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# ENERGY DECAY IN THERMOELASTICITY TYPE III WITH VISCOELASTIC DAMPING AND DELAY TERM 

TIJANI A. APALARA, SALIM A. MESSAOUDI, MUHAMMAD I. MUSTAFA


#### Abstract

In this article, we consider a thermoelastic system of type III with a viscoelastic damping and internal delay. We use the multiplier method to prove, under suitable assumptions, general energy decay results from which the exponential and polynomial types of decay are only special cases.


## 1. Introduction

In this article, we consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}(x, t)-\mu \Delta u(x, t)-(\mu+\lambda) \nabla(\operatorname{div} u(x, t))+\beta \nabla \theta(x, t) \\
+\int_{0}^{t} g(s) \Delta u(x, t-s) d s+\mu_{1} u_{t}(x, t)+\mu_{2} u_{t}(x, t-\tau)=0, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0 \\
\theta_{t t}(x, t)-\kappa \Delta \theta(x, t)-\delta \Delta \theta_{t}(x, t)+\beta \operatorname{div} u_{t t}(x, t),=0, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0 \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
\theta(x, 0)=\theta_{0}(x), \quad \theta_{t}(x, 0)=\theta_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \\
u_{t}(x,-t)=f_{0}(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, t \in(0, \tau) \\
u(x, t)=\theta(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, t \geq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 2)$ with a boundary $\partial \Omega$ of class $C^{2}$, $u=u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the displacement vector, $\theta(x, t)$ is the difference temperature, the relaxation function $g$ is positive and decreasing, the coefficients $\mu, \lambda, \beta, \mu_{1}, \kappa, \delta$ are positive constants, $\mu_{2}$ is a real number, and $\tau>0$ represents the time delay. This is a (type III) thermoelastic system with the presence of a viscoelastic damping and constant internal delay supplemented by initial data $u_{0}, u_{1}, \theta_{0}, \theta_{1}$ and a history function $f_{0}$.

Time delays so often arise in many physical, chemical, biological, thermal and economical phenomena. In recent years, the control of PDEs with time delay effects has become an active area of research, see for example [1, 24] and the references therein. The presence of delay may be a source of instability. See, for example [3, 16, 25], where it was proved that an arbitrarily small delay may destabilize a system which is uniformly asymptotically stable in the absence of delay unless additional conditions or control terms have been used.

[^0]Consider the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}(x, t)-\Delta u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0 \\
u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{0}, t>0  \tag{1.2}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t)=-\mu_{1} u_{t}(x, t)-\mu_{2} u_{t}(x, t-\tau), \quad x \in \Gamma_{1}, t>0
\end{gather*}
$$

It is well known that in the absence of delay $\left(\mu_{2}=0, \mu_{1}>0\right)$, system 1.2 is exponentially stable, see [6]-[8], [27]. Whereas, in the presence of delay $\left(\mu_{2}>0\right)$, Nicaise and Pignotti [16] proved, under the assumption $\mu_{2}<\mu_{1}$, that the energy is exponentially stable. However, for the opposite case $\left(\mu_{2} \geq \mu_{1}\right)$, they were able to construct a sequence of delays for which the corresponding solution is unstable. The same results were obtained for the case when both the damping and the delay act internally in the domain, see also [2] for the treatment of this problem in more general abstract form. Nicaise and Pignotti [17] treated the situation when the constant delay in system $\sqrt[1.2]{ }$ is replaced with a distributed delay of the form

$$
\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) u_{t}(x, t-s) d s
$$

and established an exponential stability result similar to the one in [16 under the condition that

$$
\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \mu_{2}(s) d s<\mu_{1}
$$

Kirane and Said-Houari [5] considered a viscoelastic wave equation of the form

$$
u_{t t}(x, t)-\Delta u(x, t)+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta u(x, s) d s+\mu_{1} u_{t}(x, t)+\mu_{2} u_{t}(x, t-\tau)=0
$$

for $x \in \Omega, t>0$, together with initial and Dirichlet boundary conditions. They established general energy decay results under the condition that $\mu_{2} \leq \mu_{1}$. In fact, the presence of a viscoelastic damping together with a frictional damping allowed $\mu_{2}=\mu_{1}$.

