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# EXISTENCE OF RADIAL POSITIVE SOLUTIONS VANISHING AT INFINITY FOR ASYMPTOTICALLY HOMOGENEOUS SYSTEMS 

ALI DJELLIT, MOHAND MOUSSAOUI, SAADIA TAS


#### Abstract

In this article we study elliptic systems called asymptotically homogeneous because their nonlinearities may not have polynomial growth. Using the Gidas-Spruck Blow-up method, we obtain a priori estimates, and then using Leray-Schauder topological degree theory, we obtain radial positive solutions vanishing at infinity.


## 1. Introduction

We study asymptotically homogeneous systems involving nonlinearities which may not have polynomial growth. More precisely, we establish the existence of radial positive solutions vanishing at infinity, the so-called fundamental states, for systems of the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-\Delta_{p} u=a_{11}(|x|) f_{11}(u)+a_{12}(|x|) f_{12}(v) & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
-\Delta_{q} v=a_{21}(|x|) f_{21}(u)+a_{22}(|x|) f_{22}(v) & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.1}
\end{array}
$$

Here $1<p, q<N$, the coefficients $a_{i j}(i, j=1,2)$ are positive continuous realvalued functions and $f_{i j}(i, j=1,2)$ belong to asymptotically homogeneous class of functions. Such functions have been introduced later by Garcia-Huidobro, Guerra, Manasevich, Schmitt and Ubilla [3, 4, 5] to deal with existence problems of quasilinear elliptic partial differential equations. Briefly this corresponds to a class of nonhomogeneous functions which are not asymptotically equivalent to any strength nevertheless they possess a suitable homogeneous behavior at the infinity or at the origin. The system (1.1) being nonvariational, a first step consists in establishing a priori estimates via Gidas-Spruck "Blow-up" method (see [6]). We use LeraySchauder topological degree to guarantee the existence of fundamental states. We can refer the reader to the works of Clément, Manasevich and Mitidieri [1 on hamiltonian systems defined in a ball, as well as works on nonvariational system occurring sublinear growth conditions.

The main result established in this paper is expressed in the next section, namely the system 1.1 possesses at last a fundamental state.

[^0]
## 2. Existence of ground states

First, we introduce definitions and notation utilized in this note. Let the Banach space

$$
X=\left\{( u , v ) \in C ^ { 0 } \left(\left[0,+\infty[) \times C^{0}\left(\left[0,+\infty[), \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} v(r)=0\right\}\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

be equipped with the norm $\|(u, v)\|_{X}=\|u\|_{\infty}+\|v\|_{\infty},\|u\|_{\infty}=\sup _{r \in[0,+\infty}|u(r)|$.
Let $K=\{(u, v) \in X, u \geq 0, v \geq 0\}$ a positive cone of $X$. For $h \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in$ $[0,1]$, we define two families of operators $T_{h}$ and $S_{\lambda}$ from $X$ to itself by $T_{h}(u, v)=$ $(w, z)$ such that $(w, z)$ satisfies the system

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\left(r^{N-1}\left|w^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} w^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}=r^{N-1} a_{11}(r) f_{11}(|u(r)|)+r^{N-1} a_{12}(r)\left[f_{12}(|v(r)|)+h\right] \\
& \text { in }[0,+\infty[ \\
&-\left(r^{N-1}\left|z^{\prime}(r)\right|^{q-2} z^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}=r^{N-1} a_{21}(r) f_{21}(|u(r)|)+r^{N-1} a_{22}(r) f_{22}(|v(r)|) \\
& \quad \text { in }[0,+\infty[ \\
& w^{\prime}(0)=z^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} w(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} z(r)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and $S_{\lambda}(u, v)=(w, z)$ such that $(w, z)$ satisfies the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(r^{N-1}\left|w^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} w^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}=\lambda r^{N-1} a_{11}(r) f_{11}(|u(r)|)+\lambda r^{N-1} a_{12}(r) f_{12}(|v(r)|) \\
\quad \text { in }[0,+\infty[ \\
-\left(r^{N-1}\left|z^{\prime}(r)\right|^{q-2} z^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}=\lambda r^{N-1} a_{21}(r) f_{21}(|u(r)|)+\lambda r^{N-1} a_{22}(r) f_{22}(|v(r)|) \\
\quad \text { in }[0,+\infty[, \\
w^{\prime}(0)=z^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} w(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} z(r)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us recall the notion of "asymptotically homogeneous" functions and some of their properties.

