-1 \in W^{1,2}([0, \infty))$.

Proof. Suppose the lemma is false. Then there exist $x \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $F(x)<\infty$, $\delta>0$ and a sequence $\left(t_{n}\right)$ with $\left|t_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}(t) \in(-\infty,-1-\delta) \cup(-1+\delta, 1-\delta) \cup(1+\delta, \infty) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\inf \{V(x): x \in(-\infty,-1-\delta / 2) \cup(-1+\delta / 2,1-\delta / 2) \cup(1+\delta / 2, \infty)\}>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume without loss of generality that $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, and taking a subsequence if necessary, that $t_{n+1} \geq t_{n}+1$ for all $n$. If $x(t) \in(-\infty,-1-\delta / 2) \cup(-1+\delta / 2,1-$
$\delta / 2) \cup(1+\delta / 2, \infty)$ for all $t \in\left[t_{n}, t_{n}+1\right]$, then $\int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n}+1} V(x(t)) d t \geq \delta$. Otherwise, there exists $t^{*} \in\left[t_{n}, t_{n+1}\right]$ with $\left|x\left(t_{n}\right)-x\left(t^{*}\right)\right| \geq \delta / 2$, and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta / 2 \leq & \left|x\left(t_{n}\right)-x\left(t^{*}\right)\right| \leq \int_{t_{n}}^{t^{*}}|\dot{x}(t)| d t  \tag{3.4}\\
\leq & \sqrt{t^{*}-t_{n}}\left(\int_{t_{n}}^{t^{*}} \dot{x}(t)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \leq\left(\int_{t_{n}}^{t^{*}} \dot{x}(t)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n}+1} \dot{x}(t)^{2} d t \geq \int_{t_{n}}^{t^{*}} \dot{x}(t)^{2} d t \geq \delta^{2} / 4 \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Either way,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n}+1} \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}(t)^{2}+a(t) V(x(t)) d t \geq \min \left(\delta^{2} / 8, d \underline{l}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n}+1} \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}(t)^{2}+a(t) V(x(t)) d t \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \min \left(\delta^{2} / 8, d \underline{l}\right)=\infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction. So $x(t) \rightarrow-1$ or $x(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, $x(t) \rightarrow-1$ or $x(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$.

By (V5), there exists $\epsilon>0$ with $V(x) \geq \epsilon(x+1)^{2}$ for all $x \in(-1-\epsilon,-1+\epsilon)$ and $V(x) \geq \epsilon(x-1)^{2}$ for all $x \in(1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon)$. So if $x(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $T>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{\infty}(x(t)-1)^{2} d t \leq \int_{T}^{\infty} V(x(t)) / \epsilon d t \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon \underline{l}} \int_{T}^{\infty} a(t) V(x(t)) d t \leq \frac{F(x)}{\epsilon \underline{l}}<\infty \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x-1 \in W^{1,2}([0, \infty))$. Similar arguments apply to the cases $x(t) \rightarrow-1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty, x(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$, and $x(t) \rightarrow-1$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$.

Next we show that Palais-Smale sequences are bounded in $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$.
Lemma 3.2. Let $A, T>0$. There exists $B>0$ such that if $x \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $F(x) \leq A$, then $\|x\|_{W^{1,2}([-T, T])} \leq B$.
Proof. Clearly $\int_{-T}^{T} \dot{x}(t)^{2} d t \leq 2 A$, so it suffices to find an upper bound on $|x|$ over $[-T, T]$. Let $C>0$ such that $V(x)>C$ for all $|x| \geq A / 2 T$. Since $\int_{-T}^{T} V(x(t)) d x \leq$ $A$, there exists $t^{*} \in[T, T]$ with $V\left(t^{*}\right) \leq A / 2 T$ and $\left|x\left(t^{*}\right)\right| \leq C$. For any $s \in[-T, T]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|x(s)| & \leq\left|x\left(t^{*}\right)\right|+\left|\int_{t^{*}}^{s} \dot{x}(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq\left|x\left(t^{*}\right)\right|+\sqrt{\left|s-t^{*}\right|}\left|\int_{t^{*}}^{s} \dot{x}(t)^{2} d t\right|^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C+\sqrt{2 T} \cdot \sqrt{2 A} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\Omega}(x)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}(t)^{2}+a(t) V(x(t)) d t \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. If $x_{0}, x_{1} \in(-1,1), t_{0}<t_{1}$, and $x \in W^{1,2}\left(\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]\right)$ with $x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}$ and $x\left(t_{1}\right)=x_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]}(x) \geq \sqrt{2 \underline{l}}\left|\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} \sqrt{V(x)} d x\right| \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\omega_{\underline{l}}$ denote the unique solution in $W$ of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}(t)=\underline{l} V^{\prime}(x(t)) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying $\omega_{\underline{l}}(0)=0$. Then $\omega_{\underline{l}}$ minimizes the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\underline{l}}(u)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \dot{u}(t)^{2}+\underline{l} V(u(t)) d t \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

