Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2012 (2012), No. 35, pp. 1-18. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.txstate.edu

# EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR THE P-LAPLACIAN INVOLVING A RADON MEASURE 

NEDRA BELHAJ RHOUMA, WAHID SAYEB

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AbSTRACT. In this article we study the existence of solutions to eigenvalue } \\
& \text { problem } \\
& \qquad-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)-\lambda|u|^{p-2} u \mu=f \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
& \qquad u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\mu$ is a nonnegative Radon measure.

## 1. Introduction

In this article study the existence of weak solutions of the quasilinear elliptic problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p} u-\lambda|u|^{p-2} u \mu=f(x), \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2,1<p<N$, and $\mu$ is a nonnegative bounded measure on $\Omega$.

Singular nonlinear problems were studied in [8, 9, 12, 17, 21, 28, 31, 32, 33]. Some recent papers [2, 3, 6, 10, 11, 16, 18] studied functional

$$
\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x-\frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x) u(x) d x
$$

where $f$ belongs to $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda$ is a real positive number sufficiently small. This functional is coercive, and one can expect that there exists a global minimum. Since the Nemitski operator $u(x) \mapsto \frac{u(x)}{|x|}$ from $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ is continuous but not compact, it is not clear if we can obtain directly the weak lower semicontinuity of the functional on $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ by using De Giorgi Theorem [13, [19], so that it seems that we cannot apply the direct methods of the calculus of variations. In [3], using a critical point technique based on the coercivity and the homogeneity of the functional, it is shown the existence of a global minimum (for $\lambda$ belonging to the set in which the functional is coercive) without using the direct methods of the calculus of variations. Reference [6] treats more general problems with an interesting nonvariational method, which does not require homogeneity, but only

[^0]coercivity of the quadratic form associated to the equation. In any case, both papers leave open the question of whether the functional is weakly lower semicontinuous. In [25], the author proved that the functionals
$$
\mathcal{H}_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x-\frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} d x
$$
and
$$
\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x-\frac{\lambda}{p}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{\star}} d x\right)^{p / p^{*}}
$$
are weakly lower semicontinuous in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, provided $\lambda$ belongs to the set of $\mathbb{R}$ in which the functionals are coercive. Note that both functionals have a nonlinear term which is continuous but not compact on $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. The author showed the following result.

Theorem 1.1. For all $\lambda \in[0,1 / C]$ and all $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega), 1<p<N$, the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^{p}}+f(x), \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{gathered}
$$

has a weak solution $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, where $C=\left(\frac{p}{N-p}\right)^{p}$ is the best constant satisfying

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x
$$

For $p=2$, Dupaigne [14] showed that the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta u-\frac{c}{|x|^{2}} u=f \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

has a unique solution for all $0<c<(p-2)^{2} / 4$ and $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Moreover Peral [27] showed that the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta_{p} u-\frac{\lambda}{|x|^{p}}|u|^{p-2} u=f \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

has at least one solution in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ for all $0<\lambda<\frac{1}{C}$ and $f \in W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$. For the proof of this result, the author used the convergence Theorem by Boccardo and Murat [7].
Remark 1.2. When $p>2$, the uniqueness is in general not true, see 15. However, the uniqueness in the case $1<p<2$ seems to be an open problem.

In this paper we assume that the measure $\mu$ is a nonnegative Radon measure satisfying the following assumptions.
(H0) For each Borel set $A \subset \Omega, \mu(A)=0$ implies $|A|=0$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure.
(H1) There exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d \mu \leq C \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x, \quad \forall u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

(H2) There exists $\left(\mu_{n}\right)_{n} \subset \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ such that for each integer $n$, the embedding $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, d x) \hookrightarrow L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)$ is compact, where $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ is the set of bounded Radon measures.
(H3) $\mu_{n} \nearrow \mu$ in $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$; i.e., $\int_{\Omega} \varphi d \mu_{n} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \varphi d \mu$, for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
Remark 1.3. When $d \mu(x)=\left(1 /|x|^{p}\right) d x$, (H1) is the classical Hardy inequality for $p>1$, where the constant $C=\left(\frac{p}{N-p}\right)^{p}$ is optimal.
Remark 1.4. Let $d \mu(x)=\frac{1}{(\delta(x))^{p}} d x$, where $\delta(x)$ is the distance function to the boundary, the following inequality holds true (see [20, 26]).

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p}}{(\delta(x))^{p}} d x \leq C_{n, p}(\Omega) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x, \quad \forall u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

Moreover, we will show that $d \mu(x)=\frac{1}{|x|^{p}} d x$ and $d \mu(x)=\frac{1}{(\delta(x))^{p}} d x$ are special cases of measures satisfying (H2) and (H3).
Theorem 1.5. The measure $d \mu(x)=\frac{1}{|x|^{p}} d x$ and $d \mu(x)=\frac{1}{(\delta(x))^{p}} d x$ satisfy conditions (H2) and (H3).

We define the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)-\lambda|u|^{p-2} u \mu_{n}=f \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$. We shall say that $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of 1.2 (resp. (1.1) if $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d \mu_{n}=\int_{\Omega} f \varphi d x \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d \mu=\int_{\Omega} f \varphi d x, \quad \forall \varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that assumption (H3) ensures that the integral $\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d \mu$ makes sense whenever $u$ and $\varphi$ are in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. We prove the following results.

