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# COMPACTNESS RESULTS FOR QUASILINEAR PROBLEMS WITH VARIABLE EXPONENT ON THE WHOLE SPACE 

OLFA ALLEGUE, ASMA KAROUI SOUAYAH


#### Abstract

In this work we give a compactness result which allows us to prove the point-wise convergence of the gradients of a sequence of solutions to a quasilinear inequality and for an arbitrary open set. This result suggests solutions to many problems, notably nonlinear elliptic problems with critical exponent.


## 1. Introduction and preliminary results

In their recent work El Hamidi and Rakotoson 5 g gave a compactness result to prove the point-wise convergence of the gradients of a sequence of solutions to a general quasilinear inequality and for an arbitrary open set. They proved the following result.
Lemma 1.1. Let â be a Carathéodory function from $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ satisfying the usual Leray-Lions growth and monotonicity conditions. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a bounded sequence of $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{v \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),|\nabla v| \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}$, with $1<p<+\infty,\left(f_{n}\right)$ be a bounded sequence of $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left(g_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{-1, p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ tending strongly to zero. Assume that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ satisfies:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \hat{a}\left(x, u_{n}(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) \cdot \nabla \phi d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{n} \phi d x+\left\langle g_{n}, \phi\right\rangle,
$$

for all $\phi \in W_{\text {comp }}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{v \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right.$, with compact support $\}$, $\phi$ bounded. Then:
(1) there exists a function $u$ such that $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$,
(2) $u \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,
(3) there exists a subsequence, still denoted $\left(u_{n}\right)$, such that $\nabla u_{n}(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x)$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

In the present work, we generalize Lemma 1.1 for the $p(x)$-Laplace operator. Our principal result can be applied to a large class of quasilinear elliptic problems where there holds a lack of compactness, especially for the critical exponent equations.

In the sequel, we start with some preliminary basic results on the theory of Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces with variable exponent. We refer to the book by Musielak

[^0][19], the papers by Kovacik and Rakosnik [13] and by Fan et al. [6, 7, 8]. Set
$$
C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\{h \in C(\bar{\Omega}): h(x)>1 \text { for all } x \in \bar{\Omega}\} .
$$

For any $h \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ we define

$$
h^{+}=\sup _{x \in \Omega} h(x) \quad \text { and } \quad h^{-}=\inf _{x \in \Omega} h(x) .
$$

For any $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, we define the variable exponent Lebesgue space

$$
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u: u \text { is a Borel real-valued function on } \Omega, \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\} .
$$

We define on $L^{p(x)}$, the so-called Luxemburg norm, by the formula

$$
|u|_{p(x)}:=\inf \left\{\mu>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

Variable exponent Lebesgue spaces resemble classical Lebesgue spaces in many aspects: they are separable and Banach spaces [13, Theorem 2.5; Corollary 2.7] and the Hölder inequality holds [13, Theorem 2.1]. The inclusions between Lebesgue spaces are also naturally generalized [13, Theorem 2.8]: if $0<|\Omega|<\infty$ and $r_{1}, r_{2}$ are variable exponents so that $r_{1}(x) \leq r_{2}(x)$ almost everywhere in $\Omega$ then there exists the continuous embedding $L^{r_{2}(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r_{1}(x)}(\Omega)$.

We denote by $L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$ the conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, where $1 / p(x)+1 / p^{\prime}(x)=$ 1. For any $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$ the Hölder type inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} u v d x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right)|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p^{\prime}(x)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is held.
An important role in manipulating the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces is played by the modular of the $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ space, which is the mapping $\rho_{p(x)}$ : $L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\rho_{p(x)}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x
$$

The space $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is equipped by the norm

$$
\|u\|=|u|_{p(x)}+|\nabla u|_{p(x)}
$$

We recall that if $\left(u_{n}\right), u, \in W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $p^{+}<\infty$ then the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\min \left(|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right) \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq \max \left(|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right),  \tag{1.2}\\
\min \left(|\nabla u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},|\nabla u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right) \leq \rho_{p(x)}(|\nabla u|) \leq \max \left(|\nabla u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},|\nabla u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right),  \tag{1.3}\\
|u|_{p(x)} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(x)}(u) \rightarrow 0, \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{p(x)}=0 \Leftrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{p(x)}\left(u_{n}-u\right)=0,  \tag{1.4}\\
\left|u_{n}\right|_{p(x)} \rightarrow \infty \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(x)}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty .
\end{gather*}
$$

We define also $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ under the norm

$$
\|u\|_{p(x)}=|\nabla u|_{p(x)}
$$

The space $\left(W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega),\|\cdot\|\right)$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space.

Next, we recall some embedding results regarding variable exponent LebesgueSobolev spaces. We note that if $s(x) \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $s(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ then the embedding $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{s(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact and continuous, where $p^{*}(x)=N p(x) /(N-p(x))$ if $p(x)<N$ or $p^{*}(x)=+\infty$ if $p(x) \geq N$. We refer to [13] for more properties of Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponent. We also refer to the recent papers [1, 3, 4, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, for the treatment of nonlinear boundary value problems in Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces with variable exponent. For relevant applications and related results we refer to the recent books by Ghergu and Rădulescu [9] and Kristály, Rădulescu and Varga [12].