over $W$. By the argument following (1.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\omega}_{\underline{l}}(t)=\sqrt{2 \underline{l} V\left(\omega_{\underline{l}}(t)\right)} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x_{0}, x_{1} \in(-1,1), t_{0}<t_{1}$, and $x \in W^{1,2}\left(\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]\right)$ with $x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}$ and $x\left(t_{1}\right)=$ $x_{1}$. Assume $x_{0}<x_{1}$. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}(t)^{2}+\underline{l} V(x(t)) d t \geq \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \frac{1}{2} \dot{\omega}_{\underline{l}}(t)^{2}+\underline{l} V\left(\omega_{\underline{l}}(t)\right) d t \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

otherwise, we could replace $\left.\omega_{\underline{l}}\right|_{\left[\omega_{\underline{l}}^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right), \omega_{\underline{l}}^{-1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right]}$ by $\left.x\right|_{\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]}$ to obtain $\tilde{\omega} \in W$ with $F_{\underline{l}}(\tilde{\omega})<F_{\underline{l}}\left(\omega_{\underline{l}}\right)$, contradicting the optimality of $\omega_{\underline{l}}$. $\tilde{\omega}$ is defined by $\tilde{\omega}(t)$

$$
= \begin{cases}\omega_{\underline{l}}(t), & t \leq \omega_{\underline{l}}^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)  \tag{3.16}\\ x\left(t-\omega_{\underline{l}}^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)+t_{0}\right), & \omega_{\underline{l}}^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right) \leq t \leq \omega_{\underline{l}}^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)+t_{1}-t_{0} \\ \omega_{\underline{l}}\left(t+\left(\omega_{\underline{l}}^{-1}\left(x_{1}\right)-\omega_{\underline{l}}^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)-\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)\right), & t \geq \omega_{\underline{l}}^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)+t_{1}-t_{0}\end{cases}
$$

Now by (3.14)-3.15),

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]}(x) \geq \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \dot{\omega}_{\underline{l}}(t)^{2}=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \dot{\omega}_{\underline{l}}(t) \sqrt{2 \underline{l} V\left(\omega_{\underline{l}}(t)\right)} d t=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} \sqrt{2 \underline{l} V(x(t))} d t \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case $x_{0}>x_{1}$, define $x_{R}$, the reversal of $x$ on $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$, by $x_{R}(t)=x\left(t_{0}+\right.$ $\left.t_{1}-t\right)$. Then $x_{R}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{1}$ and $x_{R}\left(t_{1}\right)=x_{0}$ so by the first case,

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]}(x) & \geq \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}(t)^{2}+\underline{l} V(x(t)) d t=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \frac{1}{2} \dot{x_{R}}(t)^{2}+\underline{l} V\left(x_{R}(t)\right) d t \\
& \geq \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{0}} \sqrt{2 \underline{l} V\left(x_{R}(t)\right)} d t=\left|\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} \sqrt{2 \underline{l} V(x(t))} d t\right| \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $\xi_{-}$and $\xi_{+}$from (1.7), and assume from now on that

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
V(x)=V(-1+(-1-x)) & \text { for all } x \in\left[-1-\left(\xi_{-}+1\right),-1\right] \\
V(x)=V(1-(x-1)) & \text { for all } x \in\left[1,1+\left(1-\xi_{+}\right)\right] \tag{3.19}
\end{array}
$$

Again, we may assume this because our solution of $1.5,(1.2$ will take values in $(-1,1)$. To prove Proposition 2.1, we will use the following result.

