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# STABILITY AND HOPF BIFURCATION IN A SYMMETRIC LOTKA-VOLTERRA PREDATOR-PREY SYSTEM WITH DELAYS 
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#### Abstract

This article concerns a symmetrical Lotka-Volterra predator-prey system with delays. By analyzing the associated characteristic equation of the original system at the positive equilibrium and choosing the delay as the bifurcation parameter, the local stability and Hopf bifurcation of the system are investigated. Using the normal form theory, we also establish the direction and stability of the Hopf bifurcation. Numerical simulations suggest an existence of Hopf bifurcation near a critical value of time delay.


## 1. Introduction

Since the pioneering work of Volterra [18], the delayed predator-prey models of Lotka-Volterra type have played an important role in the development of population dynamics. For instance, the predator-prey system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{x}(t)=x(t)\left[r_{1}-a_{11} x(t-\tau)-a_{12} y(t)\right], \\
\dot{y}(t)=y(t)\left[-r_{2}+a_{21} x(t)-a_{22} y(t)\right] \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

was first proposed and discussed briefly by May 11 in 1973. The dynamics of system 1.1 or systems similar to (1.1) has been widely investigated, concerning boundedness of solutions, stability, permanence, persistence, periodic phenomena, bifurcation and chaos (see, for example, [1, 9, 12, 13, 14, and their references). In particular, research on the stability of positive equilibrium and periodic solutions arising from the Hopf bifurcation is very critical, which helps us to realize the law and trend for species quantity. Also, extensive models of various fields (such as biology, neural network, engineering systems, epidemic disease) have been embodied these properties, (see [6, 10, 19, 17]).

In mathematical biology, Lotka-Volterra type predator-prey with a delay have been well studied by Song and Wei [15], Yan and Li [20], Yan and Zhang [21] and so on. In addition, models involving two discrete delays are increasingly applied to the study of a variety of situations. Faria [6] considered the predator-prey system of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{x}(t)=x(t)\left[r_{1}-a_{11} x(t)-a_{12} y\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right] \\
\dot{y}(t)=y(t)\left[-r_{2}+a_{21} x\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)-a_{22} y(t)\right] \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$
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The author took $\tau_{2}$ as a bifurcation parameter and obtained the existence of local Hopf bifurcation. Moreover, Song et. al took the sum of two delays $\tau_{1}+\tau_{2}$ as a parameter and showed that when $\tau$ passed through some critical values, Hopf bifurcation occurs.

In the present article, we focus on the following symmetrical Lotka-Volterra predator-prey system:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) & =x(t)\left[r_{1}-a x(t)-b x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-c y\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right] \\
\dot{y}(t) & =y(t)\left[r_{2}-a y(t)+c x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-b y\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)\right] \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{1}, r_{2}, a, b, c, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ are constants with $a>0, b>0, c>0$ and $\tau_{1} \geq 0$, $\tau_{2} \geq 0$. The variables $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ denote the population densities of prey and predator at time $t$, respectively. The initial conditions for system (1.3) are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x(\theta)=\phi_{1}(\theta), & -\tau_{1} \leq \theta \leq 0, \phi_{1}(0)>0, \\
y(\theta)=\phi_{2}(\theta), & -\tau_{2} \leq \theta \leq 0, \phi_{2}(0)>0 .
\end{array}
$$

Obviously, in the case $b=0$ and $a \neq b$, systems (1.3) is reduced to system (1.2). Systems (1.3) shows that, in the absence of predator species, the prey species are governed by general case of Hutchinson's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t)=x(t)\left[r_{1}-a x(t)-b x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right] \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(There is an extensive literature on various aspects of (1.4), see, e.g., 7, 16]). In the presence of predators, there is a hunting term, $c y\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)$, with a certain delay $\tau_{2}$, called the hunting delay. In the absence of preys, the feedback $c x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)$ has a nonnegative delay which is the time of the predator maturation. For system (1.3), Saito et al studied the permanence and the global asymptotic stability of positive equilibrium including the cases $b \leq 0$. Our main purpose is to study stability and Hopf bifurcation of (1.3).

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, the local stability of the positive equilibrium of system 1.3 is addressed. In Section 3, we show that the system 1.3 with $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$ undergoes Hopf bifurcation as the delays cross some critical values. In Section 4, following the procedure of deriving normal form due to Faria and Magalhães [4, [5], the direction and stability of the Hopf bifurcation are determined. Finally, we give some numerical simulations to justify the theoretical analysis.

## 2. Local stability

The following lemma will be needed in analyzing the characteristic equation of the linearize system.
Lemma 2.1 ( 3 ). Let $f(\lambda, \tau)=\lambda^{2}+a \lambda+b \lambda e^{-\tau \lambda}+c+d e^{-\tau \lambda}$, where $a, b, c, d$, $\tau$ are real numbers and $\tau \geq 0$. Then, as $\tau$ varies, the sum of the multiplicities of zeros of $f$ in the open right half-plane can change only if a zero appears on or crosses the imaginary axis.

In the rest of this paper, we assume that system has a unique positive equilibrium $E\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ :

$$
x^{*}=\frac{r_{1}(a+b)-r_{2} c}{(a+b)^{2}+c^{2}}>0, \quad y^{*}=\frac{r_{2}(a+b)+r_{1} c}{(a+b)^{2}+c^{2}}>0
$$

and $x^{*} \neq y^{*}$.

By using the transformation $\bar{x}(t)=x(t)-x^{*}, \bar{y}(t)=y(t)-y^{*}$, and dropping the bars for simplicity of notation, system 1.3 can be transformed into the system

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t)= & -a x^{*} x(t)-b x^{*} x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-c x^{*} y\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)-a x^{2}(t) \\
& -b x(t) x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-c x(t) y\left(t-\tau_{2}\right) \\
\dot{y}(t)= & -a y^{*} y(t)+c y^{*} x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-b y^{*} y\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)-a y^{2}(t)  \tag{2.1}\\
& +c x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) y(t)-b y(t) y\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Then we obtain the linearized system

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) & =-a x^{*} x(t)-b x^{*} x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-c x^{*} y\left(t-\tau_{2}\right) \\
\dot{y}(t) & =-a y^{*} y(t)+c y^{*} x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-b y^{*} y\left(t-\tau_{2}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The associated characteristic equation of system 2.2 is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{2}+\lambda\left(a x^{*}+a y^{*}+b x^{*} e^{-\lambda \tau_{1}}+b y^{*} e^{-\lambda \tau_{2}}\right)+a^{2} x^{*} y^{*}+a b x^{*} y^{*} e^{-\lambda \tau_{2}} \\
& +a b x^{*} y^{*} e^{-\lambda \tau_{1}}+\left(b^{2} x^{*} y^{*}+c^{2} x^{*} y^{*}\right) e^{-\lambda\left(\tau_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)}=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously, $\lambda=0$ is not a root of 2.3 . When $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=0$, the characteristic equation 2.3 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}+\lambda\left(a x^{*}+a y^{*}+b x^{*}+b y^{*}\right)+a^{2} x^{*} y^{*}+2 a b x^{*} y^{*}+b^{2} x^{*} y^{*}+c^{2} x^{*} y^{*}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ be the characteristic roots of (2.4), then $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=-\left(a x^{*}+a y^{*}+\right.$ $\left.b x^{*}+b y^{*}\right)<0, \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}=a^{2} x^{*} y^{*}+2 a b x^{*} y^{*}+b^{2} x^{*} y^{*}+c^{2} x^{*} y^{*}>0$. Thus, all roots of (2.4) have negative real parts.