## 2. Notation and compactness result

Let $\Omega$ be an arbitrary open set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we shall denote by $\omega \subset \subset \Omega$ any relatively compact open subset $\omega$ of $\Omega$ (that is $\bar{\omega} \subset \Omega$, where $\bar{\omega}$ is the closure of $\omega$ ). Let $1<p(x)<+\infty$, we set

$$
W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{v \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p(x)}(\Omega) ; \nabla v \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p(x)}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

For a given $q(x) \in(1,+\infty)$, we denote by $q^{\prime}(x):=\frac{q(x)}{q(x)-1}$ its conjugate exponent. We shall use the following globally real Lipschitz functions: For $\epsilon>0, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$
S_{\epsilon}(\sigma)= \begin{cases}\sigma & \text { if }|\sigma| \leq \epsilon \\ \epsilon \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and $\sigma^{k}:=S_{k}(\sigma)$ for $k \geq 1$.
We shall consider a nonlinear map $\hat{a}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(L1) $\hat{a}(x, .,$.$) is a continuous map for almost every x$ and for all $(\sigma, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$, $\hat{a}(., \sigma, \xi)$ is measurable (such a property is called Carathéodory property),
(L2) $\hat{a}$ maps bounded sets of $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ into bounded sets of $L_{\text {loc }}^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$, and for almost all $x \in \Omega$, for all $(\sigma, \xi)$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, \hat{a}(x, \sigma, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq 0$, for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for all $v \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, the mapping $u \mapsto \hat{a}(x, u, \nabla v)$ is continuous from $W^{1, p(x)}(\omega)$-weak into $L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\omega)$-strong, for all $\omega \subset \subset \Omega$,
(L3) for almost every $x \in \Omega$, for all $\left(\sigma, \xi_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, i=1,2$,

$$
\left[\hat{a}\left(x, \sigma, \xi_{1}\right)-\hat{a}\left(x, \sigma, \xi_{2}\right)\right]\left[\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right]>0, \quad \text { for } \xi_{1} \neq \xi_{2}
$$

(L4) if for some $x \in \Omega$, there is a sequence $\left(\sigma_{n}, \xi_{1 n}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, \xi_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $\left[\hat{a}\left(x, \sigma_{n}, \xi_{1 n}\right)-\hat{a}\left(x, \sigma_{n}, \xi_{2}\right)\right]\left[\xi_{1 n}-\xi_{2}\right]$ and $\sigma_{n}$ are bounded as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ then $\left|\xi_{1 n}\right|$ remains in a bounded set of $\mathbb{R}$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.
As a corollary of our main result, we state the following result.
Lemma 2.1. Let â be a Carathéodory function from $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ satisfying the usual Leray-Lions growth and monotonicity conditions. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a bounded sequence of

$$
W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{v \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),|\nabla v| \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\},
$$

with $1<p(x)<+\infty$, $\left(f_{n}\right)$ be a bounded sequence of $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left(g_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of $W_{\text {loc }}^{-1, p^{\prime}(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ tending strongly to zero. Assume that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a\left(x, u_{n}(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) \cdot \nabla \phi d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{n} \phi d x+\left\langle g_{n}, \phi\right\rangle,
$$

for all $\phi \in W_{\text {comp }}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right.$ with compact support $\}$, $\phi$ bounded. Then:
(1) there exists a function $u$ such that $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$,
(2) $u \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,
(3) there exists a subsequence, still denoted $\left(u_{n}\right)$, such that $\nabla u_{n}(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x)$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

This Lemma generalizes the result in [5] Lemma 1], and it will be used for the critical exponent equation, to show that suitable Palais-Smale sequences are relatively compact. Our main result is concerned with the convergence almost everywhere of the gradients.
Theorem 2.2. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a bounded sequence of $W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. Then
(i) There is a subsequence still denoted $\left(u_{n}\right)$ and a function $u \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

(ii) If furthermore, we assume (L1)-(L4) and that for all $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and all $k \geq k_{0}>0$ :

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} \hat{a}\left(x, u_{n}(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) \cdot \nabla\left(\phi S_{\epsilon}\left(u_{n}-u^{k}\right)\right) \leq o(1)
$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ then there exists a subsequence still denoted $\left(u_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\nabla u_{n}(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Remark 2.3. (1) The term $o(1)$ in (ii) might depend on $k$ and $\phi$.
(2) (L2) is satisfied if for all $\omega \subset \subset \Omega$, there is a constant $c_{\omega}>0$ and a function $a_{0} \in L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\omega)$ such that for almost every $x \in \omega$, for all $(\sigma, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ :

$$
|\hat{a}(x, \sigma, \xi)| \leq c_{\omega}\left[|\sigma|^{p(x)-1}+|\xi|^{p(x)-1}+a_{0}(x)\right]
$$

and (L4) is true if $\hat{a}(x, \sigma, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq c_{\omega}^{1}|\xi|^{p(x)}-c_{\omega}^{2}, c_{\omega}^{1}>0$.
(3) Bounded sets in $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ will be bounded in

$$
W^{1, p(x)}(\omega)=\left\{v \in L^{p(x)}(\omega), \nabla v \in L^{p(x)}(\omega)\right\}, \quad \text { for every } \omega \subset \subset \Omega
$$