Proposition 3.4. If $\left(x_{n}\right) \subset W$ with $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow b<2 \mathcal{B}+\sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x_{n} \rightarrow \bar{x} \in W$ locally uniformly and weakly in $W^{1,2}([-T, T])$ for all $T>0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\bar{x}$ solves 1.5 and $\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $\bar{x}$ be as in the Proposition statement. To prove $\bar{x}$ solves (1.5), let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \varphi=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{x}_{n}(t) \dot{\varphi}(t)+V^{\prime}\left(x_{n}(t)\right) \varphi(t) d t \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\bar{x}}(t) \dot{\varphi}(t)+V^{\prime}(\bar{x}(t)) \varphi(t) d t=F^{\prime}(\bar{x}) \varphi \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\bar{x}$ is a weak solution of 1.5 . Next we show that for any $T>0, \| x_{n}-$ $\bar{x} \|_{W^{1,2}([-T, T])} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $T>0$. Since $x_{n} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ uniformly on $[-T, T]$, $\int_{-T}^{T}\left(x_{n}(t)-\bar{x}(t)\right)^{2} d t \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We must therefore show that $\int_{-T}^{T}\left(\dot{x}_{n}(t)-\right.$ $\dot{\bar{x}}(t))^{2} d t \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\dot{x}_{n} \rightarrow \dot{\bar{x}}$ weakly in $L^{2}([-T, T])$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^{T}\left(\dot{x}_{n}(t)-\bar{x}(t)\right)^{2} d t \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^{T} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2}-2 \int_{-T}^{T} \dot{x}_{n}(t) \dot{\bar{x}}(t) d t+\int_{-T}^{T} \dot{\bar{x}}(t)^{2} d t  \tag{3.22}\\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^{T} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2}-\dot{\bar{x}}(t)^{2} d t,
\end{align*}
$$

and it suffices to prove $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^{T} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2}-\dot{\bar{x}}(t)^{2} d t=0$. Define $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$
u_{n}(t)= \begin{cases}0 & t \leq-T-1  \tag{3.23}\\ \left(x_{n}(-T)-\bar{x}(-T)\right)(t+T+1) & -T-1 \leq t \leq-T \\ x_{n}(t)-\bar{x}(t) & -T \leq t \leq T \\ \left(x_{n}(T)-\bar{x}(T)\right)(-t+T+1) & T \leq t \leq T+1 \\ 0 & t \geq T+1\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $[-T-1, T+1]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) u_{n}+F^{\prime}(\bar{x}) u_{n} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(x_{n}, u_{n}\right)_{W^{1,2}([-T-1, T+1])}+\left(\bar{x}, u_{n}\right)_{W^{1,2}([-T-1, T+1])} \\
& -\int_{-T-1}^{T+1} a(t) V^{\prime}\left(x_{n}(t)\right) u_{n}(t) d t-\int_{-T-1}^{T+1} a(t) V^{\prime}(\bar{x}(t)) u_{n}(t) d t  \tag{3.24}\\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(x_{n}, u_{n}\right)_{W^{1,2}([-T-1, T+1])}+\left(\bar{x}, u_{n}\right)_{W^{1,2}([-T-1, T+1])} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{W^{1,2}([-T-1,-T])} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{W^{1,2}([T, T+1])} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(x_{n}, u_{n}\right)_{W^{1,2}([-T, T])}+\left(\bar{x}, u_{n}\right)_{W^{1,2}([-T, T])} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^{T} \dot{x}_{n}(t)\left(\dot{x}_{n}(t)-\dot{\bar{x}}(t)\right)+x_{n}(t)\left(x_{n}(t)-\bar{x}(t)\right) \\
& +\dot{\bar{x}}(t)\left(\dot{x}_{n}(t)-\dot{\bar{x}}(t)\right)+\bar{x}(t)\left(x_{n}(t)-\bar{x}(t)\right) d t  \tag{3.25}\\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^{T} \dot{x}_{n}^{2}(t)-\dot{\bar{x}}(t)^{2}+x_{n}(t)^{2}-\bar{x}(t)^{2} d t \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^{T} \dot{x}_{n}^{2}(t)-\dot{\bar{x}}(t)^{2} d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|_{W^{1,2}([-T, T])} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Suppose $\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\| \nrightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exist $\delta>0$ and a sequence ( $T_{n}$ ) with $T_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|_{\mathbb{R} \backslash\left[-T_{n}, T_{n}\right]}^{2} \geq 4 \delta^{2} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n$. Along a subsequence, either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(\left(-\infty,-T_{n}\right]\right)}^{2} \geq 2 \delta^{2} \quad \text { or } \quad\left\|x_{n}-\bar{x}\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(\left[T_{n}, \infty\right)\right)}^{2} \geq 2 \delta^{2} . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume the former; the latter case is similar. Since $1+\bar{x} \in W^{1,2}((-\infty, 0])$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}+1\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(\left(-\infty,-T_{n}\right]\right)} \geq \delta \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $n$. There are two cases to consider:
Case I: For all $\epsilon>0$, there exists $M>0$ such that $\left|1+x_{n}(t)\right|<\epsilon$ for all $n$ and $t \leq-M$.
Case II: There exists $d \in(0,1)$ and a sequence $\left(t_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $t_{n} \rightarrow-\infty$ and $\left|1+x_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)\right|>d$ for all $n$.
Case I: let $\xi^{*} \in\left(-1, \xi_{-}\right)$and $c \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}(x) x \geq c(1+x)^{2} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in\left[-1-\left(\xi^{*}+1\right), \xi^{*}\right]$. This is possible by (V3)-(V5), 3.19), and the definition of $\xi_{-}$. Let $M>0$ be large enough so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1+x_{n}(t)\right|<\min \left(1+\xi^{*}, \frac{c \delta^{2}}{8(1+\sqrt{b})}\right) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \leq-M$. Define $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$
u_{n}(t)= \begin{cases}1+x_{n}(t) & t \leq-M  \tag{3.31}\\ \left(1+x_{n}(-M)\right)(1-M-t) & -M \leq t \leq-M+1 \\ 0 & t \geq-M+1\end{cases}
$$

