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# IMPULSIVE BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS FOR FIRST-ORDER INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 

XIAOJING WANG, CHUANZHI BAI


#### Abstract

This article concerns boundary-value problems of first-order nonlinear impulsive integro-differential equations: $$
\begin{aligned} & y^{\prime}(t)+a(t) y(t)=f(t, y(t),(T y)(t),(S y)(t)), \quad t \in J_{0} \\ & \Delta y\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p \\ & y(0)+\lambda \int_{0}^{c} y(s) d s=-y(c), \quad \lambda \leq 0 \end{aligned}
$$ where $J_{0}=[0, c] \backslash\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p}\right\}, f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), I_{k} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $a \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $a(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in[0, c]$. Sufficient conditions for the existence of coupled extreme quasi-solutions are established by using the method of lower and upper solutions and monotone iterative technique. Wang and Zhang 18 studied the existence of extremal solutions for a particular case of this problem, but their solution is incorrect.


## 1. Introduction

In recent years, many authors have paid attention to the research of differential equations with impulsive boundary conditions, because of their potential applications; see for example [4, 6, 9, 12, 13, 15, 17. First-order and second-order impulsive differential equations with anti-periodic boundary conditions have also drawn much attention; see [1, 2, 3, ,5, 7, 8, 14, 16, 19,

Recently, Wang and Zhang [18] studied the existence of extremal solutions of the following nonlinear anti-periodic boundary value problem of first-order integrodifferential equation with impulse at fixed points

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime}(t)=f(t, y(t),(T y)(t),(S y)(t)), \quad t \in J_{0}, \\
\Delta y\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p,  \tag{1.1}\\
y(0)=-y(T),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $J=[0, T], J_{0}=J \backslash\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p}\right\}, 0<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{p}<T, f \in$ $C(J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), I_{k} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \Delta y\left(t_{k}\right)=y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$denotes the jump of

[^0]$y(t)$ at $t=t_{k} ; y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$and $y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$represent the right and left limits of $y(t)$ at $t=t_{k}$, respectively.
$$
(T y)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} k(t, s) y(s) d s, \quad(S y)(t)=\int_{0}^{T} h(t, s) y(s) d s
$$
$k \in C\left(D, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), D=\{(t, s) \in J \times J: t \geq s\}, h \in C\left(J \times J, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. Unfortunately, their extremal solutions $y_{*}(t), y^{*}(t)$ are wrong. In fact, by [18, Theorem 3.1] we obtain
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{*}^{\prime}(t)=f\left(t, y_{*}(t),\left(T y_{*}\right)(t),\left(S y_{*}\right)(t)\right), \quad t \in J_{0} \\
\Delta y_{*}\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(y_{*}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p \\
y_{*}(0)=-y^{*}(T)
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{* \prime}(t)=f\left(t, y^{*}(t),\left(T y^{*}\right)(t),\left(S y^{*}\right)(t)\right), \quad t \in J_{0} \\
\Delta y^{*}\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(y^{*}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p \\
y^{*}(0)=-y_{*}(T)
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that $y_{*}(t), y^{*}(t)$ are not solutions of 1.1. So the conclusions of [18] are reconsidered here, for a more general equation.

In this paper, we investigate the following integral boundary value problem for first-order integro-differential equation with impulses at fixed points

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime}(t)+a(t) y(t)=f(t, y(t),(T y)(t),(S y)(t)), \quad t \in J_{0} \\
& \Delta y\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p  \tag{1.2}\\
& y(0)+\lambda \int_{0}^{c} y(s) d s=-y(c), \quad \lambda \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $J=[0, c], J_{0}=J \backslash\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{p}\right\}, 0<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{p}<c, f \in$ $C(J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), I_{k} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), a \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $a(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in J$.

$$
(T y)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} k(t, s) y(s) d s, \quad(S y)(t)=\int_{0}^{c} h(t, s) y(s) d s
$$

$k \in C\left(D, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), D=\{(t, s) \in J \times J: t \geq s\}, h \in C\left(J \times J, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.
Remark 1.1. If $a(t) \equiv 0$ and $\lambda \equiv 0$, then 1.2 reduces to (1.1).
We will give the concept of coupled quasi-solutions of BVP 1.2 in next section. It is well known that the monotone iterative technique offers an approach for obtaining approximate solutions of nonlinear differential equations, for details, see [10, 11] and the references therein. The aim of this paper is to investigate the existence of coupled quasi-solutions of $\sqrt[1.2]{ }$ by using the method of upper and lower solutions combined with a monotone iterative technique. Our result correct and generalize the main result of [18].