Proof of theorem 2.2. (i) Let $\left(w_{j}\right)_{j \geq 0}$ be a sequence of bounded relatively compact subsets of $\Omega$ such that $\bar{\omega}_{j} \subset \omega_{j+1}$ and $\cup_{j=0}^{+\infty} \omega_{j}=\Omega$. Since $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $W^{1, p(x)}\left(\omega_{j}\right)$, by the usual embeddings, we deduce that there is a subsequence $u_{n_{j}}$ and a function $u$ in $W^{1, p(x)}\left(\omega_{j}\right)$ such that $u_{n_{j}}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We conclude with the usual diagonal Cantor process.
(ii) Let $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega), 0 \leq \phi \leq 1, \phi=1$ on $\omega_{j}$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset \omega_{j+1}$, and set

$$
\Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)(x)=\left[\hat{a}\left(x, u_{n}(x), \nabla u_{n}(x)\right)-\hat{a}\left(x, u_{n}(x), \nabla u(x)\right)\right] \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)(x)
$$

Then one has:
(ii.1) $\Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)(x) \geq 0$ a.e. on $\Omega$ (due to (L3)).
(ii.2) $\sup _{n} \int_{\omega_{j+1}} \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right) d x$ is finite (since $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is in a bounded set of $W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ and the growth condition (L2)).
Let us show that $\lim _{n} \int_{\Omega} \phi \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} d x=0$. On one hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \phi \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} d x=\int_{\{|u|>k\}} \phi \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} d x+\int_{\{|u| \leq k\}} \phi \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} d x . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Hölder inequality

$$
\int_{\{|u|>k\}} \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \phi d x \leq\left|\Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}}\right|_{p(x)}|\phi|_{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}} \leq a_{1}(j)|\phi|_{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}}
$$

where $a_{s}(j)$ are different constants depending on $j$ but independent of $n, \epsilon$ and $k$. Noticing that

$$
\operatorname{meas}\left\{x \in w_{j+1}:|u|>k\right\} \leq \frac{c_{1}(j)}{k^{p^{-}}}
$$

one deduces that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}}(\phi)=\int_{\{|u|>k\}} \phi^{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}} d x \leq \frac{c_{1}(j)}{k^{p^{-}}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{m}(j)$ are different constants depending on $j$ and $\phi$ but independent of $n, \epsilon$ and $k$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{|u|>k\}} \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \phi d x \leq o(1) \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

While for the second integral, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\{|u| \leq k\}} \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \phi d x= & \int_{\{|u| \leq k\} \cap\left\{\left|u_{n}-u\right| \leq \epsilon \mid\right\}} \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \phi d x  \tag{2.4}\\
& +\int_{\{|u| \leq k\} \cap\left\{\left|u_{n}-u\right|>\epsilon\right\}} \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \phi d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, the second term in the right hand side in the last inequality satisfies

$$
\int_{\{|u| \leq k\} \cap\left\{\left|u_{n}-u\right|>\epsilon\right\}} \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \phi d x \leq\left|\Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}}\right|_{p(x)}|\phi|_{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}} \leq a_{2}(j)|\phi|_{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}}
$$

and

$$
\rho_{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}}(\phi) \leq a_{2}(\phi) \operatorname{meas}\left\{x \in w_{j+1}:\left|u_{n}-u\right|>\epsilon\right\} .
$$

