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## BLOW-UP AND GENERAL DECAY OF SOLUTIONS FOR A NONLINEAR VISCOELASTIC EQUATION

WENYING CHEN, YANGPING XIONG


#### Abstract

In this article we investigate a nonlinear viscoelastic equation that admits blow-up and decay. First, we establish blow-up results for this equation, even for vanishing initial energy. Then, we show that the solutions decay under suitable conditions.


## 1. Introduction

In this article, we consider the viscoelastic equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}-\Delta u+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau+u_{t}=u|u|^{p-1}, \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, \infty) \\
u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, t \geq 0  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 1)$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, p>1$, and $g$ is a positive nonincreasing function.

There have been extensive studies on some special cases of this equation and the physical background is also given in these works; see [3, 4, 1, 9, 7, 8, 10, 5, 12, 2, 14 and references therein. For instance, the equation without $u_{t}$ is studied in [3], the local existence theorem is established, and for certain initial data and suitable conditions on $g$ and $p$, that this solution is global with energy which decays exponentially or polynomially depending on the rate of the decay of the relaxation function $g$. In the absence of the viscoelastic term $(g=0)$, for instance, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\Delta u+a u_{t}\left|u_{t}\right|^{m}=b u|u|^{\gamma}, \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, \infty), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we know that the source term $b u|u|^{\gamma}(\gamma>0)$ causes finite-time blow-up of solutions with negative initial energy when $a=0$, cf. [1]. The interaction between the damping and the source terms was first considered by Levine [7, 8, for the linear damping case $(m=0)$. He showed that solutions with negative initial energy blow up in finite time. Recently, In [14, it is proved that the solution blows up in finite time even for vanishing initial energy. Another case with time dependent damping $b(t) u_{t}$ is studied in [11]. Georgiev and Todorova [5] extended Levines result to the nonlinear damping case $(m>0)$. In 4, it is showed that the solution blows up in

[^0]finite time even for vanishing initial energy. We mention the work of Liu and Zhou [9], the equation
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-\Delta u=a^{-k}|u|^{\gamma}, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times(0, \infty) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

is studied, it is proved that the solutions blow up in finite time with more relaxed initial data and extended index $\gamma$.

For the problem (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, Mohammad Kafinia and Salim Messaoudib in [6] give a finite-time blow-up result under suitable conditions on the initial data and the relaxation function, this work extend the result of [13], established for the wave equation, to the problem (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In this paper we improve the result of blow-up in [6], and discuss the phenomenon of decay for the solution of equation 1.1). This is an important breakthrough, since it is only well known that the solution blows up in finite time if the initial energy is negative from all the previous literature.

Now, we list some notation that will be used in our paper. Use $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ to denote the $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ norm. Throughout this paper, $C$ denotes a generic positive constant (generally large), it may be different from line to line.

The remainder of the paper will be organized as follows. In the next section, we review some preliminaries that will be used in the proof of our main theorems. Then, the blow-up phenomenon will be considered in Section 3 . In the last Section, we discuss the decay of the solution to equation (1.1).

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we review some preliminaries that will be used in the proof of our main theorems. Throughout this paper,

$$
\frac{n+2}{[n-2]_{+}}= \begin{cases}\infty, & n=1,2 \\ \frac{n+2}{n-2}, & n \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

The relaxation function $g$ satisfies:
(H1) $g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a differentiable function such that

$$
g(0)>0, \quad 1-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(\tau) d \tau=l>0, \quad t \geq 0
$$

(H2) There exists a positive differentiable function $\xi(t)$ such that

$$
g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\xi(t) g(t), \quad t \geq 0
$$

and

$$
\left|\frac{\xi^{\prime}(t)}{\xi(t)}\right| \leq k, \xi(t)>0, \xi^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, t>0
$$

Remark 2.1. Since $\xi$ is nonincreasing, then $\xi(t) \leq \xi(0)=M$.
The embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ for $2 \leq q \leq \frac{2 n}{n-2}$, if $n \geq 3$ and $q \geq 2$, if $n=1,2$; $L^{r}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ for $q<r$; that is to say, there exists a constant $C_{e}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{q} \leq C_{e}\|\nabla u\|_{2}, \quad\|u\|_{q} \leq C_{e}\|u\|_{r} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two inequalities will be used frequently in this article.
We define the energy corresponding to problem (1.1) as
$E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)-\frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}$,
here

$$
(g \circ v)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)\|v(t)-v(\tau)\|_{2}^{2} d \tau
$$