Theorem 1.6. Let $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega), 1<p<N$ and $C$ satisfying (H1). Then for all $0<\lambda<\frac{1}{C}$, the problem 1.1) has at least a weak solution $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
Theorem 1.7. Consider the Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p} u-\lambda|u|^{p-2} u \mu=|u|^{\alpha-2} u \quad \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial(\Omega) \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

For every $0<\lambda<1 / C$ and $p<\alpha<p^{\star}=N p /(N-p)$, there exists a nontrivial solution $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

Note that problem (1.5) has been studied by Peral 27] when $d \mu(x)=\frac{d x}{|x|^{p}}$. Next, we prove an auxiliary result.
Theorem 1.8. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda|u|^{p-2} u \mu_{n} \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.6}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

has a sequence of eigenvalues $\left(\lambda_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k}=+\infty$. Moreover, the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(n)$ is simple, isolated and is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(n)=\inf \left\{\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}}^{p}: u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \text { and } \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d \mu_{n}=1\right\} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notation. for $p>1$, we denote by $p^{\prime}$ the real number satisfying $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. As usual $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is the Sobolev space equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W^{1, p}(\Omega)}=\left(\|u\|_{L^{p}}^{p}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

$W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is the Sobolev space equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}=\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

For a positive Radon measure, we set

$$
L^{p}(\Omega, \mu)=\left\{u: u \text { is measurable and } \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d \mu<\infty\right\}
$$

When $d \mu=d x$, we set $L^{p}=L^{p}(\Omega, d x)$.
Proof of Theorem 1.5. We start by proving that $d \mu=\frac{1}{|x|^{p}} d x$ and $d \mu=\frac{1}{(\delta(x))^{p}} d x$ satisfy conditions (H2) and (H3). For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\star}$, we define $w_{n}(x)=\min \left(n,|x|^{-p}\right)$ and $d \mu_{n}(x)=w_{n}(x) d x$.

Case $d \mu(x)=\frac{1}{|x|^{p}} d x$. Since $d \mu_{n}(x) \leq n d x,(H 2)$ is obvious. To prove (H3), let $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Using the fact that $p<N$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{|x|^{p}} d x \leq\|f\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x|^{p}} d x<+\infty
$$

On the other hand, since $\left(f w_{n}\right)_{n}$ converges to $f \cdot \frac{1}{|x|^{p}}$, by Dominated Convergence Theorem we obtain,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f w_{n} d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{|x|^{p}} d x
$$

Case: $d \mu(x)=\frac{1}{(\delta(x))^{p}} d x$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\star}$, we define $w_{n}(x)=\min \left(n,(\delta(x))^{-p}\right)$ and $d \mu_{n}(x)=w_{n}(x) d x$. As in the example from above, (H2) is obvious. Now using the fact that $\left|f w_{n}\right| \leq \frac{|f|}{(\delta(x))^{p}}$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{f}{(\delta(x))^{p}} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}|\nabla f|^{p} d x, \quad \forall f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

we obtain (H3).

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.8

The proof is rather straightforward adaptation of [20, Theorem 3.2] with $d \mu_{n}=$ $v(x) d x$, where the weight $v$ is in $L^{r}$ with $r=r(p, N)$ satisfying the following conditions

$$
r \begin{cases}>\frac{N}{p} & \text { if } 1<p<N \\ =1 & \text { if } p>N \\ >p & \text { if } p=N\end{cases}
$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed and define $G: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $F: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
G(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x, \quad F(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d \mu_{n}
$$

In the sequel we consider the functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) & \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
u \quad & \mapsto(G(u))^{2}-F(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.1. The functionals $G$ and $F$ are of class $C^{1}$ on $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Moreover

$$
\langle D G(u), v\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v d x
$$

and

$$
\langle D F(u), v\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-2} u v d \mu_{n}, \quad \forall v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

Proof. We only consider $F$, the proof for $G$ is similar. Let $u$ and $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{F(u+t \varphi)-F(u)}{t} & =\left.\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d t} F(u+t \varphi)\right|_{t=0} \\
& =\left.\frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}|u+t \varphi|^{p}\right|_{t=0} d \mu_{n} \\
& =\left.\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|u+t \varphi|^{p}\right|_{t=0} d \mu_{n} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d \mu_{n}=\langle D F(u), \varphi\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The differentiation under the integral is allowed since, if $|t|<1$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| u+\left.t \varphi\right|^{p-2}(u+t \varphi) \varphi \mid & \leq(|u|+|t||\varphi|)^{p-1}|\varphi| \\
& \leq(|u|+|\varphi|)^{p-1}|\varphi| \in L^{1}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we show that $D F(u)$ is continuous. Indeed, by Hölder inequality and using hypotheses (H1)-(H3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\langle D F(u), \varphi\rangle| & =\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u\right|^{p-2} u \varphi d \mu_{n} \mid \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-1}|\varphi| d \mu_{n} \leq\|u\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)}^{p-1}\|\varphi\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)} \\
& \leq C\|u\|^{p-1}\|\varphi\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.2. The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions associated to the problem 1.6 are entirely determined by the nontrivial critical values of $\phi$.

