

**EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS TO QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC  
 SYSTEMS WITH COMBINED CRITICAL SOBOLEV-HARDY  
 TERMS**

NEMAT NYAMORADI, MOHAMAD JAVIDI

ABSTRACT. This article is devoted to the study of multiple positive solutions to a singular elliptic system where the nonlinearity involves a combination of concave and convex terms. Using the effect of the coefficient of the critical nonlinearity, and a variational method, we establish the main result which is based on a compactness argument.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to establish the existence of nontrivial solution to the elliptic system

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u - \mu \frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^p} &= \frac{|u|^{p^*(s_1)-2}u}{|x|^{s_1}} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} Q(x) \frac{|u|^{\alpha-2}|v|^\beta u}{|x - x_0|^t} + \lambda h(x) \frac{|u|^{q-2}u}{|x|^s}, \\ -\Delta_p v - \mu \frac{|v|^{p-2}v}{|x|^p} &= \frac{|v|^{p^*(s_2)-2}v}{|x|^{s_2}} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} Q(x) \frac{|u|^\alpha |v|^{\beta-2}v}{|x - x_0|^t} + \lambda h(x) \frac{|v|^{q-2}v}{|x|^s}, \\ &x \in \Omega, \\ &u = v = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ ,  $0 \in \Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 3$ ) with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  is a parameter,  $1 \leq q < p$ ,  $1 < p < N$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu} \triangleq (\frac{N-p}{p})^p$ ;  $Q(x)$  is nonnegative and continuous on  $\bar{\Omega}$  satisfying some additional conditions which will be given later,  $Q(x_0) = \|Q\|_\infty$  for  $0 \neq x_0 \in \Omega$ ,  $h(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ ;  $\alpha, \beta > 1$ ,  $\alpha + \beta = p^*(t) \triangleq \frac{p(N-t)}{N-p}$ ,  $p^*(s) \triangleq \frac{p(N-s)}{N-p}$  ( $0 < s, s_1, s_2 \leq t < p$ ) are critical Sobolev-Hardy exponents. Note that  $p^*(0) = p^* := \frac{Np}{N-p}$  is the critical Sobolev exponent.

We denote by  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  the completion of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm  $(\int_\Omega |\nabla \cdot|^p dx)^{1/p}$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B33, 35J60, 35J65.

*Key words and phrases.* Ekeland variational principle; critical Hardy-Sobolev exponent; concentration-compactness principle.

©2012 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted May 17, 2012. Published October 4, 2012.

Problem (1.1) is related to the well known Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality in [3]:

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^r}{|x|^t} dx \right)^{p/r} \leq C_{r,t,p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx, \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $p \leq r < p^*(t)$ . When  $t = r = p$ , the above inequality becomes the well known Hardy inequality [3, 9, 10]:

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx, \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega). \quad (1.3)$$

In the space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  we use the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mu} = \|u\|_{D^{1,p}(\Omega)} := \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad \mu \in [0, \bar{\mu}).$$

By using the Hardy inequality (1.3) this norm is equivalent to the usual norm  $(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx)^{1/p}$ . The elliptic operator  $L := (|\nabla \cdot |^{p-2} \nabla \cdot - \mu \frac{|\cdot|^{p-2}}{|x|^p})$  is positive in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  if  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ .

Now, we define the space  $W = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with the norm

$$\|(u, v)\|^p = \|u\|_{\mu}^p + \|v\|_{\mu}^p.$$

Also, by Hardy inequality and Hardy-Sobolev inequality, for  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ ,  $0 \leq t < p$  and  $p \leq r \leq p^*(t)$  we can define the best Hardy-Sobolev constant:

$$A_{\mu,t,r}(\Omega) = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx}{\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^r}{|x|^t} dx \right)^{p/r}}. \quad (1.4)$$

In the important case when  $r = p^*(t)$ , we simply denote  $A_{\mu,t,p^*(t)}$  as  $A_{\mu,t}$ .

For any  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > 1$  and  $\alpha + \beta = p^*(t)$ , by (1.2), (1.3),  $0 < s_1, s_2 \leq t < p$ , Set

$$A_{\mu,s} := \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx}{\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(s)}}}, \quad (1.5)$$

$$S_{s,\alpha,\beta} := \inf_{(u,v) \in W \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p + |v|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx}{\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha+\beta}}}. \quad (1.6)$$

Then we have the following equality (whose proof is the same as that of Theorem 5 in [1])

$$S_{s,\alpha,\beta}(\mu) = \left( \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} + \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}} \right) A_{\mu,s}.$$

Throughout this paper, let  $R_0$  be the positive constant such that  $\Omega \subset B(0; R_0)$ , where  $B(0; R_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R_0\}$ . By Holder and Sobolev-Hardy inequalities,

for all  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^s} &\leq \left( \int_{B(0;R_0)} |x|^{-s} \right)^{\frac{p^*(s)-q}{p^*(s)}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} \right)^{\frac{q}{p^*(s)}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^{R_0} r^{N-s+1} dr \right)^{\frac{p^*(s)-q}{p^*(s)}} A_{\mu,s}^{-\frac{q}{p}} \|u\|^q \\ &\leq \left( \frac{N\omega_N R_0^{N-s}}{N-s} \right)^{\frac{p^*(s)-q}{p^*(s)}} A_{\mu,s}^{-\frac{q}{p}} \|u\|^q, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where  $\omega_N = \frac{2\pi^{N/2}}{N\Gamma(N/2)}$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

Existence of nontrivial non-negative solutions for elliptic equations with singular potentials were recently studied by several authors, but, essentially, only with a solely critical exponent. We refer, e.g., in bounded domains and for  $p = 2$  to [4, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17], and for general  $p > 1$  to [5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 16, 18, 19, 26] and the references therein. For example, Han and Liu [17] studied the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} &= \lambda u + Q(x)|u|^{2^*-2}u, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u &= 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

where  $0 \in \Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 5$ ),  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu} \triangleq \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2$ ,  $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$  and  $Q(x)$  is nonnegative and continuous on  $\bar{\Omega}$  satisfying some suitable conditions. Using critical point theory, the authors proved the existence of nontrivial solutions to problem (1.8). Also, by investigating the effect of the coefficient  $Q$ , Han [14] studied problem (1.8) and proved that there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that (1.8) has at least  $k$  positive solutions for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ .

Kang in [18] studied the following elliptic equation via the generalized Mountain-Pass theorem [24],

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u - \mu \frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^p} &= \frac{|u|^{p^*(t)-2}u}{|x|^t} + \lambda \frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^s}, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u &= 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain,  $1 < p < N$ ,  $0 \leq s, t < p$  and  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu} \triangleq \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^p$ . Degiovanni and Lancelotti [6] studied problem (1.9) with  $\mu = s = t = 0$  and proved that (1.9) has at least one positive solutions for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1 := A_{0,0}$  ( $A_{0,0}$  is defined in (1.5)). Indeed, in [6] the much more difficult case  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$  is treated.

