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# DECAY OF SOLUTIONS FOR A PLATE EQUATION WITH $p$-LAPLACIAN AND MEMORY TERM 

WENJUN LIU, GANG LI, LINGHUI HONG

Abstract. In this note we show that the assumption on the memory term $g$ in Andrade [1] can be modified to be $g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\xi(t) g(t)$, where $\xi(t)$ satisfies

$$
\xi^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, \quad \int_{0}^{+\infty} \xi(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty
$$

Then we show that rate of decay for the solution is similar to that of the memory term.

## 1. Introduction

Consider a bounded domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary $\Gamma=\partial \Omega$, and study the solutions to the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}+\Delta^{2} u-\Delta_{p} u+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta u(s) \mathrm{d} s-\Delta u_{t}+f(u)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+}  \tag{1.1}\\
u=\Delta u=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^{+}  \tag{1.2}\\
u(\cdot, 0)=u_{0}, \quad u_{t}(\cdot, 0)=u_{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$ is the $p$-Laplacian operator.
This problem without the memory term models elastoplastic flows. We refer to [1] for a motivation and references concerning the study of problem 1.1$)-(1.3)$. We will us the following assumptions:
(A1) The memory kernel $g$ has typical properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(0)>0, \quad l=1-\mu_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) \mathrm{d} s>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{1}>0$ is the embedding constant for $\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \leq \mu_{1}\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}$. There exists a constant $k_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(t) \leq-k_{1} g(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A2) The forcing term $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(0)=0, \quad|f(u)-f(v)| \leq k_{2}\left(1+|u|^{\rho}+|v|^{\rho}\right)|u-v|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R},  \tag{1.6}\\
0 \leq \widehat{f}(u) \leq f(u) u, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$
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where $k_{2}$ is a positive constant, $\widehat{f}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f(s) \mathrm{d} s$, and

$$
0<\rho \leq \frac{4}{N-4} \text { if } N \geq 5 \quad \text { and } \quad \rho>0 \text { if } 1 \leq N \leq 4
$$

(A3) The constant $p$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \leq p \leq \frac{2 N-2}{N-2} \text { if } N \geq 3 \quad \text { and } \quad p \geq 2 \text { if } N=1,2 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.1 ([1, Theorem 2.1]). Assume that (A1)-(A3) hold.
(i) If the initial data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$, then problem (1.1)(1.3) has a unique weak solution

$$
u \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

(ii) If the initial data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in H_{\Gamma}^{3}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, where

$$
H_{\Gamma}^{3}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in H^{3}(\Omega) \mid u=\Delta u=0 \text { on } \Gamma\right\}
$$

then problem (1.1)-1.3 has a unique strong solution satisfying
$u \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; H_{\Gamma}^{3}(\Omega)\right), \quad u_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right), \quad u_{t t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$.
(iii) In both cases, the energy $E(t)$ of problem 1.1 - 1.3 satisfies the decay rate

$$
E(t) \leq C E(0) e^{-\gamma t}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

for some $C, \gamma>0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{p}^{p}+\int_{\Omega} \widehat{f}(u(t)) \mathrm{d} x \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this note, we shall extend the above exponential rate of decay to the general case, which is similar to that of $g$. We use the following assumption which is weaker than (1.5).
(A4) There exists a positive differentiable function $\xi(t)$ such that

$$
g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\xi(t) g(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

and $\xi(t)$ satisfies

$$
\xi^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, \forall t>0, \int_{0}^{+\infty} \xi(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty
$$

Then, we can prove the following main result.
Theorem 1.2. Assume that (A2)-(A4) and (1.4) hold. If the initial data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in$ $\left(H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$ or $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in H_{\Gamma}^{3}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then the energy $E(t)$ of problem (1.1)-(1.3) satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq K E(0) e^{-k \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $K, k>0$.
Remark 1.3. We note that a similar decay rate was given in [5, Theorem 3.5]. However, unlike [5, (G2)] and [6, (A1)], we do not use the condition of $\left|\frac{\xi^{\prime}(t)}{\xi(t)}\right| \leq k$ here.