Proof. Let $u \not \equiv 0$ be a critical point of $\phi$ associated with a critical value $c$, which means that $\phi(u)=c$ and $\phi^{\prime}(u)=0$. Hence

$$
2 G^{\prime}(u) G(u)=F^{\prime}(u)
$$

With the condition $G^{\prime}(u) \neq 0$ we obtain $G(u)=\frac{1}{2 \lambda}=\lambda F(u)$ thus

$$
F(u)=\frac{1}{2 \lambda^{2}}
$$

so $c=-G^{2}(u)$. Therefore,

$$
\left\langle G^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{-c}}\left\langle F^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle \quad \text { for all } v \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

$$
\left\langle\phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{-c}}\left\langle F^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle \quad \text { for all } v \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

Thus, we deduce that $\lambda=1 /(2 \sqrt{-c})$ is a positive eigenvalue of 1.6$)$ and $u$ is its associated eigenfunction. Conversely, let $(u \not \equiv 0, \lambda)$ be a solution of (1.6). Then, for every $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{\star}, \beta u$ is also an eigenfunction associated to $\lambda$. In particular for $\beta=1 /(2 \lambda G(u))^{1 / p}$, the function $v=(2 \lambda G(u))^{-1 / p} u$ is an eigenfunction associated to $\lambda=1 /(2 \sqrt{-c})$, which proves that $v$ is a critical point associated to the critical value $c=-1 /\left(4 \lambda^{2}\right)$.

Next, we recall the Genus function defined as follows $\gamma: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$, where $\Sigma=\left\{A \subset W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega): A\right.$ is closed, $\left.A=-A\right\}$ by

$$
\gamma(A)=\min \left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: \exists \varphi \in C\left(A, \mathbb{R}^{i} \backslash\{0\}\right), \varphi(x)=-\varphi(-x)\right\}
$$

Let us now consider the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}=\inf _{K \in A_{k}} \sup _{v \in K} \phi(v) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $k \geq 1$, and

$$
A_{k}=\left\{K \subset W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega): K \text { is compact symmetric and } \gamma(K) \geq k\right\}
$$

Proposition 2.3. The values $c_{k}$ defined by 2.1) are the critical values of $\phi$. Moreover $c_{k}<0$ for $k \geq 1$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{k}=0$.

Proof. The proof is based on the fundamental theorem of multiplicity and the approximation of Sobolev imbedding by operators of finite rank. We first show that for all $k \geq 1, c_{k}$ is a critical value of $\phi$ and $c_{k}<0$. Since $\phi$ is even and is $C^{1}$ on $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, then the result follows from the fundamental theorem of multiplicity if $\phi$ satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $\phi$ is bounded below.
(2) $\phi$ verify the Palais-Smale condition (P-S).
(3) For all $k \geq 1$, there exists a compact symmetric subset $K$ such that $\gamma(K)=$ $k$ and $\sup _{v \in K} \phi(v)<0$.
Let us verify assertion (1). Indeed, condition (H1) implies that

$$
\phi(u) \geq \frac{1}{p^{2}}\|u\|^{p}\left(\|u\|^{p}-C p\right), \quad \forall u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

which proves that $\phi$ is bounded below and $\phi(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty$.
Assertion (2). We show that $\phi$ verify the Palais-Smale condition. Let $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k}$ be a sequence in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that $\left(\phi\left(u_{k}\right)\right)_{k}$ is bounded and $\left(\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right)_{k} \rightarrow 0$ in $\left(W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$. Since $\phi$ is coercive then $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Thus, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k}$ such that $\left(\nabla u_{k}\right)_{k}$ converges to $\nabla u$ weakly in $L^{p}$, and $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k}$ converges to strongly in $L^{p}$. By (H3), we obtain that $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k}$ converges strongly in $L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)$. Suppose that $\left(\left\|u_{k}\right\|\right)_{k}$ converges to some constant $\alpha \geq 0$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $\alpha=0$. Since $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $\left\|u_{k}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, then $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k} \rightarrow 0$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Consequently, the condition (P-S) is satisfied.

Case 2: $\alpha>0$. For $k \geq 1$ we have

$$
\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)=2 G\left(u_{k}\right) G^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)
$$

which yields

$$
G^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2 G\left(u_{k}\right)}\left(\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)+F^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right) ;
$$

i.e.,

$$
\frac{p}{2} \frac{\left(\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)+F^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right)}{\left\|\nabla u_{k}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p}}=G^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)
$$

Since $u \mapsto|u|^{p-2} u$ is strongly continuous in $L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right),\left\|u_{k}\right\| \rightarrow \alpha>0$ and $\left(\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right)_{k} \rightarrow 0$, then the expression

$$
V_{k}=\frac{p}{2} \frac{\left(\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)+F^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)\right)}{\left\|\nabla u_{k}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p}}
$$

converges strongly in $\left(W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$. However, $G^{\prime}$ is continuous, thus $u_{k}=\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{-1} V_{k}$ converge strongly in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, from where the (P-S) condition holds.