When $\tau_{2}=0$, Equation 2.3 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}+p \lambda+q+s e^{-\lambda \tau_{1}}+l \lambda e^{-\lambda \tau_{1}}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=a x^{*}+a y^{*}+b y^{*}, q=\left(a^{2}+a b\right) x^{*} y^{*}, s=\left(a b+b^{2}+c^{2}\right) x^{*} y^{*}, l=b x^{*}$. We first study 2.5 with the delay $\tau_{1}$. We consider the stable intervals of $\tau_{1}$ by taking $\tau_{1}$ as a parameter and applying the lemma in [3]. Secondly, we investigate 2.3) in the stable interval of $\tau_{1}$. Choosing $\tau_{2}$ as a parameter and using the lemma in [3] once more, we will find a stable interval (depending on $\tau_{1}$ ) for $\tau_{2}$. This will be the stable interval for 2.3).

By putting $\tau_{1}=0$ in 2.5 , we obtain

$$
\lambda^{2}+\lambda(l+p)+s+q=0
$$

Based on the above analysis, we know that two roots of the above equation have negative real parts. In addition, $\lambda=i \sigma$ is a root of 2.5 if and only if $\sigma$ satisfies:

$$
-\sigma^{2}+i \sigma p+q+s e^{-i \sigma \tau_{1}}+l i \sigma e^{-i \sigma \tau_{1}}=0
$$

Separating the real and imaginary parts, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{2}-q=s \cos \sigma \tau_{1}+l \sigma \sin \sigma \tau_{1} \\
p \sigma=s \sin \sigma \tau_{1}-l \sigma \cos \sigma \tau_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

which leads to

$$
\sigma^{4}+\sigma^{2}\left(p^{2}-2 q-l^{2}\right)+q^{2}-s^{2}=0
$$

In this article we will use the following hypotheses:
(H1) $q^{2}<s^{2}$,
(H2) $q^{2}>s^{2}, 2 q+l^{2}-p^{2}>0,\left(2 q+l^{2}-p^{2}\right)^{2}>4\left(q^{2}-s^{2}\right)$.

Then we easily obtain the following results.
Lemma 2.2. For (2.5), we have

1. If (H1) holds, then when $\tau_{1}=\tau_{1, k}^{(1)}$, Equation 2.5 has a pair of purely imaginary roots $\pm i \sigma_{+}$;
2. If (H2) holds, then when $\tau_{1}=\tau_{1, k}^{(1)}\left(\tau_{1}=\tau_{1, k}^{(2)}\right)$, Equation 2.5 has a pair of purely imaginary roots $\pm i \sigma_{+}\left( \pm i \sigma_{-}\right)$;
3. If neither $(\mathrm{H} 1)$ nor $(\mathrm{H} 2)$ hold, then 2.5 has no purely imaginary root, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{2 q+l^{2}-p^{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(2 q+l^{2}-p^{2}\right)^{2}-4\left(q^{2}-s^{2}\right)}}{2}, \\
\tau_{1, k}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{+}} \arccos \frac{\sigma_{+}^{2} s-q s-l p \sigma_{+}^{2}}{s^{2}+l^{2} \sigma_{+}^{2}}+\frac{2 k \pi}{\sigma_{+}},  \tag{2.6}\\
\tau_{1, k}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{-}} \arccos \frac{\sigma_{-}^{2} s-q s-l p \sigma_{-}^{2}}{s^{2}+l^{2} \sigma_{-}^{2}}+\frac{2 k \pi}{\sigma_{-}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\lambda_{n, k}=\mu_{n, k}\left(\tau_{1}\right)+i \sigma_{n, k}\left(\tau_{1}\right)(n=1,2)$ denote the roots of 2.5 satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mu_{1, k}\left(\tau_{1, k}^{(1)}\right)=0, & \sigma_{1, k}\left(\tau_{1, k}^{(1)}\right)=\sigma_{+} \\
\mu_{2, k}\left(\tau_{1, k}^{(2)}\right)=0, & \sigma_{2, k}\left(\tau_{1, k}^{(2)}\right)=\sigma_{-}
\end{array}
$$

In what follows, we can verify the following transversality conditions.
Lemma 2.3. Assume that either (H1) or (H2) holds, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{1, k}\left(\tau_{1, k}^{(1)}\right)}{d \tau_{1}}>0, \quad \frac{d \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{2, k}\left(\tau_{1, k}^{(2)}\right)}{d \tau_{1}}<0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Differentiating the characteristic equation with respect to $\tau_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\left(\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}\right)^{-1}=-\frac{2 \lambda+p}{\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}+p \lambda+q\right)}+\frac{l}{\lambda^{2} l+\lambda s}-\frac{\tau_{1}}{\lambda} .
$$

Thus, if $\lambda=i \sigma_{+}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sign}\left\{\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau_{1}}\right)\right|_{\tau_{1}=\tau_{1, k}^{(1)}}\right\}=\operatorname{sign}\left\{\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{d \tau}{d \lambda}\right)\right|_{\lambda=i \sigma_{+}}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{sign}\left\{\frac{\sigma_{+}^{4} l^{2}+2 \sigma_{+}^{2} s^{2}-2 q s^{2}+p^{2} s^{2}-l^{2} q^{2}}{\left[\left(q-\sigma_{+}^{2}\right)^{2}+p^{2} \sigma_{+}^{2}\right]\left(\sigma_{+}^{2} l^{2}+s^{2}\right)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{+}^{4} l^{2}+2 \sigma_{+}^{2} s^{2}-2 q s^{2}+p^{2} s^{2}-l^{2} q^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} l^{2} \sqrt{\left(2 q+l^{2}-p^{2}\right)^{2}-4\left(q^{2}-s^{2}\right)} \\
& \quad \times\left[\sqrt{\left(2 q+l^{2}-p^{2}\right)^{2}-4\left(q^{2}-s^{2}\right)}+\left(2 q+l^{2}-p^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+s^{2} \sqrt{\left(2 q+l^{2}-p^{2}\right)^{2}-4\left(q^{2}-s^{2}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

thus, either (H1) or (H2) implies that $\operatorname{sign}\left\{\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau_{1}}\right)\right|_{\tau_{1}=\tau_{1, k}^{(1)}}\right\}>0$. If $\lambda=i \sigma_{-}$, we can similarly prove that

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left\{\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau_{1}}\right)\right|_{\tau_{1}=\tau_{1, k}^{(2)}}\right\}<0
$$

The proof is complete.
From Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 , we have the following result.
Lemma 2.4. For Equation (2.5), the following statements are true:

1. Assume that (H1) holds, then for any $\tau_{1} \in\left[0, \tau_{1,0}^{(1)}\right)$, all roots of 2.5 have negative real parts, and for $\tau_{1}>\tau_{1,0}^{(1)}$, Equation 2.5 has at least one root with positive real parts.
2. Assume that $(\mathrm{H} 2)$ holds, then there exists an integer $k_{1}>0$ such that there are $k_{1}$ switches from stability to instability to stability; that is, when $\tau_{1} \in\left(\tau_{1, k}^{(2)}, \tau_{1, k+1}^{(1)}\right)$, $k=-1,0,1, \ldots, k_{1}-1$, all roots of (2.5) have negative real parts, where $\tau_{1,-1}^{(2)}=0$; When $\tau_{1} \in\left(\tau_{1, k}^{(1)}, \tau_{1, k}^{(2)}\right)$ and $\tau_{1}>\tau_{1, k_{1}}^{(1)}, k=0,1, \ldots, k_{1}-1$, Equation 2.5 has at least one root with positive real parts.