The authors in [8], via the Mountain-Pass Theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [2], proved that

$$-\Delta_p u - \mu \frac{u^{p-1}}{|x|^p} = |u|^{p^*-1} + \frac{u^{p^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s}, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

admits a positive solution in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , whenever  $\mu < \bar{\mu} \triangleq \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^p$  and  $0 < s < p$ .

Recently, in [26] the author studied the following equation via the Mountain-Pass theorem,

$$-\operatorname{div} \left( \frac{|Du|^{p-2}Du}{|x|^{ap}} \right) - \mu \frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^{(a+1)p}} = \frac{|u|^{p^*(b)-2}u}{|x|^{bp^*}} + \frac{|u|^{p^*(c)-2}u}{|x|^{cp^*}}, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

where  $1 < p < N$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu} \triangleq \left(\frac{N-(a+1)p}{p}\right)^p$ ,  $0 \leq a < \frac{N-p}{p}$ ,  $a \leq b, c < a + 1$ ,  $p^*(b) = \frac{Np}{N-(a+1-b)p}$  and  $p^*(c) = \frac{Np}{N-(a+1-c)p}$ .

Zhang and Wei [27] studied the existence of multiple positive solutions for (1.1) with  $t = s = 0$ ,  $Q(x) = f(x)$  and  $h(x) = 1$ . Set  $s_1 = s_2 = t$ ,  $s = t$ ,  $x_0 = 0$  and  $Q(x) = h(x) \equiv 1$ , then problem (1.1) reduces to the quasilinear elliptic system

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u - \mu \frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^p} &= \frac{|u|^{p^*(t)-2}u}{|x|^t} + \frac{\eta\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{|u|^{\alpha-2}|v|^\beta u}{|x|^t} + \lambda \frac{|u|^{q-2}u}{|x|^s}, \\ -\Delta_p v - \mu \frac{|v|^{p-2}v}{|x|^p} &= \frac{|v|^{p^*(t)-2}v}{|x|^t} + \frac{\eta\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{|u|^\alpha |v|^{\beta-2}v}{|x|^t} + \theta \frac{|v|^{q-2}v}{|x|^s}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

$$x \in \Omega,$$

$$u = v = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega$$

where  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\theta > 0$ ,  $0 < \eta < \infty$ ,  $1 < p < N$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu} \triangleq \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^p$ ,  $0 \leq s, t < p$ ,  $1 \leq q < p$ ,  $\alpha + \beta = p^*(t) \triangleq \frac{p(N-t)}{N-p}$  is the Hardy- Sobolev critical exponent. The author [23] have studied (1.10) via the Nehari manifold. In [20], Li et al. studied the following quasilinear elliptic problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u - \mu \frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{|x|^p} &= K(x) \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)-2}u}{|x|^s} + Q(x) \frac{|u|^{p^*(t)-2}u}{|x - x_0|^t} + \lambda f(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u &= 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

where  $1 < p < N$ ,  $K(x), Q(x)$  are nonnegative continuous functions on  $\bar{\Omega}$ ,  $f$  satisfying some suitable conditions and obtained the existence of solutions via variational methods. For  $p = 2$ ,  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $K(x) \equiv 1$  and  $Q(x) \equiv 0$ , the problem (1.11) has been studied.

Motivated by the above works we study problem (1.1) by using the Mountain-Pass Theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz. We shall show that system (1.1) has at least two positive weak solutions.

In this article, we assume that  $0 < s_1, s_2 \leq t < p$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > 1$  and  $\alpha + \beta = p^*(t)$ . For  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\mu, s) &:= \frac{p-s}{p(N-s)} A_{\mu, s}^{\frac{N-s}{p-s}}, \\ \theta^* &:= \left\{ \theta(\mu, s_1), \theta(\mu, s_2), \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_\infty^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}}} S_{t, \alpha, \beta}^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we assume that  $Q(x)$  satisfies some of the following assumptions:

- (H1)  $Q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $Q(x) \geq 0$  and  $\text{meas}(\{x \in \Omega, h(x) > 0\}) > 0$ .
- (H2) There exist  $\vartheta > 0$  such that  $Q(x_0) = \|Q\|_\infty > 0$  and  $Q(x) = Q(x_0) + O(|x - x_0|^\vartheta)$ , as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ .
- (H3) There exist  $\beta_0$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that  $B_{2\rho_0}(x_0) \subset \Omega$  and  $h(x) \geq \beta_0$  for all  $x \in B_{2\rho_0}(x_0)$ .

Set

$$h_+ := \max\{h, 0\}, \quad h_- := \max\{-h, 0\}.$$

The main results of this article are stated in the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $N \geq 3, \mu \in [0, \bar{\mu}), 1 < q < p$  and (H1). Then there exists  $\Lambda_{11}^* > 0$ , such that for  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_{11}^*$  problem (1.1) has at least one positive solutions.*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume that  $N \geq p^2, 0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}, \theta^* = \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_\infty^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} S_{t,\alpha,\beta}^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}}$ , (H1)-(H3),  $Q(0) = 0, \varrho > b(\mu)p + p - N + t$  and  $\frac{N-s}{b(\mu)} < q < p$  hold, and  $b(\mu)$  is the constant defined as in Lemma 2.4. Then there exists  $\Lambda^{**} > 0$ , such that for  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda^{**}$ , problem (1.1) has at least two positive solutions.*

This article is divided into three sections, organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish some elementary results. In Section 3, we prove our main results (Theorems 1.1 and 1.2).

### 2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

The corresponding energy functional of problem (1.1) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(u, v) = & \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} + |\nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|v|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x) \left( \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^s} + \frac{|v|^q}{|x|^s} \right) dx \\
 & - \frac{1}{p^*(s_1)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx - \frac{1}{p^*(s_2)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{p^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx \\
 & - \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) \frac{|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta}{|x - x_0|^t} dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

for each  $(u, v) \in W$ . Then  $J \in C^1(W, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that  $N \geq 3, 0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}, (H1), h_+ \neq 0$  and  $(u, v)$  is a weak solution of problem (1.1). Then there exists a positive constant  $d$  depending on  $N, |\Omega|, |h_+|_\infty, A_{\mu,s}, s_1, s_2$  and  $q$  such that*