A function $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in a neighborhood at the infinity (respect. at the origin) is said asymptotically homogeneous at the infinity (respect. at the origin) of order $\rho>0$ if for all $\sigma>0$, we have $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\varphi(\sigma s)}{\varphi(s)}=\sigma^{\rho}$ (respect. $\left.\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(\sigma s)}{\varphi(s)}=\sigma^{\rho}\right)$.

As an example, we have the function $\varphi(s)=|s|^{\alpha-2} s(\ln (1+|s|))^{\beta}$ with $\alpha>1$ and $\beta>1-\alpha$. It is asymptotically homogeneous at infinity of order $\alpha-1$ and at the origin of order $\alpha+\beta-1$.

Proposition 2.1 ( 3 ). Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous, odd, asymptotically homogeneous at infinity (respect. at the origin) of order $\rho$ such that $t \varphi(t)>0$ for all $t \neq 0$ and $\varphi(t) \rightarrow$ infty as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then
(i) For all $\varepsilon \in] 0, \rho\left[\right.$, there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that $\forall t \geq t_{0}$ (respect. $0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$ ), $c_{1} t^{\rho-\varepsilon} \leq \varphi(t) \leq c_{2} t^{\rho+\varepsilon} ; c_{1}, c_{2}$ are positive constants. Moreover $\forall s \in\left[t_{0}, t\right]:$ $(\rho+1-\varepsilon) \varphi(s) \leq(\rho+1+\varepsilon) \varphi(t)$.
(ii) If $\left(w_{n}\right),\left(t_{n}\right)$ are real sequences such that $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ and $t_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ (respect. $\left.t_{n} \rightarrow 0\right)$ then $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(t_{n} w_{n}\right)}{\varphi\left(t_{n}\right)}=w^{\rho}$.
We assume that both the coefficients $a_{i j}$ and the functions $f_{i j}$ verify smooth conditions; explicitly:
(H1) For all $i, j=1,2$, the coefficient $a_{i j}:[0,+\infty[\rightarrow] 0,+\infty[$ is continuous and satisfies $\exists \theta_{11}, \theta_{12}>p ; \exists \theta_{21}, \theta_{22}>q$; there exists $R>0$ such that $a_{i j}(\xi)=$ $O\left(\xi^{-\theta_{i j}}\right)$ for all $\xi>R$ and $\tilde{a}_{i}=\min _{r \in[0, R]} a_{i j}(r)>0 ; i, j=1,2 ; i \neq j$.
(H2) For all $i, j=1,2$, the function $f_{i j}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, odd such that $s f_{i j}(s)>0$ for all $s \neq 0$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} f_{i j}(s)=+\infty$.
(H3) For all $i, j=1,2, f_{i j}$ is asymptotically homogeneous at the infinity of order $\delta_{i j}$ satisfying $\frac{\delta_{12} \delta_{21}}{(p-1)(q-1)}>1, \alpha_{1} \delta_{11}-\alpha_{1}(p-1)-p<0, \alpha_{2} \delta_{22}-\alpha_{2}(q-1)-q<$ 0 and $\max \left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right) \geq 0$ where $\alpha_{1}=\frac{p(q-1)+\delta_{12} q}{\delta_{12} \delta_{21}-(p-1)(q-1)}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{q(p-1)+\delta_{21} p}{\delta_{12} \delta_{21}-(p-1)(q-1)}$, $\beta_{1}=\alpha_{1}-\frac{N-p}{p-1}, \beta_{2}=\alpha_{2}-\frac{N-q}{q-1}$.
(H4) For all $i, j=1,2, f_{i j}$ is asymptotically homogeneous at the origin of order $\bar{\delta}_{i j}$ with $\bar{\delta}_{11}, \bar{\delta}_{12}>p-1, \bar{\delta}_{21}, \bar{\delta}_{22}>q-1$.
To show the existence result, it is necessary to state some lemmas.
Lemma 2.2. Let $u \in C^{1}\left(\left[0,+\infty[) \cap C^{2}(] 0,+\infty[)\right.\right.$ be a positive solution of the problem

$$
-\left(r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0
$$

in $\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ such that $u(0)>0$ and $u^{\prime}(0) \leq 0$, then
(i) $u(r)>0$ and $u^{\prime}(r) \leq 0$ for all $r \geq 0$. Moreover, if $u^{\prime}(s)=0$ for all $s>0$ then $u^{\prime}(r)=0$ for all $r \in[0, s]$.
(ii) The function $M_{p}$ defined by $M_{p}(r)=r u^{\prime}(r)+\frac{N-p}{p-1} u(r), r \geq 0$, is nonnegative and nonincreasing. In particular, the function $r \mapsto r^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} u(r)$ is nondecreasing in $[0,+\infty[$.

