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# EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS FOR $p(x)$-KIRCHHOFF TYPE EQUATIONS 

GHASEM A. AFROUZI, MARYAM MIRZAPOUR

Abstract. In this article, we study the nonlocal $p(x)$-Laplacian problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)=\lambda|u|^{q(x)-2} u \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

By means of variational methods and the theory of the variable exponent Sobolev spaces, we establish conditions for the existence of weak solutions.

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this article is to show the existence of solutions of the $p(x)$ Kirchhoff type eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)=\lambda|u|^{q(x)-2} u \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$, is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, M$ : $\mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, $p, q$ are continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}$ such that $1<p(x)<N$ and $q(x)>1$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\lambda$ is a positive number. The study of problems involving variable exponent growth conditions has a strong motivation due to the fact that they can model various phenomena which arise in the study of elastic mechanics [28, electrorheological fluids [1] or image restoration [6].

Equation (1.1) is called a nonlocal problem because of the the term $M$, which implies that the equation in $\sqrt{1.1}$ is no longer a pointwise equation. This causes some mathematical difficulties which make the study of such a problem particularly interesting. Nonlocal differential equations are also called Kirchhoff-type equations because Kirchhoff [23] investigated an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} d x\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which extends the classical D'Alembert's wave equation, by considering the effect of the changing in the length of the string during the vibration. A distinct feature

[^0]is that the 1.2 contains a nonlocal coefficient $\frac{\rho_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} d x$ which depends on the average $\frac{1}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} d x$, and hence the equation is no longer a pointwise equation. The parameters in $\sqrt{1.2}$ have the following meanings: $L$ is the length of the string, $h$ is the area of the cross-section, $E$ is the Young modulus of the material, $\rho$ is the mass density and $P_{0}$ is the initial tension. Lions [25] has proposed an abstract framework for the Kirchhoff-type equations. After the work by Lions [25], various equations of Kirchhoff-type have been studied extensively, see e.g. [3, 5] and [9]-14]. The study of Kirchhoff type equations has already been extended to the case involving the $p$-Laplacian (for details, see [13, 14, 9, 10]) and $p(x)$-Laplacian (see [4, 8, 11, 12, 22]). Motivated by the above papers and the results in [7, 26, we consider 1.1) to study the existence of weak solutions.

## 2. Preliminaries

For the reader's convenience, we recall some necessary background knowledge and propositions concerning the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces. We refer the reader to [15, 16, 18, 19] for details.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\{p(x): p(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega}), p(x)>1, \text { for all } x \in \bar{\Omega}\} ; \\
p^{+}=\max \{p(x): x \in \bar{\Omega}\}, \quad p^{-}=\min \{p(x) ; x \in \bar{\Omega}\} \\
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u: u \text { is a measurable real-valued function, } \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

with the norm

$$
|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}=|u|_{p(x)}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

becomes a Banach space [24]. We also define the space

$$
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega):|\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)}=|u(x)|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}+|\nabla u(x)|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} .
$$

We denote by $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. Of course the norm $\|u\|=|\nabla u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}$ is an equivalent norm in $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. In this paper, we denote by $X=W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Proposition $2.1\left([15,19)\right.$. (i) The conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)}=1$. For any $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u v| d x \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime-}}\right)|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p^{\prime}(x)} \leq 2|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p^{\prime}(x)}
$$

(ii) If $p_{1}(x), p_{2}(x) \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $p_{1}(x) \leq p_{2}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then $L^{p_{2}(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{p_{1}(x)}(\Omega)$ and the embedding is continuous.

Proposition $2.2\left([\boxed{20})\right.$. Set $\rho(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} d x$, then for $u \in X$ and $\left(u_{k}\right) \subset$ $X$, we have
(1) $\|u\|<1$ (respectively $=1 ;>1$ ) if and only if $\rho(u)<1$ (respectively $=1 ;>1$ );
(2) for $u \neq 0,\|u\|=\lambda$ if and only if $\rho\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right)=1$;
(3) if $\|u\|>1$, then $\|u\|^{p^{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq\|u\|^{p^{+}}$;
(4) if $\|u\|<1$, then $\|u\|^{p^{+}} \leq \rho(u) \leq\|u\|^{p^{-}}$;
(5) $\left\|u_{k}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ (respectively $\rightarrow \infty$ ) if and only if $\rho\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ (respectively $\rightarrow \infty$ ).