$$J(u, v) \geq -d\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $(u, v)$  is a weak solution of (1.1), then, Note that  $\langle J'(u, v), (u, v) \rangle = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle J'(u, v), (u, v) \rangle \\
 & = \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} + |\nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|v|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} h(x) \left( \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^s} + \frac{|v|^q}{|x|^s} \right) dx \quad (2.1) \\
 & - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{p^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx - \int_{\Omega} Q(x) \frac{|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta}{|x - x_0|^t} dx = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using  $h_+ \neq 0, (2.1), (1.7)$ , the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev-Hardy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(u, v) \geq & \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} + |\nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|v|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x) \left( \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^s} + \frac{|v|^q}{|x|^s} \right) dx \\
 & - \frac{1}{p^*(t)} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{p^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx - \int_{\Omega} Q(x) \frac{|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta}{|x - x_0|^t} dx \right] \\
 = & \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} + |\nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|v|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x) \left( \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^s} + \frac{|v|^q}{|x|^s} \right) dx \\
 & - \frac{1}{p^*(t)} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} + |\nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|v|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\lambda \int_{\Omega} h(x) \left( \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^s} + \frac{|v|^q}{|x|^s} \right) dx \\
\geq & \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*(t)} \right) \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} + |\nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|v|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx \\
& - \lambda \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*(t)} \right) \int_{\Omega} h(x) \left( \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^s} + \frac{|v|^q}{|x|^s} \right) dx \\
\geq & \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*(t)} \right) (\|u\|_{\mu}^p + \|v\|_{\mu}^p) \\
& - \lambda \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*(t)} \right) \left( \frac{N\omega_N R_0^{N-s}}{N-s} \right)^{\frac{p^*(s)-q}{p^*(s)}} A_{\mu,s}^{-\frac{q}{p}} |h_+|_{\infty} (\|u\|_{\mu}^q + \|v\|_{\mu}^q) \\
\geq & 2 \inf_{t \geq 0} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*(s)} \right) t^p - \lambda \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*(s)} \right) \left( \frac{N\omega_N R_0^{N-s}}{N-s} \right)^{\frac{p^*(s)-q}{p^*(s)}} A_{\mu,s}^{-\frac{q}{p}} |h_+|_{\infty} t^q \right] \\
\geq & -d\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $d_{\Omega} := \sup_{x,y \in \Omega} |x-y|$  is the diameter of  $\Omega$  and  $d$  is a positive constant depending on  $N, |\Omega|, |h_+|_{\infty}, A_{\mu,s}, s_1, s_2$  and  $q$ .  $\square$

Recall that a sequence  $(u_n, v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $(PS)_c$  sequence for the functional  $J$  if  $J(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $J'(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0$ . If any  $(PS)_c$  sequence  $(u_n, v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a convergent subsequence, we say that  $J$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  condition.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assume that  $N \geq 3$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ , (H1),  $h_+ \neq 0$  and  $Q(0) = 0$ . Then  $J(u, v)$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  condition with  $c$  satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
c < c_* := \min \left\{ \frac{p-s_1}{p(N-s_1)} A_{\mu,s_1}^{\frac{N-s_1}{p-s_1}}, \frac{p-s_2}{p(N-s_2)} A_{\mu,s_2}^{\frac{N-s_2}{p-s_2}}, \right. \\
\left. \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_{\infty}^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} S_{t,\alpha,\beta}^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} \right\} - d\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}. \tag{2.2}
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see that the  $(PS)_c$  sequence  $(u_n, v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $J(u, v)$  is bounded in  $W$ . Then  $(u_n, v_n) \rightharpoonup (u, v)$  weakly in  $W$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which implies  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly and  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  weakly in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Passing to a subsequence we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla u_n|^p dx & \rightharpoonup \bar{\alpha}, & |\nabla v_n|^p dx & \rightharpoonup \tilde{\alpha}, \\
\frac{|u_n|^p}{|x|^p} dx & \rightharpoonup \bar{\beta}, & \frac{|v_n|^p}{|x|^p} dx & \rightharpoonup \tilde{\beta}, \\
\frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx & \rightharpoonup \bar{\gamma}, & \frac{|v_n|^{p^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx & \rightharpoonup \tilde{\gamma}, \\
Q(x) \frac{|u_n|^{\alpha} |v_n|^{\beta}}{|x-x_0|^t} dx & \rightharpoonup \nu
\end{aligned}$$

weakly in the sense of measures. Using the concentration-compactness principle in [21], there exist an at most countable set  $I$ , a set of points  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ , real numbers  $\bar{a}_{x_i}, \tilde{a}_{x_i}, d_{x_i}, i \in I, \bar{a}_0, \tilde{a}_0, \bar{b}_0, \tilde{b}_0, \bar{c}_0, \tilde{c}_0$  and  $d_0$ , such that

$$\bar{\alpha} \geq |\nabla u|^p dx + \sum_{i \in I} \bar{a}_{x_i} \delta_{x_i} + \bar{a}_0 \delta_0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha} \geq |\nabla u|^p dx + \sum_{i \in I} \tilde{a}_{x_i} \delta_{x_i} + \tilde{a}_0 \delta_0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\bar{\beta} = \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} dx + \bar{b}_0 \delta_0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\tilde{\beta} = \frac{|v|^p}{|x|^p} dx + \tilde{b}_0 \delta_0, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\bar{\gamma} = \frac{|u|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} + \bar{c}_0 \delta_0, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{|v|^{p^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx + \tilde{c}_0 \delta_0, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\nu = Q(x) \frac{|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta}{|x - x_0|^t} dx + \sum_{i \in I} Q(x_i) d_{x_i} \delta_{x_i} + Q(0) d_0 \delta_0, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\delta_x$  is the Dirac-mass of mass 1 concentrated at the point  $x$ .

First, we consider the possibility of the concentration at  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be small enough, take  $\eta_{x_i} \in C_c^\infty(B_{2\epsilon}(x_i))$ , such that  $\eta_{x_i}|_{B_\epsilon(x_i)} = 1$ ,  $0 \leq \eta_{x_i} \leq 1$  and  $|\nabla \eta_{x_i}(x)| \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle J'(u_n, v_n), (\eta_{x_i}^p u_n, \eta_{x_i}^p v_n) \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla(\eta_{x_i}^p u_n) + |\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n \nabla(\eta_{x_i}^p v_n)) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} Q(x) \frac{|u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\beta}{|x - x_0|^t} \eta_{x_i}^p dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{|u_n|^p}{|x|^p} \eta_{x_i}^p + \frac{|v_n|^p}{|x|^p} \eta_{x_i}^p \right) dx \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} h(x) \left( \frac{|u_n|^q}{|x|^s} \eta_{x_i}^p + \frac{|v_n|^q}{|x|^s} \eta_{x_i}^p \right) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} \eta_{x_i}^p dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_n|^{p^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} \eta_{x_i}^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.5)-(2.9), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{|u_n|^p}{|x|^p} \eta_{x_i}^p + \frac{|v_n|^p}{|x|^p} \eta_{x_i}^p \right) dx &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \int_{\Omega} \eta_{x_i}^p d\bar{\beta} + \int_{\Omega} \eta_{x_i}^p d\tilde{\beta} \right) = 0, \quad (2.10) \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} \eta_{x_i}^p + \frac{|v_n|^{p^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} \eta_{x_i}^p \right) dx &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \int_{\Omega} \eta_{x_i}^p d\bar{\gamma} + \int_{\Omega} \eta_{x_i}^p d\tilde{\gamma} \right) = 0, \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h(x) \left( \frac{|u_n|^q}{|x|^s} \eta_{x_i}^p + \frac{|v_n|^q}{|x|^s} \eta_{x_i}^p \right) dx &= 0, \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) \frac{|u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\beta}{|x - x_0|^t} \eta_{x_i}^p dx &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \eta_{x_i}^p d\nu = Q(x_i) dx_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla(\eta_{x_i}^p u_n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n \nabla(\eta_{x_i}^p v_n) \right) dx - Q(x_i) dx_i. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} u_n |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \eta_{x_i}^p dx \right| \\
& \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^p |\nabla \eta_{x_i}^p|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
& \leq C \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^p |\nabla \eta_{x_i}^p|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\
& = C \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p |\nabla \eta_{x_i}^p|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq C \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x_i)} |\nabla \eta_{x_i}^p|^N dx \right)^{1/N} \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x_i)} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{1/p^*} \\
& \leq C \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x_i)} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{1/p^*} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} v_n |\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n \nabla \eta_{x_i}^p dx \right| = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

