

STABILITY OF DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH OSCILLATING COEFFICIENTS

MICHAEL I. GIL'

ABSTRACT. We study the solutions to the delay differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = -a(t)x(t-h),$$

where the coefficient $a(t)$ is not necessarily positive. It is proved that this equation is exponentially stable provided that $a(t) = b + c(t)$ for some positive constant b less than $\pi/(2h)$, and the integral $\int_0^t c(s)ds$ is sufficiently small for all $t > 0$. In this case the 3/2-stability theorem is improved.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

This article concerns the equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = -a(t)x(t-h), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\dot{x} = dx/dt$, the delay h is a positive constant, and $a(t)$ a piece-wise continuous function bounded on $[0, \infty)$. We do not require that $a(t)$ be positive, and therefore, the “characteristic function” $z + a(t)e^{-zh}$ can be unstable for some $t \geq 0$.

The sharp stability condition (the so called 3/2-stability theorem) for first-order functional-differential equations with one variable delay was established by Myshkis [5] (see also [4]). A similar result was established by Lillo [3]. The 3/2-stability theorem asserts that (1.1) is uniformly stable, provided that $0 < ha(t) \leq 3/2$ for all $t \geq 0$. The upper bound 3/2 is the best possible. In fact, if $h \sup_t a(t) > 3/2$, then there are equations having unbounded solutions. The 3/2-theorem was generalized to nonlinear equations and equations with unbounded delays in the very interesting papers [6, 7, 8]. In this article, under some additional conditions we improve the 3/2-theorem.

We consider (1.1) as a perturbation of the equation

$$\dot{y}(t) = -by(t-h) \tag{1.2}$$

with a positive constant $b < \pi/(2h)$ satisfying a condition stated below. The fundamental solution to (1.2) is

$$F_b(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{e^{zt} dz}{z + be^{-zh}}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 34K20.

Key words and phrases. Linear delay differential equation; exponential stability.

©2010 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted April 13, 2010. Published July 22, 2010.

For a function f defined and bounded on $[0, \infty)$ (not necessarily continuous), we introduce the norm $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{t \geq 0} |f(t)|$. So $\|a\|_\infty = \sup_{t \geq 0} |a(t)|$. In addition, put

$$\|f\|_{L^1} = \int_0^\infty |f(t)| dt,$$

if the integral exists. Now we are in a position to formulate our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let there be a constant $b \in (0, \pi/(2h))$, such that*

$$w_b := \sup_{t \geq 0} \left| \int_0^t (a(t) - b) dt \right|$$

is finite and satisfies the inequality

$$w_b < \frac{1}{1 + (b + \|a\|_\infty) \|F_b\|_{L^1}}. \quad (1.3)$$

Then (1.1) is exponentially stable.

This theorem is proved in the next section. Its assumptions are sharp: if $a(t) \equiv b$, then $w_b = 0$ and condition (1.3) is automatically fulfilled.

Furthermore, let

$$ehb < 1. \quad (1.4)$$

Then $F_b(t) \geq 0$ and (1.2) is exponentially stable, cf. [2] and references therein. Now, integrating (1.2), we have

$$1 = F_b(0) = b \int_0^\infty F_b(t-h) dt = b \int_h^\infty F_b(t-h) dt = b \|F_b\|_{L^1}.$$

Thus, Theorem 1.1 implies the following result.

Corollary 1.2. *Let (1.4) and*

$$w_b < \frac{b}{2b + \|a\|_\infty} \quad (1.5)$$

hold. Then (1.1) is exponentially stable.

Now for a positive constant ω , let

$$a(t) = b + u(\omega t), \quad (1.6)$$

where $u(t)$ is a piece-wise continuous function such that

$$\nu_u := \sup_t \left| \int_0^t u(s) ds \right| < \infty.$$

Then

$$w_b = \sup_t \left| \int_0^t u(\omega s) ds \right| = \nu_u / \omega.$$

For example, when $u(t) = \sin(t)$, then $\nu_u = 2$. Now Theorem 1.1 and (1.5) imply our next result.

Corollary 1.3. *Let (1.4), (1.6) and*

$$\omega > \frac{\nu_u(3b + \|u\|_\infty)}{b} \quad (1.7)$$

hold. Then (1.1) is exponentially stable.

Example 1.4. Consider the equation

$$\dot{x} = -bx(t-1) + c_2 \sin(\omega t)x(t-1), \quad (1.8)$$

where b, c_2 are positive constant with $b < e^{-1}$. Then $\nu_u = 2c_2$ and (1.7) has the form

$$\omega > \frac{2c_2(3b + c_2)}{b}. \quad (1.9)$$

In summary, for each c_2 there exists an ω , such that (1.8) is exponentially stable. Meanwhile, the 3/2-stability theorem requires the additional condition $c_2 + b < 3/2$. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 supplements the interesting results obtained in [1].