In what follows, we consider $\sqrt{2.3}$ with $\tau_{1}$ in its stable interval. We will take $\tau_{2}$ as a bifurcation parameter and have the following result.

Lemma 2.5. Assume that all roots of (2.5) have negative real parts, then there exists $\tau_{2}\left(\tau_{1}\right)>0$ such that when $\tau_{2} \in\left[0, \tau_{2}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right)$, all roots of 2.3 have negative real parts.

Proof. Since all roots of (2.5) have negative real parts, all roots of (2.3) with $\tau_{2}=0$ have negative real parts. Similar to the proof in [3], let $f\left(\lambda, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be the left-hand side of 2.3). Obviously, $f\left(\lambda, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is analysis in $\lambda$ and $\tau_{2}$. We take $\tau_{2}$ as a bifurcation parameter, when $\tau_{2}$ varies, the sum of the multiplicities of zero of $f\left(\lambda, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ in the open right half-plane can change only if a zero appears on or crosses the imaginary axis. Thus, there exists $\tau_{2}\left(\tau_{1}\right)>0$, such that when $\tau_{2} \in \tau_{2}\left(\tau_{1}\right)$, all roots of (2.3) have negative real parts. The proof is complete.

Following Lemma 2.5, we can get sufficient conditions for local stability of system (2.1).

Theorem 2.6. For system (2.1), the following statements hold.

1. Suppose that $(\mathrm{H} 1)$ holds, then for any $\tau_{1} \in\left[0, \tau_{1,0}^{(1)}\right)$, there is a $\tau_{2}\left(\tau_{1}\right)>0$ such that when $\tau_{2} \in\left[0, \tau_{2}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right)$, the zero solution of system (2.1) is locally asymptotically stable.
2. Suppose that (H2) holds, then for any $\tau_{1} \in\left(\tau_{1, k}^{(2)}, \tau_{1, k+1}^{(1)}\right), k=-1,0,1, \ldots, k_{1}-$ 1 , there is $\tau_{2}\left(\tau_{1}\right)>0$ such that when $\tau_{2} \in\left[0, \tau_{2}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right)$, the zero solution of system 2.1) is locally asymptotically stable.
3. Suppose that neither (H1) nor (H2) holds, then for any $\tau_{1} \geq 0$, there is a $\tau_{2}\left(\tau_{1}\right)>0$, such that when $\tau_{2} \in\left[0, \tau_{2}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right)$, the zero solution of system 2.1) is locally asymptotically stable.

## 3. Hopf Bifurcation

Let $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\tau$. System (1.3) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) & =x(t)\left[r_{1}-a x(t)-b x(t-\tau)-c y(t-\tau)\right], \\
\dot{y}(t) & =y(t)\left[r_{2}-a y(t)+c x(t-\tau)-b y(t-\tau)\right] . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and the characteristic equation (2.3) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}+p_{1} \lambda+q_{1}+\left(s_{1} \lambda+l_{1}\right) e^{-\lambda \tau}+m_{1} e^{-2 \lambda \tau}=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{1}=a x^{*}+a y^{*}, \quad q_{1}=a^{2} x^{*} y^{*}, \quad l_{1}=2 a b x^{*} y^{*} \\
s_{1}=b x^{*}+b y^{*}, \quad m_{1}=b^{2} x^{*} y^{*}+c^{2} x^{*} y^{*} \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. If $b^{2}+c^{2}>a^{2}$, then there exists $\sigma_{+}(>0)$ such that (3.2) with $\tau=\tau_{k}$ has a simple pair of conjugate purely imaginary roots $\pm i \sigma_{+}$, where

$$
\tau_{k}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{+}} \arccos \frac{\left(l_{1}-s_{1} p_{1}\right) \sigma_{+}^{2}-l_{1}\left(q_{1}-m_{1}\right)}{\left(-\sigma_{+}^{2}+q_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{1}^{2}+p_{1}^{2} \sigma_{+}^{2}}+\frac{2 k \pi}{\sigma_{+}}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots
$$

Proof. Obviously, $\lambda=0$ is not a root of (3.2). If $\lambda=i \sigma$ is a root of (3.2), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sigma^{2}+i p_{1} \sigma+q_{1}+l_{1} e^{-i \sigma \tau}+i \sigma s_{1} e^{-i \sigma \tau}+m_{1} e^{-2 i \sigma \tau}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.4) by $e^{i \sigma \tau}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\sigma^{2}+i p_{1} \sigma+q_{1}\right) e^{i \sigma \tau}+\left(l_{1}+i \sigma s_{1}\right)+m_{1} e^{-i \sigma \tau}=0 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating the real parts and imaginary parts of 3.5 leads to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\cos \sigma \tau=\left(l_{1}-s_{1} p_{1}\right) \sigma^{2}-l_{1}\left(q_{1}-m_{1}\right) \\
{\left[\left(-\sigma^{2}+q_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{1}^{2}+p_{1}^{2} \sigma^{2}\right] \sin \sigma \tau=s_{1} \sigma^{3}+\left[l_{1} p_{1}-s_{1}\left(q_{1}+m_{1}\right)\right] \sigma} \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

and thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(-\sigma^{2}+q_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{1}^{2}+p_{1}^{2} \sigma^{2}\right]^{2}} \\
& =\left[\left(l_{1}-s_{1} p_{1}\right) \sigma^{2}-l_{1}\left(q_{1}-m_{1}\right)\right]^{2}+\left[s_{1} \sigma^{3}+\left[l_{1} p_{1}-s_{1}\left(q_{1}+m_{1}\right)\right] \sigma\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $y=\sigma^{2}$, define

$$
\begin{align*}
f(y)= & {\left[\left(-y+q_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{1}^{2}+p_{1}^{2} y\right]^{2}-\left[\left(l_{1}-s_{1} p_{1}\right) y-l_{1}\left(q_{1}-m_{1}\right)\right]^{2} } \\
& -y\left[s_{1} y+l_{1} p_{1}-s_{1}\left(q_{1}+m_{1}\right)\right]^{2} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f(y)$ is a quartic function, we have $f(y) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $|y| \rightarrow+\infty$. On the other hand,

$$
f(0)=\left(q_{1}^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}-l_{1}^{2}\left(q_{1}-m_{1}\right)^{2}=\left(q_{1}-m_{1}\right)^{2}\left(q_{1}+m_{1}+l_{1}\right)\left(q_{1}+m_{1}-l_{1}\right)>0
$$

Define

$$
\begin{gathered}
F(y)=\left[\left(-y+q_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{1}^{2}+p_{1}^{2} y\right]^{2} \\
G(y)=-\left[\left(l_{1}-s_{1} p_{1}\right) y-l_{1}\left(q_{1}-m_{1}\right)\right]^{2} \\
H(y)=-y\left[s_{1} y+l_{1} p_{1}-s_{1}\left(q_{1}+m_{1}\right)\right]^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $f(y)=F(y)+G(y)+H(y)$. Assume that $g(y)=\left(-y+q_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{1}^{2}+p_{1}^{2} y$, then

$$
g(0)=q_{1}^{2}-m_{1}^{2}=\left(q_{1}+m_{1}\right)\left(q_{1}-m_{1}\right)=\left(q_{1}+m_{1}\right) x^{*} y^{*}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}\right)
$$