Combining (2.11)-(2.13), there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\eta_{x_i} \nabla u_n|^p + |\eta_{x_i} \nabla v_n|^p) dx - Q(x_i) d_{x_i} \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} (\eta_{x_i}^p d\bar{\alpha} + \eta_{x_i} d\tilde{\alpha}) - Q(x_i) d_{x_i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

On the other hand, (1.6) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\|Q\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}}} S_{t,\alpha,\beta} \left( \int_{\Omega} Q(x) \frac{|\eta_{x_i} u_n|^{\alpha} |\eta_{x_i} v_n|^{\beta}}{|x-x_0|^t} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}} \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla(\eta_{x_i} u_n)|^p + |\nabla(\eta_{x_i} v_n)|^p - \mu \frac{|\eta_{x_i} u_n|^p + |\eta_{x_i} v_n|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_{x_i}|^p |u_n|^p dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta_{x_i}|^p |v_n|^p dx = 0.$$

From this equality, (2.12) and (2.13), we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\eta_{x_i} \nabla u_n|^p dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\eta_{x_i} u_n)|^p dx, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\eta_{x_i} \nabla v_n|^p dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\eta_{x_i} v_n)|^p dx. \tag{2.17}$$

Relations (2.9), (2.10) and (2.15)-(2.17) imply

$$\frac{1}{\|Q\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}}} S_{t,\alpha,\beta} (Q(x_i) d_{x_i})^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}} \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |\eta_{x_i}|^p d\bar{\alpha} + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |\eta_{x_i}|^p d\tilde{\alpha}. \tag{2.18}$$

Combining (2.14) and (2.18),

$$\frac{1}{\|Q\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}}} S_{t,\alpha,\beta} (Q(x_i) d_{x_i})^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)}} \leq Q(x_i) d_{x_i}, \tag{2.19}$$



which implies that either

$$Q(x_i)d_{x_i} = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad Q(x_i)d_{x_i} \geq \frac{1}{\|Q\|_\infty^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}}} S_{t,\alpha,\beta}^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} \tag{2.20}$$

Now, we consider the possibility of the concentration at 0. For  $\epsilon > 0$  be small enough, take  $\eta_0 \in C_c^\infty(B_{2\epsilon}(0))$ , such that  $\eta_0|_{B_\epsilon(0)} = 1$ ,  $0 \leq \eta_0 \leq 1$  and  $|\nabla\eta_0(x)| \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle J'(u_n, v_n), (\eta_0^p u_n, 0) \rangle \\ &= \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla (\eta_0^p u_n) dx - \mu \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^p}{|x|^p} \eta_0^p dx - \lambda \int_\Omega h(x) \frac{|u_n|^q}{|x|^s} \eta_0^p dx \\ &\quad - \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} \eta_0^p dx - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \int_\Omega Q(x) \frac{|u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\beta}{|x - x_0|^t} \eta_0^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.5), (2.7), (2.9) and  $Q(0) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^p}{|x|^p} \eta_0^p dx &= \bar{b}_0, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} \eta_0^p dx = \bar{c}_0, \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega Q(x) \frac{|u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\beta}{|x - x_0|^t} \eta_0^p dx &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega h(x) \frac{|u_n|^q}{|x|^s} \eta_0^p dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$0 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla (\eta_0^p u_n) dx - \mu \bar{b}_0 - \bar{c}_0. \tag{2.21}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega u_n |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \eta_0^p dx = 0.$$

This equality and (2.21) yield

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega \eta_0^p d\bar{\alpha} - \mu \bar{b}_0 = \bar{c}_0. \tag{2.22}$$

On the other hand, (1.5) implies

$$A_{\mu, s_1} \left( \int_\Omega \frac{|\eta_0 u_n|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*(s_1)}} \leq \int_\Omega \left( |\nabla(\eta_0 u_n)|^p - \mu \frac{|\eta_0 u_n|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx.$$

Thus

$$A_{\mu, s_1} \bar{c}_0^{\frac{p}{p^*(s_1)}} \leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla(\eta_0 u_n)|^p dx - \mu \bar{b}_0. \tag{2.23}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\eta_0 \nabla u_n|^p dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla(\eta_0 u_n)|^p dx,$$

which together with (2.23) imply

$$A_{\mu, s_1} \bar{c}_0^{\frac{p}{p^*(s_1)}} \leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega |\eta_0|^p d\bar{\alpha} - \mu \bar{b}_0. \tag{2.24}$$

Therefore, from (2.22) and (2.24),

$$A_{\mu, s_1} \bar{c}_0^{\frac{p}{p^*(s_1)}} \leq \bar{c}_0, \tag{2.25}$$

which implies that either

$$\bar{c}_0 = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{c}_0 \geq A_{\mu, s_1}^{\frac{N-s_1}{p-s_1}}. \tag{2.26}$$

Similarly, either

$$\bar{c}_0 = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{c}_0 \geq A_{\mu, s_2}^{\frac{N-s_2}{p-s_2}}. \quad (2.27)$$

Recall that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly and  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  weakly in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & c + o(1) \\ &= J(u_n, v_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_n - \nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u_n - u|^p}{|x|^p} + |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|v_n - v|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^*(s_1)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n - u|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx - \frac{1}{p^*(s_2)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_n - v|^{p^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^*(t)} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) \frac{|u_n - u|^{\alpha} |v_n - v|^{\beta}}{|x - x_0|^t} dx + J(u, v). \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