By a direct calculation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t) \leq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can deduce that $E(t) \leq E(0)$ for $t \geq 0$.
Remark 2.2. The largest $T$ for which the solution exists on $[0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called the lifespan of the solution of $(1.1)$. The supremum of the $T$ 's is denoted by $T^{*}$. If $T^{*}=\infty$, we say the solution is global while $T^{*}<\infty$ we say that solution blows up in finite time.

Lemma 2.3. If $p$ satisfies $p<\frac{n+2}{[n-2]_{+}}$, then there exists a positive constant $C>1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p+1}^{s} \leq C\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}\right) \quad \text { with } 2 \leq s \leq p+1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u$ being a solution of 1.1 on $[0, T)$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p+1}^{s} \leq C\left(H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+(g \circ \nabla u)(t)+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \quad \text { with } 2 \leq s \leq p+1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $[0, T)$ and here $H(t):=-E(t)$.
Proof. If $\|u\|_{p+1} \leq 1$, the estimate $\|u\|_{p+1}^{s} \leq\|u\|_{p+1}^{2} \leq B^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}$ is true.
If $\|u\|_{p+1}>1$, we have $\|u\|_{p+1}^{s} \leq\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}$. Combining the two inequalities we obtain (2.4).

Note that (2.5) follows from (2.4) and the definition of energy corresponding to the solution.

## 3. BLOW-UP PHENOMENON

Theorem 3.1. Assume that (H1), (H2) hold, $1<p<\frac{n+2}{[n-2]_{+}}, \int_{0}^{\infty} g(\tau) d \tau<$ $\frac{(p+1)(p-1)}{1+(p+1)(p-1)}$ and $E(0)<0$. Then the solution blows up in finite time.

Proof. From the definition of $H(t)$, we have

$$
H^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)+\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq 0
$$

and

$$
0<H(0) \leq H(t) \leq \frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}
$$

Moreover, we define

$$
L(t)=H^{1-\alpha}(t)+\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x
$$

here $\epsilon$ small to be chosen later, $0<\alpha \leq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}$.

By differentiating the above equality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime}(t)= & (1-\alpha) H^{-\alpha}(t) H^{\prime}(t)+\epsilon \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x+\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t t} d x \\
= & (1-\alpha) H^{-\alpha}(t)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)+\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\epsilon\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\epsilon\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\epsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(t) \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) d \tau d x  \tag{3.1}\\
& -\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x+\epsilon\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using Young and Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(t) \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) d \tau d x  \tag{3.2}\\
& \geq-\delta\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{4 \delta}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)(g \circ \nabla u)(t)+\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \\
&  \tag{3.3}\\
& \quad \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x \leq \frac{\delta^{2}}{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\delta^{-2}}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting (3.2) and (3.3) into (3.1), we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\prime}(t) \geq & (1-\alpha) H^{-\alpha}(t)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)+\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\epsilon\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\left(-1-\delta+\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right) \epsilon\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{4 \delta}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
& -\frac{\epsilon \delta^{2}}{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{\epsilon \delta^{-2}}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\epsilon\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we set $\delta^{2}=k H^{\alpha}, \delta^{-2}=k^{-1} H^{-\alpha}, k>0$ and we have

$$
H^{\alpha}(t)\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\alpha}\|u\|_{p+1}^{2+\alpha(p+1)}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\prime}(t) \geq & \left(1-\alpha-\frac{\epsilon}{2 k}\right) H^{-\alpha}(t)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left[p+1-\frac{k C}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\alpha}\right] \epsilon H(t) \\
& +\left[\frac{p-1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)-\delta-\frac{k C}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\alpha}\right] \epsilon\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\left[\frac{p+1}{2}-\frac{1}{4 \delta} \int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau-\frac{k C}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\alpha}\right] \epsilon(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
& +\left[\frac{p+3}{2}-\frac{k C}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\alpha}\right] \epsilon\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the hypothesis in Theorem 3.1 and take $k$ and $\delta$ to be small enough such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{p-1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)-\delta-\frac{k C}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\alpha}>0 \\
\frac{p+1}{2}-\frac{1}{4 \delta} \int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau-\frac{k C}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\alpha}>0
\end{gathered}
$$