Next, we prove (3). Indeed, by (H0), there exists a family of balls $\left(B_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ in $\Omega$ such that $B_{i} \cap B_{j}=\emptyset$ if $i \neq j$ and $\mu_{n}\left(\Omega \cap B_{i}\right) \neq 0$. We define

$$
v_{i}= \begin{cases}u_{i}(x)\left(\int_{B_{i}}\left|u_{i}\right|^{p} d \mu_{n}\right)^{-1 / p} & \text { if } x \in B_{i} \\ 0 & \text { if } x \in \Omega \backslash B_{i}\end{cases}
$$

Let $X_{k}$ denote subspace of $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ spanned by $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$. Since the $v_{i}$ 's are linearly independent, we have that $\operatorname{dim} X_{k}=k$. For each $v \in X_{k}, v=\sum_{i=1}^{i=k} \alpha_{i} v_{i}$, we obtain $F(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{i=k}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|^{p}$.

Thus $u \mapsto(F(u))^{1 / p}$ defines a norm on $X_{k}$. Then there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
c F(u) \leq G(u) \leq \frac{1}{c} F(u) \quad \forall u \in X_{k}
$$

Let $K$ be defined as

$$
K=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \text { such that } \frac{c^{2}}{3} \leq F(u) \leq \frac{c^{2}}{2}\right\}
$$

It is clear that $K_{1}=K \cap X_{k} \neq \emptyset$ and $\sup _{u \in K_{1}} \phi(u)<-c / 12<0$. Since $X_{k}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, one can identify $K_{1}$ to a crown $K_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ such that $S^{k-1} \subset$ $K_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{k} \backslash\{0\}$ where $S^{k-1}$ is the unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$. Then $\gamma\left(K_{1}\right)=k$ and the result follows.

Finally, we shall prove that $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} c_{k}=0$. Consider $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ sequence of linear subspaces in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, such that

- $E_{i} \subset E_{i+1}$,
- $\overline{\cup E_{i}}=W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$,
- $\operatorname{dim}\left(E_{i}\right)=i$.

Define

$$
\widetilde{c_{k}}=\inf _{K \in A_{k}} \sup _{v \in K \cap E_{i-1}^{c}} \phi(v)
$$

where $E_{i}^{c}$ is the linear topological complementary of $E_{i}$. Obviously $\widetilde{c_{k}} \leq c_{k}<0$. So, it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \widetilde{c_{k}}=0
$$

Assume, by contradiction, that there exists a constant $\alpha<0$ such that $\widetilde{c_{k}}<$ $\alpha<0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $K_{k}$ such that $\widetilde{c_{k}}<$
$\sup _{u \in K_{k} \cap E_{i-1}^{c}} \phi(u)<\alpha$ and there exists $u_{k} \in K_{k} \cap E_{i-1}^{c}$ such that $\widetilde{c_{k}}<\phi\left(u_{k}\right)<\alpha$. In this way, $\phi$ is bounded, hence for some subsequence still denoted $\left(u_{k}\right)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{k} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \\
u_{k} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $\phi(u)<\alpha<0$, which is a contradiction with the fact that $u \equiv 0$ because $u_{k} \in E_{i-1}^{c}$.

Remark 2.4. It is clear that the sequence $\left(\lambda_{k}\right)_{k}$ defined by the formula $\lambda_{k}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{-c_{k}}} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$.

Remark 2.5. We consider $\lambda_{k}=\inf _{K \in \Gamma_{k}} \sup _{u \in K} G(u)$, where $\Gamma_{k}$ is define by $\left\{K \subset W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}: K\right.$ is compact, symmetric $\left.\gamma(K) \geq k,\|u\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)}=1\right\}$.

Particulary

$$
\lambda_{1}(n)=\inf \left\{\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}}^{p}: u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \text { and }\|u\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)}=1\right\}
$$

Moreover, using [23, Theorem 4.11], we obtain the following result.
Theorem 2.6. If $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is an eigenfunction of (1.3), then $u$ is continuous in $\Omega$.

In what follows we will use the so-called Picone's identity proved in [1. We recall it here for completeness.

Theorem 2.7 (Picone's identity). Let $u>0, v>0$ be two continuous functions in $\Omega$, differentiable a.e.. Denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
L(u, v)=|\nabla u|^{p}+(p-1) \frac{u^{p}}{v^{p}}|\nabla v|^{p}-p \frac{u^{p-1}}{v^{p-1}}|\nabla v|^{p-2}-\nabla u \nabla v, \\
R(u, v)=|\nabla u|^{p}-|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla\left(\frac{u^{p}}{v^{p-1}}\right) \nabla v .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then
(i) $L(u, v)=R(u, v)$,
(ii) $L(u, v) \geq 0$ a.e.,
(iii) $L(u, v)=0$ a.e. in $\Omega$ if and only if $u=k v$ for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

We will show that the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(n)$ of 1.6 defined by (1.7) is simple and isolated, and only eigenfunctions associated with $\lambda_{1}(n)$ do not change sign.