Proof. To show (i), let us consider a nontrivial positive solution $u$ of problem

$$
-\left(r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0 \quad \text { in }[0,+\infty[
$$

Integrating from $s$ to $r$, we obtain $r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r) \leq s^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(s)$, for $0<s<r$. Letting $s \rightarrow 0, u^{\prime}(r) \leq 0$. If $u^{\prime}(r)=0$ then $u^{\prime}(s)=0$ for all $0 \leq s \leq r$. This means either $u$ is a constant in $\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ or there exists $r_{0} \geq 0$ such that $u^{\prime}(r)<0$ for $r>r_{0}$ and $u^{\prime}(r)=0, u(r)=u(0)$ for $0 \leq r \leq r_{0}$. So $u$ is non increasing and $u(0)>0$.

Let us show (ii). Since $u$ is a positive solution of the problem

$$
-\left(r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0 \quad \text { in }[0,+\infty[
$$

we have $-r^{N-1}(p-1)\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime \prime}(r)-(N-1) r^{N-2}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r) \geq 0$. In other words $r u^{\prime \prime}(r)+\frac{N-1}{p-1} u^{\prime}(r) \leq 0$, or $\left(r u^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{N-p}{p-1} u^{\prime}(r) \leq 0$. This yields that $M_{p}$ is non increasing.

To show that $M_{p}(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \geq 0$, we use a contradiction argument. Indeed, assume that there exists $r_{1}>0$ such that $M_{p}\left(r_{1}\right)<0$. Since $M_{p}$ is non increasing, for all $r>r_{1}, M_{p}(r) \leq M_{p}\left(r_{1}\right)$ or $u^{\prime}(r)+\frac{N-p}{p-1} \frac{u(r)}{r} \leq \frac{M_{p}\left(r_{1}\right)}{r}$.

On the other hand $u(r)>0, \frac{N-p}{p-1}>0$, hence $u^{\prime}(r) \leq \frac{M_{p}\left(r_{1}\right)}{r}$. Consequently $u(r)-u\left(r_{1}\right) \leq M_{p}\left(r_{1}\right) \ln \frac{r}{r_{1}}, r>r_{1}$. It follows immediately that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=$ $-\infty$. This contradicts $u$ begin positive. In particular

$$
\frac{M_{p}(r)}{r u(r)} \geq 0 \quad \forall r>0
$$

Finally, we obtain $\frac{u^{\prime}(r)}{u(r)}+\frac{N-p}{p-1} \frac{1}{r} \geq 0$. In other words,

$$
\left(\ln r^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} u(r)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0
$$

This implies that the function $r \mapsto r^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} u(r)$ is non decreasing.
The study of the function $M_{p}$ is essential and help us to estimate $u(r)$.
Lemma 2.3. If $(\mathrm{H} 1)$ is satisfied, then the operators $T_{h}$ and $S_{\lambda}$ are compact.
The proof of the above lemma follows the same argument as in [2, Lemma 6], and is omitted.

We remark that the ground states of (1.1) are precisely the fixed points of the operator $T_{0}$. Now, we show a nonexistence result related to a "limit" system.

Theorem 2.4. Under hypotheses (H1)-(H3), the system

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-\Delta_{p} u=a_{12}(|x|)|v|^{\delta_{12}-1} v & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
-\Delta_{q} v=a_{21}(|x|)|u|^{\delta_{21}-1} u & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.3}
\end{array}
$$

has no non-trivial radial positive solutions; in particular 2.3 has no ground state.
Proof. Let us argue by contradiction. Let $(u, v)$ be a radial positive solution of System 2.3). Then $(u, v)$ satisfies the differential system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}=r^{N-1} a_{12}(r)(v(r))^{\delta_{12}} \quad \text { in }[0,+\infty[, \\
-\left(r^{N-1}\left|v^{\prime}(r)\right|^{q-2} v^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}=r^{N-1} a_{21}(r)(u(r))^{\delta_{21}} \text { in }[0,+\infty[,  \tag{2.4}\\
u^{\prime}(0)=v^{\prime}(0)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime} \geq r^{N-1} \tilde{a}_{1} v^{\delta_{12}}  \tag{2.5}\\
& -\left(r^{N-1}\left|v^{\prime}(r)\right|^{q-2} v^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime} \geq r^{N-1} \tilde{a}_{2} u^{\delta_{21}} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