On the other hand, from  $o(1) = J'(u_n, v_n)$ , we obtain

$$J'(u_n, v_n) = 0. \quad (2.29)$$

Thus,  $0 = \langle J'(u, v), (u, v) \rangle$ , which together with  $o(1) = \langle J'(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \rangle$  imply

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_n - \nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u_n - u|^p}{|x|^p} + |\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|v_n - v|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n - u|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_n - v|^{p^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} Q(x) \frac{|u_n - u|^{\alpha} |v_n - v|^{\beta}}{|x - x_0|^t} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

From (2.28)-(2.30) and Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} c + o(1) &\geq \frac{p - s_1}{p(N - s_1)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n - u|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx + \frac{p - s_2}{p(N - s_2)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_n - v|^{p^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{p - t}{p(N - t)} \int_{\Omega} Q(x) \frac{|u_n - u|^{\alpha} |v_n - v|^{\beta}}{|x - x_0|^t} dx - d\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

Passing to the limit in (2.31) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$c \geq \frac{p - s_1}{2(N - s_1)} \bar{c}_0 + \frac{p - s_2}{p(N - s_2)} \tilde{c}_0 + \frac{p - t}{p(N - t)} \sum_{i \in I} Q(x_i) d_{x_i} - d\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}. \quad (2.32)$$

By the assumption  $c < c_*$  and in view of (2.20), (2.26) and (2.27), there holds  $\bar{c}_0 = \tilde{c}_0 = 0$ ,  $Q(x_i) d_{x_i} = 0$ ,  $i \in I$ . Up to a subsequence,  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$  strongly in  $W$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

When the restriction  $Q(0) = 0$  is removed, we establish the following version of Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume that  $N \geq 3$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ , (H1) and  $h_+ \neq 0$ . Then  $J(u, v)$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  condition with  $c$  satisfying*

$$c < c_0 := \min \left\{ \frac{p-s_1}{p(N-s_1)} \left( \frac{1}{p} A_{\mu, s_1} \right)^{\frac{N-s_1}{p-s_1}}, \frac{p-s_2}{p(N-s_2)} \left( \frac{1}{p} A_{\mu, s_2} \right)^{\frac{N-s_2}{p-s_2}}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{\left( \frac{1}{p} S_{t, \alpha, \beta} \right)^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}}}{\|Q\|_\infty^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} \right\} - d\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}. \tag{2.33}$$

The proof of the above lemma is similar to Lemma 2.2 and is omitted.

**Lemma 2.4** ([18]). *Assume that  $1 < p < N$ ,  $0 \leq t < p$  and  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ . Then the limiting problem*

$$-\Delta_p u - \mu \frac{|u|^{p-1}}{|x|^p} = \frac{|u|^{p^*(t)-1}}{|x|^t}, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \\ u \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u > 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},$$

has positive radial ground states

$$V_\epsilon(x) \triangleq \epsilon^{\frac{p-N}{p}} U_{p,\mu} \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) = \epsilon^{\frac{p-N}{p}} U_{p,\mu} \left( \frac{|x|}{\epsilon} \right), \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, \tag{2.34}$$

that satisfy

$$\int_\Omega \left( |\nabla V_\epsilon(x)|^p - \mu \frac{|V_\epsilon(x)|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx = \int_\Omega \frac{|V_\epsilon(x)|^{p^*(t)}}{|x|^t} dx = (A_{\mu,t})^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}},$$

where  $U_{p,\mu}(x) = U_{p,\mu}(|x|)$  is the unique radial solution of the limiting problem with

$$U_{p,\mu}(1) = \left( \frac{(N-t)(\bar{\mu}-\mu)}{N-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*(t)-p}}.$$

Furthermore,  $U_{p,\mu}$  has the following properties:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{a(\mu)} U_{p,\mu}(r) = C_1 > 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} r^{b(\mu)} U_{p,\mu}(r) = C_2 > 0, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{a(\mu)+1} |U'_{p,\mu}(r)| = C_1 a(\mu) \geq 0, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} r^{b(\mu)+1} |U'_{p,\mu}(r)| = C_2 b(\mu) > 0,$$

where  $C_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are positive constants and  $a(\mu)$  and  $b(\mu)$  are zeros of the function

$$f(\zeta) = (p-1)\zeta^p - (N-p)\zeta^{p-1} + \mu, \quad \zeta \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu},$$

that satisfy

$$0 \leq a(\mu) < \frac{N-p}{p} < b(\mu) \leq \frac{N-p}{p-1}.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, there exists  $(u_1, v_1) \in W \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  and  $\Lambda_1 > 0$ , such that for  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_1$ , there holds*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J(tu_1, tv_1) < \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_\infty^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} S_{t, \alpha, \beta}^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}}(\mu) - d\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}. \tag{2.35}$$

*Proof.* First, we give some estimates on the extremal function  $V_\epsilon(x)$  defined in (2.34). For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  large, choose  $\varphi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2m}$ ,  $\varphi(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq \frac{1}{m}$ ,  $\|\nabla\varphi(x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq 4m$ , set  $u_\epsilon(x) = \varphi(x)V_\epsilon(x)$ . For  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the behavior of  $u_\epsilon$  has to be the same as that of  $V_\epsilon$ , but we need precise estimates of the error terms. For  $1 < p < N$ ,  $0 \leq t < p$  and  $1 < q < p^*(s)$ , we have the following estimates [4]:

$$\int_\Omega \left( |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p - \mu \frac{|u_\epsilon|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx = (A_{\mu,t})^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} + O(\epsilon^{b(\mu)p+p-N}), \tag{2.36}$$

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|u_\epsilon|^{p^*(t)}}{|x|^t} dx = (A_{\mu,t})^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} + O(\epsilon^{b(\mu)p^*(t)-N+t}), \tag{2.37}$$

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|u_\epsilon|^q}{|x|^s} dx \geq \begin{cases} C\epsilon^{N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q}, & q > \frac{N-s}{b(\mu)}, \\ C\epsilon^{N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q} |\ln \epsilon|, & q = \frac{N-s}{b(\mu)}, \\ C\epsilon^{q(b(\mu)+1-\frac{N}{p})q}, & q < \frac{N-s}{b(\mu)}. \end{cases} \tag{2.38}$$

Now, we consider the functional  $I : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$I(u, v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega \left( |\nabla u|^p - \mu \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^p} + |\nabla v|^p - \mu \frac{|v|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx - \frac{1}{p^*(t)} \int_\Omega Q(x) \frac{|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta}{|x-x_0|^t} dx.$$

