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#### Abstract

In this article, we study the self-adjoint second-order boundaryvalue problem with integral boundary conditions, $$
\begin{gathered} \left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+f(t, x(t))=0, \quad t \in(0,1) \\ p(0) x^{\prime}(0)=p(1) x^{\prime}(1), \quad x(1)=\int_{0}^{1} x(s) g(s) d s \end{gathered}
$$ which involves an integral boundary condition. We prove the existence of positive solutions using a new tool: the Leggett-Williams norm-type theorem for coincidences.


## 1. Introduction

This paper concerns the existence of positive solutions to the following boundary value problem at resonance:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+f(t, x(t))=0, \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{1.1}\\
p(0) x^{\prime}(0)=p(1) x^{\prime}(1), \quad x(1)=\int_{0}^{1} x(s) g(s) d s \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g \in L^{1}[0,1]$ with $g(t) \geq 0$ on $[0,1], \int_{0}^{1} g(s) d s=1, p \in C[0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1)$, $p(t)>0$ on $[0,1]$.

Recently much attention has been paid to the study of certain nonlocal boundary value problems (BVPs). The methodology for dealing with such problems varies. For example, Kosmatov [7] applied a coincidence degree theorem due to Mawhin and obtained the existence of at least one solution of the BVP at resonance

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{\prime \prime}(t) & =f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right), t \in(0,1) \\
u^{\prime}(0) & =u^{\prime}(\eta), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} u\left(\eta_{i}\right)=u(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

under the assumptions $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}=1$.

[^0]Han [5] studied the three-point BVP at resonance

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in(0,1) \\
x^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad x(\eta)=x(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

The author rewrote the original BVP as an equivalent problem, and then used the Krasnolsel'skii-Gue fixed point theorem.

Although the existing literature on solutions of BVPs is quite wide, to the best of our knowledge, only a few papers deal with the existence of positive solutions to multi-point BVPs at resonance. In particular, there has been no work done for the BVP $\sqrt{1.1}-(\sqrt{1.2})$. Moreover, Our main approach is different from the ones existing and our main ingredient is the Leggett-Williams norm-type theorem for coincidences obtained by O'Regan and Zima 9.

## 2. Related Lemmas

For the convenience of the reader, we review some standard facts on Fredholm operators and cones in Banach spaces. Let $X, Y$ be real Banach spaces. Consider a linear mapping $L: \operatorname{dom} L \subset X \rightarrow Y$ and a nonlinear operator $N: X \rightarrow Y$. Assume that
(A1) $L$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero; that is, $\operatorname{Im} L$ is closed and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} L=$ codim $\operatorname{Im} L<\infty$.
This assumption implies that there exist continuous projections $P: X \rightarrow X$ and $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{ker} L$ and $\operatorname{ker} Q=\operatorname{Im} L$. Moreover, since $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im} Q=$ codim $\operatorname{Im} L$, there exists an isomorphism $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} L$. Denote by $L_{p}$ the restriction of $L$ to ker $P \cap \operatorname{dom} L$. Clearly, $L_{p}$ is an isomorphism from ker $P \cap \operatorname{dom} L$ to $\operatorname{Im} L$, we denote its inverse by $K_{p}: \operatorname{Im} L \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} P \cap \operatorname{dom} L$. It is known (see [8]) that the coincidence equation $L x=N x$ is equivalent to

$$
x=(P+J Q N) x+K_{P}(I-Q) N x .
$$

Let $C$ be a cone in $X$ such that
(i) $\mu x \in C$ for all $x \in C$ and $\mu \geq 0$,
(ii) $x,-x \in C$ implies $x=\theta$.

It is well known that $C$ induces a partial order in $X$ by

$$
x \preceq y \quad \text { if and only if } \quad y-x \in C .
$$

The following property is valid for every cone in a Banach space $X$.
Lemma 2.1 (10]). Let $C$ be a cone in $X$. Then for every $u \in C \backslash\{0\}$ there exists a positive number $\sigma(u)$ such that

$$
\|x+u\| \geq \sigma(u)\|u\| \quad \text { for all } x \in C
$$

Let $\gamma: X \rightarrow C$ be a retraction; that is, a continuous mapping such that $\gamma(x)=x$ for all $x \in C$. Set

$$
\Psi:=P+J Q N+K_{p}(I-Q) N \quad \text { and } \quad \Psi_{\gamma}:=\Psi \circ \gamma
$$