In view of $b^{2}+c^{2}>a^{2}$, we have $g(0)<0$. On the other hand, $g(y) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $y \rightarrow+\infty$. Thus, there is a $z_{0}>0$ such that $g\left(z_{0}\right)=0$; i.e., $F\left(z_{0}\right)=0$. It is easily to see that positive roots of $F, G$ and $H$ are mutually different when $x^{*} \neq y^{*}$. Consequently, $G\left(z_{0}\right)<0, H\left(z_{0}\right)<0$. Thus, $f\left(z_{0}\right)<0$, which together with $f(0)>0$ imply that there exists a $y_{0}>0$ such that $f\left(y_{0}\right)=0$. Obviously, there exists another positive root because $f(y) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $y \rightarrow+\infty$. Furthermore, one of the two roots is a simple root at least. Let $y_{0}$ be such a simple root and $y_{0}=\sigma^{2}$. Substituting $y_{0}=\sigma^{2}$ into (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{k}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{+}} \arccos \frac{\left(l_{1}-s_{1} p_{1}\right) \sigma_{+}^{2}-l_{1}\left(q_{1}-m_{1}\right)}{\left(-\sigma_{+}^{2}+q_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{1}^{2}+p_{1}^{2} \sigma^{2}}+\frac{2 k \pi}{\sigma_{+}}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is complete.
Let $\lambda(\tau)=\mu(\tau)+i \sigma(\tau)$ be the root of 3.2 near $\tau=\tau_{k}$ satisfying $\mu\left(\tau_{k}\right)=0$, $\sigma\left(\tau_{k}\right)=\sigma_{+}$, and $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, where $\tau_{k}$ is defined by (3.8).

Lemma 3.2 ([14]). Assume that $b^{2}+c^{2}>a^{2}$ and $a>b$, then

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left\{\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}\right)\right|_{\tau=\tau_{k}}\right\}>0
$$

The proof of the above lemma is similar to that in [14, p. 549] and is omitted. By Lemma 3.1 and 3.2, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $b^{2}+c^{2}>a^{2}$ and $a>b$. Then

1. When $\tau \in\left[0, \tau_{0}\right)$, the equilibrium $E=\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ of (3.1) is locally asymptotically stable;
2. When $\tau>\tau_{0}$, the equilibrium $E=\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ of (3.1) is unstable;
3. System (3.1) undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $E=\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ and $\tau=\tau_{k}$.

## 4. Direction and stability of the Hopf bifurcation

In this section, we shall determine the direction and stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions by employing the normal form theory duo to Faria and Magalhães [4, 5].

Let $z_{1}(t)=x(\tau t)-x^{*}, z_{2}(t)=y(\tau t)-y^{*}$. Then system 3.1 can be rewritten as a functional differential equation in $C\left([-1,0], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{z}_{1}(t)= & x^{*} \tau\left[-a z_{1}(t)-b z_{1}(t-1)-c z_{2}(t-1)\right]-\tau z_{1}(t)\left[a z_{1}(t)\right. \\
& \left.+b z_{1}(t-1)+c z_{2}(t-1)\right] \\
\dot{z}_{2}(t)= & y^{*} \tau\left[c z_{1}(t-1)-a z_{2}(t)-b z_{2}(t-1)\right]+\tau z_{2}(t)\left[c z_{1}(t-1)\right.  \tag{4.1}\\
& \left.-a z_{1}(t)-b z_{2}(t-1)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and its linearized system is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{z}_{1}(t)=\tau\left[-a x^{*} z_{1}(t)-b x^{*} z_{1}(t-1)-c x^{*} z_{2}(t-1)\right], \\
\dot{z}_{2}(t)=\tau\left[c y^{*} z_{1}(t-1)-a y^{*} z_{2}(t)-b y^{*} z_{2}(t-1)\right] . \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The characteristic equation associated with system 4.2 has the form
$\lambda^{2}+\lambda \tau\left(a y^{*}+a x^{*}+b x^{*} e^{-\lambda}+b y^{*} e^{-\lambda}\right)+\tau^{2} x^{*} y^{*}\left(a^{2}+2 a b e^{-\lambda}+b^{2} e^{-2 \lambda}+c^{2} e^{-2 \lambda}\right)=0$.
By the change of variable $\lambda=\xi \tau$, Equation (4.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{2}+p_{1} \xi+q_{1}+\left(s_{1} \xi+l_{1}\right) e^{-\xi \tau}+m_{1} e^{-2 \xi \tau}=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}, q_{1}, s_{1}, l_{1}, m_{1}$ are defined by (3.3). Equation (4.4) is the same as (3.2). Therefore, from Section 3, we know that (4.4) has a simple pair of conjugate complex roots $\xi(\tau)=\mu(\tau) \pm i \sigma(\tau)$ which satisfy

$$
\mu\left(\tau_{k}\right)=0, \quad \sigma\left(\tau_{k}\right)=\sigma_{+}, \quad \mu^{\prime}\left(\tau_{k}\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\tau_{k}$ is given in (3.8). Thus, Eq. (4.3) has two complex roots $\lambda(\tau)=\tau \mu(\tau) \pm$ $i \tau \sigma(\tau)$, which satisfy

$$
\left.\frac{d \operatorname{Re} \lambda(\tau)}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau=\tau_{k}}=\left.\tau \frac{d \operatorname{Re} \xi(\tau)}{d \tau}\right|_{\tau=\tau_{k}}+\left.\operatorname{Re} \xi(\tau)\right|_{\tau=\tau_{k}}=\tau_{k} \mu^{\prime}\left(\tau_{k}\right) \neq 0
$$

Letting $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)^{T}$, then system 4.1) can further be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}(t)=L(\tau) z_{t}+F\left(z_{t}, \tau\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
L(\tau) \varphi=\tau\binom{-a x^{*} \varphi_{1}(0)-b x^{*} \varphi_{1}(-1)-c x^{*} \varphi_{2}(-1)}{c y^{*} \varphi_{1}(-1)-a y^{*} \varphi_{2}(0)-b y^{*} \varphi_{2}(-1)}, \\
F(\varphi, \tau)=\tau\binom{-a \varphi_{1}^{2}(0)-b \varphi_{1}(0) \varphi_{1}(-1)-c \varphi_{1}(0) \varphi_{2}(-1)}{-a \varphi_{2}^{2}(0)+c \varphi_{1}(-1) \varphi_{2}(0)-b \varphi_{2}(0) \varphi_{2}(-1)},
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)^{T} \in C\left([-1,0], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
Introducing a new parameter $\alpha=\tau-\tau_{k}$, system (4.5) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}(t)=L\left(\tau_{k}\right)\left(z_{t}\right)+F_{0}\left(z_{t}, \alpha\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{0}\left(z_{t}, \alpha\right)=L(\alpha) z_{t}+F\left(z_{t}, \tau_{k}+\alpha\right)$.
Let $A_{0}$ be the infinitesimal generator corresponding to $\dot{z}(t)=L\left(\tau_{k}\right) z_{t}$. Then $A_{0}$ has a pair of purely imaginary characteristic roots $\pm i \sigma_{k}\left(\sigma_{k}=\tau_{k} \sigma_{+}\right)$, which are simple, and no other characteristic roots with zero real part. Define $\Lambda=$ $\left\{-i \sigma_{k}, i \sigma_{k}\right\}$ and denote by $P$ the invariant space of $A_{0}$ corresponding to $\Lambda$, where the dimension of $P$ equals to 2 . The phase space is $C=C\left([-1,0], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, so we can decompose the space $C$ as $C=P \oplus Q$ by applying the formal adjoint theory for functional differential equations in [8. Consider complex coordinates and still write as $C\left([-1,0], \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$. Suppose that $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}$ are the eigenvectors of the operator $A_{0}$ associated with eigenvalues $i \sigma_{k},-i \sigma_{k}$, respectively. Thus, $\Phi=\left(\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}\right)$ is a basis of $P, \Phi_{1}(\theta)=e^{i \sigma_{k} \theta} v^{T}=e^{i \sigma_{k} \theta}\left(1, v_{2}\right)^{T}, \Phi_{2}(\theta)=\overline{\Phi_{1}(\theta)},-1 \leq \theta \leq 0$, where $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)^{T}$ is a vector in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and