First, consider the case $\beta_{1}>0$ or $\beta_{2}>0$. Integrating both 2.5 and 2.5 from 0 to $r$ and taking into account that $u^{\prime}(r)<0, v^{\prime}(r)<0$ for all $r>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -u^{\prime}(r) \geq\left(\frac{\tilde{a}_{1}}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r^{\frac{1}{p-1}} v^{\frac{\delta_{12}}{p-1}} \\
& -v^{\prime}(r) \geq\left(\frac{\tilde{a}_{2}}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} r^{\frac{1}{q-1}} u^{\frac{\delta_{21}}{q-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have $M_{p} \geq 0, M_{q} \geq 0$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \geq-r u^{\prime}(r)-\frac{N-p}{p-1} u(r) \geq\left(\frac{\tilde{a}_{1}}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} r^{\frac{p}{p-1}} v^{\frac{\delta_{12}}{p-1}}-\frac{N-p}{p-1} u(r), \\
& 0 \geq-r v^{\prime}(r)-\frac{N-q}{q-1} v(r) \geq\left(\frac{\tilde{a}_{2}}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} r^{\frac{q}{q-1}} u^{\frac{\delta_{21}}{q-1}}-\frac{N-q}{q-1} v(r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(r) \geq C r^{\frac{p}{p-1}} v^{\frac{\delta_{12}}{p-1}}  \tag{2.7}\\
& v(r) \geq C r^{\frac{q}{q-1}} u^{\frac{\delta_{21}}{q-1}} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining these two inequalities, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(r) \leq C r^{-\alpha_{1}}  \tag{2.9}\\
& v(r) \leq C r^{-\alpha_{2}} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $r^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} u(r)$ and $r^{\frac{N-q}{q-1}} v(r)$ are nondecreasing, for all $r>r_{0}>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(r) \geq C r^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}  \tag{2.11}\\
& v(r) \geq C r^{-\frac{N-q}{q-1}} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Inequalities $2.9-2.12$ imply either $r^{\beta_{1}} \leq C$ or $r^{\beta_{2}} \leq C$. This yields a contradiction. Suppose now that $\beta_{1}=0$ (we may prove in a similar manner for $\beta_{2}=0$ ). Integrating with respect to $r$ the first equation of System (2.4) from $r_{0}>0$ to $r$, we obtain

$$
r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-1}-r_{0}^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)\right|^{p-1} \geq \tilde{a}_{1} \int_{r_{0}}^{r} s^{N-1} v^{\delta_{12}}(s) d s
$$

Then (2.8) yields

$$
v^{\delta_{12}}(s) \geq C s^{\frac{\delta_{12} q}{q-1}} u^{\frac{\delta_{12} \delta_{21}}{q-1}}(s)
$$

Consequently,

$$
r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-1} \geq C \int_{r_{0}}^{r} s^{N-1+\frac{\delta_{12} q}{q-1}} u^{\frac{\delta_{12} \delta_{21}}{q-1}}(s) d s
$$

Taking into account inequality (2.11) and the fact that $\beta_{1}=0$, we have

$$
r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-1} \geq C \int_{r_{0}}^{r} s^{N-1+\frac{\delta_{12} q}{q-1}-\frac{N-p}{p-1} \frac{\delta_{12} \delta_{21}}{q-1}} d s=C \int_{r_{0}}^{r} s^{-1} d s=C \ln \frac{r}{r_{0}}
$$

On the other hand, $M_{p}(r) \geq 0$ for $r>0$ implies $\left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^{p-1} u^{p-1}(r) \geq r^{p-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-1}$. Hence

$$
u^{p-1}(r) \geq C r^{p-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-1} \geq C r^{p-N} \ln \frac{r}{r_{0}}
$$

Then we write

$$
r^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} u(r) \geq C\left(\ln \frac{r}{r_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} .
$$

This together with 2.9 yields a contradiction.

We now show that the eventual radial positive solutions of System 2.1 are bounded.

Theorem 2.5. Assume (H1)-(H4). If $(u, v)$ is a ground state of 2.1. then there exists a constant $C>0$ (independent of $u$ and $v$ ) such that $\|(u, v)\|_{X} \leq C$.