We use the following result due to O'Regan and Zima, with the following assumptions:
(A2) $Q N: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous and bounded and $K_{p}(I-Q) N: X \rightarrow X$ be compact on every bounded subset of $X$,
(A3) $L x \neq \lambda N x$ for all $x \in C \cap \partial \Omega_{2} \cap \operatorname{ImL}$ and $\lambda \in(0,1)$,
(A4) $\gamma$ maps subsets of $\bar{\Omega}_{2}$ into bounded subsets of $C$,
(A5) $\operatorname{deg}\left\{\left.[I-(P+J Q N) \gamma]\right|_{\operatorname{ker} L}, \operatorname{ker} L \cap \Omega_{2}, 0\right\} \neq 0$,
(A6) there exists $u_{0} \in C \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\|x\| \leq \sigma\left(u_{0}\right)\|\Psi x\|$ for $x \in C\left(u_{0}\right) \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$, where $C\left(u_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in C: \mu u_{0} \preceq x\right.$ for some $\left.\mu>0\right\}$ and $\sigma\left(u_{0}\right)$ such that $\left\|x+u_{0}\right\| \geq \sigma\left(u_{0}\right)\|x\|$ for every $x \in C$,
(A7) $(P+J Q N) \gamma\left(\partial \Omega_{2}\right) \subset C$,
(A8) $\Psi_{\gamma}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \subset C$.
Theorem 2.2 ( 9$]$ ). Let $C$ be a cone in $X$ and let $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ be open bounded subsets of $X$ with $\bar{\Omega}_{1} \subset \Omega_{2}$ and $C \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that (A1)-(A8) hold. Then the equation $L x=N x$ has a solution in the set $C \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$.

For simplicity of notation, we set

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega:=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{s}^{1} \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d \tau\right) g(s) d s \\
l(s):=\int_{s}^{1}\left(\int_{\tau}^{1} \frac{1}{p(r)} d r\right) g(\tau) d \tau+\int_{s}^{1} \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d \tau \int_{0}^{s} g(\tau) d \tau \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
G(t, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\omega}\left[\int_{0}^{s}\left(\int_{s}^{1} \frac{1}{p(r)} d r-\int_{\tau}^{1} \frac{r}{p(r)} d r\right) g(\tau) d \tau+\int_{s}^{1} \int_{\tau}^{1} \frac{1-r}{p(r)} d r g(\tau) d \tau\right] \\
\times\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\tau}{p(\tau)} d \tau-\int_{t}^{1} \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d \tau\right]+1+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\tau^{2}}{p(\tau)} d \tau+\int_{t}^{1} \frac{1-\tau}{p(\tau)} d \tau-\int_{s}^{1} \frac{\tau}{p(\tau)} d \tau \\
\quad \text { if } 0 \leq s<t \leq 1, \\
\frac{1}{\omega}\left[\int_{0}^{s}\left(\int_{s}^{1} \frac{1}{p(r)} d r-\int_{\tau}^{1} \frac{r}{p(r)} d r\right) g(\tau) d \tau+\int_{s}^{1} \int_{\tau}^{1} \frac{1-r}{p(r)} d r g(\tau) d \tau\right] \\
\times\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\tau}{p(\tau)} d \tau-\int_{t}^{1} \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d \tau\right]+1+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\tau^{2}}{p(\tau)} d \tau+\int_{s}^{1} \frac{1-\tau}{p(\tau)} d \tau-\int_{t}^{1} \frac{\tau}{p(\tau)} d \tau \\
\quad \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that $G(t, s) \geq 0$ for $t, s \in[0,1]$, and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa:=\min \left\{1, \frac{1}{\max _{t, s \in[0,1]} G(t, s)}\right\} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Main Result

