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#### Abstract

In this article, we present results on the existence and the topological structure of the solution set for initial-value problems for the first-order impulsive differential equation $$
\begin{gathered} \left(\phi\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f(t, y(t)), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0, b] \\ y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \quad k=1, \ldots, m \\ y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=\bar{I}_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \quad k=1, \ldots, m \\ y(0)=A, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=B \end{gathered}
$$ where $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{m}<t_{m+1}=b, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The functions $I_{k}, \bar{I}_{k}$ characterize the jump in the solutions at impulse points $t_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m$. For the final result of the paper, the hypotheses are modified so that the nonlinearity $f$ depends on $y^{\prime}$, but the impulsive conditions and initial conditions remain the same.


## 1. Introduction

The dynamics of many processes in physics, population dynamics, biology and medicine may be subject to abrupt changes such as shocks or perturbations (see for instance [3, 9] and the references therein). These perturbations may be seen as impulses. For instance, in the periodic treatment of some diseases, impulses correspond to the administration of a drug treatment or a missing product. In environmental sciences, impulses correspond to seasonal changes of the water level of artificial reservoirs. Their models may be described by impulsive differential equations. The mathematical study of boundary value problems for differential equations with impulses was first considered in 1960 by Milman and Myshkis [11] and then followed by a period of active research which culminated in 1968 with the monograph by Halanay and Wexler [8].

Various mathematical results (existence, asymptotic behavior, and so on) have been obtained so far (see [1, 2, 4, 7, 10, 13, 12] and the references therein).

In this paper we shall establish an existence theory for initial-value problems with impulse effects. We will treat two cases. For the first case, the problem has

[^0]the form
\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=f(t, y(t)), t \in J:=[0, b], \quad t \neq t_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m  \tag{1.1}\\
y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \quad k=1, \ldots, m  \tag{1.2}\\
y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=\bar{I}_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \quad k=1, \ldots, m  \tag{1.3}\\
y(0)=A, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=B \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

where $f:[0, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given function, $I_{k}, \bar{I}_{k} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a suitable monotone homeomorphism, and $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$. For this setting, the proofs of the two results presented, while involving some cases, are quite straight for word. The second case is when the second member $f$ may depend on $y^{\prime}$, and the problem has the the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, y(t), y^{\prime}(t)\right), t \in J:=[0, b], \quad t \neq t_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m  \tag{1.5}\\
y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \quad k=1, \ldots, m  \tag{1.6}\\
y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=\bar{I}_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right), \quad k=1, \ldots, m  \tag{1.7}\\
y(0)=A, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=B \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f:[0, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given function, $I_{k}, \bar{I}_{k}, \phi$ and $A, B$ are as in problem (1.1)-1.4. Because of the dependency on $y^{\prime}$, the proof of the result presented is somewhat more involved. Of course, the second case also covers the first case when $f$ is independent of $y^{\prime}$.

The goals of this article are to provide some existence results and to establish the compactness of solution sets of the above problems.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall from the literature some notation, definitions, and auxiliary results which will be used throughout this paper. Let $J=[0, b]$ be an interval of $\mathbb{R}$. $C([0, b], \mathbb{R})$ is the Banach space of all continuous functions from $[0, b]$ into $\mathbb{R}$ with the norm

$$
\|y\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in[0, b]}|y(t)| .
$$

$L^{1}([0, b], \mathbb{R})$ denotes the Banach space of Lebesgue integrable functions, with the norm

$$
\|y\|_{L^{1}}=\int_{0}^{b}|y(s)| d s
$$

Definition 2.1. A map $f:[p, q] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be $L^{1}$-Carathéodory if
(i) $t \rightarrow f(t, y)$ is measurable for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$,
(ii) $y \rightarrow f(t, y)$ is continuous for almost each $t \in[p, q]$,
(iii) for each $r>0$, there exists $h_{r} \in L^{1}\left([p, q], \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that $|f(t, y)| \leq h_{r}(t)$ for almost each $t \in[p, q]$ and for all $|y| \leq r$.

Lemma 2.2 (Grönwall-Bihari [5). Let $I=[p, q]$ and let $u, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be positive continuous functions. Assume there exist $c>0$ and a continuous nondecreasing function $h:[0, \infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
u(t) \leq c+g(s) h(u(s)) d s, \quad \forall t \in I
$$

Then

$$
u(t) \leq H^{-1}\left(\int_{p}^{t} g(s) d s\right), \quad \forall t \in I
$$

provided

$$
\int_{c}^{+\infty} \frac{d y}{h(y)}>\int_{p}^{q} g(s) d s
$$

where $H^{-1}$ refers to inverse of the function $H(u)=\int_{c}^{u} \frac{d y}{h(y)}$ for $u \geq c$.