For $x \in \Omega$, let us define

$$
p^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)} & \text { if } p(x)<N \\ \infty & \text { if } p(x) \geq N\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 2.3 ([19]). If $q \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $q(x) \leq p^{*}(x)\left(q(x)<p^{*}(x)\right)$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then there is a continuous (compact) embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.4 ([21]). Denote

$$
I(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x, \quad \text { for all } \quad u \in X
$$

then $I(u) \in C^{1}(X, R)$ and the derivative operator $I^{\prime}$ of $I$ is

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v d x, \quad \text { for all } u, v \in X
$$

and we have
(1) I is a convex functional;
(2) $I^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is a bounded homeomorphism and strictly monotone operator;
(3) $I^{\prime}$ is a mapping of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$, namely: $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-\right.$ $u) \leq 0$, imply $u_{n} \rightarrow u$.

Definition 2.5. A function $u \in X$ is said to be a weak solution of (1.1) if

$$
M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)-2} u v d x=0
$$

for all $v \in X$.
The Euler-Lagrange functional associated to 1.1 is

$$
J_{\lambda}(u)=\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x
$$

where $\widehat{M}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} M(\tau) d \tau$. Then

$$
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)-2} u v d x
$$

for all $u, v \in X$, then we know that the weak solution of (1.1) corresponds to the critical point of the functional $J_{\lambda}$. Hereafter $M(t)$ is supposed to verify the following assumptions:
(M1) There exists $m_{2} \geq m_{1}>0$ and $\beta \geq \alpha>1$ such that $m_{1} t^{\alpha-1} \leq M(t) \leq$ $m_{2} t^{\beta-1}$.
(M2) For all $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \widehat{M}(t) \geq M(t) t$.
For simplicity, we use $c_{i}$, to denote the general nonnegative or positive constant (the exact value may change from line to line).

## 3. Main Results and proofs

Theorem 3.1. Assume that $M$ satisfies (M1) and (M2) and the function $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta p^{+}<q^{-} \leq q^{+}<p^{*}(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $\lambda>0$ problem (1.1) possesses a nontrivial weak solution.
Lemma 3.2. There exist $\eta>0$ and $\alpha>0$ such that $J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \alpha>0$ for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=\eta$.
Proof. First, we point out that

$$
|u(x)|^{q(x)} \leq|u(x)|^{q^{-}}+|u(x)|^{q^{+}}, \quad \text { for all } x \in \bar{\Omega}
$$

Using the above inequality and (M1), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(u) & =\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \geq \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\alpha}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}}\left(|u|_{q^{-}}^{q^{-}}+|u|_{q^{+}}^{q^{+}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, $X$ is continuously embedded in $L^{q^{-}}(\Omega)$ and $L^{q^{+}}(\Omega)$. Then, there exist two positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that

$$
|u(x)|_{q^{-}} \leq c_{1}\|u\|, \quad|u(x)|_{q^{+}} \leq c_{2}\|u\|, \quad \text { for all } u \in X
$$

Hence, for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|<1$, we obtain

$$
J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}}\|u\|^{\alpha p^{+}}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}}\left(c_{1}^{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{-}}+c_{2}^{q^{+}}\|u\|^{q^{+}}\right) .
$$

Since the function $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
g(t)=\frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}}-\frac{\lambda c_{1}^{q^{-}}}{q^{-}} t^{q^{-}-\alpha p^{+}}-\frac{\lambda c_{2}^{q^{+}}}{q^{-}} t^{q^{+}-\alpha p^{+}}
$$

is positive in a neighborhood of the origin, the proof is complete.
Lemma 3.3. There exists $e \in X$ with $\|e\|>\eta$ (where $\eta$ is given in Lemma 3.2) such that $J_{\lambda}(e)<0$.