We will show $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $K>0$ so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq K \quad \text { and } \quad(x+1)^{2} \leq K V(x) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in\left[-1-\left(\xi^{*}+1\right), \xi^{*}\right]$. This is possible by (V1)-(V5), 3.19), and the definition of $\xi_{-}$. For large $n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}= & \int_{-\infty}^{-M} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2}+\left(1+x_{n}(t)\right)^{2} d t+\left(1+x_{n}(-M)\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+x_{n}(-M)\right) \\
\leq & \left(2+\frac{K}{\underline{l}}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{-M} \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2}+a(t) V\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d t \\
& +(1+\bar{x}(-M))^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(1+\bar{x}(-M))+1 \\
\leq & \left(2+\frac{K}{\underline{l}}\right) F\left(x_{n}\right)+(1+\bar{x}(-M))^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(1+\bar{x}(-M))+1 \\
\leq & \left(2+\frac{K}{\underline{l}}\right)(2 b)+(1+\bar{x}(-M))^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(1+\bar{x}(-M))+1 \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0, F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But for large $n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) u_{n} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{-M} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2}+V^{\prime}\left(x_{n}(t)\right)\left(1+x_{n}(t)\right) d t+\int_{-M}^{-M+1}\left(1+x_{n}(-M)\right) \dot{x}_{n}(t) d t \\
&+\int_{-M}^{-M+1}\left(1+x_{n}(-M)\right)(1-M-t) d t \\
& \geq \int_{-\infty}^{-M} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2}+c\left(1+x_{n}(t)\right)^{2} d t  \tag{3.34}\\
&-\left|1+x_{n}(-M)\right|\left(\int_{-M}^{-M+1} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}-\frac{1}{2}\left|1+x_{n}(-M)\right| \\
& \geq c\left\|1+x_{n}\right\|_{\left.W^{1,2}(-\infty,-M]\right)}^{2}-\left|1+x_{n}(-M)\right|\left(\sqrt{2 F\left(x_{n}\right)}+1\right) \\
& \geq c \delta^{2}-(1+2 \sqrt{b})\left|1+x_{n}(-M)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2} c \delta^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