## 3. Main Results

Let $J_{0}=\left[0, t_{1}\right], J_{k}=\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right], k=1, \ldots, m$, and let $y_{k}$ be the restriction of a function $y$ to $J_{k}$. To define solutions for (1.1) - 1.4), consider the space

$$
\begin{aligned}
P C= & \left\{y:[0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, y_{k} \in C\left(J_{k}, \mathbb{R}\right), k=0, \ldots, m,\right. \text { such that } \\
& \left.y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) \text {and } y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \text {exist and satisfy } y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=y\left(t_{k}\right) \text { for } k=1, \ldots, m\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Endowed with the norm

$$
\|y\|_{P C}=\max \left\{\left\|y_{k}\right\|_{\infty}, k=0, \ldots, m\right\}, \quad\left\|y_{k}\right\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in J_{k}}|y(t)|
$$

$P C$ is a Banach space.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P C^{1}= & \left\{y \in P C: y_{k}^{\prime} \in C\left(J_{k}, \mathbb{R}\right), k=0, \ldots, m,\right. \text { such that } \\
& \left.y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) \text {and } y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \text {exist and satisfy } y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right) \text { for } k=1, \ldots, m\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|y\|_{P C^{1}}=\max \left(\|y\|_{P C},\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{P C}\right), \quad \text { or } \quad\|y\|_{P C^{1}}=\|y\|_{P C}+\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{P C}
$$

Theorem 3.1 (Nonlinear Alternative [6]). Let $X$ be a Banach space with $C \subset X$ closed and convex. Assume $U$ is a relatively open subset of $C$ with $0 \in U$ and $G: \bar{U} \rightarrow C$ is a compact map. Then either,
(i) $G$ has a fixed point in $\bar{U}$; or
(ii) there is a point $u \in \partial U$ and $\lambda \in(0,1)$ with $u=\lambda G(u)$.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that:
(H1) $f:[0, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an Carathéodory function and $I_{k}, \bar{I}_{k} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.
(H2) There exist $p \in L^{1}\left(J, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that $|f(t, u)| \leq p(t)$ for a.e. $t \in J$
are satisfied. Then (1.1)-1.4 has at least one solution and the solutions set

$$
S=\{y \in P C([0, b], \mathbb{R}): y \text { is a solution of (1.1)-1.4 }\}
$$

is compact.
Proof. The proof involves several steps.
Step 1: Consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\phi\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} & =f(t, y) \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] \\
y(0) & =A, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=B \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and the map $N_{1}: C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$,

$$
y \mapsto\left(N_{1} y\right)(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right] d s
$$

Clearly the fixed points of $N_{1}$ are solutions of the problem (3.1).

To apply the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type, we first show that $N_{1}$ is completely continuous. The proof will be given in several steps.

Claim 1: $N$ sends bounded sets into bounded sets in $C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$. Let

$$
y \in D=\left\{y \in C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right):\|y\|_{\infty} \leq q\right\}
$$

Then for each $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, we have

$$
\left|\left(N_{1} y\right)(t)\right| \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y)\right]\right| d \tau
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right| & \leq|\phi(B)|+\int_{0}^{s}|f(\tau, y)| d \tau \\
& \leq|\phi(B)|+\int_{0}^{s}|p(\tau)| d \tau \\
& \leq|\phi(B)|+\left(\|p\|_{L^{1}}\right) t_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right] \in \bar{B}\left(0, l_{1}\right)
$$

where $l_{1}=|\phi(B)|+\left(\|p\|_{L^{1}}\right) t_{1}$. Since $\phi^{-1}$ is continuous,

$$
\sup _{x \in \bar{B}\left(0, l_{1}\right)}\left|\phi^{-1}(x)\right|<\infty .
$$

Thus

$$
\left\|N_{1}(y)\right\|_{\infty} \leq|A|+t_{1} \sup _{x \in \bar{B}\left(0, l_{1}\right)}\left|\phi^{-1}(x)\right|:=r
$$

Claim 2: $N_{1}$ maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets. Let $l_{1}, l_{2} \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, $l_{1}<l_{2}$ and $D$ be a bounded set of $C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$ as in Claim 1. Let $y \in D$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(N_{1} y\right)^{\prime}(t)\right| & =\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, y) d s\right]-\phi^{-1}(\phi(B))\right| \\
& \leq\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, y) d s\right]\right|+|B| \\
& \leq \sup _{x \in \bar{B}\left(0, l_{1}\right)}\left|\phi^{-1}(x)\right|+|B|:=r^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$
\left|\left(N_{1} y\right)\left(l_{2}\right)-\left(N_{1} y\right)\left(l_{1}\right)\right|=\left|\left(N_{1} y\right)^{\prime}(\xi)\left(l_{2}-l_{1}\right)\right| \leq r^{\prime}\left|l_{2}-l_{1}\right|
$$

As $l_{2} \rightarrow l_{1}$ the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero.
Claim 3: $N_{1}$ is continuous. Let $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence such that $y_{n} \rightarrow y$ in $C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$. Then there is an integer $q$ such that $\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq q$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|y\|_{\infty} \leq q, y_{n} \in D$ and $y \in D$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(N_{1} y_{n}\right)(t)-\left(N_{1} y\right)(t)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f\left(\tau, y_{n}\right) d \tau\right]-\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right]\right| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
\left|\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f\left(\tau, y_{n}\right) d \tau-\phi(B)-\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

and since $\phi^{-1}$ is continuous. Then by the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|N_{1}\left(y_{n}\right)-N_{1}(y)\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f\left(\tau, y_{n}\right) d \tau\right]-\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right]\right| d s \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $N_{1}$ is continuous.
Claim 4: A priori estimate. Now we show that there exists a constant $M_{0}$ such that $\|y\|_{\infty} \leq M_{0}$ where $y$ is a solution if the problem (3.1). Let $y$ a solution of (3.1):

$$
y(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y(\tau) d \tau)\right] d s
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|y(t)| & \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y(\tau)) d \tau\right]\right| d s \\
& \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) d \tau\right]\right| d s \\
& \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\|p\|_{1} t_{1}\right]\right| d s \\
& \leq|A|+\sup _{x \in \bar{B}\left(0, l_{1}\right)} \int_{0}^{t} d s \\
& \leq|A|+t_{1} \sup _{x \in \bar{B}\left(0, l_{1}\right)}=: M_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\|y\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]}|y(t)| \leq M_{0}$. Set

$$
U=\left\{y \in C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right):\|y\|_{\infty}<M_{0}+1\right\}
$$