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some definitions needed for introducing the concept of quasi-solutions for (1.2). Let
$P C(J)=\left\{y: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: y\right.$ is continuous at $t \in J_{0} ;$

$$
\left.y\left(0^{+}\right), y\left(T^{-}\right), y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right), y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) \text {exist and } y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=y\left(t_{k}\right), k=1, \ldots, p\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P C^{1}(J)=\left\{y \in P C(J): y \text { is continuously differentiable for } t \in J_{0}\right. \\
&\left.y^{\prime}\left(0^{+}\right), y^{\prime}\left(T^{-}\right), y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right), y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) \text {exist, } k=1, \ldots, p\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The sets $P C(J)$ and $P C^{1}(J)$ are Banach spaces with the norms

$$
\|y\|_{P C(J)}=\sup \{|y(t)|: t \in J\}, \quad\|y\|_{P C^{1}(J)}=\|y\|_{P C(J)}+\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{P C(J)} .
$$

Definition 2.1. Functions $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0} \in P C^{1}(J)$ are said to be coupled lower-upper quasi-solutions to the problem (1.2) if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{0}^{\prime}(t)+a(t) \alpha_{0}(t) \leq f\left(t, \alpha_{0}(t),\left(T \alpha_{0}\right)(t),\left(S \alpha_{0}\right)(t)\right), \quad t \in J_{0}, \\
\Delta \alpha_{0}\left(t_{k}\right) \leq I_{k}\left(\alpha_{0}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p, \\
\alpha_{0}(0)+\lambda \int_{0}^{c} \alpha_{0}(s) d s \leq-\beta_{0}(c), \quad \lambda \leq 0,  \tag{2.1}\\
\beta_{0}^{\prime}(t)+a(t) \beta_{0}(t) \geq f\left(t, \beta_{0}(t),\left(T \beta_{0}\right)(t),\left(S \beta_{0}\right)(t)\right), \quad t \in J_{0}, \\
\Delta \beta_{0}\left(t_{k}\right) \geq I_{k}\left(\beta_{0}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p, \\
\beta_{0}(0)+\lambda \int_{0}^{c} \beta_{0}(s) d s \geq-\alpha_{0}(c), \quad \lambda \leq 0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that if $\alpha_{0}(c)=\beta_{0}(c)$, then the above definition reduces to the notion of lower and upper solutions of 1.2 .
Definition 2.2. Functions $v, w \in P C^{1}(J)$ are said to be coupled quasi-solutions to 1.2 if

$$
\begin{align*}
& v^{\prime}(t)+a(t) v(t)=f(t, v(t),(T v)(t),(S v)(t)), \quad t \in J_{0} \\
& \Delta v\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(v\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p, \\
& v(0)+\lambda \int_{0}^{c} v(s) d s=-w(c), \quad \lambda \leq 0, \\
& w^{\prime}(t)+a(t) w(t)=f(t, w(t),(T w)(t),(S w)(t)), \quad t \in J_{0}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \Delta w\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(w\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p, \\
& w(0)+\lambda \int_{0}^{c} w(s) d s=-v(c), \quad \lambda \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0} \in P C^{1}(J)$ and $\alpha_{0}(t) \leq \beta_{0}(t)$ for $t \in J_{0}$. In what follows we define the segment

$$
\left[\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right]=\left\{u \in P C^{1}(J): \alpha_{0}(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta_{0}(t), t \in J\right\}
$$

Definition 2.3. Let $u, v$ be coupled quasi-solutions of 1.2 such as $u(t) \leq v(t)$ for $t \in J_{0}$. Assume that $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0} \in P C^{1}(J)$ and $\alpha_{0}(t) \leq \beta_{0}(t)$ for $t \in J_{0}$. Coupled quasi-solutions $u, v$ of 1.2 are called coupled minimal-maximal quasi-solutions in segment $\left[\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right]$ if $\alpha_{0}(t) \leq u(t), v(t) \leq \beta_{0}(t)$ for $t \in J_{0}$ and for any $U, V$ coupled quasi-solutions of 1.2 , such as $\alpha_{0}(t) \leq U(t), V(t) \leq \beta_{0}(t)$ for $t \in J_{0}$ we have $u(t) \leq U(t)$ and $V(t) \leq v(t), t \in J_{0}$.