Since $\left(u_{n}\right)$ converges to $u$ in measure, we deduce that, for $n$ sufficiently large, meas $\left\{x \in w_{j+1}:\left|u_{n}-u\right|>\epsilon\right\} \leq \epsilon$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\{|u| \leq k\} \cap\left\{\left|u_{n}-u\right|>\epsilon\right\}} \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \phi d x \leq o(1) \quad \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $A_{n, k}^{\epsilon}=w_{j+1} \cap\{|u| \leq k\} \cap\left\{\left|u_{n}-u\right| \leq \epsilon\right\}$, we obtain from the Hölder inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A_{n, k}^{\epsilon}} \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \phi d x \leq c_{2}(j)\left|\Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \phi^{\frac{1}{p(x)}}\right|_{p(x)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\rho_{p(x)}\left(\Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \phi^{\frac{1}{p(x)}}\right)=I_{n, k}^{1}(\epsilon)-I_{n, k}^{2}(\epsilon)
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{n, k}^{1}(\epsilon)=\int_{A_{n, k}^{\epsilon}} \hat{a}\left(x, u_{n}, \nabla u_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right) \phi d x \\
I_{n, k}^{2}(\epsilon)=\int_{\{|u| \leq k\}} \hat{a}\left(x, u_{n}, \nabla u\right) \cdot \nabla S_{\epsilon}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \phi d x .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\hat{a}\left(x, u_{n}, \nabla u\right) \rightarrow \hat{a}(x, u, \nabla u)$ strongly in $L^{p^{\prime}(x)}\left(w_{j+1}\right)$ (by the last statement of (L2)) and $\nabla S_{\epsilon}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^{p(x)}\left(w_{j+1}\right)$-weak, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} I_{n, k}^{2}(\epsilon)=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for the term $I_{n, k}^{1}(\epsilon)$, we obtain
$I_{n, k}^{1}(\epsilon) \leq \int_{\Omega} \hat{a}\left(x, u_{n}, \nabla u_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(\phi S_{\epsilon}\left(u_{n}-u^{k}\right)\right)-\int_{\Omega} \hat{a}\left(x, u_{n}, \nabla u_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla \phi S_{\epsilon}\left(u_{n}-u^{k}\right) d x$.
Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} \hat{a}\left(x, u_{n}, \nabla u_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla \phi S_{\epsilon}\left(u_{n}-u^{k}\right) d x\right| \leq c_{3}(j) \epsilon ; \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then assumption (ii) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} I_{n, k}^{1}(\epsilon) \leq c_{3}(j) \epsilon+\circ(1) \quad \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining relations (2.6), 2.7) and 2.10, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{A_{n, k}^{\epsilon}} \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \phi d x \leq o(1) \quad \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting first $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and then $k$ to infinity, by relations 2.1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5 and (2.11), we deduce

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} \Delta\left(u_{n}, u\right)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \phi d x=0
$$

We then obtain that for a subsequence $\left(u_{j_{n}}\right)$,

$$
\Delta\left(u_{j_{n}}, u\right)(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.e. on } w_{j} .
$$

Arguing as Leray-Lions [14, 15], we deduce from (L4) that $\nabla u_{j_{n}}(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x)$ a.e. on $w_{j}$. The proof is achieved by the diagonal process of Cantor.

Proof of lemma 2.1. Since $\left(u_{n}\right)$ belongs to a bounded set of $W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, statement (i) of Theorem 2.2 implies that there is a function $u$ and a subsequence still denoted by $\left(u_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
u \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

Then for all $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \phi S_{\epsilon}\left(u_{n}-u^{k}\right)$ is an element of $W_{\text {comp }}^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{n} \phi S_{\epsilon}\left(u_{n}-u^{k}\right) d x\right| \leq \epsilon|\phi|_{\infty}\left|f_{n}\right|_{L^{1}(\omega)} \leq c(\phi) \epsilon \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(for every $\phi$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset \omega, \bar{\omega}$ is a compact of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ ), and

$$
\left|\left\langle g_{n}, \phi S_{\epsilon}\left(u_{n}-u^{k}\right)\right\rangle\right| \leq\left|g_{n}\right|_{W^{-1, p^{\prime}(x)}(\omega)}\left|\phi S_{\epsilon}\left(u_{n}-u^{k}\right)\right|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} .
$$

Using the fact that $\left|\phi S_{\epsilon}\left(u_{n}-u^{k}\right)\right|_{W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$ is bounded independently of $\epsilon, n, k$ and that $\left|g_{n}\right|_{W^{-1, p^{\prime}(x)}(\omega)} \rightarrow 0$, it holds:

$$
\limsup _{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \hat{a}\left(x, u_{n}, \nabla u_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(\phi S_{\epsilon}\left(u_{n}-u^{k}\right)\right) d x \leq O(\epsilon) .
$$

Finally, by Theorem 2.2 we complete the proof.

## 3. Examples of applications

In this section, we are interested in the existence of solutions to the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2}\right) \nabla u(x)\right)=\lambda f(u)+g(u) \text { for } x \in \Omega \\
u \geq 0 \quad \text { for } x \in \Omega  \tag{3.1}\\
u=0 \quad \text { for } x \in \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N},(N \geq 3)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, $\lambda$ is a positive real number and $p$ is a continuous function on $\bar{\Omega}$ with $p^{+}<N$.

In the first result, we assume that $f$ and $g$ are continuous and satisfy the following hypotheses (see [2]):
(F1) There exist positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ and $q: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function such that

$$
C_{1} t^{q(x)-1} \leq f(t) \leq C_{2} t^{q(x)-1}, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

(G1) There exists a positive constant $C_{3}>0$ such that

$$
|g(t)| \leq C_{3}|t|^{p^{*}(x)-1}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

(G2) There exists $\gamma \in\left(p^{+}, p^{*-}\right]$ such that

$$
0<\gamma G(t) \leq t g(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $G(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s$.
We prove the following result.
Theorem 3.1. If $1<q^{+}<p^{*-}, q^{-}<p^{-}$, and (F1), (G1).(G2) hold, then there exists $\lambda^{*}$ such that for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, problem (3.1) has a non trivial solution.