As a consequence of Claims 1-4 and the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, we can conclude that the map $N_{1}: \bar{U} \rightarrow C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$ is compact. From the choice of $U$ there is no $y \in \partial U$ such that $y=\lambda N_{1} y$ for any $\lambda \in(0,1)$. As a consequence of the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder we deduce that $N_{1}$ has a fixed point denoted by $y_{0} \in \bar{U}$ which is solution of the problem 3.1).

Step 2: Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f(t, y) \quad t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \\
y\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=y_{0}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+I_{1}\left(y_{0}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=y_{0}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y_{0}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

It is clear that all solutions of 3.2 are fixed points of the multi-valued operator $N_{2}: C^{*} \rightarrow C^{*}$, defined by

$$
\left(N_{2} y\right)(t)=A_{1}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right] d s
$$

where

$$
C^{*}=\left\{y \in C\left(\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]\right): y\left(t_{1}^{+}\right), y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \text {exist }\right\}
$$

and

$$
A_{1}=y_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)+I_{1}\left(y_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)\right), \quad B_{1}=y_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}\left(y_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) .
$$

As in Step 1, we can prove that $N_{2}$ at least one fixed point which is a solution of (3.2).

Step 3: We continue this process taking into account that $y_{m}:=\left.y\right|_{\left(t_{m}, b\right]}$ is a solution of the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f(t, y) \quad t \in\left(t_{m}, b\right] \\
y\left(t_{m}^{+}\right)=y_{m-1}\left(t_{m}^{-}\right)+I_{m}\left(y_{m-1}\left(t_{m}^{-}\right)\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
y^{\prime}\left(t_{m}^{+}\right)=y_{m-1}^{\prime}\left(t_{m}^{-}\right)+\bar{I}_{m}\left(y_{m-1}\left(t_{m}^{-}\right)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

A solution $y$ of problem (1.1)-(1.4) is ultimately defined by

$$
y(t)= \begin{cases}y_{0}(t), & \text { if } t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] \\ y_{2}(t), & \text { if } t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \\ \cdots & \\ y_{m}(t), & \text { if } t \in\left(t_{m}, t_{m+1}\right]\end{cases}
$$

Step 3: Now we show that the set

$$
S=\{y \in P C([0, b], \mathbb{R}): y \text { is a solution of 1.1)-1.4 }\}
$$

is compact. Let $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $S$. We put $B=\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq$ $P C([0, b], \mathbb{R})$. Then from earlier parts of the proof of this theorem, we conclude that $B$ is bounded and equicontinuous. Then from the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, we can conclude that $B$ is compact.

Recall that $J_{0}=\left[0, t_{1}\right]$ and $J_{k}=\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right], k=1, \ldots, m$. Hence:

- $\left.y_{n}\right|_{J_{0}}$ has a subsequence

$$
\left(y_{n_{m}}\right)_{n_{m} \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S_{1}=\left\{y \in C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right): y \text { is a solution of } 3.1\right\}
$$

such that $y_{n_{m}}$ converges to $y$. Let

$$
z_{0}(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right] d s
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|y_{n_{m}}(t)-z_{0}(t)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f\left(\tau, y_{n_{m}}\right) d \tau\right]-\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right]\right| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

As $n_{m} \rightarrow+\infty, y_{n_{m}}(t) \rightarrow z_{0}(t)$, and then

$$
y(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right] d s
$$

- $\left.y_{n}\right|_{J_{1}}$ has a subsequence relabeled as $\left(y_{n_{m}}\right) \subset S_{2}$ converging to $y$ in $C^{*}$ where

$$
S_{2}=\left\{y \in C^{*}: y \text { is a solution of } 3.2\right\}
$$

Let

$$
z_{1}(t)=A_{1}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right] d s
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|y_{n_{m}}(t)-z_{1}(t)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} f\left(\tau, y_{n_{m}}\right) d \tau\right]-\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right]\right| d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $n_{m} \rightarrow+\infty, y_{n_{m}}(t) \rightarrow z_{1}(t)$, and then

$$
y(t)=A_{1}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right] d s
$$

- We continue this process, and we conclude that $\left\{y_{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ has subsequence converging to

$$
z_{m}(t)=A_{m}+\int_{t_{m}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B_{m}\right)+\int_{t_{m}}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right] d s, \quad t \in\left(t_{m}, b\right]
$$

Hence $S$ is compact.
Next we replace (H2) in Theorem 3.2 by
(H3) There exists a continuous nondecreasing function $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ and $p \in L^{1}\left(J, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
|f(t, u)| \leq p(t) \psi(|u|) \quad \text { a.e. } \mathrm{t} \in J \text { and } u \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Theorem 3.3. Under assumption (H3), problem (1.1)-(1.4) has at least one solution and the solution set is compact.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we can show that $\sqrt{1.1}-(1.4$ has at least one solution by an application of the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder. We show only the estimation of a solution $y$ of (1.1)-(1.4).