For convenience, we assume the following conditions are satisfied
(H1) Functions $\alpha_{0}(t), \beta_{0}(t)$ are coupled lower-upper quasi-solutions of 1.2 such that $\alpha_{0}(t) \leq \beta_{0}(t)$ for $t \in J_{0}$.
(H2) There exist $M>0, N, N_{1} \geq 0$ such that

$$
f\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)-f\left(t, x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right) \geq-M\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)-N\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)-N_{1}\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)
$$

for $\alpha_{0} \leq x_{2} \leq x_{1} \leq \beta_{0}, T \alpha_{0} \leq y_{2} \leq y_{1} \leq T \beta_{0}, S \alpha_{0} \leq z_{2} \leq z_{1} \leq S \beta_{0}$, $t \in J$.
(H3) There exist $0 \leq L_{k}<1, k=1,2, \ldots, p$, satisfy

$$
I_{k}(x)-I_{k}(y) \geq-L_{k}(x-y)
$$

for $\alpha_{0} \leq y \leq x \leq \beta_{0}, t \in J$.
Now we consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime}(t)+M y(t)+N(T y)(t)+N_{1}(S y)(t)=\sigma(t), \quad t \in J_{0} \\
\Delta y\left(t_{k}\right)=-L_{k} y\left(t_{k}\right)+b_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p  \tag{2.3}\\
y(0)=b
\end{gather*}
$$

where $M>0, N, N_{1} \geq 0, L_{k}<1, k=1,2, \ldots, p$.
Lemma 2.4. If $y \in P C^{1}(J), M>0, N, N_{1} \geq 0, L_{k}<1, k=1,2, \ldots, p$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{k}+\bar{h}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} L_{i}<1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{k}= \begin{cases}k_{0} c M^{-1}\left(1-e^{-M c}\right), & \text { if } M>1, \\
k_{0} c M^{-1}\left(1-M e^{-M c}\right), & \text { if } 0<M \leq 1, \\
\frac{1}{2} k_{0} c^{2}, & \text { if } M=0,\end{cases} \\
\bar{h}= \begin{cases}h_{0} c M^{-1}\left(1-e^{-M c}\right), & \text { if } M>0, \\
h_{0} c^{2}, & \text { if } M=0,\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $k_{0}=\max _{0 \leq s \leq t \leq c} k(t, s)$ and $h_{0}=\max _{0 \leq t, s \leq c} h(t, s)$. Then 2.3 has a unique solution.

Proof. If $y \in P C^{1}(J)$ is a solution of (2.3), then, by integrating, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
y(t)= & b e^{-M t}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-M(t-s)}\left[\sigma(s)-N(T y)(s)-N_{1}(S y)(s)\right] d s  \tag{2.5}\\
& +\sum_{0<t_{i}<t} e^{-M\left(t-t_{i}\right)}\left(-L_{i} y\left(t_{i}\right)+b_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Conversely, if $y(t) \in P C(J)$ is solution of the above-mentioned integral equation (2.5), then it is easy to check that $y^{\prime}(t)=-M y(t)-N(T y)(t)-N_{1}(S y)(t)+\sigma(t)$, $t \neq t_{k}, \Delta y\left(t_{k}\right)=-L_{k} y\left(t_{k}\right)+b_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, p$, and $y(0)=b$. So (2.3) is equivalent to the integral equation 2.5). Now, we define operator $B: P C(J) \rightarrow P C(J)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
(B y)(t)= & b e^{-M t}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-M(t-s)}\left[\sigma(s)-N(T y)(s)-N_{1}(S y)(s)\right] d s  \tag{2.6}\\
& +\sum_{0<t_{i}<t} e^{-M\left(t-t_{i}\right)}\left(-L_{i} y\left(t_{i}\right)+b_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For each $u, v \in P C(J)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|(B u)(t)-(B v)(t)| \leq & N\left|\int_{0}^{t} e^{-M(t-s)}(T u-T v)(s) d s\right| \\
& +N_{1}\left|\int_{0}^{t} e^{-M(t-s)}(S u-S v)(s) d s\right|  \tag{2.7}\\
& +\sum_{0<t_{i}<t} L_{i}\left|e^{-M\left(t-t_{i}\right)}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)-v\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