In the second result, we are concerned with the special case $f(u)=-|u|^{q(x)-2} u$ and $g(u)=|u|^{p^{*}(x)-2} u$. We prove the following result.
Theorem 3.2. For any $\lambda>0$ problem (3.1) has infinitely many weak solutions provided that $p^{*-}>\max \left(p^{+}, q^{+}\right)$.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $E$ denote the generalized Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. The energy functional corresponding to (3.1) is $J_{\lambda}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined as

$$
J_{\lambda}(u):=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{+}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} G\left(u_{+}\right) d x
$$

where $u_{+}(x)=\max \{u(x), 0\}$ and $F$ is defined by $F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s$.
Remark 3.3. Assume that condition (G1) is fulfilled, it is clear that for every $t \geq 0$, we obtain

$$
-\frac{C_{3}}{p^{*-}} t^{p^{*}(x)} \leq G(t) \leq \frac{C_{3}}{p^{*-}} t^{p^{*}(x)}
$$

Proposition 3.4. The functional $J_{\lambda}$ is well-defined on $E$ and $J_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$.
Proof. We have the following continuous embedding (see [13, Theorem 2.8])

$$
W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^{*}(x)}(\Omega)
$$

using the fact that $\Omega$ is bounded, we obtain the continuous embedding

$$
W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{s(x)}(\Omega), \quad s \in\left[1, p^{*}\right]
$$

which implies that $J_{\lambda}$ is well-defined on $E$ and $J_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$, with the derivative given by

$$
\left\langle d J_{\lambda}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v-\lambda f(u) v-g(u) v\right) d x, \quad \forall v \in E
$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is related to Ekeland's variational principle. In order to apply it we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.5. Under hypotheses of theorem 3.1, there exists $M_{2}>0$ such that for all $\rho \in(0,1)$ for all $C_{3}<\frac{q^{-}}{p^{+} M_{2}^{p^{*}}} \rho^{p^{+}-q^{-}}$, there exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ and $r>0$ such that, for all $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=\rho, J_{\lambda}(u) \geq r>0$ for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$.
Proof. Since $E \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ and $E \hookrightarrow L^{p^{*}(x)}(\Omega)$ are continuous, there exists $M_{1}>0$ and $M_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{q(x)} \leq M_{1}\|u\| \quad \text { and } \quad|u|_{p^{*}(x)} \leq M_{2}\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in E . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We fix $\rho \in(0,1)$ such that $\rho<\min \left(1,1 / M_{1}, 1 / M_{2}\right)$. Then for all $u \in E$, with $\|u\|=\rho$, we deduce that

$$
|u|_{q(x)}<1 \quad \text { and } \quad|u|_{p^{*}(x)}<1
$$

Furthermore, by $\sqrt{1.2}$ for all $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=\rho$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d x \leq|u|_{q(x)}^{q^{-}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{*-}}
$$

The above inequality and relation (3.2) imply that for all $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=\rho$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d x \leq M_{1}^{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{-}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \leq M_{2}^{p^{*-}}\|u\|^{p^{*-}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using relation 3.3 we deduce that, for any $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=\rho$, the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(u) & \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} C_{2} M_{1}^{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{-}}-\frac{C_{3}}{p^{*-}} M_{2}^{p^{*-}}\|u\|^{p^{*-}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}} \rho^{p^{+}}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} C_{2} M_{1}^{q^{-}} \rho^{q^{-}}-\frac{C_{3}}{p^{*-}} M_{2}^{p^{*-}} \rho^{p^{*-}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the above inequality we remark that if we define for all $C_{3}<\frac{q^{-}}{p^{+} M_{2}^{p^{*}}} \rho^{p^{+}-q^{-}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{*}=\frac{q^{-}}{2 C_{2} M_{1}^{q^{-}}}\left[\frac{1}{p^{+}} \rho^{p^{+}-q^{-}}-\frac{C_{3}}{q^{-}} M_{2}^{p^{*-}}\right] \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, there exists $r>0$ such that $J_{\lambda}(u) \geq r>0$ for all $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=\rho$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 3.6. There exists $\phi \in E$ such that $\phi \geq 0, \phi \neq 0$ and $J_{\lambda}(t \phi)<0$, for $t>0$ small enough.
Proof. Since $q^{-}<p^{-}$, then let $\epsilon_{0}>0$ be such that $q^{-}+\epsilon_{0}<p^{-}$. On the other hand, since $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ it follows that there exists an open set $\Omega_{0} \subset \subset \Omega$ such that $\left|q(x)-q^{-}\right|<\epsilon_{0}$ for all $x \in \Omega_{0}$. Thus, we conclude that $q(x) \leq q^{-}+\epsilon_{0}<p^{-}$for all
$x \in \bar{\Omega}_{0}$. Let $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \supset \bar{\Omega}_{0}, \phi(x)=1$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}_{0}$ and $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ in $\Omega$. Then using the above information for any $t \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(t \phi) & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{p(x)}}{p(x)}|\nabla \phi|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F(t \phi) d x-\int_{\Omega} G(t \phi) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{p(x)}}{p(x)}|\nabla \phi|^{p(x)} d x-C_{1} \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{q(x)}}{q(x)}|\phi|^{q(x)} d x+C_{3} \int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{p^{*}(x)}}{p^{*}(x)}|\phi|^{p^{*}(x)} d x, \\
& \leq \frac{t^{p^{-}}}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi|^{p(x)} d x-\frac{C_{1} \lambda}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega} t^{q(x)}|\phi|^{q(x)}+C_{3} \frac{t^{p^{*-}}}{p^{*-}} \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{p^{*}(x)} d x, \\
& \leq \frac{t^{p^{-}}}{p^{-}}\left[\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi|^{p(x)} d x+C_{3} \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{p^{*}(x)} d x\right]-\frac{\lambda t^{q^{-}+\epsilon_{0}}}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega_{0}}|\phi|^{q(x)} d x, \\
& =\frac{t^{p^{-}}}{p^{-}}\left[\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi|^{p(x)} d x+C_{3} \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{p^{*}(x)} d x\right]-\frac{\lambda t^{q^{-}+\epsilon_{0}}}{q^{+}}\left|\Omega_{0}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $J_{\lambda}(t \phi)<0$, for $t<\delta^{1 /\left(p^{-}-q^{-}-\epsilon_{0}\right)}$ with