Proof. Let $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), \psi \geq 0$ and $\psi \neq 0$ and $t>1$. By (M1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(t \psi) & =\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla t \psi|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|t \psi|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq \frac{m_{2}}{\beta}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla t \psi|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\beta}-\lambda \frac{t^{q^{-}}}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\psi|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq \frac{m_{2}}{\beta\left(p^{-}\right)^{\beta}} t^{\beta p^{+}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \psi|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\beta}-\lambda \frac{t^{q^{-}}}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\psi|^{q(x)} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\beta p^{+}<q^{-}$, we obtain $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda}(t \psi)=-\infty$. Then for $t>1$ large enough, we can take $e=t \psi$ such that $\|e\|>\eta$ and $J_{\lambda}(e)<0$.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemmas 3.23 .3 and the mountain pass theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [2], we deduce the existence of a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{3}>0, \quad J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $X$. Arguing by contradiction. We assume that, passing eventually to a subsequence, still denote by $\left(u_{n}\right),\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|>1$ for all $n$. By (3.2) and (M1)-(M2), for $n$ large enough, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+c_{3}+\left\|u_{n}\right\| \\
& \geq J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \geq M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
&-\frac{1}{q^{-}} M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x+\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \geq \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha-1}}\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha p^{-}}+\lambda\left(\frac{1}{q^{-}}-\frac{1}{q(x)}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \geq \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha-1}}\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha p^{-}}+\lambda\left(\frac{1}{q^{-}}-\frac{1}{q(x)}\right)\left(c_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q^{-}}+c_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q^{+}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing the above inequality by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha p^{-}}$, taking into account (3.1) holds true and passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain a contradiction. It follows that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $X$. This information, combined with the fact that $X$ is reflexive, implies that there exists a subsequence, still denote by $\left(u_{n}\right)$ and $u_{1} \in X$ such that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ converges weakly to $u_{1}$ in $X$. Note that Proposition 2.3 yields that $X$ is compactly embedded in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, it follows that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ converges strongly to $u_{1}$ in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$. Then by Hölder inequality we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)-2} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u_{1}\right) d x=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.2), we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u_{1}\right\rangle=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $X$, passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \rightarrow t_{0} \geq 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

If $t_{0}=0$ then $\left(u_{n}\right)$ converges strongly to $u_{1}=0$ in $X$ and the proof is complete. If $t_{0}>0$ then since the function $M$ is continuous, we obtain

$$
M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right) \rightarrow M\left(t_{0}\right) \geq 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Thus, by (M1), for sufficiently large $n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<c_{4} \leq M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right) \leq c_{5} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.3)-(3.5), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n}\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u_{1}\right) d x=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.4 we deduce that actually $\left(u_{n}\right)$ converges strongly to $u_{1}$ in $X$. Then by relation (3.2) we have

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{1}\right)=c_{3}>0, \quad J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=0
$$

that is, $u_{1}$ ia a nontrivial weak solution of (1.1).
Theorem 3.4. If we assume that (M1)-(M2) hold and $q \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<q^{-} \leq q^{+}<\alpha p^{-} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that for any $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$, problem (1.1) possesses $a$ nontrivial weak solution.

Under the theorem's conditions, we want to construct a global minimizer of the functional. We start with the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 3.5. The functional $J_{\lambda}$ is coercive on $X$.
Proof. By Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 2.2 , we deduce that for all $u \in X$,

$$
\left.J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right)\right)^{\alpha}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}}\left(c_{1}\|u\|^{q-}+c_{2}\|u\|^{q^{+}}\right) .
$$

Now we set $\|u\|>1$, then

$$
J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}}\|u\|^{\alpha p^{-}}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}}\left(c_{1}\|u\|^{q-}+c_{2}\|u\|^{q^{+}}\right) .
$$

Since by relation (3.7) we have $\alpha p^{-}>q^{+} \geq q^{-}$, we infer that $J_{\lambda}(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$. In other words, $J_{\lambda}$ is coercive in $X$.

Proof of Theorem 3.4, $J_{\lambda}(u)$ is a coercive functional and weakly lower semi-continuous on $X$. These two facts enable us to apply [27, Theorem 1.2] in order to find that there exists $u_{\lambda} \in X$ a global minimizer of $J_{\lambda}$ and thus a weak solution of problem (1.1).