- For $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, we have

$$
y(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right] d s
$$

We put $m(r)=\max \left\{|y(r)|: r \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]\right\}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
|y(t)| & \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right]\right| d s \\
& \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) \psi(|y(\tau)|) d \tau\right]\right| d s \\
& \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) \psi(m(r)) d \tau\right]\right| d s \\
& \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+t_{1}\|p\|_{L^{1}} \psi(m(r))\right]\right| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
m(t) \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t} \psi_{1}(m(s)) d s, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]
$$

where $\psi_{1}=\left(\phi^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\psi}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\psi}(u)=\phi(B)+t_{1}\left(\|p\|_{L^{1}}\right) \psi(u)$. By the nonlinear GrönwallBihari inequality (Lemma 2.2), we infer the bound

$$
m(t) \leq H^{-1}(t) \leq M_{0}
$$

where $H(t)=\int_{|A|}^{t} \frac{d \tau}{\left(\phi^{-1} \circ \tilde{\psi}\right)(\tau)}$.

- For $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$, we have

$$
y(t)=A_{1}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right] d s
$$

We put $m(r)=\max \left\{|y(r)|: r \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]\right\}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
|y(t)| & \leq\left|A_{1}\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right]\right| d s \\
& \leq\left|A_{1}\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} p(\tau) \psi(|y(\tau)|) d \tau\right]\right| d s \\
& \leq\left|A_{1}\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} p(\tau) \psi(m(r)) d \tau\right]\right| d s \\
& \leq\left|A_{1}\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B_{1}\right)+t_{2}\left(\|p\|_{L^{1}}\right) \psi(m(r))\right]\right| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
m(t) \leq\left|A_{1}\right|+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \psi_{1}(m(s)) d s, \quad t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]
$$

where $\psi_{1}=\left(\phi^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\psi}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\psi}(u)=\phi\left(B_{1}\right)+t_{2}\left(\|p\|_{L^{1}}\right) \psi(u)$.
By the nonlinear Grönwall-Bihari inequality (Lemma 2.2), we infer the bound

$$
m(t) \leq H^{-1}(t) \leq M_{1}
$$

where $H(t)=\int_{\left|A_{1}\right|}^{t} \frac{d \tau}{\left(\phi^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\psi}\right)(\tau)}$.

- For $t \in\left(t_{m}, b\right]$, we have

$$
y(t)=A_{m}+\int_{t_{m}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B_{m}\right)+\int_{t_{m}}^{s} f(\tau, y) d \tau\right] d s
$$

As in the pattern, there exists $M_{m}>0$ such that

$$
m(t) \leq H^{-1}(t) \leq M_{m}
$$

where $H(t)=\int_{\left|A_{m}\right|}^{t} \frac{d \tau}{\left(\phi^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\psi}\right)(\tau)}$. Hence

$$
\|y\|_{P C} \leq \max \left(M_{0}, M_{1}, \ldots, M_{m}\right)=M
$$

The proof is complete.
For the next theorem we use the assumptions:
(H4) $f:[0, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.
(H5) There exist a continuous nondecreasing function $\psi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ and $p \in L^{1}(J, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
|f(t, x, y)| \leq p(t) \psi(|x|,|y|) \quad \text { for } \quad \text { all } \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in J
$$

with

$$
\int_{0}^{b} p(s) d s<\int_{|A|+c|B|}^{\infty} \frac{d u}{\left(\phi^{-1} \circ \psi\right)(u, u)}
$$

Theorem 3.4. Under assumptions (H4), (H5), problem (1.5)-(1.8) has at least one solution.

Prior to the proof of Theorem 3.4 we present a useful lemma.
Lemma 3.5. The operator $L: D \rightarrow P C(J, \mathbb{R})$ defined by $L(y)=\left(\phi\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}$ where $D=\left\{y \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R}): y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y\left(t_{k}\right)+I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)+\bar{I}_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)=\right.$ $\left.y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), k=1, \ldots, m, y(0)=A, y^{\prime}(0)=B\right\}$. Assume that $L$ is well defined. Then $L$ is bijective and $L^{-1}$ is completely continuous.

Proof. Step 1: $L$ is bijective.

- $L$ is injective. Let $y_{1}, y_{2} \in D$ be such that $L\left(y_{1}\right)=L\left(y_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\left(\phi\left(y_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=\left(\phi\left(y_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}, t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right],
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(y_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)-\phi\left(y_{1}^{\prime}(0)\right) & =\phi\left(y_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)-\phi\left(y_{2}^{\prime}(0)\right), \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right], \\
\phi\left(y_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)-\phi(B) & =\phi\left(y_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)-\phi(B), \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $y_{1}^{\prime}(t)=y_{2}^{\prime}(t)$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$. By integration of this equality, we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{t} y_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{t} y_{2}^{\prime}(s) d s, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]
$$

which implies $y_{1}(t)-y_{1}(0)=y_{2}(t)-y_{2}(0), t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$. This implies that $y_{1}(t)=y_{2}(t)$, $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$.