$$
0<\delta<\min \left\{1, \frac{p^{-} \lambda\left|\Omega_{0}\right|}{q^{+}\left[\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi|^{p(x)} d x+C_{3} \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{p^{*}(x)} d x\right]}\right\}
$$

Finally, we point out that $\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi|^{p(x)} d x+C_{3} \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{p^{*}(x)} d x>0$. In fact if

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi|^{p(x)} d x+C_{3} \int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{p^{*}(x)} d x=0
$$

then $\int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{p^{*}(x)} d x=0$. Using relation 1.2 , we deduce that $|\phi|_{p^{*}(x)}=0$ and consequently $\phi=0$ in $\Omega$ which is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Proof of theorem 3.1. Let $\lambda^{*}$ be defined as in 3.4 and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$. By Lemma 3.5 it follows that on the boundary of the ball centered at the origin and of radius $\rho$ in $E$, denoted by $B_{\rho}(0)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}>0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.6, there exists $\phi \in E$ such that $J_{\lambda}(t \phi)<0$, for all $t>0$ small enough. Moreover, relations (1.2) and (3.2) imply, that for any $u \in B_{\rho}(0)$, we have

$$
J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} C_{2}^{q^{-}} M_{1}^{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{-}}-\frac{C_{3}^{p^{*-}}}{q^{-}} M_{2}^{q^{-}}\|u\|^{p^{*-}}
$$

It follows that

$$
-\infty<J_{\infty}:=\frac{\inf }{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}<0
$$

We let now $0<\epsilon<\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}-\inf _{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}$. Using the above information, the functional $J_{\lambda}: \overline{B_{\rho}(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is lower bounded on $\overline{B_{\rho}(0)}$ and $J_{\lambda} \in C^{1}\left(\overline{B_{\rho}(0)}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. Then by Ekeland's variational principle there exists $u_{\epsilon} \in \overline{B_{\rho}(0)}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{\infty} \leq J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \leq J_{\infty}+\epsilon, \\
0<J_{\lambda}(u)-J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)+\epsilon \cdot\left\|u-u_{\epsilon}\right\|, \quad u \neq u_{\epsilon} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \leq \inf _{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}+\epsilon \leq \inf _{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}+\epsilon<\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}
$$

we deduce that $u_{\epsilon} \in B_{\rho}(0)$.
Now, we define $I_{\lambda}: \overline{B_{\rho}(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $I_{\lambda}(u)=J_{\lambda}(u)+\epsilon \cdot\left\|u-u_{\epsilon}\right\|$. It is clear that $u_{\epsilon}$ is a minimum point of $I_{\lambda}$ and thus

$$
\frac{I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}+t \cdot v\right)-I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)}{t} \geq 0
$$

for small $t>0$ and any $v \in B_{1}(0)$. The above relation yields

$$
\frac{J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}+t \cdot v\right)-J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)}{t}+\epsilon \cdot\|v\| \geq 0
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$ it follows that $\left\langle d J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), v\right\rangle+\epsilon \cdot\|v\| \geq 0$ we have $\left\|d J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\| \leq \epsilon$. We deduce that there exists a sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\} \subset B_{\rho}(0)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow J_{\infty} \quad \text { and } \quad d J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0_{E^{*}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From where we can conclude that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded $(P S)_{J_{\infty}}$ sequence to $J_{\lambda}$. By a subsequence still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, we may assume that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a weak limit $u_{\lambda} \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. Moreover, from the definition of the functional $J_{\lambda}$, we can assume that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of non negative functions. Now, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. The weak limit $u_{\lambda}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a non negative solution to (3.1) for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$.