We easily check that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{0}^{t} e^{-M(t-s)}(T u-T v)(s) d s\right| \\
& \leq \begin{cases}k_{0} t M^{-1}\left(1-e^{-M t}\right)\|u-v\|_{P C}, & \text { if } M>1 \\
k_{0} t M^{-1}\left(1-M e^{-M t}\right)\|u-v\|_{P C}, & \text { if } 0<M \leq 1 \\
k_{0} \frac{1}{2} t^{2}\|u-v\|_{P C}, & \text { if } M=0\end{cases} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{t} e^{-M(t-s)}(S u-S v)(s) d s\right| \leq \begin{cases}h_{0} c M^{-1}\left(1-e^{-M t}\right)\|u-v\|_{P C}, & \text { if } M>0  \tag{2.9}\\ h_{0} c t\|u-v\|_{P C}, & \text { if } M=0\end{cases}
$$

Substituting (2.8) and (2.9) into (2.7), we obtain

$$
\|B u-B v\|_{P C} \leq\left(\bar{k}+\bar{h}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} L_{i}\right)\|u-v\|_{P C}
$$

This indicates that $B$ is a contraction mapping (by 2.4 ). Then there is one unique $y \in P C(J)$ such that $B y=y$, that is, 2.3) has a unique solution.

Lemma 2.5 ([18]). Assume that $y \in P C^{1}(J)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime}(t)+M y(t)+N(T y)(t)+N_{1}(S y)(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in J_{0} \\
\Delta y\left(t_{k}\right) \leq-L_{k} y\left(t_{k}\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p  \tag{2.10}\\
y(0) \leq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

where $M>0, N, N_{1} \geq 0, L_{k}<1, k=1,2, \ldots, p$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{c} q(s) d s \leq \prod_{j=1}^{p}\left(1-\bar{L}_{j}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\bar{L}_{k}=\max \left\{L_{k}, 0\right\}, k=1,2, \ldots, p$,
$q(t)=N \int_{0}^{t} k(t, s) e^{M(t-s)} \prod_{s<t_{k}<c}\left(1-L_{k}\right) d s+N_{1} \int_{0}^{c} h(t, s) e^{M(t-s)} \prod_{s<t_{k}<c}\left(1-L_{k}\right) d s$, then $y \leq 0$.

## 3. Main result

Theorem 3.1. If $(\mathrm{H} 1),(\mathrm{H} 2),(\mathrm{H} 3)$ are satisfied, and, in addition, if there exist $M>$ $0, N, N_{1} \geq 0, L_{k}<1, k=1,2, \ldots, p$, such that (2.4 and (2.11) hold, then 1.2 has, in segment $\left[\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right]$ the coupled minimal-maximal quasi-solutions.

Proof. For convenience, let $(K \phi)(t)=N(T \phi)(t)+N_{1}(S \phi)(t)$. We now construct two sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}(t)\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}(t)\right\}$ that satisfy the following problems

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{i}^{\prime}(t)+a(t) \alpha_{i-1}(t)+M \alpha_{i}(t)+\left(K \alpha_{i}\right)(t) \\
& =f\left(t, \alpha_{i-1}(t),\left(T \alpha_{i-1}\right)(t),\left(S \alpha_{i-1}\right)(t)\right)+M \alpha_{i-1}(t)+\left(K \alpha_{i-1}\right)(t), \quad t \in J_{0} \\
& \Delta \alpha_{i}\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(\alpha_{i-1}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-L_{k}\left(\alpha_{i}\left(t_{k}\right)-\alpha_{i-1}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p  \tag{3.1}\\
& \alpha_{i}(0)+\lambda \int_{0}^{c} \alpha_{i-1}(s) d s=-\beta_{i-1}(c)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{i}^{\prime}(t)+a(t) \beta_{i-1}(t)+M \beta_{i}(t)+\left(K \beta_{i}\right)(t) \\
& =f\left(t, \beta_{i-1}(t),\left(T \beta_{i-1}\right)(t),\left(S \beta_{i-1}\right)(t)\right)+M \beta_{i-1}(t)+\left(K \beta_{i-1}\right)(t), \quad t \in J_{0}, \\
& \Delta \beta_{i}\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(\beta_{i-1}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-L_{k}\left(\beta_{i}\left(t_{k}\right)-\beta_{i-1}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p,  \tag{3.2}\\
& \beta_{i}(0)+\lambda \int_{0}^{c} \beta_{i-1}(s) d s=-\alpha_{i-1}(c) .
\end{align*}
$$