Next,

$$
\phi\left(y_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)-\phi\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)=\phi\left(y_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)-\phi\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right), \quad t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]
$$

implies $y_{1}^{\prime}(t)=y_{2}^{\prime}(t), t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$, and so

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t} y_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s=\int_{t_{1}}^{t} y_{2}^{\prime}(s) d s, \quad t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]
$$

implies $y_{1}(t)-\left(y_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)+I_{1}\left(y_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)=y_{2}(t)-\left(y_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)+I_{1}\left(y_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right), t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$, and then

$$
y_{1}(t)=y_{2}(t), \quad t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right] .
$$

Continuing this pattern,
$\phi\left(y_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)-\phi\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{m}\right)+\bar{I}_{m}\left(y_{1}\left(t_{m}\right)\right)\right)=\phi\left(y_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)-\phi\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{m}\right)+\bar{I}_{m}\left(y_{2}\left(t_{m}\right)\right)\right), \quad t \in\left(t_{m}, b\right]$ implies $y_{1}^{\prime}(t)=y_{2}^{\prime}(t), t \in\left(t_{m}, b\right]$, and so

$$
\int_{t_{m}}^{t} y_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s=\int_{t_{m}}^{t} y_{2}^{\prime}(s) d s, \quad t \in\left(t_{m}, b\right]
$$

implies $y_{1}(t)-\left(y_{1}\left(t_{m}\right)+I_{m}\left(y_{1}\left(t_{m}\right)\right)\right)=y_{2}(t)-\left(y_{2}\left(t_{m}\right)+I_{m}\left(y_{2}\left(t_{m}\right)\right)\right), t \in\left(t_{m}, b\right]$, and hence $y_{1}(t)=y_{2}(t), t \in\left(t_{m}, b\right]$. This implies that $y_{1}=y_{2}$.

- $L$ is surjective. Let $h \in P C(J, \mathbb{R})$, then we define

$$
y(t)= \begin{cases}L_{0}(h)(t), & \text { if } t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]  \tag{3.4}\\ L_{1}(h)(t), & \text { if } t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \\ \ldots & \\ L_{m-1}(h)(t), & \text { if } t \in\left(t_{m}, b\right]\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{0}(h)(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right] d s, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] \\
L_{1}(h)(t)= & L_{0}(h)\left(t_{1}\right)+I_{1}\left(L_{0}(h)\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(L_{0}^{\prime}(h)\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(L_{0}(h)\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right] d s, \quad t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{2}(h)(t)=L_{1}(h)\left(t_{2}\right)+I_{2}\left(L_{1}(h)\left(t_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(L_{1}^{\prime}(h)\left(t_{2}\right)+\bar{I}_{2}\left(L_{1}(h)\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{2}}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right] d s, \quad t \in\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right], \\
& \\
& \ldots \\
& L_{m}(h)(t)= \\
& \quad L_{m-1}(h)\left(t_{m}\right)+I_{m}\left(L_{m-1}(h)\left(t_{m}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{m}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi \left(L_{m-1}^{\prime}(h)\left(t_{m}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\bar{I}_{m}\left(L_{m-1}(h)\left(t_{m}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{m}}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right] d s, \quad t \in\left(t_{m}, b\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.4) we can easily check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(t)= & A+\sum_{0<t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(L_{k-1}(h)\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B+\sum_{0<t_{k}<t} \bar{I}_{k}\left(L_{k-1}(h)\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right] d s, \quad t \in J .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
y^{\prime}(t)=\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(B+\sum_{0<t_{2}<t} \bar{I}_{k}\left(L_{k-1}(h)\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{0}^{s} h(s) d s\right]
$$

From the definition of $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ we can prove that $y(0)=A, y^{\prime}(0)=B, y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=$ $y\left(t_{k}\right)+I_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)+\bar{I}_{k}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)$ and $y\left(t_{k}\right)=y_{t_{k}^{-}}, k=j, \ldots, m$ and by using the fact that $I_{k}, \bar{I}_{k}$ are continuous we can easily prove that $y, y^{\prime} \in P C(J, \mathbb{R})$.

Step 2: $L^{-1}$ is completely continuous.
Claim 1: $L^{-1}$ is continuous. Let $h_{n} \in P C(J, \mathbb{R})$ be such that $h_{n}$ converges to $h$ in $P C(J, \mathbb{R})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We show that $L^{-1}\left(h_{n}\right)$ converges to $L^{-1}(h)$. Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D$ such that $\left\{L\left(y_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}=\left\{h_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then:

- For $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, we have

$$
y_{n}^{\prime}(t)=\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{t} h_{n}(s) d s\right]
$$

and

$$
y_{n}(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} h_{n}(\tau) d \tau\right] d s=A+\int_{0}^{t} y_{n}^{\prime}(s) d s
$$

Hence

$$
\left|y_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{t} h_{n}(s) d s\right]\right|
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{t} h_{n}(s) d s\right| & \leq|\phi(B)|+\int_{0}^{t}\left|h_{n}(s)\right| d s \\
& \leq|\phi(B)|+t_{1}\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{P C} \\
& \leq|\phi(B)|+t_{1} M_{*}=K
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{P C} \leq M_{*}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{t} h_{n}(s) d s\right] \in \bar{B}(0, K)$. Since $\phi^{-1}$ is continuous and $\bar{B}(0, K)$ is compact,

$$
\sup _{x \in \bar{B}(0, K)}\left|\phi^{-1}(x)\right|<\infty
$$

Then

$$
\left\|y_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \sup _{x \in \bar{B}(0, K)}\left|\phi^{-1}(x)\right|:=M_{0}
$$

and

$$
\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq|A|+M_{0} t_{1}:=\overline{M_{0}}
$$