Proof. Let $(u, v)$ be a ground state of 2.1 for $h=0$, then $(u, v)$ satisfies the system

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\left(r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}= r^{N-1} a_{11}(r) f_{11}(u(r))+r^{N-1} a_{12}(r) f_{12}(v(r)) \\
& \quad \operatorname{in}[0,+\infty[, \\
&-\left(r^{N-1}\left|v^{\prime}(r)\right|^{q-2} v^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}= r^{N-1} a_{21}(r) f_{21}(u(r))+r^{N-1} a_{22}(r) f_{22}(v(r))  \tag{2.13}\\
& \operatorname{textin}[0,+\infty[, \\
& u^{\prime}(0)=v^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} v(r)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Assume now that there exists a sequence $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ of positive solutions of 2.13 ) such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ or $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Taking $\gamma_{n}=$ $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}}+\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}}$, and using (H3), we have $\alpha_{1}>0$ and $\alpha_{2}>0$. So $\gamma_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

Now we introduce the transformations

$$
y=\gamma_{n} r, \quad w_{n}(y)=\frac{u_{n}(r)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}}}, \quad z_{n}(y)=\frac{v_{n}(r)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}}}
$$

Observe that for all $y \in\left[0,+\infty\left[, 0 \leq w_{n}(y) \leq 1,0 \leq z_{n}(y) \leq 1\right.\right.$. Furthermore it is easy to see that for any $n$ the pair $\left(w_{n}, z_{n}\right)$ is a solution of the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(y^{N-1}\left|w_{n}^{\prime}(y)\right|^{p-2} w_{n}^{\prime}(y)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =y^{N-1} a_{11}\left(\frac{y}{\gamma_{n}}\right) \frac{f_{11}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}} w_{n}(y)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)+p}}+y^{N-1} a_{12}\left(\frac{y}{\gamma_{n}}\right) \frac{f_{12}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}} z_{n}(y)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)+p}} \quad \text { in }[0,+\infty[, \\
& -\left(y^{N-1}\left|z_{n}^{\prime}(y)\right|^{q-2} z_{n}^{\prime}(y)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =y^{N-1} a_{21}\left(\frac{y}{\gamma_{n}}\right) \frac{f_{21}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}} w_{n}(y)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}(q-1)+q}}+y^{N-1} a_{22}\left(\frac{y}{\gamma_{n}}\right) \frac{f_{22}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}} z_{n}(y)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}(q-1)+q}} \quad \text { in }[0,+\infty[, \\
& w_{n}^{\prime}(0)=z_{n}^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} w_{n}(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} z_{n}(r)=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $R>0$ be fixed. We claim that $\left(w_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ are bounded in $C([0, R])$. Indeed passing to a subsequence of $\left(w_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ (denoted again $\left.\left(w_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ assume that $\left\|w_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{C([0, R])} \rightarrow$ $+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence there exists a sequence $\left(y_{n}\right)$ in $[0, R]$ such that for all $A>0$, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0},\left|w_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)\right|>A$.

Integrating with respect to $y$ the first equation of System 2.14, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|w_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)\right|^{p-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{y_{n}^{N-1}} \int_{0}^{y_{n}}\left(y^{N-1} a_{11}\left(\frac{y}{\gamma_{n}}\right) \frac{f_{11}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}} w_{n}(y)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)+p}}+y^{N-1} a_{12}\left(\frac{y}{\gamma_{n}}\right) \frac{f_{12}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}} z_{n}(y)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)+p}}\right) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

From the fact that $f_{1 j}, j=1,2$, are asymptotically homogeneous at the infinity together with part (i) of Proposition 2.1, we arrive to the statement: for all $\varepsilon \in$ [ $0, \delta_{1 j}\left[\right.$, there exists $c_{1 j}^{1}, c_{1 j}^{2}>0, s_{0}>0$ such that for all $s \geq s_{0}$

$$
c_{1 j}^{1} s^{\delta_{1 j}-\varepsilon} \leq f_{1 j}(s) \leq c_{1 j}^{2} s^{\delta_{1 j}+\varepsilon}
$$