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

For simplicity, we put $F_b(t) = F(t)$. Due to the Variation of Constants Formula the equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = -bx(t-h) + f(t) \quad (t \geq 0),$$

with a given function f and the zero initial condition $x(t) = 0$ ($t \leq 0$) is equivalent to the equation

$$x(t) = \int_0^t F(t-s)f(s)ds. \quad (2.1)$$

Recall that a function $G(t, s)$, ($t \geq s \geq 0$) differentiable in t , is the fundamental solution to (1.1) if it satisfies that equation in t and the initial conditions

$$G(s, s) = 1, \quad G(t, s) = 0 \quad (t < s, s \geq 0).$$

Put $G(t, 0) = G(t)$. Subtracting (1.2) from (1.1) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(G(t) - F(t)) = -b(G(t-h) - F(t-h)) + c(t)G(t-h)$$

where $c(t) = -(a(t) - b)$. Now (2.1) implies

$$G(t) = F(t) + \int_0^t F(t-s)c(s)G(s-h)ds. \quad (2.2)$$

We need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Assume that on each finite segment of the real axis, functions $f(t)$ and $v(t)$ are boundedly differentiable and $w(t)$ is integrable. Then with the notation

$$j_w(t, \tau) = \int_\tau^t w(s)ds \quad (t > \tau > -\infty),$$

the equality

$$\int_\tau^t f(s)w(s)v(s)ds = f(t)j_w(t, \tau)v(t) - \int_\tau^t [f'(s)j_w(s, \tau)v(s) + f(s)j_w(s)v'(s)]ds$$

is valid.

Proof. Clearly,

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(t)j_w(t, \tau)v(t) = f'(t)j_w(t, \tau)v(t) + f(t)w(t)v(t) + f(t)j_w(t, \tau)v'(t).$$

Integrating, this equality and taking into account that $j_w(\tau, \tau) = 0$, we arrive at the required result. \square

Put $J(t) := \int_0^t c(s)ds$. By the previous lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t F(t-\tau)c(\tau)G(\tau-h)d\tau \\ &= F(0)J(t)G(t-h) - \int_0^t \left[\frac{dF(t-\tau)}{d\tau} J(\tau)G(\tau-h) + F(t-\tau)J(\tau) \frac{dG(\tau-h)}{d\tau} \right] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\frac{dG(\tau-h)}{d\tau} = -a(\tau-h)G(\tau-2h) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dF(t-\tau)}{d\tau} = -\frac{dF(t-\tau)}{dt} = bF(t-\tau-h).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t F(t-\tau)c(\tau)G(\tau-h)d\tau \\ &= J(t)G(t-h) + \int_0^t J(\tau) \left[-bF(t-\tau-h)G(\tau-h) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + F(t-\tau)a(\tau-h)G(\tau-2h) \right] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Now (2.2) implies the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &= F(t) + J(t)G(t-h) + \int_0^t J(\tau) \left[-bF(t-\tau-h)G(\tau-h) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + F(t-\tau)a(\tau-h)G(\tau-2h) \right] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From the previous lemma,

$$\|G\|_\infty \leq \|F\|_\infty + \|G\|_\infty w_b [1 + (b + \|a\|_\infty) \|F\|_{L^1}].$$

If condition (1.3) holds, then

$$\theta := w_b [1 + (b + \|a\|_\infty) \|F\|_{L^1}] < 1$$

and therefore,

$$\|G\|_\infty \leq \frac{\|F\|_\infty}{1-\theta}. \quad (2.3)$$

So the stability of (1.1) is proved. Substituting

$$x_\epsilon(t) = e^{\epsilon t} x(t) \quad (2.4)$$

with $\epsilon > 0$ into (1.1), we have the equation

$$\dot{x}_\epsilon(t) = \epsilon x_\epsilon(t) - a(t)e^{\epsilon h} x_\epsilon(t-h). \quad (2.5)$$

If $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, then considering (2.5) as a perturbation of the equation $\dot{y}(t) = \epsilon y(t) - be^{\epsilon h} y(t-h)$, and applying our above arguments, according to (2.3) we obtain $\|x_\epsilon\|_\infty < \infty$ for any solution x_ϵ of (2.5). Hence (2.4) implies $|x(t)| \leq e^{-\epsilon t} \|x_\epsilon\|_\infty$ for any solution x of (1.1).

REFERENCES

- [1] Berezensky, L. and Braverman, E.; On stability of some linear and nonlinear delay differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 314, No. 2, 391-411 (2006).
- [2] Gil, M. I.; On Aizerman-Myshkis problem for systems with delay. *Automatica*, 36, 1669-1673 (2000).
- [3] Lillo, J. C.; Oscillatory solutions of the equation $y'(x) = m(x)y(x-n(x))$. *J. Differ. Equations* 6, 1-35 (1969).
- [4] Kolmanovskii, V. and Myshkis, A.; *Introduction to the theory and applications of functional differential equations*. Mathematics and its Applications. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [5] Myshkis, A. D.; On solutions of linear homogeneous differential equations of the first order of stable type with a retarded argument. *Mat. Sb., N. Ser.* 28(70), 15-54 (1951).
- [6] Yoneyama, Toshiaki; On the stability for the delay-differential equation $\dot{x}(t) = -a(t)f(x(t-r(t)))$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 120, 271-275 (1986).
- [7] Yoneyama, Toshiaki; On the 3/2 stability theorem for one-dimensional delay-differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 125, 161-173 (1987).
- [8] Yoneyama, Toshiaki; The 3/2 stability theorem for one-dimensional delay-differential equations with unbounded delay. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 165, No.1, 133-143 (1992).

MICHAEL I. GIL^{*}

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, P.O. BOX 653, BEER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL

E-mail address: gilmi@cs.bgu.ac.il