Choose $\epsilon$ ( $k$ is fixed) small enough such that

$$
1-\alpha-\frac{\epsilon}{2 k} \geq 0, \quad L(0)=H^{1-\alpha}(0)+\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u_{0} u_{1} d x>0
$$

Then, we can deduce that

$$
L^{\prime}(t) \geq C\left[H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+(g \circ \nabla u)(t)\right] .
$$

Thanks to Hölder and Young inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|^{1 /(1-\alpha)} & \leq\|u\|_{2}^{1 /(1-\alpha)}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{1 /(1-\alpha)} \leq C\|u\|_{p+1}^{1 /(1-\alpha)}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{1 /(1-\alpha)} \\
& \leq C\left(\|u\|_{p+1}^{s}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leq C\left(H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+(g \circ \nabla u)(t)+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $2 \leq s=\frac{2}{1-2 \alpha} \leq p+1$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{1 /(1-\alpha)}(t) & =\left(H^{1-\alpha}(t)+\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right)^{1 /(1-\alpha)} \\
& \leq 2^{1 /(1-\alpha)}\left(H(t)+\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|^{1 /(1-\alpha)}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+(g \circ \nabla u)(t)+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $L^{\prime}(t) \geq \lambda L^{1 /(1-\alpha)}(t)$, where $\lambda$ is a constant depending on $C, p$, $\alpha$ and $\epsilon$. Therefore

$$
L(t) \geq\left(L^{\frac{-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}(0)+\frac{-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \lambda t\right)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} .
$$

So $L(t)$ approaches infinite as $t$ tends to $(1-\alpha) /\left(\alpha \lambda L^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}(0)\right)$. This completes the proof.

To obtain another blow-up result we first give the following lemma.
Lemma 3.2. Assume that (H1), (H2) hold, additionally, assume that

$$
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{p+1}>\lambda_{0} \equiv B_{0}^{\frac{-2}{p-1}}, \quad E(0)<E_{0}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) B_{0}^{\frac{-2(p+1)}{p-1}}
$$

Then

$$
\|u\|_{p+1}>\lambda_{0}, \quad\|\nabla u\|_{2}>B_{0}^{\frac{-(p+1)}{p-1}}, \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0
$$

where $B_{0}=\frac{B}{l^{1 / 2}}$ for $\|u\|_{p+1} \leq B\|\nabla u\|_{2}$.
Proof. From 2.2 and the hypothesis, we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(t) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)-\frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
& \geq \frac{l}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \geq \frac{1}{2 B_{0}^{2}}\|u\|_{p+1}^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $h(\xi)=\frac{1}{2 B_{0}^{2}} \xi^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1} \xi^{p+1}, \xi \geq 0$. Then $h(\xi)$ satisfies

- $h(\xi)$ is strictly increasing on $\left[0, \lambda_{0}\right)$;
- $h(\xi)$ takes its maximum value $\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) B_{0}^{\frac{-2(p+1)}{p-1}}$ at $\lambda_{0}$;
- $h(\xi)$ is strictly decreasing on $\left(\lambda_{0}, \infty\right)$.

Since $E_{0}>E(0) \geq E(t) \geq h\left(\|u\|_{p+1}\right)$ for all $t \geq 0$, there is no time $t^{*}$ such that $\left\|u\left(\cdot, t^{*}\right)\right\|_{p+1}=\lambda_{0}$. By the continuity of the $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{p+1}$ - norm with respect to the time variable, one has

$$
\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{p+1}>\lambda_{0}=B_{0}^{\frac{-2}{p-1}} \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0
$$

and consequently,

$$
\|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{2} \geq \frac{1}{l^{1 / 2} B_{0}}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{p+1}>\frac{1}{l^{1 / 2}} B_{0}^{\frac{-(p+1)}{p-1}}>B_{0}^{\frac{-(p+1)}{p-1}}
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem 3.3. Suppose that(H1), (H2) hold, $1<p<\frac{n+2}{[n-2]_{+}}$,