So, $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{y_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are bounded uniformly in $C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$.
We put $C=\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$. We can easily show that $C$ is bounded and equicontinuous, and then from the Ascoli-Arzela theorem we conclude that $C$ is compact. Then $y_{n}$ has a subsequence $\left(y_{n_{m}}\right)$ converging to $y$. Let

$$
z(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right] d s
$$

so that

$$
\left|y_{n_{m}}(t)-z(t)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} h_{n_{m}}(\tau) d \tau\right]-\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right]\right| d s
$$

Since $\phi^{-1}$ is continuous and as $n_{m} \rightarrow \infty, y_{n_{m}} \rightarrow z(t)$, then

$$
y(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right] d s
$$

By the same technique, we can prove that $\left\{y_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ converges to $y^{\prime}(t)$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$.

- For $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$, we have

$$
y_{n}^{\prime}(t)=y_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} h_{n}(s) d s\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n}(t) & =y_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)+I_{1}\left(y_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} h_{n}(\tau) d \tau\right] \\
& =y_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)+I_{1}\left(y_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} y_{n}^{\prime}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| & \leq\left|y_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} h_{n}(s) d s\right]\right| \\
& \leq M_{0}+\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} h_{n}(s) d s\right]\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left|\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} h_{n}(s) d s\right| \leq\left|\phi\left(M_{0}+\sup _{x \in \bar{B}\left(0, M_{0}\right)}\left|\bar{I}_{1}(x)\right|\right)\right|+t_{2} K=K_{*},
$$

then

$$
\left|\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} h_{n}(s) d s\right| \in \bar{B}\left(0,\left|\phi\left(M_{0}+\sup _{x \in \bar{B}\left(0, M_{0}\right)}\left|\bar{I}_{1}(x)\right|\right)\right|+t_{2} K\right)
$$

Since $\phi^{-1}$ is continuous and $\bar{B}\left(0,\left|\phi\left(M_{0}+\sup _{x \in \bar{B}\left(0, M_{0}\right)}\left|\bar{I}_{1}(x)\right|\right)\right|+t_{2} K\right)$ is compact,

$$
\left\|y_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq M_{0}+\sup _{x \in \bar{B}\left(0,\left|\phi\left(M_{0}+\sup _{x \in \bar{B}\left(0, M_{0}\right)}\left|\bar{I}_{1}(x)\right|\right)\right|+t_{2} K\right)}\left|\phi^{-1}(x)\right|:=M_{1}
$$

and

$$
\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \overline{M_{0}}+\sup _{x \in \bar{B}\left(0, M_{0}\right)}\left|I_{1}(z)\right|+M_{1} t_{2}:=\overline{M_{1}}
$$

Then $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{y_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are bounded uniformly in $C\left(\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$. We put $C=$ $\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq C\left(\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$. We can easily show again that $C$ is bounded and equicontinuous, and then from the Ascoli-Arzela theorem we conclude that $C$ is compact. Then $y_{n}$ has a subsequence $\left(y_{n_{m}}\right)$ converging to $y$.

Now, let

$$
z(t)=y\left(t_{1}\right)+I_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right] d s
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y_{n_{m}}(t)-z(t)\right| \leq & \left|y_{n_{m}}\left(t_{1}\right)-y\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+\left|I_{1}\left(y_{n_{m}}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)-I_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \mid \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y_{n_{m}}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y_{n_{m}}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} h_{n_{m}}(\tau) d \tau\right] \\
& -\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right] \mid d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\phi^{-1}$ is continuous, $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{y_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to $y$ and $y^{\prime}$, respectively, for $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, and as $n_{m} \rightarrow \infty, y_{n_{m}} \rightarrow z(t)$, then

$$
y(t)=y\left(t_{1}\right)+I_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right] d s
$$

By the same technique, we can prove that $\left\{y_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ converges to $y^{\prime}(t)$ for $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$.

- We continue this process until we get, for every $t \in\left(t_{m}, b\right]$, that $y_{n}(t)$ converges to $y(t)$ and $y_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ converges to $y^{\prime}(t)$. We conclude that $L(y)=h$, and this implies that $L^{-1}$ is continuous.