$$
v_{2}=-\frac{i \sigma_{k}+\tau_{k} a x^{*}+\tau_{k} b x^{*} e^{-i \sigma_{k}}}{\tau_{k} c x^{*} e^{-i \sigma_{k}}}
$$

which satisfies

$$
L\left(\tau_{k}\right)\left(\Phi_{1}\right)=i \sigma_{k} v^{T}
$$

For $\Phi=\left(\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}\right)$, we note that $\dot{\Phi}=\Phi B$, where $B$ is a diagonal matrix of the form $\operatorname{diag}\left(i \sigma_{k},-i \sigma_{k}\right)$. Also, the formal adjoint operator $A_{0}^{*}$ of $A_{0}$ has eigenvectors $\Psi_{1}$, $\Psi_{2}$ corresponding to $i \sigma_{k},-i \sigma_{k} . \Psi(s)=\operatorname{col}\left(\Psi_{1}(s), \Psi_{2}(s)\right)$ constructs a basis of the adjoint space $P^{*}$ of $P$ and $\Psi_{1}(s)=e^{-i \sigma_{k} s}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), \Psi_{2}(s)=\overline{\Psi_{1}(s)}, 0 \leq s \leq 1$. We know $-\dot{\Psi}_{1}(0)=\int_{-1}^{0} \Psi_{1}(-\theta) d \eta(\theta)$, therefore, we can choose

$$
u_{2}=\frac{i \sigma_{k}+\tau_{k} a x^{*}+\tau_{k} b x^{*} e^{-i \sigma_{k}}}{\tau_{k} c y^{*} e^{-i \sigma_{k}}} u_{1} .
$$

In order to have $(\Psi, \Phi)=\left(\left(\Psi_{j}, \Phi_{i}\right), i, j=1,2\right)=I_{2}$; i.e., second order identical matrix, where $(\cdot$,$) is a bilinear inner product form$

$$
(\psi, \varphi)=\psi(0) \varphi(0)-\int_{-1}^{0} \int_{0}^{\theta} \psi(\xi-\theta) d \eta(\theta) \varphi(\xi) d \xi
$$

we have

$$
u_{1}=\frac{y^{*}}{\left(1+i \sigma_{k}\right)\left(y^{*}-x^{*} v_{2}^{2}\right)+\tau_{k} a x^{*} y^{*}\left(1-v_{2}^{2}\right)}
$$

Using that the enlarged phase space $B C:\left\{\varphi:[-1,0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} \mid \varphi\right.$ is continuous on $[-1,0)$, it exists $\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0^{-}} \varphi(\theta)$. The elements of $B C$ have the form $\psi=\varphi+X_{0} \alpha$, where $\varphi \in C, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{2}, X_{0}=X_{0}(\theta)$ is given by

$$
X_{0}(\theta)= \begin{cases}I, & \theta=0 \\ 0, & -1 \leq \theta<0\end{cases}
$$

The projection of $C$ onto $P, \varphi \mapsto \Phi(\Psi, \varphi)$, associated with the decomposition $C=P \oplus Q$ is replaced by $\pi: B C \mapsto P$ such that

$$
\pi\left(\varphi+X_{0} \alpha\right)=\Phi[(\Psi, \varphi)+\Psi(0) \alpha]
$$

Thus, we have the decomposition $B C=P \oplus \operatorname{ker} \pi$. Using the decomposition $z_{t}=$ $\Phi x(t)+y_{t}, x(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}, y_{t} \in \operatorname{ker} \pi \cap C^{1}=: Q^{1}$, the equation 4.6 is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{x}=B x+\Psi(0) F_{0}(\Phi x+y, \alpha) \\
\frac{d}{d t} y=A_{Q^{1}} y+(I-\pi) X_{0} F_{0}(\Phi x+y, \alpha) . \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider the Taylor expansion as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi(0) F_{0}(\Phi x+y, \alpha)=\frac{1}{2} f_{2}^{1}(x, y, \alpha)+\frac{1}{3!} f_{3}^{1}(x, y, \alpha)+\text { h.o.t. }  \tag{4.8}\\
(I-\pi) X_{0} F_{0}(\Phi x+y, \alpha)=\frac{1}{2} f_{2}^{2}(x, y, \alpha)+\frac{1}{3!} f_{3}^{2}(x, y, \alpha)+\text { h.o.t. }
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f_{j}^{1}(x, y, \alpha)$ and $f_{j}^{2}(x, y, \alpha)$ are homogeneous polynomials in $(x, y, \alpha)$ of degree $j, j=2,3$, with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \pi$, respectively, h.o.t. stands for higher order terms. Thus, the normal form method gives a normal form on the center manifold of the origin for 4.7 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=B x+\frac{1}{2} g_{2}^{1}(x, 0, \alpha)+\frac{1}{3!} g_{3}^{1}(x, 0, \alpha)+\text { h.o.t } \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{2}^{1}, g_{3}^{1}$ are the second and third order terms in $(x, \alpha)$, respectively. Always following [5], $V_{j}^{m+p}(X)$ denotes the linear space of the homogeneous polynomials of degree $j$ in $m+p$ real variables, $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right), \alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\right)$ with coefficients in $X$; that is,

$$
V_{j}^{m+p}(X)=\left\{\sum_{|(q, l)|=j} c_{(q, l)} x^{q} \alpha^{l}:(q, l) \in N_{0}^{m+p}, c_{(q, l)} \in X\right\}
$$

The operators $M_{j}^{1}$ are defined by

$$
\left(M_{j}^{1} p\right)(x, \alpha)=D_{x} p(x, \alpha) B x-B p(x, \alpha), \quad j \geq 2
$$

and $M_{j}^{1}$ act on $V_{j}^{3}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{j}^{1}\right) \oplus \operatorname{ker}\left(M_{j}^{1}\right)$, and