Recently, Pignotti 21] considered the equation

$$
u_{t t}(x, t)-\Delta u(x, t)+a \chi_{\omega} u_{t}(x, t)+k u_{t}(x, t-\tau)=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty)
$$

for $a, \tau>0$ and $k$ a real number. She established, under some geometry condition on the domain, a well posedness of the problem and an exponential decay result for $|k|<a$.

In [15], Mustafa studied a thermoelastic system with boundary time-varying delay in one dimensional space and showed that the damping effect through heat conduction is still strong enough to uniformly stabilize the system even in the presence of boundary time-varying delay. For more results concerning time delay in one dimensional as well as multi-dimensional space, we refer the reader to [4, [18]-20.

We also recall some results regarding thermoelastic systems of type III. In one space dimension, Quintanilla and Racke [23] considered the equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t t}-\alpha u_{x x}+\beta \theta_{x}=0, \quad \text { in }[0, \infty) \times(0, L) \\
\theta_{t t}-\delta \theta_{x x}+\gamma u_{t t x}-\kappa \theta_{t x x}=0, \quad \text { in }[0, \infty) \times(0, L)
\end{gathered}
$$

and used the spectral analysis method and the energy method to obtain the exponential stability for various boundary conditions; (Dirichlet-Dirichlet or DirichletNeuman). Furthermore, they proved an energy decay result for the radially symmetric situations in the multi-dimensional case $(n=2,3)$. Zhang and Zuazua [26] analyzed the long time behavior of the solution of the n-dimensional system (1.1), when $g=\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=0$, and showed that (i) for most domains the energy of the system does not decay uniformly, (ii) under suitable conditions on the domain that may be described in terms of Geometric Optics, the energy of the system decays exponentially, and (iii) for most domains in two space dimensions, the energy of smooth solutions decays polynomially. Messaoudi and Soufyane [12] considered the system

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t t}-\mu \Delta u-(\mu+\lambda) \nabla(\operatorname{div} u)+\beta \nabla \theta=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \\
\theta_{t t}-\kappa \Delta \theta-\delta \Delta \theta_{t}+\beta \operatorname{div} u_{t t}=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+}
\end{gathered}
$$

subject to a boundary feedback of viscoelastic type that acts on a part of the boundary and established exponential and polynomial stability results. This result was later generalized by Messaoudi and Al-Shehri [10] by taking a wider class of relaxation functions. They proved a more general decay result, from which the exponential and polynomial decay estimates are only special cases.

Recently, Qin and Ma [22] considered the system

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t t}-\Delta u+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta u(s) d s+\nabla \theta=0, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0 \\
\theta_{t t}-\Delta \theta_{t}-\Delta \theta+\operatorname{div} u_{t t}=0, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0 \\
\theta=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, t>0 \\
u=0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{0}, t>0 \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta u(s) d s+H\left(u_{t}\right)=0, \quad x \in \Gamma_{1}, t>0
\end{gathered}
$$

and established a general decay result depending on both $g$ and $H$. This result extends the decay result obtained by Messaoudi and Mustafa [11] obtained earlier for wave equations. For more results on Thermoelasticity type III, we refer the reader to $99,13,14,23$ and references therein.

In this article, we investigate system (1.1) under suitable assumptions on the weight of the delay term and prove general decay result from which the exponential and polynomial types of decay are only special cases. This work extends the result obtained by Kirane and Said-Houari [5] for a viscoelastic wave equation to the thermoviscoelastic system with a delay. We should mention here that, to the best of our knowledge, there is no result concerning systems of thermoelasticty of type III with the presence of delays. The rest of our paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce some transformations and assumptions needed in our work. Some technical lemmas and the statement with proof of our main results will be given in section 3 and section 4 respectively. Finally, we give some examples to illustrate our results.

## 2. Assumptions and Transformations

In this section, we present some materials needed in the proof of our results. We use the standard Lebesgue space $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and the Sobolev space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with their
usual scalar products and norms. Throughout this paper, $c$ is used to denote a generic positive constant.