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} g(\tau) d \tau<\frac{(p+1)(p-1)}{1+(p+1)(p-1)}
$$

$\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{p+1}>\lambda_{0}$ and $E(0) \leq E_{0}$. Then the solution of 1.1 blows up in finite time.
Proof. Set $G(t)=E_{0}+H(t)$, then

$$
G^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)+\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq 0
$$

from which we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<G(t) & =E_{0}+H(t)=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) B_{0}^{\frac{-2(p+1)}{p-1}}+H(t) \\
& <\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+H(t)<C\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+H(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & <G(t) \\
& =E_{0}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)+\frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
& \leq E_{0}-\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) B_{0}^{\frac{-2(p+1)}{p-1}}-\frac{l}{2}\left(\frac{1}{l^{1 / 2}}\right)^{2} B_{0}^{\frac{-2(p+1)}{p-1}}+\frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
Q(t)=G^{1-\alpha}(t)+\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x
$$

with $\epsilon$ small to be chosen later, $0<\alpha \leq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}$.
By the same process as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, deduce that

$$
Q^{\prime}(t) \geq C\left[H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+(g \circ \nabla u)(t)\right] .
$$

Thanks to (3.4), we obtain

$$
Q^{1 /(1-\alpha)}(t)=\left(G^{1-\alpha}(t)+\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right)^{1 /(1-\alpha)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq 2^{1 /(1-\alpha)}\left(G(t)+\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right|^{1 /(1-\alpha)}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(H(t)+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+(g \circ \nabla u)(t)+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $Q^{\prime}(t) \geq \lambda Q^{1 /(1-\alpha)}(t)$, where $\lambda$ is a constant depending on $C, p$, $\alpha$ and $\epsilon$. Therefore

$$
Q(t) \geq\left(Q^{\frac{-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}(0)+\frac{-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \lambda t\right)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}
$$

So $Q(t)$ approaches infinite as $t$ tends to $\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha \lambda Q^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}(0)}$. This completes the proof.

## 4. Decay solutions

The purpose of this section is to give a decay result of the solution. Set

$$
I(t)=\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+(g \circ \nabla u)(t)-\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}
$$

As in [10], to give our decay result, we first prove the following lemmas.
Lemma 4.1. Suppose that (H1), (H2) hold, $p<\frac{n+2}{[n-2]_{+}}$, and $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times$ $L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{C_{e}^{p+1}}{l}\left(\frac{2(p+1) E(0)}{(p-1) l}\right)^{(p-1) / 2}<1, I\left(u_{0}\right)>0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $I(u(t))>0$, for all $t>0$. Here $C_{e}$ is given in (2.1).
Proof. Since $I\left(u_{0}\right)>0$, there exists $T_{m}<T$, such that

$$
I(u(t))>0, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T_{m}\right]
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)-\frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
& =\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}\left[\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+(g \circ \nabla u)(t)\right]+\frac{1}{p+1} I(t) \\
& \geq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}\left[\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+(g \circ \nabla u)(t)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} E(t) \leq \frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} E(0) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (H1), 4.1) and 4.2, we obtain

$$
\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \leq C_{e}^{p+1}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{p+1} \leq \beta l\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}<\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Hence,

$$
I(t)=\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+(g \circ \nabla u)(t)-\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}>0, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T_{m}\right]
$$

By repeating this process, and using that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}} \frac{C_{e}^{p+1}}{l}\left(\frac{2(p+1) E\left(u, u_{t}\right)}{(p-1) l}\right)^{(p-1) / 2} \leq \beta<1
$$

we show that $T_{m}$ is extended to $T$.
To establish the decay rate, we use the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=E(t)+\epsilon_{1} \Psi(t)+\epsilon_{2} \Phi(t) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{2}$ are positive constants and

$$
\Psi(t)=\xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x, \quad \Phi(t)=-\xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x
$$

This functional, for $\xi(t)=1$, was first introduced in [3] and [2]. Now, let us consider some useful properties of this functional.