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(M_{j}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{x^{q} \alpha^{l} e_{k}:(q, \bar{\lambda})=\lambda_{k}, k=1,2, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{2}, l \in \mathbb{N}_{0},|(q, l)|=j\right\}
$$

where $e_{1}, e_{2}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{ker}\left(M_{2}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{\binom{x_{1} \alpha}{0},\binom{0}{x_{2} \alpha}\right\}, \\
\operatorname{ker}\left(M_{3}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{\binom{x_{1}^{2} x_{2}}{0},\binom{x_{1} \alpha^{2}}{0},\binom{0}{x_{1} x_{2}^{2}},\binom{0}{x_{2} \alpha^{2}}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From 4.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}^{1}(x, y, \alpha)=\Psi(0)\left[2 L(\alpha)(\Phi x+y)+F_{2}\left(\Phi x+y, \tau_{k}\right)\right] \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F_{2}\left(\varphi, \tau_{k}\right)=2 \tau_{k}\binom{-a \varphi_{1}^{2}(0)-b \varphi_{1}(0) \varphi_{1}(-1)-c \varphi_{1}(0) \varphi_{2}(-1)}{-a \varphi_{2}^{2}(0)+c \varphi_{1}(-1) \varphi_{2}(0)-b \varphi_{2}(0) \varphi_{2}(-1)}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}^{1}(x, 0, \alpha)=\binom{2 A_{1} x_{1} \alpha+2 A_{2} x_{2} \alpha+a_{20} x_{1}^{2}+a_{02} x_{2}^{2}}{2 \bar{A}_{2} x_{1} \alpha+2 \bar{A}_{1} x_{2} \alpha+\bar{a}_{02} x_{1}^{2}+\bar{a}_{20} x_{2}^{2}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

here

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}=\frac{i \sigma_{k}}{\tau_{k}}\left(u_{1}+u_{2} v_{2}\right), \quad A_{2}=\frac{-i \sigma_{k}}{\tau_{k}}\left(u_{1}+u_{2} \bar{v}_{2}\right) \\
a_{20}=2 i \sigma_{k}\left(\frac{u_{1}}{x^{*}}+\frac{u_{2} v_{2}^{2}}{y^{*}}\right), \tag{4.12}
\end{gather*} \quad a_{02}=-2 i \sigma_{k}\left(\frac{u_{1}}{x^{*}}+\frac{u_{2} \bar{v}_{2}^{2}}{y^{*}}\right) . ~ \$
$$

Since the second order terms in $(\alpha, x)$ of the normal form on the center manifold are given by

$$
\frac{1}{2} g_{2}^{1}(x, 0, \alpha)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Proj}_{\operatorname{ker}\left(M_{2}^{1}\right)} f_{2}^{1}(x, 0, \alpha)
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} g_{2}^{1}(x, 0, \alpha)=\binom{A_{1} x_{1} \alpha}{\bar{A}_{1} x_{2} \alpha} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}=\frac{i \sigma_{k}}{\tau_{k}}\left(u_{1}+u_{2} v_{2}\right)$. We notice that

$$
g_{3}^{1}(x, 0, \alpha) \in \operatorname{ker}\left(M_{3}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{\binom{x_{1}^{2} x_{2}}{0},\binom{x_{1} \alpha^{2}}{0},\binom{0}{x_{1} x_{2}^{2}},\binom{0}{x_{2} \alpha^{2}}\right\}
$$

However, the terms $O\left(|x| \alpha^{2}\right)$ are irrelevant to determine the generic Hopf bifurcation. Thus, we only need to compute the coefficients of $\binom{x_{1}^{2} x_{2}}{0}$ and $\binom{0}{x_{1} x_{2}^{2}}$. Note that

$$
\frac{1}{3!} g_{3}^{1}(x, 0, \alpha)=\frac{1}{3!} \operatorname{Proj}_{k e r\left(M_{3}^{1}\right)} \tilde{f}_{3}^{1}(x, 0, \alpha)=\frac{1}{3!} \operatorname{Proj}_{s} \tilde{f}_{3}^{1}(x, 0,0)+O\left(|x| \alpha^{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
s:=\operatorname{span}\left\{\binom{x_{1}^{2} x_{2}}{0},\binom{0}{x_{1} x_{2}^{2}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{f}_{3}^{1}(x, 0,0)=f_{3}^{1}(x, 0,0)+\frac{3}{2}\left[\left(D_{x} f_{2}^{1}\right) U_{2}^{1}-\left(D_{x} U_{2}^{1}\right) g_{2}^{1}\right]_{(x, 0,0)}+\frac{3}{2}\left[\left(D_{y} f_{2}^{1}\right) h\right]_{(x, 0,0)}
$$

here $\tilde{f}_{3}^{1}(x, 0,0)$ is the third order terms of the equation which is obtained after computing the second terms of the normal form.

Now we compute $\frac{1}{3!} g_{3}^{1}(x, 0, \alpha)$ step by step.
We first compute $\operatorname{Proj}_{s}\left[\left(D_{x} f_{2}^{1}\right) U_{2}^{1}\right]_{(x, 0,0)}$. Following [5], we know that

$$
U_{2}^{1}(x, 0)=\left(M_{2}^{1}\right)^{-1} P_{I, 2}^{1} f_{2}^{1}(x, 0,0)
$$

From 4.11, we can easily see that

$$
f_{2}^{1}(x, 0,0)=\binom{a_{20} x_{1}^{2}+a_{02} x_{2}^{2}}{\bar{a}_{02} x_{1}^{2}+\bar{a}_{20} x_{2}^{2}}
$$

According to the definition of $M_{2}^{1}$, the equation $M_{2}^{1} U_{2}^{1}(x, 0)=f_{2}^{1}(x, 0,0)$ can be written as the following differential equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}-x_{2} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}-u_{1} & =\frac{1}{i \sigma_{k}}\left(a_{20} x_{1}^{2}+a_{02} x_{2}^{2}\right) \\
x_{1} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}-x_{2} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}+u_{2} & =\frac{1}{i \sigma_{k}}\left(\bar{a}_{02} x_{1}^{2}+\bar{a}_{20} x_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the equation, we can easily obtain

$$
U_{2}^{1}(x, 0)=\binom{\frac{1}{i \sigma_{k}}\left(a_{20} x_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{3} a_{02} x_{2}^{2}\right)}{\frac{1}{i \sigma_{k}}\left(\frac{1}{3} \bar{a}_{02} x_{1}^{2}-\bar{a}_{20} x_{2}^{2}\right)} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\operatorname{Proj}_{s}\left[\left(D_{x} f_{2}^{1}\right) U_{2}^{1}\right]_{(x, 0,0)}=\binom{\frac{2}{3 i \sigma_{k}}\left|a_{02}\right|^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}}{\frac{-2}{3 i \sigma_{k}}\left|a_{02}\right|^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}}
$$

From 4.13), we know $g_{2}^{1}(x, 0,0)=0$. Thus, $\left[\left(D_{x} U_{2}^{1}\right) g_{2}^{1}\right]_{(x, 0,0)}=0$.
Next, we compute $\operatorname{Proj}_{s}\left[\left(D_{y} f_{2}^{1}\right) h\right]_{(x, 0,0)}$, where $h=\left(h^{1}, h^{2}\right)^{T}$ is a second order homogeneous polynomial in $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \alpha\right)$ with coefficients in $Q^{1}$, which has the form

$$
h=h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \alpha\right)=h_{110} x_{1} x_{2}+h_{101} x_{1} \alpha+h_{011} x_{2} \alpha+h_{200} x_{1}^{2}+h_{020} x_{2}^{2}+h_{002} \alpha^{2}
$$

and $h=h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \alpha\right)$ is the unique solution in $V_{2}^{3}\left(Q^{1}\right)$ of the equation

$$
\left(M_{2}^{2} h\right)(x, \alpha)=(I-\pi) X_{0}\left[2 L(\alpha)(\Phi x)+F_{2}\left(\Phi x, \tau_{k}\right)\right]
$$