Claim 2: $L^{-1}$ is compact. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a bounded set of $P C(J, \mathbb{R})$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset$ $L^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$. Then there exists $\left\{h_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}$ such that $L\left(y_{n}\right)=h_{n}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We show that $\left|L_{0}^{-1}\left(h_{n}\right)\left(l_{2}\right)-L^{-1}\left(h_{n}\right)\left(l_{1}\right)\right|$ tends to zero as $l_{2} \rightarrow l_{1}$. Since $L_{0}\left(y_{n}\right)(t)=h_{n}(t), t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, it follows that

$$
y_{n}^{\prime}(t)=\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{t} h_{n}(s) d s\right], \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] .
$$

Using the fact that $h_{n}$ is bounded, thus there exist $M_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty},\left\|y_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq M_{0}, \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

- Let $l_{1}, l_{2} \in\left[0, t_{1}\right], l_{1}<l_{2}$. Then, by the mean value theorem,

$$
\left|y_{n}\left(l_{2}\right)-y_{n}\left(l_{1}\right)\right|=\left|y_{n}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{n}\right)\left(l_{2}-l_{1}\right)\right| \leq M_{0}\left|l_{2}-l_{1}\right|,
$$

and

$$
\left|\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\left(l_{2}\right)-\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\left(l_{1}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{l_{1}}^{l_{2}} h_{n}(s) d s\right| \leq \int_{l_{1}}^{l_{2}}\left|h_{n}(s)\right| d s \leq\left|l_{2}-l_{1}\right| M_{*}
$$

where $\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{P C} \leq M_{*}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As $l_{2} \rightarrow l_{1}$ the right hand side of the above inequality tends to zero. Then $\left\{y_{n}(\cdot)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. By the Ascoli-Arzela theorem there exist $y_{0}, z_{0} \in C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $y_{n}$ and $\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ converge, respectively, to $y_{0}$ and $z_{0}$. Since $\phi^{-1}$ is a continuous function,

$$
y_{n}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \phi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)(t), n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Set

$$
y_{*}(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left(z_{0}(s)\right) d s, t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]
$$

and from

$$
y_{n}(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} y_{n}^{\prime}(s) d s, t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]
$$

we have

$$
\left\|y_{n}-y_{*}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|y_{n}^{\prime}(s)-\phi^{-1}\left(z_{0}\right)(s)\right| d s
$$

From the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $y_{*}$ in $C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$, and this implies that

$$
y_{0}(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left(z_{0}(s)\right) d s, t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] \Rightarrow y_{0}^{\prime}(t)=\phi^{-1}\left(z_{0}(t)\right), \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right] .
$$

Then $y_{n}$ converges to $y_{0}$ in $C^{1}\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$.
For $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$,

$$
y_{n}^{\prime}(t)=\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} h_{n}(s) d s\right], \quad t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]
$$

Using the fact that $h_{n}$ is bounded, there exists $M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty},\left\|y_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq M_{1}, \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

- Let $l_{1}, l_{2} \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right], l_{1}<l_{2}$. Then

$$
\left|y_{n}\left(l_{2}\right)-y_{n}\left(l_{1}\right)\right|=\left|y_{n}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{n}\right)\left(l_{2}-l_{1}\right)\right| \leq M_{1}\left|l_{2}-l_{1}\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\left(l_{2}\right)-\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)\left(l_{1}\right)\right| \leq M_{*}\left|l_{2}-l_{1}\right| .
$$

As $l_{2} \rightarrow l_{1}$ the right hand side of the above inequality tends to zero, then $\left\{y_{n}(\cdot)\right\}$ and $\left\{\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)(\cdot)\right\}$ are equicontinuous. By Ascoli-Arzela theorem there exist $y_{1}, z_{1} \in$ $C\left(\left[0, t_{1}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $y_{n}$ and $\phi\left(y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ converge, respectively, to $y_{1}, z_{1}$. Since $\phi^{-1}$ and $I_{1}$ are a continuous functions, then $y_{n}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \phi^{-1}\left(z_{1}\right)(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Set

$$
y_{* *}(t)=y_{0}\left(t_{1}\right)+I_{1}\left(y_{0}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left(z_{1}(s)\right) d s, \quad t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right] .
$$

From

$$
y_{n}(t)=y_{0}\left(t_{1}\right)+I_{1}\left(y_{0}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} y_{n}^{\prime}(s) d s, t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]
$$

we have

$$
\left\|y_{n}-y_{* *}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left|y_{n}^{\prime}(s)-\phi^{-1}\left(z_{1}\right)(s)\right| d s
$$

From the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $y_{* *}$ in $C_{1}=\left\{y \in C^{1}\left(\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right], \mathbb{R}\right): y\left(t_{1}^{+}\right), y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)\right.$exist $\}$. This implies that

$$
y_{1}(t)=y_{0}\left(t_{1}\right)+I_{1}\left(y_{0}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left(z_{0}(s)\right) d s, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]
$$

implies $y_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\phi^{-1}\left(z_{1}(t)\right), \quad t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$. Then $y_{n}$ converges to $y_{1}$ in $C_{1}$.

- We continue this process until we have that there exists $y_{m} \in C^{1}\left(\left(t_{m}, b\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $y_{n}$ converge to $y_{m}$ in $C_{m}=\left\{y \in C^{1}\left(\left(t_{m}, b\right], \mathbb{R}\right) \mid y\left(t_{m}^{+}\right), y^{\prime}\left(t_{m}^{+}\right)\right.$exist $\}$. We define

$$
y(t)= \begin{cases}y_{0}(t), & \text { if } t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]  \tag{3.5}\\ y_{1}(t), & \text { if } t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \\ \cdots & \\ y_{m}(t), & \text { if } t \in\left(t_{m}, b\right]\end{cases}
$$

It is clear that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset P C$ and $y_{n}$ converges to $y$ in $P C$. Using the fact that $L^{-1}$ is continuous, thus

$$
h_{n}=L^{-1}\left(y_{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{-1}(y)=h \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

in $P C^{1}$. Then $L(y)=h$. Hence $L^{-1}$ is compact. This completes the proof.
Proof of Theorem 3.4. We consider the following fixed point problem that is equivalent to problem (1.5-1.8),

$$
y=\left(L^{-1} \circ F\right)(y)
$$

where $L^{-1}: P C(J, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow D$ and $F$ is the Nemystki operator given by $F(t, y)=$ $f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)$. From Lemma 3.5 we can prove that $\left(L^{-1} \circ F\right)$ is compact. Now we show that $y \neq \lambda\left(L^{-1} \circ F\right)(y)$.