Proposition 2.8. The first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(n)$ is simple.
Proof. Let $u, v$ be two eigenfunctions associated to $\lambda_{1}(n)$ and fixed $\epsilon>0$. We can assume without restriction that $u$ and $v$ are positive in $\Omega$. From Picone's identity we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} L(u, v+\epsilon) d x & =\int_{\Omega} R(u, v+\epsilon) d x \\
& =\lambda_{1}(n) \int_{\Omega} u^{p} d \mu_{n}-\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla\left(\frac{u^{p}}{(v+\epsilon)^{p-1}}\right) \nabla v d x
\end{aligned}
$$

The functional $u^{p} /(v+\epsilon)^{p-1}$ belongs to $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and then it is admissible for the weak formulation of $-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda_{1}(n)|u|^{p-2} u \mu_{n}$. It follows that

$$
0 \leq \int_{\Omega} L(u, v+\epsilon) d x=\lambda_{1}(n) \int_{\Omega} u^{p}\left(1-\frac{v^{p-1}}{(v+\epsilon)^{p-1}}\right) d \mu_{n}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $L(u, v)=0$, a.e. in $\Omega$, and therefore using (iii), we obtain $u=k v$.

Proposition 2.9. Let $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be a nonnegative weak solution of 1.6), then either $u \equiv 0$ or $u(x)>0$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

The proof of the above proposition is a direct consequence of Harnack's inequality, see [35, 36].
Theorem 2.10. Let $(u, \lambda) \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$be an eigensolution of (1.1). Then $u \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)$.

The proof of the above theorem is rather a straightforward adaptation of [22, Theorem 4.1] with $d \mu_{n}=d x$.

Theorem 2.11. Let $u$ be an eigenfunction of (1.6) associated to an eigenvalue $\lambda \neq \lambda_{1}(n)$ and $1 \leq q<p$. We define

$$
I=\min \left\{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d \mu_{n}, u \in L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right), \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d \mu_{n}=1\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left(\mu_{n}\left(\Omega^{-}\right), \mu_{n}\left(\Omega^{+}\right)\right) \geq\left((C \lambda)^{-1 / p} I\right)^{\frac{p q}{p-q}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega^{+}=\{x \in \Omega, u(x)>0\}$ and $\Omega^{-}=\{x \in \Omega, u(x)<0\}$.
Proof. Let $u$ be an eigenfunction associated to $\lambda$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v d x=\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-2} u v d \mu_{n}, \quad \forall v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\lambda \neq \lambda_{1}(n), u$ changes sign i.e., $u^{+} \neq 0$ and $u^{-} \neq 0$. Since $u^{+} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{p} d x=\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d \mu_{n}
$$

For $1 \leq q<p$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{q} d \mu_{n} & \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d \mu_{n}\right)^{q / p}\left(\mu_{n}\left(\Omega^{+}\right)\right)^{1-\frac{q}{p}} \\
& \leq C^{q / p} \mu_{n}\left(\Omega^{+}\right)^{1-\frac{q}{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{q / p} \\
& \leq(\lambda C)^{q / p} \mu_{n}\left(\Omega^{+}\right)^{1-\frac{q}{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{+}\right|^{p} d \mu_{n}\right)^{q / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)}^{q} \leq(\lambda C)^{q / p} \mu_{n}\left(\Omega^{+}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p p}}\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)}
$$

Finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}\left(\Omega^{+}\right) \geq\left((C \lambda)^{-1 / p} I\right)^{\frac{p q}{p-q}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we establish the isolation of the first eigenvalue.

Theorem 2.12. Let $\lambda_{1}(n)$ be the first eigenvalue of the problem 1.6). Then $\lambda_{1}(n)$ is isolated.

Proof. Our approach is related to the method of 4, 5]. Let $\lambda>0$ be an eigenvalue of 1.6 and let $v$ be the corresponding eigenfunction. By 1.7), it follows that $\lambda_{1}(n)<\lambda$ and so $\lambda_{1}(n)$ is left-isolated. To prove that $\lambda_{1}(n)$ is right-isolated, we argue by contradiction. We suppose that there exists a sequence of eigenvalues $\left(\lambda_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that $\lambda_{k} \neq \lambda_{1}(n)$ and $\lambda_{k} \rightarrow \lambda_{1}(n)$. Let $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the corresponding sequence of eigenfunctions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p} d x=1, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists a subsequence, denoted again by $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k}$ and a function $u \in W_{0}^{1 ; p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{k} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { on } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \\
u_{k} \rightarrow u \quad \text { on } L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Our next aim is to show that $u$ is the eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{1}(n)$. First, since $-\Delta_{p}$ is a continuous and one-to-one operator from $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ into $W_{0}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ and so is its inverse operator $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{-1}$ defined from $W_{0}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ into $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ (see [27]). Thus,

$$
u_{k}=\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{-1}\left(\lambda_{k}\left|u_{k}\right|^{p-2} u \mu_{n}\right)
$$

By Vitali's Theorem, we have

$$
\lambda_{k} u_{k}^{p-2} u_{k} \rightarrow \lambda|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right) \hookrightarrow W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)
$$

The continuity property of $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{-1}$ implies that

$$
u_{k} \rightarrow u \quad \text { strongly in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