For the relaxation function g , we assume the following:
(A1) $g: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a $C^{1}$ function satisfying

$$
g(0)>0, \quad \mu-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s=l>0
$$

(A2) There exists a positive non-increasing differentiable function $\eta: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$ satisfying

$$
g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\eta(t) g(t), \quad t \geq 0
$$

Remark 2.1. There are many functions that satisfy (A1) and (A2). Below are three examples of such functions with the assumptions that $a, b>0$ and $a<\mu b$.
(1) If $g(t)=a e^{-b t}$, then $g^{\prime}(t)=-\eta(t) g(t)$, where $\eta(t)=b$.
(2) If $g(t)=\frac{a}{(1+t)^{b+1}}$, then $g^{\prime}(t)=-\eta(t) g(t)$, where $\eta(t)=\frac{b+1}{1+t}$.
(3) If $g(t)=\frac{a}{(e+t)[\ln (e+t)]^{b+1}}$, then $g^{\prime}(t)=-\eta(t) g(t)$, where

$$
\eta(t)=\frac{1}{e+t}+\frac{b+1}{(e+t) \ln (e+t)} .
$$

Now, as in [26], we introduce the new variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} \theta(x, s) d s+\chi(x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(x)$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\kappa \Delta \chi=\delta \Delta \theta_{0}-\theta_{1}-\beta \operatorname{div} u_{1}, \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\chi=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, integrating the second equation in (1.1) with respect to $t$ and using (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$
v_{t t}-\kappa \Delta v-\delta \Delta v_{t}+\beta \operatorname{div} u_{t}=0
$$

By introducing as in [16], another new dependent variable

$$
z(x, \rho, t)=u_{t}(x, t-\tau \rho), \quad x \in \Omega, \rho \in(0,1), t>0
$$

problem (1.1) takes the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}(x, t)-\mu \Delta u(x, t)-(\mu+\lambda) \nabla(\operatorname{div} u(x, t))+\beta \nabla v_{t}(x, t) \\
+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta u(x, s) d s+\mu_{1} u_{t}(x, t)+\mu_{2} z(x, 1, t)=0, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0 \\
v_{t t}(x, t)-\kappa \Delta v(x, t)-\delta \Delta v_{t}(x, t)+\beta \operatorname{div} u_{t}(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0 \\
\tau z_{t}(x, \rho, t)+z_{\rho}(x, \rho, t)=0, \quad x \in \Omega, \rho \in(0,1), t>0 \\
z(x, 0, t)=u_{t}(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, t>0  \tag{2.3}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \\
v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x), \quad v_{t}(x, 0)=v_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \\
z(x, \rho, 0)=f_{0}(x, \tau \rho), \quad x \in \Omega, \rho \in(0,1) \\
u(x, t)=v(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, t \geq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, we will consider problem (2.3) instead of 1.1). In what follows, we consider $(u, v, z)$ to be a solution of system 2.3 with the regularity needed to justify the
calculations in this paper. By repeating the arguments of [5], one can easily prove the existence and uniqueness of strong and weak solutions.

Next, we assume that $\left|\mu_{2}\right| \leq \mu_{1}$ and that $\xi$ is a positive constant satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tau\left|\mu_{2}\right|<\xi<\tau\left(2 \mu_{1}-\left|\mu_{2}\right|\right), \quad \text { if }\left|\mu_{2}\right|<\mu_{1} \\
\xi=\tau \mu_{1}, \quad \text { if } \mu_{1}=\left|\mu_{2}\right| \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

The energy associated with problem $\sqrt{2.3}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} v_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{(\mu+\lambda)}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)+\frac{\xi}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} z^{2}(x, \rho, t) d s d x \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
(g \circ \nabla u)(t)=\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(x, t)-\nabla u(x, s)|^{2} d s d x
$$

## 3. Technical Lemmas

In this section we establish several lemmas needed for the proof of our main result.
Lemma 3.1. Let $(u, v, z)$ be the solution of 2.3 . Then the energy functional, defined by (2.5), satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
E^{\prime}(t) \leq-m_{0}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t) \\
-\frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\delta \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2} d x \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