To prove the existence result, we present here a definition.
Definition 3.1. We say that the function $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the $L^{1}$ Carathéodory conditions, if
(i) for each $u \in \mathbb{R}$, the mapping $t \mapsto f(t, u)$ is Lebesgue measurable on $[0,1]$,
(ii) for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$, the mapping $u \mapsto f(t, u)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$,
(iii) for each $r>0$, there exists $\alpha_{r} \in L^{1}[0,1]$ satisfying $\alpha_{r}(t)>0$ on $[0,1]$ such that

$$
|u| \leq r \text { implies }|f(t, u)| \leq \alpha_{r}(t)
$$

Now, we state our result on the existence of positive solutions for 1.1)-(1.2). under the following assumptions:
(H1) $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the $L^{1}$-Carathéodory conditions,
(H2) there exist positive constants $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, c_{1}, c_{2}, B$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
B>\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}+3\left(\frac{b_{2} c_{2}}{b_{1} c_{1}}+\frac{b_{3}}{b_{1}}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1+s}{p(s)} d s \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
-\kappa x \leq f(t, x), \quad f(t, x) \leq-c_{1} x+c_{2}, \quad f(t, x) \leq-b_{1}|f(t, x)|+b_{2} x+b_{3}
$$

$$
\text { for } t \in[0,1], x \in[0, B]
$$

(H3) there exist $b \in(0, B), t_{0} \in[0,1], \rho \in(0,1], \delta \in(0,1)$ and $q \in L^{1}[0,1]$, $q(t) \geq 0$ on $[0,1], h \in C\left([0,1] \times(0, b], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that $f(t, x) \geq q(t) h(t, x)$ for $t \in[0,1]$ and $x \in(0, b]$. For each $t \in[0,1], \frac{h(t, x)}{x^{\rho}}$ is non-increasing on $x \in(0, b]$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} G\left(t_{0}, s\right) q(s) \frac{h(s, b)}{b} d s \geq \frac{1-\delta}{\delta^{\rho}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Under assumptions (H1)-(H3), The problem 1.1)-(1.2) has at least one positive solution on $[0,1]$.
Proof. Consider the Banach spaces $X=C[0,1]$ with the supremum norm $\|x\|=$ $\max _{t \in[0,1]}|x(t)|$ and $Y=L^{1}[0,1]$ with the usual integral norm $\|y\|=\int_{0}^{1}|y(t)| d t$. Define $L: \operatorname{dom} L \subset X \rightarrow Y$ and $N: X \rightarrow Y$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dom} L=\{ & \left\{x \in X: p(0) x^{\prime}(0)=p(1) x^{\prime}(1), x(1)=\int_{0}^{1} x(s) g(s) d s\right. \\
& \left.x, p x^{\prime} \in A C[0,1],\left(p x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \in L^{1}[0,1]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $L x(t)=-\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}$ and $N x(t)=f(t, x(t)), t \in[0,1]$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{ker} L=\{x \in \operatorname{dom} L: x(t) \equiv c \text { on }[0,1]\}, \\
\operatorname{Im} L=\left\{y \in Y: \int_{0}^{1} y(s) d s=0\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Next, we define the projections $P: X \rightarrow X$ by $(P x)(t)=\int_{0}^{1} x(s) d s$ and $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
(Q y)(t)=\int_{0}^{1} y(s) d s
$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{ker} L$ and $\operatorname{ker} Q=\operatorname{Im} L . \quad$ So $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} L=1=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im} Q=$ codim $\operatorname{Im} L$. Notice that $\operatorname{Im} L$ is closed, $L$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero; i.e. (A1) holds.

Note that the inverse $K_{p}: \operatorname{Im} L \rightarrow \operatorname{dom} L \cap \operatorname{ker} P$ of $L_{p}$ is given by

$$
\left(K_{p} y\right)(t)=\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) y(s) d s
$$

where

$$
k(t, s):= \begin{cases}-\int_{s}^{1} \frac{\tau}{p(\tau)} d \tau+\frac{1}{\omega} l(s)\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\tau}{p(\tau)} d \tau-\int_{t}^{1} \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d \tau\right] &  \tag{3.3}\\ +\int_{t}^{1} \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d \tau & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\ -\int_{s}^{1} \frac{\tau}{p(\tau)} d \tau+\frac{1}{\omega} l(s)\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\tau}{p(\tau)} d \tau-\int_{t}^{1} \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d \tau\right] & \\ +\int_{s}^{1} \frac{1}{p(\tau)} d \tau & 0 \leq t<s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that $|k(t, s)| \leq 3 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1+s}{p(s)} d s$. Since $f$ satisfies the $L^{1}$-Carathéodory conditions, (A2) holds.