As in [5], we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(M_{2}^{2} h\right)(x, \alpha) & =D_{x} h(x, \alpha) B x-A_{Q^{1}}(h(x, \alpha)) \\
& =D_{x} h(x, \alpha) B x-\dot{h}(x, \alpha)-X_{0}\left[L\left(\tau_{k}\right)(h(x, \alpha))-\dot{h}(x, \alpha)(0)\right] \\
& =(I-\pi) X_{0}\left[2 L(\alpha)(\Phi x)+F_{2}\left(\Phi x, \tau_{k}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

thus, $h(x, \theta)$ is also evaluated by the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{h}(x)-D_{x} h(x) B x=\Phi \Psi(0)\left[F_{2}\left(\Phi x, \tau_{k}\right)\right]  \tag{4.14}\\
\dot{h}(x)(0)-L\left(\tau_{k}\right)(h(x))=F_{2}\left(\Phi x, \tau_{k}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\dot{h}$ denotes the derivative of $h(x)(\theta)$ relative to $\theta$. According to 4.10, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2}^{1}(x, y, 0) & =\Psi(0) F_{2}\left(\Phi x+y, \tau_{k}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1} & u_{2} \\
\bar{u}_{1} & \bar{u}_{2}
\end{array}\right) \tau_{k}\binom{-a d_{1}^{2}-b d_{1} d_{2}-c d_{1} n_{2}}{-a n_{1}^{2}+c d_{2} n_{1}-b n_{1} n_{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1}=x_{1}+x_{2}+y_{1}(0), & d_{2}=e^{-i \sigma_{k}} x_{1}+e^{i \sigma_{k}} x_{2}+y_{1}(-1), \\
n_{1}=v_{2} x_{1}+\bar{v}_{2} x_{2}+y_{2}(0), & l_{2}=e^{-i \sigma_{k}} v_{2} x_{1}+e^{i \sigma_{k}} \bar{v}_{2} x_{2}+y_{2}(-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(D_{y} f_{2}^{1}\right) h\right]_{(x, 0,0)}} \\
& =2 \tau_{k}\left(\begin{array}{l}
u^{T}\left(\begin{array}{l}
-2 a d_{1}^{\prime} h^{1}(0)-b d_{1}^{\prime} h^{1}(-1)-b d_{2}^{\prime} h^{1}(0)-c d_{1}^{\prime} h^{2}(-1)-c n_{2}^{\prime} h^{1}(0) \\
-2 a n_{1}^{\prime} h^{2}(0)+c d_{2}^{\prime} h^{2}(0)+c n_{1}^{\prime} h^{1}(-1)-b n_{1}^{\prime} h^{2}(-1)-b n_{2}^{\prime} h^{2}(0) \\
-2 a d_{1}^{\prime} h^{1}(0)-b d_{1}^{\prime} h^{1}(-1)-b d_{2}^{\prime} h^{1}(0)-c d_{1}^{\prime} h^{2}(-1)-c n_{2}^{\prime} h^{1}(0) \\
-2 a n_{1}^{\prime} h^{2}(0)+c d_{2}^{\prime} h^{2}(0)+c n_{1}^{\prime} h^{1}(-1)-b n_{1}^{\prime} h^{2}(-1)-b n_{2}^{\prime} h^{2}(0)
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d_{1}^{\prime}=x_{1}+x_{2}, & d_{2}^{\prime}=e^{-i \sigma_{k}} x_{1}+e^{i \sigma_{k}} x_{2} \\
n_{1}^{\prime}=v_{2} x_{1}+\bar{v}_{2} x_{2}, & n_{2}^{\prime}=e^{-i \sigma_{k}} v_{2} x_{1}+e^{i \sigma_{k}} \bar{v}_{2} x_{2} \tag{4.16}
\end{array}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left.\operatorname{Proj}_{s}\left[\left(D_{y} f_{2}^{1}\right) h\right)\right]_{(x, 0,0)}=\binom{2 c_{3} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}}{2 \bar{c}_{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{3}=u^{T} \tau_{k} \\
& \quad \times\binom{ A h_{110}^{1}(0)+\bar{A} h_{200}^{1}(0)+B h_{110}^{1}(-1)+\bar{B} h_{200}^{1}(-1)+C h_{110}^{2}(-1)+\bar{C} h_{200}^{2}(-1)}{D h_{110}^{1}(-1)+\bar{D} h_{200}^{1}(-1)+E h_{110}^{2}(0)+\bar{E} h_{200}^{2}(0)+F h_{110}^{2}(-1)+\bar{F} h_{200}^{2}(-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=-2 a-b e^{-i \sigma_{k}}-c e^{-i \sigma_{k}} v_{2}, \quad B=-b, \quad C=-c \\
D=c v_{2}, \quad E=-2 a v_{2}+c e^{-i \sigma_{k}}-b e^{-i \sigma_{k}} v_{2}, \quad F=-b v_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, we only need to compute $h_{110}(\theta)$ and $h_{200}(\theta)$. From 4.14) and 4.15), we know that $h_{110}=\left(h_{110}^{1}, h_{110}^{2}\right)^{T}$ and $h_{200}=\left(h_{200}^{1}, h_{200}^{2}\right)^{T}$ are respectively the solution of the following two systems

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{h}_{110}=\binom{0}{0} \\
\dot{h}_{110}(0)-L\left(\tau_{k}\right)\left(h_{110}\right)=2 \tau_{k}\binom{a_{1}}{b_{1}} \tag{4.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{h}_{200}-2 i \sigma_{k} h_{200}=\left(\Phi_{1} \Phi_{2}\right)\binom{a_{20}}{\bar{a}_{02}} \\
& \dot{h}_{200}(0)-L\left(\tau_{k}\right)\left(h_{200}\right)=2 \tau_{k}\binom{a_{2}}{b_{2}} \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1}=-2 a-b e^{i \sigma_{k}}-b e^{-i \sigma_{k}}-c e^{i \sigma_{k}} \bar{v}_{2}-c e^{-i \sigma_{k}} v_{2}=0 \\
b_{1}=-2 a v_{2} \bar{v}_{2}+c e^{-i \sigma_{k}} \bar{v}_{2}+c e^{i \sigma_{k}} v_{2}-b e^{i \sigma_{k}} v_{2} \bar{v}_{2}-b e^{-i \sigma_{k}} v_{2} \bar{v}_{2}=0, \\
a_{2}=-a-b e^{-i \sigma_{k}}-c v_{2} e^{-i \sigma_{k}} \\
b_{2}=-a v_{2}^{2}+c e^{-i \sigma_{k}} v_{2}-b v_{2}^{2} e^{-i \sigma_{k}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Solving 4.17) and 4.18, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{110}(\theta)=c_{1} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{200}(\theta)=-\frac{1}{i \sigma_{k}}\left(a_{20} e^{i \sigma_{k} \theta} v+\frac{1}{3} \bar{a}_{02} e^{-i \sigma_{k} \theta} \bar{v}\right)+e^{2 i \sigma_{k} \theta} c_{2} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}=\left(c_{1}^{(1)}, c_{1}^{(2)}\right)^{T}, c_{2}=\left(c_{2}^{(1)}, c_{2}^{(2)}\right)^{T}$ and