for some constant $m_{0}$, where $m_{0}>0$ if $\left|\mu_{2}\right|<\mu_{1}$ and $m_{0}=0$ if $\mu_{1}=\left|\mu_{2}\right|$.
Proof. A multiplication of the first and the second equation in 2.3 by $u_{t}$ and $v_{t}$ respectively, and integration over $\Omega$, using integration by parts and the boundary conditions, yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{d}{d t}\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} v_{t}^{2} d x+\left(\mu-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right. \\
+ & \left.\kappa \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x+(\mu+\lambda) \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x+(g \circ \nabla u)(t)\right\}  \tag{3.2}\\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)-\delta \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& -\mu_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\mu_{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \cdot z(x, 1, t) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Now, multiplying the third equation in 2.3 by $\xi z$ and integrating over $\Omega \times(0,1)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\xi}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} z^{2}(x, \rho, t) d \rho d x=-\frac{\xi}{2 \tau} \int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x+\frac{\xi}{2 \tau} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A combination of (3.2) and (3.3), leads to

$$
E^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\delta \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\left(\mu_{1}-\frac{\xi}{2 \tau}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x
$$

$$
-\mu_{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \cdot z(x, 1, t) d x-\frac{\xi}{2 \tau} \int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x
$$

Then by Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{\prime}(t) \leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\delta \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\left(\mu_{1}-\frac{\xi}{2 \tau}-\frac{\left|\mu_{2}\right|}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\left(\frac{\xi}{2 \tau}-\frac{\left|\mu_{2}\right|}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, using (2.4), estimate (3.1) follows.
Lemma 3.2. Suppose that (A1) and (A2) hold, and let $(u, v, z)$ be the solution of (2.3). Then the functional

$$
F_{1}(t):=\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \cdot u d x
$$

satisfies the following estimate, for some positive constant $m_{1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq & c\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} v_{t}^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x+(g \circ \nabla u)(t)\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& -m_{1}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Direct computations using the first equation in 2.3, yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}^{\prime}(t)= & \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\mu \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-(\mu+\lambda) \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x+\beta \int_{\Omega} v_{t} \cdot \operatorname{div} u d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \nabla u(s) d s d x-\mu_{1} \int_{\Omega} u \cdot u_{t} d x-\mu_{2} \int_{\Omega} z(x, 1, t) \cdot u d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Young's and Poincaré's inequalities,for $\delta_{1}>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\left(\frac{\mu}{2}-\delta_{1}\left(\mu_{1}+\left|\mu_{2}\right|\right)\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2 \mu} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \nabla u(s) d s\right)^{2} d x \\
& +\left(1+\frac{c \mu_{1}}{4 \delta_{1}}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\left(\mu+\lambda-\delta_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4 \delta_{1}} \int_{\Omega} v_{t}^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{c\left|\mu_{2}\right|}{4 \delta_{1}} \int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The second term in the right-hand side of 3.5 is estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(s)| d s\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(|\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)|+|\nabla u(t)|) d s\right)^{2} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)| d s\right)^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(t)| d s\right)^{2} d x \\
& +2 \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)| d s\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(t)| d s\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

A simple calculation, using Cauchy-Schwarz and Young's inequalities, for $\eta>0$, gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(s)| d s\right)^{2} d x  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leq(\mu-l)^{2}(1+\eta) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+(\mu-l)\left(1+\frac{1}{\eta}\right)(g \circ \nabla u)(t)
\end{align*}
$$

By inserting (3.6) into (3.5) and choosing $\eta=\frac{l}{\mu-l}$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq & \left(1+\frac{c \mu_{1}}{4 \delta_{1}}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\left(\frac{l}{2}-\delta_{1}\left(\mu_{1}+\left|\mu_{2}\right|\right)\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& -\left(\mu+\lambda-\delta_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4 \delta_{1}} \int_{\Omega} v_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{(\mu-l)}{2 l}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
& +\frac{c\left|\mu_{2}\right|}{4 \delta_{1}} \int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking $\delta_{1}$ small enough, (3.4) follows.
Lemma 3.3. let $(u, v, z)$ be the solution of (2.3). Then the functional

$$
F_{2}(t):=\int_{\Omega} v_{t} v d x+\beta \int_{\Omega} v \operatorname{div} u d x+\frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x
$$

satisfies the following estimate, for any positive constant $\delta_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq\left(1+\frac{\beta}{4 \delta_{2}}\right) \int_{\Omega} v_{t}^{2} d x+\beta \delta_{2} \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x-\kappa \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Taking the derivative of $F_{2}(t)$ and using the second equation in 2.3), it follows that
$F_{2}^{\prime}(t)=\int_{\Omega} v_{t}^{2} d x+\kappa \int_{\Omega} v \Delta v d x+\delta \int_{\Omega} v \Delta v_{t} d x+\beta \int_{\Omega} v_{t} \operatorname{div} u d x+\delta \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla v_{t} d x$.
Use of Green's formula and the boundary conditions lead to

$$
F_{2}^{\prime}(t)=\int_{\Omega} v_{t}^{2} d x-\kappa \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x+\beta \int_{\Omega} v_{t} \operatorname{div} u d x
$$