Consider the cone

$$
C=\{x \in X: x(t) \geq 0 \text { on }[0,1]\}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{1}=\{x \in X: \delta\|x\|<|x(t)|<b \text { on }[0,1]\}, \\
\Omega_{2}=\{x \in X:\|x\|<B\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly, $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are bounded and open sets and

$$
\bar{\Omega}_{1}=\{x \in X: \delta\|x\| \leq|x(t)| \leq b \text { on }[0,1]\} \subset \Omega_{2}
$$

(see [9]). Moreover, $C \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $J=I$ and $(\gamma x)(t)=|x(t)|$ for $x \in X$. Then $\gamma$ is a retraction and maps subsets of $\bar{\Omega}_{2}$ into bounded subsets of $C$, which means that $4^{\circ}$ holds.

To prove (A3), suppose that there exist $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega_{2} \cap C \cap \operatorname{dom} L$ and $\lambda_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $L x_{0}=\lambda_{0} N x_{0}$, then $\left(p(t) x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda_{0} f\left(t, x_{0}(t)\right)=0$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. In view of (H2), we have

$$
-\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}}\left(p(t) x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, x_{0}(t)\right) \leq-\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}} b_{1}\left|\left(p(t) x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right|+b_{2} x_{0}(t)+b_{3} .
$$

Hence,

$$
0 \leq-b_{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\left(p(t) x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| d t+\lambda_{0} b_{2} \int_{0}^{1} x_{0}(t) d t+\lambda_{0} b_{3}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|\left(p(t) x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| d t \leq \frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} x_{0}(t) d t+\frac{b_{3}}{b_{1}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, from (H2), we also obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} x_{0}(t) d t \leq \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{0}(t) & =\int_{0}^{1} x_{0}(t) d t+\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s)\left(p(s) x_{0}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\prime} d s  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} x_{0}(t) d t+\int_{0}^{1}|k(t, s)|\left|\left(p(s) x_{0}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\prime}\right| d s
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.4), 3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$
B=\left\|x_{0}\right\| \leq \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}+3\left(\frac{b_{2} c_{2}}{b_{1} c_{1}}+\frac{b_{3}}{b_{1}}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1+s}{p(s)} d s
$$

which contradicts (H2).
To prove (A5), consider $x \in \operatorname{ker} L \cap \bar{\Omega}_{2}$. Then $x(t) \equiv c$ on $[0,1]$. Let

$$
H(c, \lambda)=c-\lambda|c|-\lambda \int_{0}^{1} f(s,|c|) d s
$$

for $c \in[-B, B]$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$. It is easy to show that $0=H(c, \lambda)$ implies $c \geq 0$. Suppose $0=H(B, \lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in(0,1]$. Then, (H2) leads to

$$
0 \leq B(1-\lambda)=\lambda \int_{0}^{1} f(s, B) d s \leq \lambda\left(-c_{1} B+c_{2}\right)<0
$$

which is a contradiction. In addition, if $\lambda=0$, then $B=0$, which is impossible. Thus, $H(x, \lambda) \neq 0$ for $x \in \operatorname{ker} L \cap \partial \Omega_{2}, \lambda \in[0,1]$. As a result,

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left\{H(\cdot, 1), \operatorname{ker} L \cap \Omega_{2}, 0\right\}=\operatorname{deg}\left\{H(\cdot, 0), \operatorname{ker} L \cap \Omega_{2}, 0\right\}
$$

However,

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left\{H(\cdot, 0), \operatorname{ker} L \cap \Omega_{2}, 0\right\}=\operatorname{deg}\left\{I, \operatorname{ker} L \cap \Omega_{2}, 0\right\}=1
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left\{[I-(P+J Q N) \gamma]_{\operatorname{ker} L}, \operatorname{ker} L \cap \Omega_{2}, 0\right\}=\operatorname{deg}\left\{H(\cdot, 1), \operatorname{ker} L \cap \Omega_{2}, 0\right\} \neq 0
$$