by 3.30. This is impossible.
Case II: by the arguments of Lemma 3.3 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x) \geq \sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in W$, including $\bar{x}$. Let $d$ and $\left(t_{n}\right)$ be as in Case I. Let $M>0$ be large enough so that $|1+\bar{x}(t)|<d / 2$ for all $t \leq-M$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{[-M, M]}(\bar{x})>\sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x-\frac{1}{10}\left(2 \mathcal{B}+\sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x-b\right) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\alpha_{n} \leq \beta_{n}<-M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n}=\max \left\{t<-M:\left|1+x_{n}(t)\right|=d\right\}, \quad \alpha_{n}=\min \left\{t:\left|1+x_{n}(t)\right|=d\right\} \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x_{n} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ locally uniformly and $|1+\bar{x}(t)|<d / 2<1$ for all $t \leq-M, \beta_{n} \rightarrow-\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Define $v_{n}=\tau_{-\beta_{n}} x_{n}$. By Fatou's Lemma, the weak lower semicontinuity of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{x}(t)^{2} d t$, and Lemma 3.2 , there exists $\bar{v} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $F(\bar{v})<\infty$ and $v_{n} \rightarrow \bar{v}$ locally uniformly and weakly in $W^{1,2}([-T, T])$ for all $T>0$. By the arguments of 3.21, $F_{l}^{\prime}(\bar{v})=0$. By the definition of $\beta_{n}, \bar{v}(t) \leq-1+d<0$ for
all $t>0$. Therefore, by the arguments of Lemma 3.1 applied to $F_{l}$ instead of $F$, $\bar{v}(t) \rightarrow-1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. By the arguments following $1.2, \dot{\bar{v}}(t)=-\sqrt{2 l V(\bar{v}(t))}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\omega_{R}$ denote the reversal of $\omega: \omega_{R}(t)=\omega(-t)$ for all $t$. Clearly $\bar{v}=\tau_{\lambda} \omega_{R}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. By the arguments of 3.22 )-(3.25),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-\tau_{\lambda+\beta_{n}} \omega_{R}\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(\left[\beta_{n}-T, \beta_{n}+T\right]\right)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $T>0$. This implies $\beta_{n}-\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For all $n$ and all $t<\alpha_{n}, x_{n}(t)<-1+d / 2<0$. Therefore, arguments similar to those above show that there exists $\lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-\tau_{\lambda_{2}+\alpha_{n}} \omega\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(\left[\alpha_{n}-T, \alpha_{n}+T\right]\right)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $T>0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{l \Omega}(x)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}(t)^{2}+l V(x(t)) d t \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Still assuming that $M$ is large enough so that 3.36 holds, assume also that $M$ is large enough that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{l-M, M]}\left(\tau_{\lambda} \omega_{R}\right)>\mathcal{B}-\frac{1}{10}\left(2 \mathcal{B}+\sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x-b\right), \\
& F_{l[-M, M]}\left(\tau_{\lambda_{2}} \omega\right)>\mathcal{B}-\frac{1}{10}\left(2 \mathcal{B}+\sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x-b\right), \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Then for large $n$, (3.36), (3.38)-(3.41), $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow-\infty, \beta_{n} \rightarrow-\infty$, and $a(t) \rightarrow l$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ imply

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(x_{n}\right) \geq & F_{\left[\alpha_{n}-M, \alpha_{n}+M\right]}\left(x_{n}\right)+F_{\left[\beta_{n}-M, \beta_{n}+M\right]}\left(x_{n}\right)+F_{[-M, M]}\left(x_{n}\right) \\
\geq & \left(\mathcal{B}-\frac{1}{5}\left(2 \mathcal{B}+\sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x-b\right)\right. \\
& +\left(\mathcal{B}-\frac{1}{5}\left(2 \mathcal{B}+\sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x-b\right)\right. \\
& +\left(\sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x-\frac{1}{5}\left(2 \mathcal{B}+\sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x-b\right)\right)  \tag{3.42}\\
& -\frac{1}{5}\left(2 \mathcal{B}+\sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x-b\right) \\
= & 2 \mathcal{B}+\sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x-\frac{4}{5}\left(2 \mathcal{B}+\sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x-b\right) \\
\equiv & b^{+}>b .
\end{align*}
$$

This is impossible. Proposition 3.4 is proven.
Proof of Proposition 2.1. There are two cases: $b<\mathcal{B}$ and $b>\mathcal{B}$. The case $b<\mathcal{B}$ is easier. Let $\left(x_{n}\right) \subset W$ with $F\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow b<\mathcal{B}$ and $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma $3.2\left(x_{n}\right)$ converges locally uniformly and weakly in $W^{1,2}([-T, T])$ for all $T>0$ to some function $\bar{x} \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$. By Fatou's Lemma and the weak lower semicontinuity of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{x}(t)^{2} d t, F(\bar{x})<\infty$. By Proposition 3.4, it suffices to show $\bar{x} \in W$. Suppose $\bar{x} \notin W$. Then by Lemma 3.1, $\bar{x}(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$ or $\bar{x}(t) \rightarrow-1$
as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose $\bar{x}(t) \rightarrow-1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (the proof for $\bar{x}(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$ is similar). Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}=\int_{\omega^{-1}(-1+\epsilon)}^{\omega^{-1}(1-\epsilon)} \frac{1}{2} \dot{\omega}^{2}(t)+l V(\omega(t)) d t \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\epsilon>0$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be small enough that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{l-\epsilon}{l}\right) \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}>b . \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T>0$ be large enough so that $a \geq l-\epsilon$ on $[T, \infty)$ and $\bar{x}(T)<-1+\epsilon$. Let $n$ be large enough that $x_{n}(T)<-1+\epsilon$. Let $T<\alpha<\beta$ with $x_{n}(\alpha)=-1+\epsilon$, $x_{n}(\beta)=1-\epsilon$. By arguments similar to those of Lemma 3.3,