Since $\left(w_{n}\right)$ and $\left(z_{n}\right)$ are bounded, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{11}^{1} \gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}\left(\delta_{11}-\varepsilon\right)-\alpha_{1}(p-1)-p} \leq \frac{f_{11}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}} w_{n}(y)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)+p}} \leq c_{11}^{2} \gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}\left(\delta_{11}+\varepsilon\right)-\alpha_{1}(p-1)-p}, \\
& c_{12}^{1} \gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}\left(\delta_{12}-\varepsilon\right)-\alpha_{1}(p-1)-p} \leq \frac{f_{12}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}} z_{n}(y)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)+p}} \leq c_{12}^{2} \gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}\left(\delta_{12}+\varepsilon\right)-\alpha_{1}(p-1)-p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, the assumption (H3) yields

$$
\frac{f_{11}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}} w_{n}(y)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)+p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{f_{12}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}} z_{n}(y)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)+p}} \rightarrow c_{1} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

where $c_{1}$ is positive constant. So there exists $n_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq n_{1}$, we have

$$
\left|w_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)\right|^{p-1} \leq \frac{a_{12}(0)}{y_{n}^{N-1}} c_{1} \int_{0}^{y_{n}} y^{N-1} d y=\frac{c_{1}}{N} a_{12}(0) y_{n} \leq \frac{R c_{1}}{N} a_{12}(0) \equiv c .
$$

Setting $n \geq \max \left(n_{0}, n_{1}\right)$, we have $A<\left|w_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)\right| \leq c$. This contradicts the fact that $A$ may be infinitely large. Similarly we prove that $\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is bounded in $C([0, R])$. Consequently $\left(w_{n}\right)$ and $\left(z_{n}\right)$ are equicontinuous in $C([0, R])$. By Arzéla-Ascoli theorem, there exists a subsequence of $\left(w_{n}\right)$ denoted again ( $w_{n}$ ) (respect. $\left(z_{n}\right)$ ) such that $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ (respect. $\left.z_{n} \rightarrow z\right)$ in $C([0, R])$.

On the other hand,

$$
\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}}+\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}}=1
$$

this implies that the real-valued sequences $\left(\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\right)$ and $\left(\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\right)$ are bounded. Hence there exist subsequences denoted again $\left(\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\right)$ and $\left(\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\right)$ such that $\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow w_{0},\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow z_{0}$ and $w_{0}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}}+z_{0}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}}=1$. In view of the uniqueness of the limit in $C([0, R])$, we get $\|w\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}}+\|z\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}}=1$. This implies that $(w, z)$ is not identically null. Integrating from 0 to $y \in[0, R]$, the first and the second equation of System (2.14), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{n}(0)-w_{n}(y)=\int_{0}^{y}\left(g_{n}(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d s  \tag{2.15}\\
& z_{n}(0)-z_{n}(y)=\int_{0}^{y}\left(h_{n}(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} d s \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly $g_{n}(y)$ and $h_{n}(y)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{n}(y)=\frac{1}{y^{N-1}} \int_{0}^{y}\left(s^{N-1} a_{11}\left(\frac{s}{\gamma_{n}}\right) \frac{f_{11}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}} w_{n}(s)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)+p}}+s^{N-1} a_{12}\left(\frac{s}{\gamma_{n}}\right) \frac{f_{12}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}} z_{n}(s)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)+p}}\right) d s \\
& h_{n}(y)=\frac{1}{y^{N-1}} \int_{0}^{y}\left(s^{N-1} a_{21}\left(\frac{s}{\gamma_{n}}\right) \frac{f_{21}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}} w_{n}(s)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}(q-1)+q}}+s^{N-1} a_{22}\left(\frac{s}{\gamma_{n}}\right) \frac{f_{22}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}} z_{n}(s)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}(q-1)+q}}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Compiling Proposition 2.1 and (H3), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{f_{11}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}} w_{n}(s)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)+p}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \frac{f_{22}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}} z_{n}(s)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}(q-1)+q}} \rightarrow 0, \\
\frac{f_{12}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}} z_{n}(s)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)+p}}=\frac{f_{12}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}}\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)+p}} \frac{f_{12}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}} z_{n}(s)\right)}{f_{12}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}}\right)} \rightarrow c z^{\delta_{12}}(s), \\
\frac{f_{21}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}} w_{n}(s)\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}(q-1)+q}}=\frac{f_{21}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}}\right)}{\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{2}(q-1)+q}} \frac{f_{21}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}} w_{n}(s)\right)}{f_{21}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\alpha_{1}}\right)} \rightarrow c w^{\delta_{21}}(s),
\end{gathered}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the Lebesgue theorem on dominated convergence, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{n}(y) \rightarrow \frac{c}{y^{N-1}} \int_{0}^{y} s^{N-1} a_{12}(0) z^{\delta_{12}}(s) d s \\
& h_{n}(y) \rightarrow \frac{c}{y^{N-1}} \int_{0}^{y} s^{N-1} a_{21}(0) w^{\delta_{21}}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Passing to the limit in 2.15) and 2.16), we arrive to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w(0)-w(y)=c \int_{0}^{y} \frac{1}{\tau^{N-1}}\left(\int_{0}^{\tau} s^{N-1} a_{12}(0) z^{\delta_{12}}(s) d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d \tau \\
& z(0)-z(y)=c \int_{0}^{y} \frac{1}{\tau^{N-1}}\left(\int_{0}^{\tau} s^{N-1} a_{21}(0) w^{\delta_{21}}(s) d s\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