For $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime}(t)=\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, y(s), y^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right] \\
y(t)=A+\int_{0}^{t} y^{\prime}(s) d s
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} p(s) \psi\left(|y(s)|,\left|y^{\prime}(s)\right|\right) d s\right]\right| \\
|y(t)| & \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t}\left|y^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \\
& \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) \psi\left(|y(\tau)|,\left|y^{\prime}(\tau)\right|\right) d \tau\right]\right| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $m(r)=\max \left(\sup _{t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]}|y(t)|, \sup _{t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|y(t)| & \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+\int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) \psi(m(r), m(r)) d \tau\right]\right| d s \\
& \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi(B)+t_{1}\|p\|_{L^{1}} \psi(m(r), m(r))\right]\right| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
m(t) \leq|A|+\int_{0}^{t} \psi_{1}(m(s)) d s
$$

with $\psi_{1}=\phi^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\psi}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}(u)=\phi(B)+t_{1}\left(\|p\|_{L^{1}}\right) \psi(u)$. By the nonlinear GrönwallBihari inequality (Lemma 2.2), we infer the bound

$$
m(t) \leq H^{-1}(t) \leq M_{0}
$$

where

$$
H(t)=\int_{|A|}^{t} \frac{d \tau}{\left(\phi^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\psi}\right)(\tau)}
$$

For $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime}(t)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} f\left(s, y(s), y^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right] \\
y(t)=y\left(t_{1}\right)+I_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} y^{\prime}(s) d s
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} f\left(s, y(s), y^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right]\right|
$$

Let

$$
m(r)=\max \left(\sup _{t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]}|y(t)|, \sup _{t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|\right)
$$

and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|y(t)| \leq & \left|y\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+\left|I_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right|+t_{2}\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \\
& +\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} p(\tau) \psi\left(|y(\tau)|,\left|y^{\prime}(\tau)\right|\right) d \tau\right]\right| d s \\
\leq & M_{0}+\left|I_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right|+t_{2}\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \\
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left|\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{s} p(\tau) \psi(m(r), m(r)) d \tau\right]\right| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $m(t) \leq M^{*}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \psi_{1}(m(s)) d s$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
M^{*}=\left(1+t_{2}\right) M_{0}+\sup _{z \in \bar{B}\left(0, M_{0}\right)}\left|I_{1}(z)\right| \\
\psi_{1}=\phi^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\psi} \\
\widetilde{\psi}(u)=\phi\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\bar{I}_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)+t_{2}\left(\|p\|_{L^{1}}\right) \psi(u, u)
\end{gathered}
$$

By the nonlinear Grönwall-Bihari inequality (Lemma 2.2), we infer the bound

$$
m(t) \leq H^{-1}(t) \leq M_{1}
$$

where

$$
H(t)=\int_{M^{*}}^{t} \frac{d \tau}{\left(\phi^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\psi}\right)(\tau)}
$$

For $t \in\left(t_{m}, b\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime}(t)= & y^{\prime}\left(t_{m}\right)+\phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{m}\right)+\bar{I}_{m}\left(y\left(t_{m}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{m}}^{t} f\left(s, y(s), y^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right] \\
y(t)= & y\left(t_{m}\right)+I_{m}\left(y\left(t_{m}\right)\right) \\
& +\int_{t_{m}}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left[\phi\left(y^{\prime}\left(t_{m}\right)+\bar{I}_{m}\left(y\left(t_{m}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{t_{m}}^{s} f\left(\tau, y(\tau), y^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau\right] d s
\end{aligned}
$$

So, there exists $M_{m}>0$ such that $m(t) \leq H^{-1}(t) \leq M_{m}$, where

$$
H(t)=\int_{M^{* *}}^{t} \frac{d \tau}{\left(\phi^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\psi}\right)(\tau)}
$$

and

$$
M^{* *}=(1+b) M_{m-1}+\sup _{z \in \bar{B}\left(0, M_{m-1}\right)}\left|I_{m}(z)\right| .
$$

Hence

$$
\|y\|_{\infty} \leq \max \left(M_{0}, M_{1}, \ldots, M_{m}\right):=M
$$

Let

$$
U=\left\{y \in P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R}):\|y\|_{P C^{1}}<M+1\right\}
$$

Then $L^{-1} \circ F: \bar{U} \rightarrow P C^{1}(J, \mathbb{R}$ is relatively compact. Assume that there exists $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and $y \in \partial U$ such that $y=\lambda\left(L^{-1} \circ F\right)(y)$. Then $\|y\|_{P C^{1}}=M+1$, but $\|y\|_{P C^{1}} \leq M$. Thus by the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder, we conclude that $L^{-1} \circ F$ has a fixed point which is a solution of 1.5 - 1.8 .
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