For each $\phi, \psi \in\left[\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right]$, we consider the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime}(t)+M y(t)+(K y)(t) \\
& =f(t, \phi(t),(T \phi)(t),(S \phi)(t))-a(t) \phi(t)+M \phi(t)+(K \phi)(t), \quad t \in J_{0}, \\
& \Delta y\left(t_{k}\right)=I_{k}\left(\phi\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-L_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)-\phi\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p,  \tag{3.3}\\
& y(0)+\lambda \int_{0}^{c} \phi(s) d s=-\psi(c) .
\end{align*}
$$

By condition (2.4) and Lemma 2.4 we know that 3.3 has a unique solution $y(t) \in P C^{1}(J)$. Define the operator $A: P C^{1}(J) \times P C^{1}(J) \rightarrow P C^{1}(J)$ as $A(\phi, \psi)=$ $y$. Let $\alpha_{n}(t)=A\left(\alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}\right)(t)$ and $\beta_{n}(t)=A\left(\beta_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}\right)(t), n=1,2, \ldots$, we will prove that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ have the following properties.
(i) $\alpha_{i-1} \leq \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \leq \beta_{i-1}$;
(ii) $\alpha_{i} \leq \beta_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$

Firstly, we prove that $\alpha_{0} \leq \alpha_{1}$. Set $p(t)=\alpha_{0}(t)-\alpha_{1}(t)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
p^{\prime}(t)+M p(t)+N(T p)(t)+N_{1}(S p)(t)=p^{\prime}(t)+M p(t)+(K p)(t) \leq 0, \\
\Delta p\left(t_{k}\right) \leq-L_{k} p\left(t_{k}\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p  \tag{3.4}\\
p(0) \leq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

Then by condition 2.11) and Lemma 2.5, we get $p(t) \leq 0$, which implies that $\alpha_{0}(t) \leq \alpha_{1}(t)$, for all $t \in J_{0}$. In a similar way, it can be proved that $\beta_{1}(t) \leq \beta_{0}(t)$, for all $t \in J_{0}$. Now we prove that $\alpha_{1}(t) \leq \beta_{1}(t)$, for all $t \in J_{0}$. In fact, setting $p(t)=\alpha_{1}(t)-\beta_{1}(t)$ and using assumption, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{\prime}(t)+M p(t)+N(T p)(t)+N_{1}(S p)(t) \\
& =\alpha_{1}^{\prime}(t)-\beta_{1}^{\prime}(t)+M\left(\alpha_{1}(t)-\beta_{1}(t)\right)+N\left(T \alpha_{1}(t)-T \beta_{1}(t)\right)+N_{1}\left(S \alpha_{1}(t)-S \beta_{1}(t)\right) \\
& =f\left(t, \alpha_{0}(t),\left(T \alpha_{0}\right)(t),\left(S \alpha_{0}\right)(t)\right)-a(t) \alpha_{0}(t)+M \alpha_{0}(t)+N\left(T \alpha_{0}\right)(t)+N_{1}\left(S \alpha_{0}\right)(t) \\
& \quad-f\left(t, \beta_{0}(t),\left(T \beta_{0}\right)(t),\left(S \beta_{0}\right)(t)\right)+a(t) \beta_{0}(t)-M \beta_{0}(t)-N\left(T \beta_{0}\right)(t)-N_{1}\left(S \beta_{0}\right)(t) \\
& \leq a(t)\left(\beta_{0}(t)-\alpha_{0}(t)\right) \leq 0, \quad t \in J_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Delta p\left(t_{k}\right)=-L_{k} p\left(t_{k}\right)+I_{k}\left(\alpha_{0}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-I_{k}\left(\beta_{0}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+L_{k} \alpha_{0}\left(t_{k}\right)-L_{k} \beta_{0}\left(t_{k}\right) \leq-L_{k} p\left(t_{k}\right)
$$

$$
p(0)=\alpha_{1}(0)-\beta_{1}(0)=\lambda \int_{0}^{c}\left(\beta_{0}(s)-\alpha_{0}(s)\right) d s+\alpha_{0}(c)-\beta_{0}(c) \leq 0
$$