Hence, $u$ is an eigenfunction of (1.6), corresponding to $\lambda_{1}(n)$. Using Vitali's Theorem, again, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k} \rightarrow|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{1} . \\
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{k}\right|^{p-2} u_{k} v d \mu_{n} \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{k}\right|^{p} d \mu_{n}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega}|v|^{p} d \mu_{n}\right)^{1 / p} \leq\|v\| .
\end{gathered}
$$

It should appear a constant $\eta_{\epsilon}>0$ for every $\epsilon>0$ and $\Omega_{\epsilon} \subset \Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}\left(\Omega \backslash \Omega_{\epsilon}\right) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad u(x) \geq 2 \eta_{\epsilon} \quad \text { for every } x \in \Omega_{\epsilon} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{k}^{+}=\left\{x \in \Omega, u_{k}(x)>0\right\},  \tag{2.7}\\
& \Omega_{k}^{-}=\left\{x \in \Omega, u_{k}(x)<0\right\} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, by Egorov's Theorem, there exists $\Omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \subset \Omega$ such that

$$
\mu_{n}\left(\Omega \backslash \Omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

and $u_{k}$ converges uniformly to $u$. On the other hand, there exists $N_{\epsilon}>0$ such that for every $k>N_{\epsilon}$, we have

$$
\Omega_{\epsilon} \cap \Omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \subset \Omega_{k}^{+}
$$

and then

$$
\mu_{n}\left(\Omega_{k}^{+}\right) \geq \mu_{n}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon} \cap \Omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right) \geq \mu_{n}(\Omega)-\left(\mu_{n}\left(\Omega \backslash \Omega_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)+\mu_{n}\left(\Omega \backslash \Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \geq \mu_{n}(\Omega)-\epsilon
$$

Hence, it follows that $\mu_{n}\left(\Omega_{k}^{+}\right)$and $\mu_{n}\left(\Omega_{k}^{-}\right) \geq K$, where $K=\left((C \lambda)^{-1 / p} I\right)^{\frac{p q}{p-q}}$. If we choose $\epsilon=\frac{K}{2}$, we obtain

$$
\mu_{n}(\Omega)=\mu_{n}\left(\Omega_{k}^{-}\right)+\mu_{n}\left(\Omega_{k}^{+}\right) \geq \mu_{n}(\Omega)-\epsilon+K=\mu_{n}(\Omega)+\epsilon>\mu_{n}(\Omega)
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $\lambda_{1}(n)$ is isolated.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.6

Lemma 3.1. Let $\lambda_{1}(n)$ be the first eigenvalue associated to (1.6). Then, $\lambda_{1}(n) \geq \frac{1}{C}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1}(n)=\frac{1}{C}$.

Proof. Notice that

$$
\lambda_{1}(n)=\inf _{\Omega} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d \mu_{n}} \geq \inf _{\Omega} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d \mu} \geq \frac{1}{C}
$$

Since $\left(\lambda_{1}(n)\right)_{n}$ is a non increasing sequence, we have to prove that the limit can not be larger than $\frac{1}{C}$. Assume by contradiction that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1}(n)=\frac{1}{C}+\delta$, for some $\delta>0$. Then we can choose $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi|^{p} d x}{\int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{p} d \mu}<\frac{1}{C}+\frac{\delta}{2} .
$$

Which gives us

$$
\lambda_{1}(n) \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \phi|^{p} d x}{\int_{\Omega}|\phi|^{p} d \mu_{n}} \leq \frac{1}{C}+\frac{\delta}{2}
$$

for $n$ large enough.
In the sequel for $\lambda>0$ let us denote by $\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}}$ the operator defined on $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}} u=-\Delta_{p} u-\lambda|u|^{p-2} u \mu_{n}
$$

The first result in this section is an easy consequence of the Hardy's inequality.
Lemma 3.2. If $0<\lambda<\frac{1}{C}$, then $\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}}$ is a positive operator.
Proof. From assumption (H1) we have

$$
\left\langle\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}} u, u\right\rangle \geq(1-\lambda C)\|u\|^{p} \geq 0
$$

whenever $0<\lambda<1 / C$.
Next we recall a formula from [34].
Lemma 3.3. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\langle.,$.$\rangle be the standard scalar product in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then

$$
\left.\left.\langle | a\right|^{p-2} a-|b|^{p-2} b,(a-b)\right\rangle \geq \begin{cases}C_{p}|a-b|^{p} & \text { if } p \geq 2 \\ C_{p} \frac{|a-b|^{2}}{(|a|+|b|)^{2-p}} & \text { if } 1<p<2 .\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 3.4. The operator $\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}}: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is uniformly continuous on bounded sets.