Proof. In what follows, we will show $d J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=0$ and $u_{\lambda} \neq 0, \forall \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ which imply that lemma 3.7 holds true. Firstly note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n+}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} G\left(u_{n+}\right) d x \\
\left\langle d J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} d x-\int_{\Omega} g\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\gamma}\left\langle d J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=J_{\infty}+o_{n}(1)$. Thus, since $u f(u) \geq 0$ for every $u \geq 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n+}\right) d x \\
& +\frac{\lambda}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} f\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} d x-\int_{\Omega} G\left(u_{n+}\right) d x+\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} g\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n+}\right) d x \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(g\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}-\gamma G\left(u_{n+}\right)\right) d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\gamma>p^{+}$and applying (G2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\gamma}\left\langle d J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle & \geq\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n+}\right) d x \\
& \geq-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n+}\right) d x \\
& \geq-\lambda C_{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} u_{n}^{q(x)} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (1.2) we deduce that $-\frac{\lambda C_{2}}{q^{+}}\left|u_{n}\right|_{q(x)}^{q^{+}} \leq J_{\infty}+o_{n}(1)$. Moreover $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact and passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
-\frac{\lambda C_{2}}{q^{+}}\left|u_{\lambda}\right|_{q(x)}^{q^{+}} \leq J_{\infty}<0
$$

We deduce that $u_{\lambda} \neq 0$. To conclude that $u_{\lambda}$ is a solution to (3.1), we use Theorem 2.2 , which implies $\nabla u_{n}(x) \rightarrow \nabla u_{\lambda}(x)$ a.e. in $\Omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Now, we are concerned with the special case of problem (3.1),

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2}\right) \nabla u(x)\right)=-\lambda|u|^{q(x)-2} u+|u|^{p^{*}(x)-2} u \quad \text { for } x \in \Omega \\
u \geq 0 \quad \text { for } x \in \Omega  \tag{3.7}\\
u=0 \quad \text { for } x \in \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N},(N \geq 3)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, $\lambda$ is a positive real number and $p$ is a continuous function on $\bar{\Omega}$. The proof of Theorem 3.2 is based on a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-symmetric version for even functionals of the Mountain pass Theorem (see [20, Theorem 9.12]).

The energy functional corresponding to the problem (3.7) is $J_{\lambda}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined as

$$
J_{\lambda}(u):=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p^{*}(x)}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x
$$

It is clear that the functional $J_{\lambda}$ is well-defined on $E$ and $J_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$, with the derivative given by

$$
\left\langle d J_{\lambda}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v+\lambda|u|^{q(x)-2} u v-|u|^{p^{*}(x)-2} u v\right) d x, \quad \forall v \in E .
$$

To use the mountain pass theorem, we need the following lemmas:
Lemma 3.8. For any $\lambda>0$ there exists $r, a>0$ such that $J_{\lambda}(u) \geq a>0$ for any $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=r$.
Proof. Recall that $E$ is continuously embedded in $L^{p^{*}(x)}(\Omega)$. So there exists a positive constant $C_{4}$ such that, for all $u \in E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{p^{*}(x)} \leq C_{4}\|u\| \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\|u\|<\min \left(1, \frac{1}{C_{4}}\right)$, then for all $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=\rho$ we have $|u|_{p^{*}(x)}<$ 1. Furthermore, relation (1.2) yields for all $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=\rho$ we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \leq|u|_{p^{*}(x)}^{p^{*-}}
$$

The above inequality and relation (3.8) imply that for all $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=\rho$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \leq C_{4}^{p^{*-}}\|u\|^{p^{*-}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then using relation (3.9), we deduce that, for any $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=\rho$, the following inequalities hold

$$
J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x-\frac{1}{p^{*-}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x
$$

$$
\geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{p^{*-}} C_{4}^{p^{*-}}\|u\|^{p^{*-}}
$$

Let $h(t)=\frac{1}{p^{+}} t^{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{p^{*-}} C_{4}^{p^{*-}} t^{p^{*-}}, t>0$. It is easy to see that $h(t)>0$ for all $t \in\left(0, t_{1}\right)$, where $t_{1}<\left(\frac{p^{*-}}{p^{+} C_{4}^{p^{*-}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*-}-p^{+}}}$.
So for any $\lambda>0$, we can choose $r, a>0$ such that $J_{\lambda}(u) \geq a>0$ for all $u \in E$ with $\|u\|=r$. The proof is complete.
Lemma 3.9. If $E_{1} \subset E$ is a finite dimensional subspace, the set $S=\{u \in$ $\left.E_{1} ; J_{\lambda}(u) \geq 0\right\}$ is bounded in $E$.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x \leq K_{1}\left(\|u\|^{p^{-}}+\|u\|^{p^{+}}\right) \quad \forall u \in E \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{1}$ is a positive constant. Also we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d x \leq|u|_{q(x)}^{q^{-}}+|u|_{q(x)}^{q^{+}} \quad \forall u \in E \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that $E$ is continuously embedded in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, assures the existence of a positive constant $C_{5}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{q(x)} \leq C_{5}\|u\| \quad \forall u \in E \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last two inequalities show that there exists a positive constant $K_{2}(\lambda)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x \leq K_{2}(\lambda)\left(\|u\|^{q^{-}}+\|u\|^{q^{+}}\right) \quad \forall u \in E \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inequalities (3.10) and (3.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}(u) \leq K_{1}\left(\|u\|^{p^{-}}+\|u\|^{p^{+}}\right)+K_{2}(\lambda)\left(\|u\|^{q^{-}}+\|u\|^{q^{+}}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*+}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in E$.
Let $u \in E$ be arbitrary but fixed. We define