Again by Lemma 2.5, we obtain $p(t) \leq 0$, that is, $\alpha_{1}(t) \leq \beta_{1}(t)$ for all $t \in J_{0}$. Thus we have $\alpha_{0}(t) \leq \alpha_{1}(t) \leq \beta_{1}(t) \leq \beta_{0}(t)$ for all $t \in J_{0}$. Continuing this process, by induction, one can obtain monotone sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}(t)\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}(t)\right\}$ such that

$$
\alpha_{0}(t) \leq \alpha_{1}(t) \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n}(t) \leq \cdots \leq \beta_{n}(t) \leq \ldots \beta_{1}(t) \leq \beta_{0}(t), \quad t \in J_{0}
$$

where each $\alpha_{i}(t), \beta_{i}(t) \in P C^{1}(J)$ satisfies (3.1) and (3.2). As the sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ are uniformly bounded and equi-continuous, by employing the standard arguments Ascoli-Arzela criterion [12], we conclude that the sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ converge uniformly on $J_{0}$ with

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}(t)=y_{*}(t), \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}(t)=y^{*}(t)
$$

Obviously, $y_{*}(t), y^{*}(t)$ are coupled lower-upper quasi-solutions of 1.2 . Now we have to prove that $\left(y_{*}, y^{*}\right)$ are coupled minimal-maximal quasi-solutions of problem (1.2) in segment $\left[\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right]$. Let $x, z$ be coupled quasi-solutions of 1.2 such that

$$
\alpha_{n}(t) \leq x(t), \quad z(t) \leq \beta_{n}(t), \quad t \in J_{0}
$$

for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Put $q(t)=\alpha_{n+1}(t)-x(t)$, for $t \in J_{0}$. Form definition of $\alpha_{n+1}$ and properties of quasi-solution $x(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{\prime}(t)+M q(t)+N(T q)(t)+N_{1}(S q)(t) \\
& =f\left(t, \alpha_{n}(t),\left(T \alpha_{n}\right)(t),\left(S \alpha_{n}\right)(t)\right)-a(t) \alpha_{n}(t)+M \alpha_{n}(t)+N\left(T \alpha_{n}\right)(t) \\
& \quad+N_{1}\left(S \alpha_{n}\right)(t)-f(t, x(t),(T x)(t),(S x)(t))+a(t) x(t)-M x(t) \\
& \quad-N(T x)(t)-N_{1}(S x)(t) \\
& \leq a(t)\left(x(t)-\alpha_{n}(t)\right) \leq 0, \quad t \in J_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta q\left(t_{k}\right)=-L_{k} q\left(t_{k}\right)+I_{k}\left(\alpha_{n}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-I_{k}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+L_{k} \alpha_{n}\left(t_{k}\right)-L_{k} x\left(t_{k}\right) \leq-L_{k} q\left(t_{k}\right) \\
q(0)=\alpha_{n+1}(0)-x(0)=\lambda \int_{0}^{c}\left(x(s)-\alpha_{n}(s)\right) d s+z(c)-\beta_{n}(c) \leq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have $q(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in J_{0}$, that is $\alpha_{n+1}(t) \leq x(t)$. Similarly, we can prove that $z(t) \leq \beta_{n+1}(t)$ for all $t \in J_{0}$.

By induction, we obtain

$$
\alpha_{m}(t) \leq x(t), \quad z(t) \leq \beta_{m}(t), \quad t \in J_{0}, \quad \text { for } m \in \mathbf{N}
$$

If $m \rightarrow \infty$, it yields

$$
y_{*}(t) \leq x(t), \quad z(t) \leq y^{*}(t), \quad t \in J_{0}
$$

It shows that $\left(y_{*}, y^{*}\right)$ are coupled minimal-maximal quasi-solutions of problem 1.2 in segment $\left[\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right]$.