In this way $\left.\left.w \geq 0, z \geq 0, w, z \in C^{1}([0, R]) \cap C^{2}(] 0, R\right]\right)$ and satisfy the system

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
-\left(y^{N-1}\left|w^{\prime}(y)\right|^{p-2} w^{\prime}(y)\right)^{\prime}=c a_{12}(0) y^{N-1}(z(y))^{\delta_{12}} & \text { in }[0, R] \\
-\left(y^{N-1}\left|z^{\prime}(y)\right|^{q-2} z^{\prime}(y)\right)^{\prime}=c a_{21}(0) y^{N-1}(w(y))^{\delta_{21}} & \text { in }[0, R]  \tag{2.17}\\
w^{\prime}(0)=z^{\prime}(0)=0 &
\end{array}
$$

If we use the same arguments on $\left[0, R^{*}\right]$ where $R^{*}>R$, we obtain a solution $\left(w^{*}, z^{*}\right)$ of System 2.17 with $R^{*}$ in stead of $R$, which coincide with $(w, z)$ in $[0, R]$. To this end, we indefinitely extend $(w, z)$ to $[0,+\infty[$. By Lemma 2.2 we have $w(y)>0$, $z(y)>0$, for all $y \geq 0$. The pair $(w, z)$ also satisfies System 2.17). In other words $(w, z)$ is a radial positive solution of (2.4). This contradicts Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 2.6. Under assumptions (H1)-(H4), there exists $h_{0}>0$ such that the problem $(u, v)=T_{h}(u, v)$ has no solution for $h \geq h_{0}$.
Proof. Suppose by contradiction that there is a solution $(u, v) \in X$ of the above problem. Then $(u, v)$ satisfies system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}=r^{N-1} a_{11}(r) f_{11}(|u(r)|)+r^{N-1} a_{12}(r)\left[f_{12}(|v(r)|)+h\right] \\
\quad \text { in }[0,+\infty[ \\
-\left(r^{N-1}\left|v^{\prime}(r)\right|^{q-2} v^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}=r^{N-1} a_{21}(r) f_{21}(|u(r)|)+r^{N-1} a_{22}(r) f_{22}(|v(r)|) \\
\quad \text { in }[0,+\infty[, \\
u^{\prime}(0)=v^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} v(r)=0 \tag{2.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume that there exists a sequence $\left(h_{n}\right) h_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, such that (2.18) admits a pair of solutions $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$. In accordance with Lemma 2.2, we have $u_{n}(r)>0, v_{n}(r)>0, u_{n}^{\prime}(r) \leq 0$ and $v_{n}^{\prime}(r) \leq 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Integrating the first equation of System 2.18, from $R$ to $2 R, R>0$, we obtain

$$
u_{n}(R) \geq \int_{R}^{2 R}\left(\eta^{1-N} \int_{0}^{\eta} \xi^{N-1} a_{12}(\xi) h_{n} d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d \eta \geq c R h_{n}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}
$$

Here

$$
c=\left(\frac{1}{(2 R)^{N-1}} \int_{0}^{R} \xi^{N-1} a_{12}(\xi) d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}
$$

Consequently $u_{n}(R) \geq c R h_{n}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Passing to the limit we get $u_{n}(R) \rightarrow+\infty$. On the other hand, integrating the second equation of 2.18 , from $R$ to $2 R$, we obtain

$$
v_{n}(R) \geq \int_{R}^{2 R}\left(\eta^{1-N} \int_{0}^{\eta} \xi^{N-1} a_{21}(\xi) f_{21}\left(u_{n}(\xi)\right) d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} d \eta \geq c R\left(f_{21}\left(u_{n}(R)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}
$$