By exploiting Young's inequality for $\delta_{2}>0$, estimate (3.7) is established.
Lemma 3.4. let $(u, v, z)$ be the solution of 2.3). Then the functional

$$
F_{3}(t):=\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\tau \rho} z^{2}(x, \rho, t) d \rho d x
$$

satisfies the following estimate, for some positive constant $m_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{3}^{\prime} \leq-m_{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x+\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} z^{2}(x, \rho, t) d \rho d x\right)+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By differentiating $F_{3}(t)$ and using the third equation in 2.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{3}^{\prime}(t) & =-2 \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\tau \rho} z(x, \rho, t) z_{\rho}(x, \rho, t) d \rho d x \\
& =-\frac{d}{d \rho} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\tau \rho} z^{2}(x, \rho, t) d \rho d x-\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\tau \rho} z^{2}(x, \rho, t) d \rho d x \\
& =-\int_{\Omega}\left[e^{-\tau} z^{2}(x, 1, t)-z^{2}(x, 0, t)\right] d x-\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\tau \rho} z^{2}(x, \rho, t) d \rho d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq-m_{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x+\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} z^{2}(x, \rho, t) d \rho d x\right)+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x
$$

which gives (3.8).
Lemma 3.5. Suppose that (A1) and (A2) hold and let $(u, v, z)$ be the solution of (2.3). Then for $\mu_{1}=\left|\mu_{2}\right|$ and for any $t_{0}>0$, the functional

$$
F_{4}(t):=-\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s)) d s d x
$$

satisfies the following estimate, for some positive constant $m_{3}$, and for any positive $\delta_{3}, \delta_{4}, \delta_{5}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{4}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -m_{3} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\delta_{3} c \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \\
& +\delta_{4}(\mu+\lambda) \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x+C_{\delta}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)+\delta_{5} \mu_{1} \int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x  \tag{3.9}\\
& -c\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}>0
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Differentiation of $F_{4}(t)$, using 2.3 and integrating by parts together with the boundary conditions, yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{4}^{\prime}(t)=\mu \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)) d s\right) d x \\
& \quad+(\mu+\lambda) \int_{\Omega}(\operatorname{div} u) \cdot\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(\operatorname{div} u(s)-\operatorname{div} u(t)) d s\right) d x \\
& \quad-\beta \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{t} \cdot\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(s)-u(t)) d s\right) d x \\
& \quad-\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \nabla u(s) d s\right) \cdot\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)) d s\right) d x \\
& \quad+\mu_{1} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(s)-u(t)) d s d x \\
& \quad+\mu_{2} \int_{\Omega} z(x, 1, t) \cdot \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(s)-u(t)) d s d x-\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \quad-\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)(u(s)-u(t)) d s d x \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we estimate the terms in the right hand side of 3.10 using Young's, CauchySchwarz, and Poincaré's inequalities. So, for $\delta_{3}, \delta_{4}, \delta_{5}, \delta_{6}>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1} & =\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)) d s\right) d x \\
& \leq \delta_{3} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4 \delta_{3}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)| d s\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq \delta_{3} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4 \delta_{3}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)|^{2} d s\right) d x \\
& \leq \delta_{3} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{\mu-l}{4 \delta_{3}}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2} & =\int_{\Omega}(\operatorname{div} u) \cdot\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(\operatorname{div} u(s)-\operatorname{div} u(t)) d s\right) d x \\
& \leq \delta_{4} \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4 \delta_{4}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(\operatorname{div} u(s)-\operatorname{div} u(t)) d s\right)^{2} d x  \tag{3.12}\\
\leq & \delta_{4} \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x+\frac{\mu-l}{4 \delta_{4}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\operatorname{div} u(s)-\operatorname{div} u(t)|^{2} d s d x \\
\leq & \delta_{4} \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x+\frac{\mu-l}{2 \delta_{4}}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
I_{3} & =-\int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{t} \cdot\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(s)-u(t)) d s\right) d x  \tag{3.13}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{c(\mu-l)}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
I_{4}=-\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \nabla u(s) d s\right) \cdot\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)) d s\right) d x
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \delta_{3} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(s)| d s\right)^{2} d x \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{4 \delta_{3}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)| d s\right)^{2} d x
$$