Lemma 4.2. Assume that $u(x, t)$ is the solution of (1.1) and that 4.1) holds. Then there exists $k_{1}<1$ and $k_{2}>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1} E(t) \leq F(t) \leq k_{2} E(t) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using Young, Schwarz and Poincaré inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x \leq \frac{C_{*}^{2}}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{4.5}\\
\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(1-l) C_{*}^{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) . \tag{4.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{2} E(t)-F(t) \geq & {\left[\left(\frac{k_{2}-1}{2}-\frac{k_{2}-1}{p+1}\right) l-\frac{\epsilon_{1} C_{*}^{2} M}{2}\right]\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} } \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{k_{2}-1-\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right) M\right\}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{k_{2}-1}{p+1} I(t) \\
& +\left[\frac{k_{2}-1}{2}-\frac{k_{2}-1}{p+1}-\frac{\epsilon_{2}(1-l) C_{*}^{2} M}{2}\right](g \circ \nabla u)(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(t)-k_{1} E(t) \geq & {\left[\left(\frac{1-k_{1}}{2}-\frac{1-k_{1}}{p+1}\right) l-\frac{\epsilon_{1} C_{*}^{2} M}{2}\right]\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} } \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[1-k_{1}-\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right) M\right]\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1-k_{1}}{p+1} I(t) \\
& +\left[\frac{1-k_{1}}{2}-\frac{1-k_{1}}{p+1}-\frac{\epsilon_{2}(1-l) C_{*}^{2} M}{2}\right](g \circ \nabla u)(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{2}$ small enough, such that $k_{2} E(t)-F(t) \geq 0$ and $F(t)-k_{1} E(t) \geq$ 0 , we complete the proof.

Lemma 4.3. Let (H1) and (H2) hold, and $p \leq \frac{n+2}{[n-2]_{+}}$. Assume that $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in$ $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $u$ is the solution of 1.1). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \left(1+\frac{(1-k)(1+k) C_{*}^{2}}{l}\right) \xi(t)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1-l}{2 l} \xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
& -\frac{l}{4} \xi(t)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\xi(t)\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By a direct computation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t)= & \xi(t)\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}-\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \nabla u(t) \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) d \tau d x\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right)+\xi^{\prime}(t) \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x  \tag{4.8}\\
:= & \xi(t)\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}-\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+A_{1}-A_{2}\right)+\xi^{\prime}(t) A_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By Young, Schwarz and Poincaré inequality, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\eta}\right)(1-l)(g \circ \nabla u)(t)+\frac{1}{2}(1+\eta)(1-l)^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{4.9}\\
A_{2} \leq \alpha C_{*}^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 \alpha}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{4.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining (4.8) and 4.9 with 4.10 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \left(1+\frac{1-k}{4 \alpha}\right) \xi(t)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\eta}\right)(1-l) \xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
& -\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{(1+\eta)(1-l)^{2}}{2}-(1+k) \alpha C_{*}^{2}\right] \xi(t)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\xi(t)\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose $\eta=l /(1-l)$ and $\alpha=l /\left(4(1+k) C_{*}^{2}\right)$; then 4.7) is true.
Lemma 4.4. Let (H1) and (H2) hold, $p \leq \frac{n+2}{[n-2]_{+}},\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $u$ is the solution of 1.1). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \delta\left[1+2(1-l)^{2}+C_{e}^{2 p}\left(\frac{2(p+1) E(0)}{l(p-1)}\right)^{p-1}\right] \xi(t)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& -\frac{g(0) C_{*}^{2}}{4 \delta} \xi(t)\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)+\left[\left(2 \delta+\frac{1}{2 \delta}\right)(1-l)+\frac{(2+k)(1-l) C_{*}^{2}}{4 \delta}\right]  \tag{4.11}\\
& \times \xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t)+\left[\delta(2+k)-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right] \xi(t)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Straightforward computations show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t)= & \xi(t) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)) d \tau d x \\
& -\xi(t) \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) d \tau\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)) d \tau\right) d x \\
& +\xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x \\
& -\xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u|u|^{p-1} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x \\
& -\xi(t) \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x-\xi(t)\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& -\xi^{\prime}(t) \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau d x \\
:= & \xi(t)\left[I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+I_{4}+I_{5}-\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right]-\xi^{\prime}(t) I_{3} . \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