Remark 1.4. For $\xi(t) \equiv k_{1}, 1.10$ recaptures the exponential decay rate in [1, Theorem 2.1]. For $\xi(t)=a(1+t)^{-1}$, we can get polynomial decay rate, which is nt addressed in [1.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let us first prove the decay property for the strong solution $u$ of problem (1.1)(1.3). We modify the perturbed energy method in [1] by using the idea of [4, 5].

Assume that condition (A4) holds and define the modified energy, as in [1],

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(t)= & \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{p}^{p}+\int_{\Omega} \widehat{f}(u(t)) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
(g \circ \nabla u)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)\|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s)\|_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

Then we obtain

$$
E(t) \leq \frac{1}{l} F(t)
$$

and $F(t)$ is decreasing because

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime}(t) & =-\left\|\nabla u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \leq-\left\|\nabla u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\Psi(t)=\int_{\Omega} u_{t}(t) u(t) \mathrm{d} x
$$

and

$$
F_{\varepsilon}(t)=F(t)+\varepsilon \Psi(t), \quad \forall \varepsilon>0
$$

To obtain the decay result, we use the following lemmas which are of crucial importance in the proof.

Lemma 2.1 ([1, Lemma 4.1]). There exists $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left|F_{\varepsilon}(t)-F(t)\right| \leq \varepsilon C_{1} F(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall \varepsilon>0
$$

Lemma 2.2 ([1, (27) in Lemma 4.2]). There exist positive constants $C_{2}, C_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t) \leq-F(t)+C_{2}\left\|\nabla u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+C_{3}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we conclude the proof of the decay property. Let

$$
\varepsilon_{0}=\min \left\{\frac{1}{2 C_{1}}, \frac{1}{C_{2}}\right\}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that, for $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} F(t) \leq F_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq \frac{3}{2} F(t), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $F_{\varepsilon}(t),(2.1)$ and (2.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi(t) F_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)= & \xi(t) F^{\prime}(t)+\varepsilon \xi(t) \Psi^{\prime}(t) \\
\leq & -\xi(t)\left\|\nabla u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{\xi^{2}(t)}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)-\varepsilon \xi(t) F(t) \\
& +\varepsilon C_{2} \xi(t)\left\|\nabla u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\varepsilon C_{3} \xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t)  \tag{2.4}\\
\leq & -\left(1-\varepsilon C_{2}\right) \xi(t)\left\|\nabla u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}-\varepsilon \xi(t) F(t)+\varepsilon C_{3} \xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
\leq & -\varepsilon \xi(t) F(t)+\varepsilon C_{3} \xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
\leq & -\varepsilon \xi(t) F(t)-2 \varepsilon C_{3} F^{\prime}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

We set

$$
L(t)=\xi(t) F_{\varepsilon}(t)+2 \varepsilon C_{3} F(t)
$$

Then, $L(t)$ is equivalent to $F(t)$. In fact, we have

$$
L(t) \leq \xi(0) F_{\varepsilon}(t)+2 \varepsilon C_{3} F(t) \leq\left(\frac{3}{2} \xi(0)+2 \varepsilon C_{3}\right) F(t)
$$

and

$$
L(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \xi(t) F(t)+2 \varepsilon C_{3} F(t) \geq 2 \varepsilon C_{3} F(t)
$$

Since $F(t) \geq l E(t) \geq 0$ and $\xi^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$, from 2.3 and 2.4 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime}(t) & =\xi^{\prime}(t) F_{\varepsilon}(t)+\xi(t) F_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)+2 \varepsilon C_{3} F^{\prime}(t) \\
& \leq \xi(t) F_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)+2 \varepsilon C_{3} F^{\prime}(t)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq-\varepsilon \xi(t) F(t) \leq-\varepsilon k \xi(t) L(t)
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used $(2.4)$ and $k$ is a positive constant.
A simple integration of 2.5 leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t) \leq L(0) e^{-k \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves the decay property for strong solutions in $H_{\Gamma}^{3}(\Omega)$.
The result can be extended to weak solutions by standard density arguments, as in Cavalcanti et al. 2, 3].
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