By hypothesis (H3) and Proposition 2.1. we have $v_{n}(R) \geq c\left(u_{n}(R)\right)^{\frac{\delta_{21}-\varepsilon}{q-1}}$ Operating similarly, we obtain $u_{n}(R) \geq c\left(v_{n}(R)\right)^{\frac{\delta_{12}-\varepsilon}{p-1}}$. It follows from the last two inequalities, that

$$
\left(u_{n}(R)\right)^{\frac{\left(\delta_{12}-\varepsilon\right)\left(\delta_{21}-\varepsilon\right)-(p-1)(q-1)}{(p-1)(q-1)}} \leq \frac{1}{c}
$$

This is the desired contradiction since $u_{n}(R)$ increases to infinitely.
Lemma 2.7. There exists $\bar{\rho}>0$ such that for all $\rho \in] 0, \bar{\rho}[$ and all $(u, v) \in X$ satisfying $\|(u, v)\|=\rho$, the equation $(u, v)=S_{\lambda}(u, v)$ has no solution.

Proof. Assume that there exist $\left(\rho_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \rho_{n} \rightarrow 0 ;\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \subset[0,1]$ and $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in X$ such that $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=S_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ with $\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|=\rho_{n}$. Taking (H4) into account,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c \lambda_{n}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty^{\frac{\bar{\delta}_{11}-\varepsilon}{p-1}}}^{p}+\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{\infty^{\frac{\bar{\delta}_{12}-\varepsilon}{p-1}}}^{p^{\frac{\delta_{2}}{2}}}\right) \\
& \left\|v_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c \lambda_{n}^{\frac{1}{q-1}}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty^{\frac{\bar{\delta}_{21}-\varepsilon}{q-1}}}^{\bar{\delta}_{22}-\varepsilon}+\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{\frac{q-\varepsilon}{q-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding term by term, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\| \leq & C\left(\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{\frac{\bar{\delta}_{11}-\varepsilon}{p-1}}+\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{\frac{\bar{\delta}_{12}-\varepsilon}{p-1}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{\frac{\bar{\delta}_{21}-\varepsilon}{q-1}}+\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{\frac{\bar{\delta}_{22}-\varepsilon}{q-1}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \leq & C\left(\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{\frac{\bar{\delta}_{11}-\varepsilon}{p-1}-1}+\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{\frac{\bar{\delta}_{12}-\varepsilon}{p-1}-1}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{\frac{\delta_{21}-\varepsilon}{q-1}-1}+\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{\frac{\delta_{22}-\varepsilon}{q-1}-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above inequality contradicts the fact that $\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|=\rho_{n} \rightarrow$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.
Theorem 2.8. Under hypotheses (H1)-(H4), System (1.1) has positive radial solution.

Proof. To show the existence of ground states for (1.1) (or (2.1) with $h=0$ ), it is sufficient to prove that the compact operator $T_{0}$ admits a fixed point. In view of Theorem 2.5, the eventual fixed point $(u, v)$ of $T_{0}$ are bounded; explicitly there exists $C>0$ such that $\|(u, v)\|_{X} \leq C$. Let us chose $R_{1}>C$ and let us designate by $B_{R_{1}}$ the ball of $X$, centered at the origin with radius $R_{1}$. To this end, the Leray-Schauder degree $\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(I-T_{h}, B_{R_{1}}, 0\right)$ is well defined. It being understood that $I$ denote the identical operator in $X$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.6, we have $\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(I-T_{h}, B_{R_{1}}, 0\right)=0$ for all $h \geq h_{0}$. It follows from the homotopy invariance of the Leray-Schauder degree that

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(I-T_{0}, B_{R_{1}}, 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(I-T_{h}, B_{R_{1}}, 0\right)=0
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.7. there exists $0<\rho<\bar{\rho}<R_{1}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(I-S_{\lambda}, B_{\rho}, 0\right)$ is well defined. Once again, the homotopy invariance of the Leray-Schauder degree yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(I, B_{\rho}, 0\right) \\
& =\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(I-S_{\lambda}, B_{\rho}, 0\right) \\
& =\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(I-S_{1}, B_{\rho}, 0\right) \\
& =\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(I-T_{0}, B_{\rho}, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the additivity of the Leray-Schauder degree,

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(I-T_{0}, B_{R_{1}} \backslash B_{\rho}, 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(I-T_{0}, B_{R_{1}}, 0\right)-\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(I-T_{0}, B_{\rho}, 0\right)=-1
$$

This implies that $T_{0}$ has fixed point in $B_{R_{1}} \backslash B_{\rho}$. Consequently, there exists a nontrivial ground state.
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