Let  $u_1 = \alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\epsilon$ ,  $v_1 = \beta^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\epsilon$  and define the function  $g_1(t) := J(tu_1, tv_1)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Note that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g_1(t) = -\infty$  and  $g_1(t) > 0$  as  $t$  is close to 0. Thus  $\sup_{t \geq 0} g_1(t)$  is attained at some finite  $t_\epsilon > 0$  with  $g_1'(t_\epsilon) = 0$ . Furthermore,  $C' < t_\epsilon < C''$ ; where  $C'$  and  $C''$  are the positive constants independent of  $\epsilon$ . We have

$$I(tu_1, tv_1) = y(tu_1, tv_1) - \frac{t^{p^*(t)}}{p^*(t)} (\alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{p}}) \int_\Omega (Q(x) - Q(x_0)) \frac{|u_\epsilon|^{p^*(t)}}{|x-x_0|^t} dx. \tag{2.39}$$

where

$$y(tu_1, tv_1) := \left[ \frac{t^p}{p} (\alpha + \beta) \int_\Omega \left( |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p - \mu \frac{|u_\epsilon|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx - \frac{t^{p^*(t)}}{p^*(t)} (\alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{p}}) \int_\Omega Q(y_0) \frac{|u_\epsilon|^{p^*(t)}}{|x-x_0|^t} dx \right].$$

Note that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left( \frac{t^p}{p} A - \frac{t^{p^*(t)}}{p^*(t)} B \right) = \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*(t)} \right) \left( \frac{A}{B^{\frac{p}{p^*(t)-p}}} \right)^{\frac{p^*(t)}{p^*(t)-p}}, \quad A, B > 0. \tag{2.40}$$

From (H2), (2.36), (2.37) and (2.40) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \geq 0} y(tu_1, tv_1) \\ &= y(t_\epsilon u_1, t_\epsilon v_1) \\ &\leq \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \left( \frac{(\alpha + \beta) \int_\Omega \left( |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p - \mu \frac{|u_\epsilon|^p}{|x|^p} \right) dx}{((\alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{p}}) \|Q\|_\infty \int_\Omega \frac{|u_\epsilon|^{p^*(t)}}{|x-x_0|^t} dx)^{\frac{N-t}{N-t}}} \right)^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} \\ &\leq \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_\infty^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} \left[ \left( \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} + \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}} \right) \frac{(A_{\mu,t})^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} + O(\epsilon^{b(\mu)p+p-N})}{(A_{\mu,t})^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} + O(\epsilon^{b(\mu)p^*(t)-N+t})} \right]^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} \\ &\leq \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_\infty^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} \left[ \left( \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} + \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}} \right) (A_{\mu,t})^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} \right]^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} + O(\epsilon^{b(\mu)p+p-N}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_\infty^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} \left( S_{t,\alpha,\beta} \right)^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} + O(\epsilon^{b(\mu)p+p-N}). \tag{2.41}$$

On the other hand, (H2) implies that there exists  $r_1 < r$ , such that for  $x \in B_{r_1}(y_0)$ ,  $|Q(x) - Q(x_0)| \leq C|x - x_0|^\vartheta$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_\Omega (Q(x) - Q(x_0)) \frac{|u_\epsilon|^{p^*(t)}}{|x - x_0|^t} dx \right| &\leq C \int_\Omega |Q(x) - Q(x_0)| \frac{|u_\epsilon|^{p^*(t)}}{|x - x_0|^t} dx \\ &= C \int_{B_{2r}(x_0)} \frac{|x - x_0|^\vartheta |u_\epsilon|^{p^*(t)}}{|x - x_0|^t} dx = O(\epsilon^{\vartheta-t}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

From (2.39), (2.41) and (2.42), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} I(tu_1, tv_1) &= I(t_\epsilon u_1, t_\epsilon v_1) \\ &\leq \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_\infty^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} \left( S_{t,\alpha,\beta} \right)^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} + O(\epsilon^{b(\mu)p+p-N}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

Observe that there exists  $\Lambda_1^* > 0$ , such that for  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_1^*$  and

$$\frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_\infty^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} \left( S_{t,\alpha,\beta} \right)^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} - d\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} > 0.$$

Then for  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_1^*$ , there exists  $t_1 \in (0, 1)$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} J(tu_1, tv_1) &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \frac{1}{p} t^p \int_\Omega (|\nabla u_1|^p + |\nabla v_1|^p) dx \\ &< \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_\infty^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} \left( S_{t,\alpha,\beta} \right)^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} - d\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \geq t_1} J(tu_1, tv_1) \\ &\leq \sup_{t \geq t_1} \left[ I(tu_1, tv_1) - \frac{\lambda}{q} t^q \int_\Omega h(x) \frac{|u_1|^q}{|x|^s} dx - \frac{1}{p^*(s_1)} t^{p^*(s_1)} \int_\Omega \frac{|u_1|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx \right] \\ &\leq \sup_{t \geq t_1} \left[ I(tu_1, tv_1) - \frac{\lambda}{q} t_1^q \int_\Omega h(x) |u_1|^q dx - \frac{1}{p^*(s_1)} t_1^{p^*(s_1)} \int_\Omega \frac{|u_1|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx \right] \\ &\leq \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_\infty^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} \left( S_{t,\alpha,\beta} \right)^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} + O(\epsilon^{b(\mu)p+p-N}) \\ &\quad - C \int_\Omega \frac{|u_\epsilon|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx - \lambda C \int_\Omega h(x) \frac{|u_\epsilon|^q}{|x|^s} dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

From (2.37),

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|u_\epsilon|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx \geq O(\epsilon^{b(\mu)p^*(s_1)-N+s_1}). \tag{2.46}$$

Also, from (2.38), it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} h(x) \frac{|u_{\epsilon}|^q}{|x|^s} dx \geq \beta_0 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_{\epsilon}|^q}{|x|^s} dx \geq \begin{cases} C\epsilon^{N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q}, & q > \frac{N-s}{b(\mu)}, \\ C\epsilon^{N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q} |\ln \epsilon|, & q = \frac{N-s}{b(\mu)}, \\ C\epsilon^{q(b(\mu)+1-\frac{N}{p})q}, & q < \frac{N-s}{b(\mu)}. \end{cases} \quad (2.47)$$

Since  $q \geq \frac{N-s}{b(\mu)}$ , by (2.45)-(2.47) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq t_1} J(tu_1, tv_1) &\leq \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_{\infty}^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} (S_{t,\alpha,\beta})^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} + O(\epsilon^{b(\mu)p+p-N}) \\ &\quad + O(\epsilon^{b(\mu)p^*(s_1)-N+s_1}) - \lambda \begin{cases} C\epsilon^{N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q}, & q > \frac{N-s}{b(\mu)}, \\ C\epsilon^{N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q} |\ln \epsilon|, & q = \frac{N-s}{b(\mu)}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $b(\mu)p + p - N < b(\mu)p^*(s_1) - N + s_1$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq t_1} J(tu_1, tv_1) &\leq \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_{\infty}^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} (S_{t,\alpha,\beta})^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} \\ &\quad + O(\epsilon^{b(\mu)p^*(s_1)-N+s_1}) - \lambda \begin{cases} C\epsilon^{N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q}, & q > \frac{N-s}{b(\mu)}, \\ C\epsilon^{N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q} |\ln \epsilon|, & q = \frac{N-s}{b(\mu)}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.48)$$