$$
\Omega_{<}=\{x \in \Omega ;|u(x)|<1\} . \quad \Omega_{\geq}=\Omega \backslash \Omega_{<}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(u) \leq & K_{1}\left(\|u\|^{p^{-}}+\|u\|^{p^{+}}\right)+K_{2}(\lambda)\left(\|u\|^{q^{-}}+\|u\|^{q^{+}}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*+}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \\
\leq & K_{1}\left(\|u\|^{p^{-}}+\|u\|^{p^{+}}\right)+K_{2}(\lambda)\left(\|u\|^{q^{-}}+\|u\|^{q^{+}}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*+}} \int_{\Omega_{\geq}}|u|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \\
\leq & K_{1}\left(\|u\|^{p^{-}}+\|u\|^{p^{+}}\right)+K_{2}(\lambda)\left(\|u\|^{q^{-}}+\|u\|^{q^{+}}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*+}} \int_{\Omega_{\geq}}|u|^{p^{*-}} d x \\
\leq & K_{1}\left(\|u\|^{p^{-}}+\|u\|^{p^{+}}\right)+K_{2}(\lambda)\left(\|u\|^{q^{-}}+\|u\|^{q^{+}}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*+}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*-}} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{p^{*+}} \int_{\Omega_{<}}|u|^{p^{*-}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

But there exists positive constant $K_{3}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{p^{*+}} \int_{\Omega_{<}}|u|^{p^{*-}} d x \leq K_{3} \quad \forall u \in E .
$$

The functional $|\cdot|_{p^{*-}}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
|u|_{p^{*-}}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*-}} d x\right)^{1 / p^{*-}}
$$

is a norm in $E$. In the finite dimensional subspace $E_{1}$ the norm $|u|_{p^{*-}}$ and $\|u\|$ are equivalent, so there exists a positive constant $K=K\left(E_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\|u\| \leq K|u|_{p^{*-}} \quad \forall u \in E_{1} .
$$

So that there exists a positive constant $K_{4}$ such that
$J_{\lambda}(u) \leq K_{1}\left(\|u\|^{p^{-}}+\|u\|^{p^{+}}\right)+K_{2}(\lambda)\left(\|u\|^{q^{-}}+\|u\|^{q^{+}}\right)+K_{3}-K_{4}\|u\|^{p^{*-}}, \quad \forall u \in E_{1}$.
Hence

$$
K_{1}\left(\|u\|^{p^{-}}+\|u\|^{p^{+}}\right)+K_{2}(\lambda)\left(\|u\|^{q^{-}}+\|u\|^{q^{+}}\right)+K_{3}-K_{4}\|u\|^{p^{*-}} \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in S
$$

And since $p^{*-}>\max \left(p^{+}, q^{+}\right)$, we conclude that $S$ is bounded in $E$.
Lemma 3.10. If $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ is a sequence which satisfies the properties

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|<C_{6}  \tag{3.15}\\
d J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C_{6}$ is a positive constant, then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ possesses a convergent subsequence.
Proof. First we show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. If not,we may assume that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we may consider that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|>1$ for any integer $n$. Using $\sqrt{3.16}$ it follows that there exists $N_{1}>0$ such that for any $n>N_{1}$ we have

$$
\left\|d J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| \leq 1
$$

On the other hand, for all $n>N_{1}$ fixed, the application $E \ni v \rightarrow\left\langle d J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle$ is linear and continuous. The above information yield that

$$
\left|\left\langle d J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle\right| \leq\left\|d J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\|v\| \leq\|v\| \quad \forall v \in E, \quad n>N_{1} .
$$

Setting $v=u_{n}$, we have

$$
-\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}(x)} d x+\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|
$$

for all $n>N_{1}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left\|u_{n}\right\|-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x \leq-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}(x)} d x \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n>N_{1}$. Provided that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|>1$ relation (3.15) and (3.17) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{6} & >J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{p^{*-}}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x+\lambda\left(\frac{1}{q^{+}}-\frac{1}{p^{*-}}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x-\frac{1}{p^{*-}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|, \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{p^{*-}}\right)\left\|u_{n} \mid\right\|^{p^{-}} d x-\frac{1}{p^{*-}}\left\|u_{n}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain a contradiction. It follows that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. And we deduce that there exists a subsequence, again denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, and $u \in E$ such that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $u$ in $E$. Now by Theorem 2.2 we have $\nabla u_{n} \rightarrow \nabla u$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. It is clear that the functional $J_{\lambda}$ is even and verifies $J_{\lambda}(0)=$ 0 . Lemma 3.8, lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.10 implies that $J_{\lambda}$ satisfies the the Mountain Pass Theorem condition. Thus we conclude that problem (3.7) has infinitely many weak solutions in $E$. The proof is complete.
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