Proof. Assume $p>2$ and consider $K \subset W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be a bounded set; i.e., there exists $M>0$ such that

$$
\|u\| \leq M, \quad \forall u \in K
$$

Then, using Lemma 3.3 and Hölder inequality, for $u, v \in K$ and $\phi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\langle\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}} u-\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}} v, \phi\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}+|\nabla v|^{p-2}\right)|\nabla u-\nabla v||\nabla \phi| d x+\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left(|u|^{p-2}+|v|^{p-2}\right)|u-v \| \phi| d \mu_{n} \\
& \leq 2 c_{p} M^{p-2}\|\nabla u-\nabla v\|_{L^{p}}+2 \lambda c_{p} M^{p-2}\|u-v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)} \\
& \leq 2 c_{p} M^{p-2}(\min \{1, C \lambda\})\|u-v\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The same process is applied for $1<p<2$.
Lemma 3.5. The operator $\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}}: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is pseudo-monotone.
Proof. Let $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1} \subset W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}<\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}} u_{k}, u_{k}-u>\leq 0
$$

We want to prove that

$$
\liminf \left\langle\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}} u_{k}, u_{k}-v\right\rangle \geq\left\langle\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}} u, u-v\right\rangle \quad \text { for all } v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

Since $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla\left(u_{k}-u\right) d x \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We estimate

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{k}\right|^{p-2} u_{k}\left(u_{k}-u\right) d \mu_{n} \leq\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)}^{p-1}\left\|u_{k}-u\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)} .
$$

Through $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)$ and $u_{k}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)$ then

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{k}\right|^{p-2} u_{k}\left(u_{k}-u\right) d \mu_{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow+\infty
$$

So

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k} \nabla\left(u_{k}-u\right) d x+\left(-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{k}\right|^{p-2} u_{k}\left(u_{k}-u\right) d \mu_{n}\right)\right) \leq 0
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k} \nabla\left(u_{k}-u\right) d x \leq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.1, (3.2) and Lemma 3.3 we obtain that $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k} \rightarrow u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. The proof is complete.

Proposition 3.6. For every $0<\lambda<1 / C$, the operator $\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}}$ is coercive.
Proof. Using (H1)-(3), we have

$$
\left\langle\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}} u, u\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d \mu_{n} \geq(1-\lambda C) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x
$$

which implies that $\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}}$ is coercive, whenever $0<\lambda<\frac{1}{C}$.

By Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.5 the operator $\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}}: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is coercive, bounded from below and pseudo-monotone. Hence, by [23, Theorem 4.11], it is onto. Thus we have the following result.

Theorem 3.7. For every $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}$, there exists $u_{n} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ which is a solution of 1.2 .
Lemma 3.8. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $u_{n}$ be a solution of the Dirichlet problem (1.2). Then the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Since

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} d \mu_{n}=\int_{\Omega} f u_{n} d x
$$

and using (H1), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-\lambda C)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p} \leq\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq\left(C_{1} \frac{\|f\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}}{(1-\lambda C)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is the positive constant of the continuous of Sobolev embedding satisfied.

Lemma 3.9. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be the sequence as defined in Theorem 3.7. Then $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ converges to a weak solution $u$ of 1.1.

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, since $u_{n}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), \\
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega, \mu),  \tag{3.3}\\
u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } L^{p} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi d x \rightarrow|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi d x, \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

Next, we show that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} \varphi d \mu_{n} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d \mu, \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} \varphi d \mu_{n}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d \mu \mid \\
& =\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u\right|^{p-2} u \varphi d\left(\mu-\mu_{n}\right)-\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}-|u|^{p-2} u\right) \varphi d \mu_{n} \mid \\
& \leq\|u\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu-\mu_{n}\right)}^{p-1}\left|\varphi \varphi \|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu-\mu_{n}\right)}+\left|\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}-|u|^{p-2} u \mid\right) \varphi\right| d \mu_{n}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

So, by (H3) the first integral converges to 0 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$; respectively by the weak convergence in (3.3), the second integral converges to 0 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $u$ is a solution of our problem in the sense of distributions. Moreover by density argument and taking into account that $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we conclude that $u$ is solution in the sense of $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.7

Some recent papers [17, 21, 28, 30, 32, 29] considered a class of functionals with the minimax method. We will use again the variational approach to study the case of unbounded functionals, more precisely the existence of solution via the mountain-pass theorem. For instance the following result holds. For $0<\lambda<\frac{1}{C}$, let

$$
J(u)=\frac{1}{p}\left\langle\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}} u, u\right\rangle-\frac{1}{\alpha}\|u\|_{L^{\alpha}}^{\alpha}, \quad u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

To obtain a nontrivial critical point of the functional $J$, we apply the following version of the mountain-pass theorem from [24] with the usual Palais-Smale compactness condition. So the critical points of the functional $J$ are a weak solutions for 1.5 .
Theorem 4.1. Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $J \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying PalaisSmale condition. Suppose that $J(0)=0$ and for some $\sigma, \rho>0$ and $e \in E$, with $\|e\|>\rho$, one has $\sigma \leq \inf _{\|u\|=\rho} J(u)$ and $J(e)<0$. Then $J$ has a critical value $c \geq \sigma$ characterized by

$$
c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} J(\gamma(t))
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\{\gamma \in C([0,1], E): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=e\}
$$

The proof of the above theorem follows from the following lemma.
Lemma 4.2. The functional J satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.
Proof. Let $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be a Palais-Smale sequence. Set

$$
c=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} J\left(u_{k}\right), \quad J^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)=\epsilon_{k}
$$

such that $\left(\epsilon_{k}\right)_{k} \rightarrow 0$. Thus

$$
\left|J^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right) w\right| \leq \epsilon_{k}\|w\|, \text { for all } w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) .
$$