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(x_{n}\right) & \geq F_{[\alpha, \beta]}\left(x_{n}\right)=\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2}+a(t) V\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d t \\
& \geq \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2}+(l-\epsilon) V\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d t  \tag{3.45}\\
& \geq \frac{l-\epsilon}{l} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2}+l V\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d t \\
& \geq \frac{l-\epsilon}{l} \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon} \equiv b^{+}>b .
\end{align*}
$$

This is a contradiction.
Now suppose $b \in(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}+2 \nu \sqrt{l \underline{l}})$. As before, along a subsequence, $\left(x_{n}\right)$ converges locally uniformly and weakly in $W^{1,2}([-T, T])$ for all $T>0$ to a function $\bar{x} \in$ $W_{\text {loc }}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $F(\bar{x}) \leq b$. We must show $\bar{x} \in W$; then applying Proposition 3.4 proves Theorem 1.1. Suppose $\bar{x}(t) \nrightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (the proof for $\bar{x}(t) \nrightarrow-1$ as $t \rightarrow$ $-\infty$ is similar). By Lemma 3.1, $\bar{x}(t) \rightarrow-1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $t_{n}=\max \left\{t: x_{n}(t)=0\right\}$. Then $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the arguments following (3.37) and the arguments of (3.22)-3.25),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-\tau_{t_{n}} \omega\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(\left[t_{n}-M, t_{n}+M\right]\right)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $M>0$. Let $-1<e_{1}<\xi_{i}<\xi_{-}$with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2 \underline{l}} \int_{e_{1}}^{\xi_{1}} \sqrt{V(x)} d x>\nu \sqrt{2 \underline{l}}-\frac{1}{5}(\mathcal{B}+2 \nu \sqrt{2 \underline{l}}-b) . \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c \in(0,1)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}(x)(1+x) \geq c V(x) \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in\left[-1, \xi_{1}\right]$. Let $K>0$ be large enough that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V^{\prime}(x)\right|<K \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in[-1,1]$. Let $M>0$ be large enough that

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{l-M, M]}(\omega)>\mathcal{B}-\frac{1}{6}(\mathcal{B}+2 \nu \sqrt{2 \underline{l}}-b)  \tag{3.50}\\
1+\omega(-M)<\min \left(\frac{c(b-\mathcal{B})}{16(K+2 \sqrt{b})}, 1+e_{1}\right) \tag{3.51}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (3.46) and the fact that $a(t) \rightarrow l$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\left[t_{n}-M, t_{n}+M\right]}\left(x_{n}\right)<\mathcal{B}+\frac{1}{2}(b-\mathcal{B}) \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $n$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\left(-\infty, t_{n}-M\right]}\left(x_{n}\right)+F_{\left[t_{n}+M, \infty\right)}\left(x_{n}\right)>\frac{1}{3}(b-\mathcal{B}) \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $F_{\left(-\infty, t_{n}-M\right]}>(b-\mathcal{B}) / 6$ (the case $F_{\left[t_{n}+M, \infty\right)}>(b-\mathcal{B}) / 6$ is similar). There are two possible cases: along a subsequence,
Case I: $\left|1+x_{n}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right| \geq 1+\xi_{1}$ for $\alpha_{n}<t_{n}-M$,
Case II: $\left|1+x_{n}(t)\right|<1+\xi_{1}$ for all $t<t_{n}-M$.
For Case I, assume $1+x_{n}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \geq 1+\xi_{1}$ (the case $1+x_{n}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \leq-\left(1+\xi_{1}\right)$ is similar due to (3.19). For large $n$, by Lemma 3.3, (3.47), (3.51), (3.46), (3.50), (A1), and $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(x_{n}\right) & \geq F_{\left(-\infty, \alpha_{n}\right]}\left(x_{n}\right)+F_{\left[\alpha_{n}, t_{n}-M\right]}\left(x_{n}\right)+F_{\left[t_{n}-M, t_{n}+M\right]}\left(x_{n}\right) \\
& \geq 2\left(\nu \sqrt{2 \underline{l}}-\frac{1}{5}(\mathcal{B}+2 \nu \sqrt{2 \underline{l}}-b)\right)+\left(\mathcal{B}-\frac{1}{5}(\mathcal{B}+2 \nu \sqrt{2 \underline{l}}-b)\right)  \tag{3.54}\\
& =\mathcal{B}+2 \nu \sqrt{2 \underline{l}}-\frac{3}{5}(\mathcal{B}+2 \nu \sqrt{2 \underline{l}}-b) \equiv b^{+}>b .
\end{align*}
$$