$$
\leq 2(\mu-l)^{2} \delta_{3} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+(\mu-l)\left(2 \delta_{3}+\frac{1}{4 \delta}\right)(g \circ \nabla u)(t)
$$

$$
I_{5}=\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(s)-u(t)) d s d x
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \delta_{6} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{c(\mu-l)}{4 \delta_{6}}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{6}=\int_{\Omega} z(x, 1, t) \cdot \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(s)-u(t)) d s d x \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\leq \delta_{5} \int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x+\frac{c(\mu-l)}{4 \delta_{5}}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{7} & =-\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)(u(s)-u(t)) d s d x \\
& \leq \delta_{6} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4 \delta_{6}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)(u(s)-u(t)) d s\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq \delta_{6} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4 \delta_{6}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t}-g^{\prime}(s) d s\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t}-g^{\prime}(t-s) \mid\left(u(s)-\left.u(t)\right|^{2} d s\right) d x\right. \\
& \leq \delta_{6} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{c g(0)}{4 \delta_{6}}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t) \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the function $g$ is positive, continuous and $g(0)>0$, then for any $t \geq t_{0}>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s \geq \int_{0}^{t_{0}} g(s) d s=g_{0} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

A combination of $3.10-3.18$, bearing in mind that $\mu_{1}=\left|\mu_{2}\right|$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{4}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left[g_{0}-\delta_{6}\left(1+\mu_{1}\right)\right] \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\delta_{5} \mu_{1} \int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x-\frac{c g(0)}{4 \delta_{6}}\left(g^{\prime} o \nabla u\right)(t) \\
& +\frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\delta_{3}\left[\mu+2(\mu-l)^{2}\right] \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\delta_{4}(\mu+\lambda) \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x \\
& +(\mu-l)\left[\frac{\mu+1}{4 \delta_{3}}+\frac{\mu+\lambda}{2 \delta_{4}}+2 \delta_{3}+\frac{c \mu_{1}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\delta_{5}}+\frac{1}{\delta_{6}}\right)+\frac{c \beta}{2}\right](g \circ \nabla u)(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$. Next, we choose $\delta_{6}$ small enough to obtain (3.9).

## 4. Asymptotic Stability

This section is divided into two parts. In the first subsection, we discuss the case where $\left|\mu_{2}\right|<\mu_{1}$ and in the second, we discuss the case where $\mu_{1}=\left|\mu_{2}\right|$.
4.1. General stability for $\left|\mu_{2}\right|<\mu_{1}$. For $\varepsilon>0$, to be chosen appropriately later, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(t):=E(t)+\varepsilon F_{1}(t)+\varepsilon F_{2}(t)+\varepsilon F_{3}(t) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.1. There exist two positive constants $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} E(t) \leq L(t) \leq \alpha_{2} E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\varepsilon$ small enough
Proof. Let

$$
\mathcal{G}(t)=\varepsilon F_{1}(t)+\varepsilon F_{2}(t)+\varepsilon F_{3}(t)
$$

By using Young's and Poincaré's inequalities, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{G}(t)| \leq & \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}+v_{t}^{2}+c|\nabla u|^{2}+(c(1+\beta)+\delta)|\nabla v|^{2}+|\operatorname{div} u|^{2}\right) d x \\
& +\varepsilon \tau \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} z^{2}(x, \rho, t) d \rho d x \\
& \leq \varepsilon c E(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $|\mathcal{L}(t)-E(t)| \leq \varepsilon c E(t)$, which yields