Note that  $N > p^2$ ,  $b(\mu) \geq \frac{N-s}{q}$ . Thus

$$[N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q] \frac{p-q}{q} < b(\mu)p + p - N - [N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q].$$

Choose  $\lambda = \epsilon^{\tau}$ , where  $[N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q] \frac{p-q}{q} < \tau < b(\mu)p + p - N - [N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q]$ . Then

$$\lambda O(\epsilon^{N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q}) = O(\epsilon^{\tau+N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q}), \quad d\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} = O(\epsilon^{\frac{p\tau}{p-q}}).$$

Since  $\tau + N - s + (1 - \frac{N}{p})q < \frac{p\tau}{p-q}$ ,  $\tau + N - s + (1 - \frac{N}{p})q < b(\mu)p + p - N$ , taking  $\epsilon$  small enough we deduce that there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that

$$O(\epsilon^{b(\mu)p^*(s_1)-N+s_1}) - \lambda O(\epsilon^{N-s+(1-\frac{N}{p})q}) < -d\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}, \quad \forall \lambda : 0 < \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} < \delta. \quad (2.49)$$

Choose  $\Lambda_1 = \min\{\Lambda_1^*, \frac{p-q}{p}\delta\}$ . Then for all  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$  we have

$$\sup_{t \geq t_1} J(tu_1, tv_1) \leq \frac{p-t}{p(N-t)} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_{\infty}^{\frac{N-p}{p-t}}} (S_{t,\alpha,\beta})^{\frac{N-t}{p-t}} - d\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}.$$

Together with (2.44), we get the conclusion of Lemma 2.5. □

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} r &:= \|(u, v)\|, \\ f(r) &:= \frac{1}{p} r^p - \frac{1}{p^*(s_1)} A_{\mu, s_1}^{-\frac{p^*(s_1)}{p}} r^{p^*(s_1)} - \frac{1}{p^*(s_2)} A_{\mu, s_2}^{-\frac{p^*(s_2)}{p}} r^{p^*(s_2)} - \frac{1}{p^*(t)} S_{t,\alpha,\beta}^{-\frac{p^*(t)}{p}} \|Q\|_{\infty}, \\ h(r) &:= \frac{\lambda}{q} \left( \frac{N\omega_N R_0^{N-s}}{N-s} \right)^{\frac{p^*(s)-q}{p^*(s)}} A_{\mu, s}^{-\frac{q}{p}} r^q. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7),

$$J(u, v) \geq f(r) - h(r).$$

Note that  $p < p^*(s_1), p^*(s_2), p^*(t)$ , it is easy to see that there exists  $\varrho > 0$  such that  $f(r)$  achieves its maximum at  $\varrho$  and  $f(\varrho) > 0$ . Therefore, there exists  $\Lambda_{11} > 0$ , such that for  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_{11}$ ,

$$\inf_{\|(u,v)\|=\varrho} I(u, v) \geq f(\varrho) - h(\varrho) > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

On the other hand, set  $B_\varrho = \{(u, v); \|(u, v)\| \leq \varrho\}$ . For  $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ , we can choose  $d > 0$  small enough, such that  $(du, dv) \in B_\varrho$  and

$$I(du, dv) < 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Thus,

$$-\infty < \inf_{(u,v) \in B_\varrho} I(u, v) < 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Now we can apply the Ekeland variational principle in [22] and obtain  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset B_\varrho$ , such that

$$I(u_n, v_n) \leq \inf_{(u,v) \in B_\varrho} I(u, v) + \frac{1}{n}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$I(u_n, v_n) \leq I(u, v) + \frac{1}{n} \|(u_n - u, v_n - v)\|, \tag{3.5}$$

for all  $(u, v) \in B_R$ . Define

$$J_1(u, v) := J(u, v) + \frac{1}{n} \|(u_n - u, v_n - v)\|. \tag{3.6}$$

By (3.5), we have  $(u_n, v_n)$  is the minimizer of  $J_1(u, v)$  on  $B_\varrho$ . (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4) imply that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $k \in N$ , such that for  $n \geq k$ ,  $\{(u, v), \|(u, v)\| \leq \varrho - \epsilon\}$ . Therefore, for  $n \geq k$  and  $(\phi, \varphi) \in W$ , we can choose  $t > 0$  small enough, such that  $(u_n + t\phi, v_n + t\varphi) \in B_\varrho$  and

$$\frac{J_1(u_n + t\phi, v_n + t\varphi) - J_1(u_n, v_n)}{t} \geq 0.$$

That is,

$$\frac{J(u_n + t\phi, v_n + t\varphi) - J(u_n, v_n)}{t} + \frac{1}{n} \|(\phi, \varphi)\| \geq 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Passing to the limit in (3.7) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , one can obtain

$$\langle J'(u_n, v_n), (\phi, \varphi) \rangle \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|(\phi, \varphi)\|,$$

which implies

$$\|J'(u_n, v_n)\| \leq \frac{1}{n}. \tag{3.8}$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.8), there holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(u_n, v_n) = \inf_{(u,v) \in B_\varrho} J(u, v) < 0, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J'(u_n, v_n) = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

We note that there exists  $\Lambda_{11}^* \in (0, \Lambda_{11})$ , such that for  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_{11}^*$ , and  $c_0 > \inf_{(u,v) \in B_\varrho} I(u, v)$ , where  $c_0$  is defined in Lemma 2.3. Thus, (3.9) and (3.10) and Lemma Lemma 2.3 imply that for  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_{11}^*$ ,  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$  strongly in

$W$ . Therefore,  $(u, v)$  is a nontrivial solution of problem (1.1) satisfying  $J(u, v) = \inf_{(u,v) \in B_\varrho} J(u, v) < 0$ . Note that  $J(u, v) = J(|u|, |v|)$  and

$$(|u|, |v|) \in \{(u, v), \|(u, v)\| \leq \varrho - \epsilon\},$$

we have  $I(|u|, |v|) = \inf_{(u,v) \in B_\varrho} J(u, v)$  and  $J'(|u|, |v|) = 0$ . Then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial nonnegative solution. By the strongly maximum principle, we get the conclusion of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* In view of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we know that for  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_{11}$ , there exists  $\varrho > 0$ , such that  $\inf_{\|(u,v)\|=\varrho} I(u, v) \geq \vartheta^* > 0$ . Moreover, (3.9) and (3.10) hold. We note that there exists  $\Lambda_{12} \in (0, \Lambda_{11})$ , such that for  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_{12}$ ,  $c_* > \inf_{(u,v) \in B_\varrho} J(u, v)$ , where  $c_*$  is defined in Lemma 2.2. Thus (3.9) and (3.10) and Lemma 2.2 imply that  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$  strongly in  $W$ . Standard argument shows that for  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda_{12}$ , problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution satisfying  $J(u, v) < 0$  and  $J'(u, v) = 0$ .