This is impossible.
For Case II, define $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$
u_{n}(t)= \begin{cases}1+x_{n}(t) & t \leq t_{n}-M  \tag{3.55}\\ \left(1+x_{n}\left(t_{n}-M\right)\right)\left(t_{n}-M+1-t\right) & t_{n}-M \leq t \leq t_{n}-M+1 \\ 0 & t \geq t_{n}-M+1\end{cases}
$$

The sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$, as in 3.33). So $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) u_{n} \rightarrow 0$. But for large $n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) u_{n} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{t_{n}-M} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2}+a(t) V^{\prime}\left(x_{n}(t)\right)\left(1+x_{n}(t)\right) d t \\
&-\left(1+x_{n}\left(t_{n}-M\right)\right) \int_{t_{n}-M}^{t_{n}-M+1} \dot{x}_{n}(t) d t \\
&+\left(1+x_{n}\left(t_{n}-M\right)\right) \int_{t_{n}-M}^{t_{n}-M+1} V^{\prime}\left(x_{n}(t)\right)\left(t_{n}-M+1-t\right) d t \\
& \geq \int_{-\infty}^{t_{n}-M} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2}+c a(t) V\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d t  \tag{3.56}\\
&-\left|1+x_{n}\left(t_{n}-M\right)\right|\left(\int_{t_{n}-M}^{t_{n}-M+1} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}-K\left|1+x_{n}(t-M)\right| \\
& \geq c \int_{-\infty}^{t_{n}-M} \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_{n}(t)^{2}+a(t) V\left(x_{n}(t)\right) d t-(K+2 \sqrt{b})\left|1+x_{n}\left(t_{n}-M\right)\right| \\
&= c F_{\left(-\infty, t_{n}-M\right]}\left(x_{n}\right)-(K+2 \sqrt{b})\left|1+x_{n}\left(t_{n}-M\right)\right| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{6} c(b-\mathcal{B})-\frac{1}{12} c(b-\mathcal{B})=\frac{1}{12} c(b-\mathcal{B})>0
\end{align*}
$$

by 3.51. This is impossible. Case II is proven. Proposition 2.1 is proven.

## 4. Completion of Proof

In this section we tie up some loose ends from Section 2. It was asserted that $c<\mathcal{B}+2 \nu \sqrt{2 \underline{l}}$, where $c$ is from 2.8). Define $\gamma_{0} \in \Gamma$ by $\gamma_{0}(t)=\tau_{t}(\omega)$. We will show $\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}} F\left(\omega_{0}(t)\right)<\mathcal{B}$. Since $F\left(\gamma_{0}(t)\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, and $F\left(\gamma_{0}(t)\right)$ is continuous in $t$, it suffices to prove that $F\left(\gamma_{0}(t)\right)<\mathcal{B}+2 \nu \sqrt{2 \underline{l}}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We will prove this for $t=0$; the proof is similar for other $t$. After $\sqrt{(1.2)}$, it is proven that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\omega(t))=\frac{\dot{\omega}(t)}{\sqrt{2 l}} \sqrt{V(\omega(t))} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t$. Since $a(t) \rightarrow l$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, and $\omega(t) \in(-1,1)$ for all $t$, (A2) gives us

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(\gamma_{0}(0)\right) & =F(\omega)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \dot{\omega}(t)^{2}+a(t) V(\omega(t)) d t \\
& <\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \dot{\omega}(t)^{2}+L V(\omega(t)) d t \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \dot{\omega}(t)^{2}+l V(\omega(t)) d t+(L-l) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(\omega(t)) d t  \tag{4.2}\\
& =\mathcal{B}+\frac{4 \nu \sqrt{l \underline{l}}}{\int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{V(x)} d x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 l}} \dot{\omega}(t) \sqrt{V(\omega(t))} d t=\mathcal{B}+2 \nu \sqrt{2 \underline{l}} .
\end{align*}
$$