For $k$ large enough, we will have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+1 & \geq J\left(u_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}\right\rangle, \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1-\lambda C)\left\|u_{k}\right\|^{p}-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left\|u_{k}\right\| \epsilon_{k}, \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(1-\lambda C)\left\|u_{k}\right\|^{p}-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left\|u_{k}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. By compactness argument we can assume that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{k} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), \\
u_{k} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } L^{\alpha}(\Omega), \quad \text { for } p<\alpha<p^{\star}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using (H2), we obtain that $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k}$ converges to $u$ in $L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)$. It follows that $\left|u_{k}\right|^{p-2} u_{k} \rightarrow|u|^{p-2} u$ in $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega, \mu_{n}\right)$, hence in $W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$. Let us denote by $V_{k}=$ $\left|u_{k}\right|^{p-2} u_{k} \mu_{n}-\left|u_{k}\right|^{\alpha-2} u_{k}$ and $V=|u|^{p-2} u \mu_{n}-|u|^{\alpha-2} u$. Since $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{-1}$ is continuous, we conclude that

$$
u_{k}=\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{-1}\left(V_{k}\right) \text { converges to }\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{-1}(V)=u
$$

Therefore, $\left|u_{k}\right|^{p-2} u_{k}$ converges to $|u|^{p-2} u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 4.3. The functional $J$ satisfies the conditions for the mountain-pass theorem.

Proof. Let $\delta_{1}=\alpha \delta$. First, we show that there exist positive constants $\rho$ and $\alpha_{1}$ such that

$$
J(u) \geq \alpha, \quad \text { if }\|u\|=\rho
$$

and there exists $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that $J(t \varphi) \rightarrow-\infty$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, for $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
J(u)=\frac{1}{p}\left\langle\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}} u, u\right\rangle-\frac{1}{\alpha}\|u\|_{L^{\alpha}}^{\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{p}(1-\lambda C)\|u\|^{p}-\frac{\delta_{1}}{\alpha}\|u\|^{\alpha} .
$$

Since $\lambda<1 / C$ and $p<\alpha$, we can set

$$
\rho=\left(\frac{(1-\lambda C) \alpha S^{\alpha / p}}{|\Omega|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{p^{\star}}}}\right)^{1 / \alpha-p)}, \quad \alpha_{1}=\left(\frac{(1-\lambda C)^{\alpha}}{\left(\delta_{1}\right)^{p}}\right)^{1 /(\alpha-p)}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)
$$

such that $J(u) \geq \alpha_{1}$ if $\|u\|=\rho$.
Let us prove the second assertion. Let $t>0$ large enough, and choose $\varphi \in$ $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$ satisfying

$$
J(t \varphi)=\frac{1}{p} t^{p}\left\langle\mathfrak{L}_{\lambda}^{\mu_{n}} \varphi, \varphi\right\rangle-\frac{1}{\alpha} t^{\alpha}\|\varphi\|_{L^{\alpha}}^{\alpha} \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Thus, we have $J(t \varphi)<0$, for sufficiently large $t$.
So, we can conclude that $J$ has a critical value $c \geq \alpha_{1}$, which can be characterized by

$$
c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} J(\gamma(t))
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right), \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=e\right\}
$$

Next, we shall prove the positivity of the solution. Multiply the equation $-\Delta_{p} u-$ $\lambda|u|^{p-2} u \mu_{n}=|u|^{\alpha-2} u$ by $u^{-}$and integrate over $\Omega$, we find $\left\|u^{-}\right\|=0$ and so $u$ is a positive solution of $\left(P_{\alpha, \lambda}^{\mu_{n}}\right)$ the proof is complete.

For the proof of Theorem 1.7, we need the following results.
Lemma 4.4. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence of weak solutions of (1.5) with $\mu_{n}$ instead of $\mu$. Then, $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
Proof. As $u_{n}$ is a weak solution of 1.5 with $\mu_{n}$ instead of $\mu$, then $u_{n}$ is a critical point of the functional $J$. Since $J$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, then $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
Lemma 4.5. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence of weak solutions of the problem 1.5) with $\mu_{n}$ instead of $\mu$. Then $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ converges to a weak solutions $u$ of 1.5 .

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, since $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), \\
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega, \mu),  \tag{4.1}\\
u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } L^{\alpha} \quad p<\alpha<p^{\star} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi d x \rightarrow|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi d x, \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

By the compactness of Sobolev embedding, we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha-2} u_{n} \varphi d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha-2} u \varphi d x, \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

Next, we show that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} \varphi d \mu_{n} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d \mu, \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} \varphi d \mu_{n}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d \mu \mid \\
& =\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u\right|^{p-2} u \varphi d\left(\mu-\mu_{n}\right)-\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}-|u|^{p-2} u\right) \varphi d \mu_{n} \mid \\
& \leq\|u\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu-\mu_{n}\right)}^{p-1}\|\varphi\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mu-\mu_{n}\right)}+\left|\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}-|u|^{p-2} u \mid\right) \varphi\right| d \mu_{n} \mid .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, using (H3) the first integral converges to 0 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$ respectively by the weak convergence in (4.1), the second integral converges to 0 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $u$ is a solution of our problem in the sense of distribution. Moreover by density argument and taking into account that $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we conclude that $u$ is solution in the sense of $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
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