$$
c_{1}^{(1)}=\frac{2 a_{1}(a+b) y^{*}-2 b_{1} c x^{*}}{x^{*} y^{*}\left[(a+b)^{2}+c^{2}\right]}, \quad c_{1}^{(2)}=\frac{2 a_{1} c y^{*}+2 b_{1}(a+b) x^{*}}{x^{*} y^{*}\left[(a+b)^{2}+c^{2}\right]}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{2}^{(1)}= & \left(2 \tau_{k} a_{2}\left(2 i \sigma_{k}+\tau_{k} a y^{*}+\tau_{k} b y^{*} e^{-2 i \sigma_{k}}\right)-2 \tau_{k}^{2} b_{2} c x^{*} e^{-2 i \sigma_{k}}\right) \\
& \div\left(\tau_{k}^{2} c^{2} x^{*} y^{*} e^{-4 i \sigma_{k}}+\left(2 i \sigma_{k}+\tau_{k} a x^{*}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\tau_{k} b x^{*} e^{-2 i \sigma_{k}}\right)\left(2 i \sigma_{k}+\tau_{k} a y^{*}+\tau_{k} b y^{*} e^{-2 i \sigma_{k}}\right)\right) \\
c_{2}^{(2)}= & \left(2 \tau_{k} b_{2}\left(2 i \sigma_{k}+\tau_{k} a x^{*}+\tau_{k} b x^{*} e^{-2 i \sigma_{k}}\right)+2 \tau_{k}^{2} a_{2} c y^{*} e^{-2 i \sigma_{k}}\right) \\
& \div\left(\tau_{k}^{2} c^{2} x^{*} y^{*} e^{-4 i \sigma_{k}}+\left(2 i \sigma_{k}+\tau_{k} a x^{*}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\tau_{k} b x^{*} e^{-2 i \sigma_{k}}\right)\left(2 i \sigma_{k}+\tau_{k} a y^{*}+\tau_{k} b y^{*} e^{-2 i \sigma_{k}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we compute $\operatorname{Proj}_{s} f_{3}^{1}(x, 0,0)$. From (4.8), we can see that $f_{3}^{1}(x, 0,0)=0$.
Summarizing the above steps, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{3!} g_{3}^{1}(x, 0,0)=\binom{A_{3} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}}{\bar{A}_{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}=\frac{1}{6 i \sigma_{k}}\left|a_{02}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} c_{3} . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, the normal form of 4.9 has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x} & =B x+\frac{1}{2} g_{2}^{1}(x, 0, \alpha)+\frac{1}{3!} g_{3}^{1}(x, 0, \alpha)+\text { h.o.t. } \\
& =B x+\binom{A_{1} x_{1} \alpha}{\bar{A}_{1} x_{2} \alpha}+\binom{A_{3} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}}{\bar{A}_{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}}+O\left(|x| \alpha^{2}+|x|^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The normal form 4.9 relative to $P$ can be written in real coordinates ( $w_{1}, w_{2}$ ) through the change of variables $x_{1}=w_{1}-i w_{2}, x_{2}=w_{1}+i w_{2}$. Followed by the use of polar coordinates $(\rho, \xi), w_{1}=\rho \cos \xi, w_{2}=\rho \sin \xi$, this formal form becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\rho}=k_{1} \alpha \rho+k_{2} \rho^{3}+O\left(\alpha^{2} \rho+|(\rho, \alpha)|^{4}\right), \\
\dot{\xi}=-\sigma_{k}+O(\mid(\rho, \alpha \mid), \tag{4.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k_{1}=\operatorname{Re} A_{1}, \quad k_{2}=\operatorname{Re} A_{3}$. Following [2], we know that the sign of $k_{1} k_{2}$ determines the direction of the bifurcation and the sign of $k_{2}$ determines the stability of the nontrivial periodic solution bifurcating from Hopf bifurcation. Therefore, we have the following theorem

Theorem 4.1. The flow of 4.6) on the center manifold of the origin at $\tau=\tau_{k}$ is given by 4.22). Hopf bifurcation is supercritical if $k_{1} k_{2}<0$ and subcritical if $k_{1} k_{2}>0$. Moreover, the nontrivial periodic solution is stable if $k_{2}<0$ and unstable if $k_{2}>0$.

We remark that by the same method and calculation, for the nonsymmetric system, we can also obtain a similar conclusion. Thus, the symmetry does not affect the emergence of periodic solutions.

## 5. Numerical simulations

In the section, we will give numerical simulations to illustrate the conclusions obtained. Consider system (3.1) with $r_{1}=3 / 2, r_{2}=1, a=1 / 2, b=1 / 3, c=1$;
that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) & =x(t)\left[\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2} x(t)-\frac{1}{3} x(t-\tau)-y(t-\tau)\right] \\
\dot{y}(t) & =y(t)\left[1-\frac{1}{2} y(t)+x(t-\tau)-\frac{1}{3} y(t-\tau)\right] \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, system (5.1 has unique positive equilibrium $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)=\left(\frac{9}{61}, \frac{84}{61}\right)$. By means of the software Maple, we know that $y_{0}=0.0599$ is a simple root of (3.7). By computation, we obtain $\sigma_{+}=0.2448, \tau_{0}=4.4898, \sigma_{0}=\tau_{0} \sigma_{+}=1.0989$. Applying Theorems 3.3 and 4.1, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. The characteristic equation of (5.1) at $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)=\left(\frac{9}{61}, \frac{84}{91}\right)$ has a simple pair of purely imaginary roots $\pm i \sigma_{0}$ for $\tau=\tau_{0}$ and the other roots has nonzero real parts. System (5.1) with $\tau_{0}=4.4898$ has a supercritical Hopf bifurcation and the nontrivial periodic solution bifurcating from Hopf bifurcation of (5.1) is stable on the center manifold.
Proof. From Theorem 3.3 , we know that the equilibrium $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ is locally asymptotically stable when $\tau \in[0,4.4898)$ and is unstable when $\tau>4.4898$. In the following, we will consider the direction and stability of Hopf bifurcation at $\tau=$ $\tau_{0}=4.4898$. By means of software Maple, we obtain the following values:

$$
v=\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}}=\binom{1}{0.9197-1.1994 i}, \quad u=\binom{u_{1}}{u_{2}}=\binom{0.2107-0.3369 i}{0.0226+0.0602 i}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{20}=5.1560+3.3283 i, \quad a_{02}=-4.9973-2.9057 i \\
c_{3}=-24.0701-5.0921 i
\end{gathered}
$$

According to 4.12 and 4.21, we obtain

$$
A_{1}=0.0756+0.0744 i, \quad A_{3}=-12.0351-7.6141 i
$$

Thus, $k_{1}=0.0756, k_{2}=-12.0351$. Applying Theorem 4.1, we obtain that system (5.1) has a supercritical Hopf bifurcation at $\tau=\tau_{0}$ and the nontrivial periodic solution associated with Hopf bifurcation at $\tau=\tau_{0}$ is stable on the center manifold. The proof is complete.

The numerical simulations for $\tau=4.4898$ are shown in Figure 1
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