$$
(1-\varepsilon c) E(t) \leq \mathcal{L}(t) \leq(1+\varepsilon c) E(t)
$$

By choosing $\varepsilon$ small enough, 4.2 follows.
Theorem 4.2. let $(u, v, z)$ be the solution of 2.3. Assume $\left|\mu_{2}\right|<\mu_{1}$ and (A1), (A2) hold. Then, there exist two positive constants $c_{0}$ and $c_{1}$ such that the energy functional for the system (2.3) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq c_{0} e^{-c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \eta(s) d s}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By differentiating (4.1) and using (3.1), 3.4, 3.7) and 3.8), and Poincaré's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left[m_{0}-\varepsilon c\right] \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\varepsilon m_{1} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\varepsilon \kappa \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x \\
& -\varepsilon\left[m_{1}-\beta \delta_{2}\right] \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x-\varepsilon m_{2} \tau \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} z^{2}(x, \rho, t) d \rho d x \\
& +\varepsilon c(g \circ \nabla u)(t)-\left[\delta-\varepsilon c\left(c+\frac{\beta}{4 \delta_{2}}\right)\right] \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\left[\left(m_{0}-\varepsilon c\right)+\varepsilon m_{2}\right] \int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

At this point, we choose $\delta_{2}$ small enough such that $\left(m_{1}-\beta \delta_{2}\right)>0$. Next, by picking

$$
\varepsilon<\min \left\{\frac{m_{0}}{c}, \frac{\delta}{c\left(c+\frac{\beta}{4 \delta_{2}}\right)}\right\}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \leq & k_{1}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)-k_{2}\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} z^{2}(x, \rho, t) d \rho d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2} d x\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for positive constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. Then, using Poincaré's inequality and 2.5 , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \leq-k_{0} E(t)+k_{1}(g \circ \nabla u)(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a positive constant $k_{0}$. By multiplying (4.4) by $\eta(t)$ and using (A2) and (3.1), we arrive at

$$
\eta(t) \mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \leq-k_{0} \eta(t) E(t)-2 k_{1} E^{\prime}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\left(\eta(t) \mathcal{L}(t)+2 k_{1} E(t)\right)^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}(t) \mathcal{L}(t) \leq-k_{0} \eta(t) E(t), \forall t \geq 0
$$

Using the fact that $\eta^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, \forall t \geq 0$, we have

$$
\left(\eta(t) \mathcal{L}(t)+2 k_{1} E(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq-k_{0} \eta(t) E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

By exploiting 4.2, it can easily be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}(t)=\eta(t) \mathcal{L}(t)+2 k_{1} E(t) \sim E(t) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, for some positive constant $c_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}^{\prime}(t) \leq-c_{1} \eta(t) \mathcal{R}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple integration of 4.6) over $(0, t)$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}(t) \leq \mathcal{R}(0) e^{-c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \eta(s) d s}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conclusion of the theorem follows by combining (4.5) and 4.7).
4.2. General stability for $\left|\mu_{2}\right|=\mu_{1}$. By recalling 2.4 , we have $\xi=\tau \mu_{1}$. Hence, (3.1) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\delta \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2} d x \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then use (3.4), (3.7), and (3.8) with $\mu_{1}=\left|\mu_{2}\right|$ and define another Lyapunov functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(t):=N E(t)+\varepsilon_{1} F_{1}(t)+F_{2}(t)+\varepsilon_{2} F_{3}(t)+F_{4}(t) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N, \varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ are positive real numbers which will be chosen properly later.
Lemma 4.3. For $N$ large enough, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(t)$ and $E(t)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{3} E(t) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(t) \leq \alpha_{4} E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for two positive constants $\alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{4}$.
The inequality in the above lemma is established with similar steps as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 .

Theorem 4.4. let $(u, v, z)$ be the solution of 2.3). Assume $\left|\mu_{2}\right|=\mu_{1}$ and (A1), (A2) hold. Then, for any $t_{0}>0$, there exist positive constants $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ independent of $t$ such that the energy functional of the system 2.3) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq c_{2} e^{-c_{3} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \eta(s) d s}, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Differentiating $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(t)$ and using (3.4, (3.7), 3.8), (3.9), 4.8) and Poincaré's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left[m_{3}-\varepsilon_{1} c-\varepsilon_{2}\right] \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\left[\varepsilon_{1} m_{1}-\delta_{3} c\right] \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\kappa \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x \\
& -\varepsilon_{2} m_{2} \tau \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} z^{2}(x, \rho, t) d \rho d x-\left[\varepsilon_{1} m_{1}-\beta \delta_{2}-\delta_{4}(\mu+\lambda)\right] \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x \\
& -\left[N \delta-\frac{\beta c}{2}-c\left(1+\frac{\beta}{4 \delta_{2}}+\varepsilon_{1} c\right)\right] \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\left[\frac{N}{2}-c\right]\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t) \\
& -\left[\varepsilon_{2} m_{2}-\varepsilon_{1} c-\delta_{5} \mu_{1}\right] \int_{\Omega} z^{2}(x, 1, t) d x+\left[\varepsilon_{1} c+C_{\delta}\right](g \circ \nabla u)(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we let