Next, we prove (A8). Let $x \in \bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}$ and $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Psi_{\gamma} x\right)(t)= & \int_{0}^{1}|x(s)| d s+\int_{0}^{1} f(s,|x(s)|) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s)\left[f(s,|x(s)|)-\int_{0}^{1} f(\tau,|x(\tau)|) d \tau\right] d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}|x(s)| d s+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s,|x(s)|) d s \\
\geq & \int_{0}^{1}(1-\kappa G(t, s))|x(s)| d s \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\Psi_{\gamma}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \subset C$; i.e. (A8) holds.
Since for $x \in \partial \Omega_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(P+J Q N) \gamma x & =\int_{0}^{1}|x(s)| d s+\int_{0}^{1} f(s,|x(s)|) d s \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{1}(1-\kappa)|x(s)| d s \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $(P+J Q N) \gamma x \subset C$ for $x \in \partial \Omega_{2}$, (A7) holds.
It remains to verify $(\mathrm{A} 6)$. Let $u_{0}(t) \equiv 1$ on $[0,1]$. Then $u_{0} \in C \backslash\{0\}, C\left(u_{0}\right)=$ $\{x \in C: x(t)>0$ on $[0,1]\}$ and we can take $\sigma\left(u_{0}\right)=1$. Let $x \in C\left(u_{0}\right) \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$. Then $x(t)>0$ on $[0,1], 0<\|x\| \leq b$ and $x(t) \geq \delta\|x\|$ on $[0,1]$. For every $x \in C\left(u_{0}\right) \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$, by (H3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Psi x)\left(t_{0}\right) & =\int_{0}^{1} x(s) d s+\int_{0}^{1} G\left(t_{0}, s\right) f(s, x(s)) d s \\
& \geq \delta\|x\|+\int_{0}^{1} G\left(t_{0}, s\right) q(s) h(s, x(s)) d s \\
& =\delta\|x\|+\int_{0}^{1} G\left(t_{0}, s\right) q(s) \frac{h(s, x(s))}{x^{\rho}(s)} x^{\rho}(s) d s \\
& \geq \delta\|x\|+\delta^{\rho}\|x\|^{\rho} \int_{0}^{1} G\left(t_{0}, s\right) q(s) \frac{h(s, b)}{b^{\rho}} d s \\
& =\delta\|x\|+\delta^{\rho}\|x\| \cdot \frac{b^{1-\rho}}{\|x\|^{1-\rho}} \int_{0}^{1} G\left(t_{0}, s\right) q(s) \frac{h(s, b)}{b} d s \\
& \geq \delta\|x\|+\delta^{\rho}\|x\| \int_{0}^{1} G\left(t_{0}, s\right) q(s) \frac{h(s, b)}{b} d s \geq\|x\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\|x\| \leq \sigma\left(u_{0}\right)\|\Psi x\|$ for all $x \in C\left(u_{0}\right) \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$.
By Theorem 2.2, the BVP 1.1- 1.2 has a positive solution $x^{*}$ on $[0,1]$ with $b \leq\left\|x^{*}\right\| \leq B$. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.3. Note that with the projection $P(x)=x(0)$, conditions (A7) and (A8) of Theorem 2.2 are no longer satisfied.

To illustrate how our main result can be used in practice, we present here an example.

Example. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(e^{54 t}(1+t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+f(t, x(t))=0, \quad t \in(0,1) \\
x^{\prime}(0)=2 e^{54} x^{\prime}(1), \quad x(1)=\int_{0}^{1} 2 s x(s) d s \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Corresponding to 1.1 -1.2, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
p(t)=e^{54 t}(1+t), \quad g(t)=2 t \\
f(t, x)= \begin{cases}\sin (\pi x / 2), & (t, x) \in[0,1] \times(-\infty, 3) \\
2-x, & (t, x) \in[0,1] \times[3,+\infty)\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

When $\kappa=1 / 2$, choose $c_{1}=1, c_{2}=3, b_{1}=1 / 2, b_{2}=3 / 2, b_{3}=9 / 2, B=4$ and $b=1 / 2, t_{0}=0, \rho=1, \delta=1 / 2, q(t)=1-t, h(t, x)=\sin (\pi x / 2)$. We can check that all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied, then the BVP (3.7) has a positive solution on $[0,1]$.
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## Addendum posted on March 14, 2011

In response to comments from a reader, we want to make the following corrections:

Page 2, Line 9: Delete the last sentence in the introduction: "Moreover, . . . by O'Regan and Zima [9]. Then insert the following paragraph:

Using the Legget-Williams norm-type theorem for coincidences, which is a tool introduced by O'Regan and Zima [9], Infante and Zima [6] studied the multi-point boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=f(t, x(t))=0, \\
\left.x^{\prime} 0\right)=0, \quad x(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Inspired by the work in [6, 9, we follow their steps, use the Legget-Williams normtype theorem, and quote some of their results.

Page 6 , Line -3 : Replace $b \leq\left\|x^{*}\right\| \leq B$ by $\left\|x^{*}\right\| \leq B$.
The authors want to thank the anonymous reader for the suggestions.
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