By Young and Poincaré inequality, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{1} \leq \delta\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1-l}{4 \delta}(g \circ \nabla u)(t),  \tag{4.13}\\
I_{2} \leq\left(2 \delta+\frac{1}{4 \delta}\right)(1-l)(g \circ \nabla u)(t)+2 \delta(1-l)^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{4.14}\\
I_{3} \leq \delta\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{C_{*}^{2}(1-l)}{4 \delta}(g \circ \nabla u)(t),  \tag{4.15}\\
I_{4} \leq \delta C_{e}^{2 p}\left(\frac{2(p+1) E(0)}{l(p-1)}\right)^{p-1}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{(1-l) C_{*}^{2}}{4 \delta}(g \circ \nabla u)(t),  \tag{4.16}\\
I_{5} \leq \delta\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{g(0) C_{*}^{2}}{4 \delta}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t) . \tag{4.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining 4.12- 4.17, we have the required estimate 4.11).
We are ready to give our decay result.
Theorem 4.5. Suppose that (H1), (H2) and 4.1) hold, $p \leq \frac{n+2}{[n-2]_{+}},\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in$ $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then there exists positive constants $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ such that the solution of (1.1) satisfies

$$
E(t) \leq \alpha e^{-\lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \xi(\tau) d \tau}, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

Proof. Since $g$ is positive, continuous and $g(0)>0$, then for any $t_{0}>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau \geq \int_{0}^{t_{0}} g(\tau) d \tau=g_{0}>0, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.3), (4.3), (4.7), 4.11) and (4.18), for $t \geq t_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left\{\epsilon_{2}\left[g_{0}-\delta(2+k)\right]-\epsilon_{1}\left(1+\frac{(1-k)(1+k) C_{*}^{2}}{l}\right)\right\} \xi(t)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& -\left\{\frac{\epsilon_{1} l}{4}-\epsilon_{2} \delta\left[1+2(1-l)^{2}+C_{e}^{2 p}\left(\frac{2(p+1) E(0)}{l(p-1)}\right)^{p-1}\right]\right\} \xi(t)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\left\{\frac{\epsilon_{1}(1-l)}{2 l}+\epsilon_{2}\left[\left(2 \delta+\frac{1}{2 \delta}\right)(1-l)+\frac{(2+k)(1-l) C_{*}^{2}}{4 \delta}\right]\right\} \xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\epsilon_{2} g(0) C_{*}^{2} M}{4 \delta}\right)\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)+\epsilon_{1} \xi(t)\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
:= & -J_{1} \xi(t)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-J_{2} \xi(t)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+J_{3} \xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
& +J_{4}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)+\epsilon_{1} \xi(t)\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1 .} \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

We choose suitable constants $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{2}$ satisfying

$$
\frac{\epsilon_{1}\left(1+\frac{(1-k)(1+k) C_{*}^{2}}{l}\right)}{g_{0}-\delta(2+k)}<\epsilon_{2}<\frac{\epsilon_{1} l}{4 \delta\left[1+2(1-l)^{2}+C_{e}^{2 p}\left(\frac{2(p+1) E(0)}{l(p-1)}\right)^{p-1}\right]}
$$

and $\delta, \epsilon_{1}$ small enough, such that

$$
g_{0}-(2+k) \delta>\frac{1}{2} g_{0}, \quad J_{1}>0, \quad J_{2}>0, \quad k_{3}:=J_{4}-J_{3}>0
$$

which imply

$$
J_{4}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)+J_{3} \xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t) \leq-k_{3} \xi(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t)
$$

Applying (4.4 and 4.19 yields

$$
F^{\prime}(t) \leq-\gamma \xi(t) E(t) \leq \frac{-\gamma}{k_{2}} \xi(t) F(t)
$$

Therefore, after integrating the above inequality and using 4.4 again, we obtain the desire result.
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