We must prove that the gradient vector flow from 2.11 is well-defined on $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times W$. Since $F$ is $C^{2}$, it suffices to show that for all $A>0$, there exists $B>0$ such that if $x \in W$ with $F(x) \leq A,\left\|F^{\prime}(x)\right\| \leq B$ : By (V5), it is possible to extend $V$ from $\left[-1-\left(\xi_{-}+1\right), 1+\left(1-\xi_{+}\right)\right]$(see 3.19$)$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that there exists $K>0$ with $V^{\prime}(x)^{2} \leq K V(x)$ for all real $x$. Let $x \in W$ with $F(x) \leq A$ and $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})}=1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime}(x) u= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{x}(t) \dot{u}(t)+a(t) V^{\prime}(x(t)) u(t) d t \\
\leq & \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{x}(t)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(t)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +L\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V^{\prime}(x(t))^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(t)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{4.3}\\
\leq & \sqrt{2 A}+L\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K V(x(t)) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\leq & \sqrt{2 A}+L \sqrt{K / \underline{l}}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(t) V(x(t)) d t\right) 1 / 2 \\
\leq & \sqrt{2 A}+L \sqrt{K A / \underline{l}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here is the "standard deformation argument" alluded to after 2.8): suppose $c>\mathcal{B}$, and suppose there does not exist a Palais-Smale sequence $\left(x_{n}\right) \subset W$ with $F\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then there exist $\epsilon, \delta>0$ such that $\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|>\delta$ for all $x \in W$ with $F(x) \in[c-\epsilon, c+\epsilon]$. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(\gamma(t))<c+\epsilon$. Let $T>0$ be large enough so that $F(\gamma(t))<c(>\mathcal{B})$ for $|t| \geq T$. Let $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R},[0,1])$ with $\varphi=0$ on $(-\infty,-T-1] \cup[T+1, \infty)$ and $\varphi=1$ on $[-T, T]$. Define $\gamma_{2} \in \Gamma$ by $\gamma_{2}(t)=\eta\left(\frac{2 \varphi(t) \epsilon}{\delta^{2}}, \gamma(t)\right)$, where $\eta$ is the gradient vector flow from 2.11. Since
$\frac{d}{d s} F(\eta(s, u))=-\| F^{\prime}\left(\eta(s, u) \|^{2}\right.$ for all $u \in W, s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, F\left(\gamma_{2}(t)\right)<c$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. $F\left(\gamma_{2}(t)\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, so $\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}} F\left(\gamma_{2}(t)\right)<c$, contradicting the definition of $c$.

In the $c=\mathcal{B}$ case after (2.8), we have $\left(x_{n}\right) \subset W$ with $F\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow b \leq \mathcal{B}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_{n}(0)=0$ for all $n$. Since $F\left(x_{n}\right)$ is bounded, there exists $\bar{x} \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ and a subsequence of $\left(x_{n}\right)$ (also denoted $\left(x_{n}\right)$ ) such that $x_{n} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ locally uniformly and weakly in $W^{1,2}([-T, T])$ for all $T>0$. As before, $F(\bar{x}) \leq b \leq \mathcal{B}$. We must prove $\bar{x} \in W$. Suppose otherwise. By Lemma 3.1, $\bar{x}(t) \rightarrow 1$ or -1 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $\bar{x}(t) \rightarrow 1$ or -1 as $t \rightarrow-\infty$. Suppose $\bar{x}(t) \rightarrow-1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (the proof for $\bar{x}(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$ is similar). Let $\mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}$ be as in (3.43) and let $\epsilon>0$ be small enough that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{l-\epsilon}{l} \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}>\mathcal{B}-F_{[-1,1]}(\bar{x}) / 2 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T>1$ be large enough so $a \geq l-\epsilon$ on $[t, \infty)$ and $\bar{x}(T)<-1+\epsilon$. Then, as in (3.45), for large $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x_{n}\right) \geq F_{[-1,1]}\left(x_{n}\right)+F_{[T, \infty)}\left(x_{n}\right) \geq F_{[-1,1]}(\bar{x}) / 2+\frac{l-\epsilon}{l} \mathcal{B}_{\epsilon}>\mathcal{B} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is impossible.
The final step in the proof is to show that a solution of 1.1 in $W$ takes values in $(-1,1)$. Suppose $x \in W$ and solves 1.1). If $x(t)>1$ for some real $t$, then let $t_{\max } \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x\left(t_{\max }\right)=\max _{t \in \mathbb{R}} x(t)$. $\ddot{x}\left(t_{\max }\right) \leq 0$, but $V\left(x\left(t_{\max }\right)\right)>0$. This is impossible. Similarly, $x(t) \leq 1$ for all real $t$. Now suppose $x\left(t^{*}\right)=1$. Then $x$ satisfies the Cauchy problem (1.1), $x\left(t^{*}\right)=1, \dot{x}\left(t^{*}\right)=0$, so by $(\mathrm{V} 1), x \equiv 1$. This is a contradiction. Similarly, $x(t)>-1$ for all real $t$.
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