Example 3.2. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime}(t)-\frac{t}{4}\left(1-e^{-t}\right) y(t)=-y(t)-\frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{t} t e^{-(t-s)} y(s) d s-\frac{5}{6} \int_{0}^{1} y(s) d s \\
t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right) \cup\left(t_{1}, 1\right] \\
\Delta y\left(t_{1}\right)=-\frac{1}{9} y\left(t_{1}\right), \quad t_{1}=\frac{1}{3}  \tag{3.5}\\
y(0)-\frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{1} y(s) d s=-y(1) .
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a(t)=-\frac{t}{4}\left(1-e^{-t}\right) \leq 0, I_{1}(x)=-\frac{1}{9} x, L_{1}=\frac{1}{9}$ and $\lambda=-\frac{1}{6}<0$. Let $f(t, x, y, z)=-M x-N y-N_{1} z, M=1, N=\frac{3}{8}, N_{1}=\frac{5}{6}, J=[0,1], c=1$, $k(t, s)=\frac{t}{3} e^{-(t-s)}, h(t, s)=1$, then for $t \in J, x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, x_{1} \geq x_{2}$, $y_{1} \geq y_{2}, z_{1} \geq z_{2}$,

$$
f\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)-f\left(t, x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)=-\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)-\frac{3}{8}\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)-\frac{5}{6}\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)
$$

Thus the condition (H2) holds. It is easy to see that $k_{0}=\frac{1}{3}, h_{0}=1, \bar{k}=\frac{1}{3} \bar{h}=$ $\frac{1}{3}\left(1-e^{-1}\right)$ and

$$
\bar{h}+\bar{k}+L_{1}=0.9359<1
$$

Hence the condition (2.4) holds. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} q(s) d s & \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{3}{8} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{t}{3} e^{-(t-s)} e^{(t-s)}\left(1-L_{1}\right) d s+\frac{5}{6} \int_{0}^{1} e^{(t-s)}\left(1-L_{1}\right) d s\right) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{t^{2}}{18}+\frac{20}{27}\left(1-e^{-1}\right) e^{t}\right) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{54}+\frac{20}{27}\left(e+e^{-1}-2\right)=0.8231<0.8889=1-L_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that the condition (2.11) holds. Let

$$
\alpha_{0}(t)=-\frac{5}{4}, \quad \beta_{0}(t)=2-t, \quad t \in[0,1] .
$$

Then $\alpha_{0}(t)$ and $\beta_{0}(t)$ are coupled lower-upper quasi-solutions of problem (??). In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{0}^{\prime}(t)+a(t) \alpha_{0}(t)=\frac{5}{16} t\left(1-e^{-t}\right) \leq 2+\frac{5}{32} t\left(1-e^{-t}\right) \\
&<\frac{5}{4}+\frac{5}{32} \int_{0}^{t} t e^{-(t-s)} d s+\frac{25}{24} \int_{0}^{1} d s \\
&=f\left(t, \alpha_{0}(t),\left(T \alpha_{0}\right)(t),\left(S \alpha_{0}\right)(t)\right), \\
& \Delta \alpha_{0}(1 / 3)=0<\frac{5}{36}=-L_{1} \alpha_{0}(1 / 3) \\
& \alpha_{0}(0)-\frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{1} \alpha_{0}(s) d s=-\frac{25}{24}<-1=-\beta_{0}(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{0}^{\prime}(t)+a(t) \beta_{0}(t) & =-1-\frac{1}{4} t\left(1-e^{-t}\right)(2-t) \\
& \geq-1-\frac{1}{4}\left(1-e^{-1}\right) \\
& >-\frac{27}{12}+\frac{3}{8} e^{-1} \\
& \geq t-2-\frac{1}{8} t(3-t)+\frac{3}{8} t e^{-t}-\frac{15}{12} \\
& =t-2-\frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{t} t e^{-(t-s)}(2-s) d s-\frac{5}{6} \int_{0}^{1}(2-s) d s \\
& =f\left(t, \beta_{0}(t),\left(T \beta_{0}\right)(t),\left(S \beta_{0}\right)(t)\right), \\
\Delta & \beta_{0}(1 / 3)=0>-\frac{5}{27}=-L_{1} \beta_{0}(1 / 3) \\
\beta_{0}(0) & -\frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{1} \beta_{0}(s) d s=\frac{7}{4}>\frac{5}{4}=-\alpha_{0}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\alpha_{0}(t) \leq \beta_{0}(t)$. Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, so problem 3.5 has the coupled minimal-maximal quasi-solutions in the segment $\left[\alpha_{0}(t), \beta_{0}(t)\right]$.
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