$$
\varepsilon_{2}=\frac{m 3}{2}, \quad \delta_{3}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1} m_{1}}{2 c}, \quad \delta_{4}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1} m_{1}}{2(\mu+\lambda)}
$$

Next, we choose $\varepsilon_{1}$ small enough so that

$$
\tilde{k}_{1}:=\left[\frac{m_{3}}{2}-\varepsilon_{1} c\right]>0, \quad \tilde{k}_{2}:=\left[\frac{m_{2} m_{3}}{2}-\varepsilon_{1} c\right]>0
$$

Once $\varepsilon_{1}$ is fixed, we then take $\delta_{5}=\tilde{k}_{2} /\left(2 \mu_{1}\right)$ and choose $\delta_{2}$ small enough so that

$$
\tilde{k}_{3}:=\left[\frac{\varepsilon_{1} m_{1}}{2}-\beta \delta_{2}\right]>0 .
$$

Finally, we choose $N$ so large that 4.10 remains valid and, furthermore,

$$
\tilde{k}_{4}:=\left[N \delta-\frac{\beta c}{2}-c\left(1+\frac{\beta}{4 \delta_{2}}+\varepsilon_{1} c\right)\right]>0, \quad\left[\frac{N}{2}-c\right]>0
$$

Hence, we arrive at

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\tilde{k}_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{\varepsilon_{1} m_{1}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\kappa \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\tilde{k}_{4} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\tilde{k}_{3} \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2} d x+\tilde{k}_{5}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
& -\frac{m_{2} m_{3} \tau}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} z^{2}(x, \rho, t) d \rho d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Poincaré's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\tilde{k}_{0} E(t)+\tilde{k}_{5}(g \circ \nabla u)(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{k}_{0}$ and $\tilde{k}_{5}$ are two positive constants.
By multiplying 4.12 by $\eta(t)$ and using $\left(A_{2}\right)$ and 4.8, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\eta(t) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\tilde{k}_{0} \eta(t) E(t)-2 \tilde{k}_{5} E^{\prime}(t), & \forall t \geq t_{0} \\
\left(\eta(t) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(t)+2 \tilde{k}_{5} E(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq-\tilde{k}_{0} \eta(t) E(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}
\end{array}
$$

If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t)=\eta(t) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(t)+2 \tilde{k_{5}} E(t) \sim E(t) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and follow the same steps as in Theorem 4.2, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{R}}\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\tilde{c}_{3} \int_{0}^{t} \eta(s) d s}, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, 4.11 is established by virtue of 4.13) and 4.14.
Note that Estimate (4.11) also holds for $t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]$ by the continuity and boundedness of $E(t)$ and $\eta(t)$.

Now, we give some examples to illustrate the energy decay rates obtained by Theorem 4.2 which is also valid for Theorem 4.4. We consider the three examples under Remark 2.1 with the same assumptions on $a$ and $b$ as stated before.
(1) If $g(t)=a e^{-b t}$, then

$$
E(t) \leq c_{0} e^{-b c_{1} t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

(2) If $g(t)=\frac{a}{(1+t)^{b+1}}$, then

$$
E(t) \leq \frac{c_{0}}{(1+t)^{(b+1) c_{1}}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

(3) If $g(t)=\frac{a}{(e+t)[\ln (e+t)]^{b+1}}$, then

$$
E(t) \leq \frac{c_{0} e^{c_{1}}}{\left\{(e+t)[\ln (e+t)]^{b+1}\right\}^{c_{1}}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

Remark 4.5. As in Pignotti [21, we do not require that $\mu_{2}$ be positive. Our result extends, in a way, the result of Kirane and Said-Houari [5, where $\mu_{2}$ is taken to be positive.
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