Now we prove a second positive solution. It is easy to see  $J(0, 0) = 0$ . Set  $\Lambda^{**} = \min\{\Lambda_{12}, \Lambda_1\}$ , where  $\Lambda_1$  is given in Lemma 2.5. Then it follows from Lemma 2.5 there exists  $(u', v') \in W \setminus \{0\}$ , such that for  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda^{**}$ ,

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J(tu', tv') < c_*.$$

On the other hand we obtain that  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} J(lu', lv') = -\infty$ . Thus there exists  $l' > 0$  such that  $\|(l'u', l'v')\| > \varrho$  and  $J(l'u', l'v') < 0$ . Let

$$c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J(\gamma(t)),$$

where

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in C^0([0, 1], W) : \gamma(0) = (0, 0), \gamma(1) = (l'u', l'v')\}.$$

Thus, it follows from the mountain pass lemma in [2] that there exists a sequence  $(u_n, v_n) \in W$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(u_n, v_n) &= c, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J'(u_n, v_n) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $c \in (0, c_*)$ . From Lemma 2.2,  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (\bar{u}, \bar{v})$  strongly in  $W$ , which implies that  $J(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = c$  and  $J'(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = 0$ . Therefore,  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$  is a second nontrivial solution of (1.1). Set  $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$ ,  $v^+ = \max\{v, 0\}$ . Replacing

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^q}{|x|^s} dx, \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^q}{|x|^s} dx, \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx, \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{p^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx, \quad \int_{\Omega} Q(x) \frac{|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta}{|x - x_0|^t} dx$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+)^q}{|x|^s} dx, \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v^+)^q}{|x|^s} dx, \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+)^{p^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx, \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{(v^+)^{p^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx, \quad \int_{\Omega} Q(x) \frac{(u^+)^{\alpha} (v^+)^{\beta}}{|x - x_0|^t} dx \end{aligned}$$

and repeating the above process, we have a nonnegative solution  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  of problem (1.1) satisfying  $J(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) > 0$ . Then by the strongly maximum principle, we have a second positive solution.  $\square$



**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for his/her valuable suggestions and comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Alves, D. Filho, M. Souto; *On systems of elliptic equations involving subcritical or critical Sobolev exponents*, *Nonlinear Anal.* 42 (2000) 771-787.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, P. H. Rabinowitz; *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 14 (1973) 349-381.
- [3] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg; *First order interpolation inequality with weights*, *Compos. Math.* 53 (1984) 259-275.
- [4] D. Cao, P. Han; *Solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with critical exponents and Hardy potential*, *J. Differential Equations* 205 (2004) 521-537.
- [5] D. Cao, D. Kang; *Solutions of quasilinear elliptic problems involving a Sobolev exponent and multiple Hardy-type terms*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 333 (2007) 889-903.
- [6] M. Degiovanni, S. Lancelotti; *Linking solutions for  $p$ -Laplace equations with nonlinearity at critical growth*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 256 (2009) 3643-3659.
- [7] F. Demengel, E. Hebey; *On some nonlinear equations involving the  $p$ -Laplacian with critical Sobolev growth*, *Adv. Differential Equations* 3 (1998) 533-574.
- [8] R. Filippucci, P. Pucci, F. Robert; *On a  $p$ -Laplace equation with multiple critical nonlinearities*, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 91 (2009) 156-177.
- [9] J. Garcia Azorero, I. Peral; *Hardy inequalities and some critical elliptic and parabolic problems*, *J. Differential Equations* 144 (1998) 441-476.
- [10] N. Ghoussoub, F. Robert; *The effect of curvature on the best constant in the Hardy-Sobolev inequality*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 16 (2006) 897-908.
- [11] N. Ghoussoub, C. Yuan; *Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents*, *Amer. Math. Soc.* 352 (2000) 5703-5743.
- [12] N. Ghoussoub, C. Yuan; *Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 352 (2000) 5703-5743.
- [13] P. Han; *Multiple positive solutions for a critical growth problem with Hardy potential*, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* 49 (2006) 53-69.
- [14] P. Han; *Multiple solutions to singular critical elliptic equations*, *Israel J. Math.* 156 (2006) 359-380.
- [15] P. Han; *Many solutions for elliptic equations with critical exponents*, *Israel J. Math.* 164 (2008) 125-152.
- [16] P. Han; *Quasilinear elliptic problems with critical exponents and Hardy terms*, *Nonlinear Anal.* 61 (2005) 735-758.
- [17] P. Han, L. Zhaoxia; *Solutions for a singular critical growth problem with a weight*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 327 (2007) 1075-1085.
- [18] D. Kang; *On the quasilinear elliptic problem with a critical Hardy-Sobolev exponent and a Hardy term*, *Nonlinear Anal.* 69 (2008) 2432-2444.
- [19] D. Kang, S. Peng; *Solutions for semilinear elliptic problems with critical Sobolev-Hardy exponents and Hardy potential*, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 18 (2005) 1094-1100.
- [20] Y. Li, Q. Guo, P. Niu; *The existence of solutions for quasilinear elliptic problems with combined critical Sobolev-Hardy terms*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 388 (2012) 525-538.
- [21] P. L. Lions; *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. the limit case, part 1*, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 1 (1985) 145-201.
- [22] J. Mawhin, M. Willem; *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, *Appl. Math. Sci.* 74, Springer, New York (1989).
- [23] N. Nyamoradi; *Existence and multiplicity of solutions to a singular elliptic system with critical Sobolev-Hardy exponents and concave-convex nonlinearities*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 396 (2012) 280-293.
- [24] P. Rabinowitz; *Minimax methods in critical points theory with applications to differential equations*, in: *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, vol. 65, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [25] Y. Y. Shang; *Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for some Hardy-Sobolev critical elliptic equation with boundary singularities*, *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012) 2724-2734.

- [26] B. Xuan, J. Wang' *Existence of a nontrivial weak solution to quasilinear elliptic equations with singular weights and multiple critical exponents*, *Nonlinear Analysis* 72 (2010) 3649-3658.
- [27] J. Zhang, Z. Wei; *Existence of multiple positive solutions to singular elliptic systems involving critical exponents*, *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012) 559-573.

NEMAT NYAMORADI  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, RAZI UNIVERSITY, 67149 KERMANSHAH,  
IRAN

*E-mail address:* nyamoradi@razi.ac.ir, neamat80@yahoo.com

MOHAMAD JAVIDI  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, RAZI UNIVERSITY, 67149 KERMANSHAH,  
IRAN

*E-mail address:* mo\_javidi@yahoo.com