We show $u_{\lambda}$ is not trivial for $\lambda$ large enough. Letting $t_{0}>1$ be a constant and $\Omega_{1}$ be an open subset of $\Omega$ with $\left|\Omega_{1}\right|>0$, we assume that $v_{0} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ is such that $v_{0}(x)=t_{0}$ for any $x \in \overline{\Omega_{1}}$ and $0 \leq v_{0}(x) \leq t_{0}$ in $\Omega \backslash \Omega_{1}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}\left(v_{0}\right) & =\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}\left|v_{0}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq c_{6}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{0}\right|^{q(x)} d x \leq c_{6}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{+}} t_{0}^{q^{-}}\left|\Omega_{1}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

So there exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that $J_{\lambda}\left(v_{0}\right)<0$ for any $\lambda \in\left[\lambda^{*},+\infty\right)$. It follows that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda^{*}, u_{\lambda}$ is a nontrivial weak solution of problem (1.1) for $\lambda$ large enough.

Theorem 3.6. If $q \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<q(x)<p(x)<p^{*}(x) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists $\lambda^{* *}>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{* *}\right)$, problem 1.1) possesses $a$ nontrivial weak solution.

We plan to apply Ekeland variational principle [17] to get a nontrivial solution to problem (1.1). We start with two auxiliary results.

Lemma 3.7. There exists $\lambda^{* *}>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{* *}\right)$ there are $\rho, a>0$ such that $J_{\lambda}(u) \geq a>0$ for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=\rho$.

Proof. Under the assumption of Theorem 3.6. $X$ is continuously embedded in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$. Thus, there exists a positive constant $c_{7}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{q(x)} \leq c_{7}\|u\| \quad \text { for all } u \in X \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, Let us assume that $\|u\|<\min \left\{1, \frac{1}{c_{7}}\right\}$, where $c_{7}$ is the positive constant from above. Then we have $|u|_{q(x)}<1$. Using Proposition 2.2 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d x \leq|u|_{q(x)}^{q^{-}} \quad \text { for all } u \in X \text { with }\|u\|=\rho \in(0,1) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (3.9) and 3.10 imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d x \leq c_{7}^{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{-}} \quad \text { for all } u \in X \text { with }\|u\|=\rho . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the hypotheses (M1) and (3.11), we deduce that for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=\rho$, the following hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(u) & =\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \geq \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}}\|u\|^{\alpha p^{+}}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} c_{7}^{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{-}} \\
& =\rho^{q^{-}}\left(\frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}} \rho^{\alpha p^{+}-q^{-}}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} c_{7}^{q^{-}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.8) we have $q^{-} \leq q^{+}<p^{-} \leq p^{+}<\alpha p^{+}$. So, if we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{* *}=\frac{m_{1} q^{-}}{2 \alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}} \rho^{\alpha p^{+}-q^{-}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{* *}\right)$ and $u \in X$ with $\|u\|=\rho$, there exists $a=\frac{\rho^{\alpha p^{+}}}{2 \alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}}$ such that $J_{\lambda}(u) \geq a>0$.

Lemma 3.8. For any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{* *}\right)$ given by (3.12), there exists $\varphi \in X$ such that $\varphi \geq 0, \varphi \neq 0$ and $J_{\lambda}(t \varphi)<0$ for all $t>0$ small enough.

Proof. Assumption (3.8) implies that $q(x)<\beta p(x)$. Let $\epsilon_{0}>0$ such that $q^{-}+\epsilon_{0}<$ $\beta p^{-}$. Since $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, there exists an open set $\Omega_{0} \subset \Omega$ such that $\left|q(x)-q^{-}\right|<\epsilon_{0}$ for all $x \in \Omega_{0}$. It follows that $q(x)<q^{-}+\epsilon_{0}<\beta p^{-}$for all $x \in \Omega_{0}$.

Let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \supset \overline{\Omega_{0}}, \varphi(x)=1$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega_{0}}$ and $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ in $\Omega$. Then for any $t \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(t \varphi) & =\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla t \varphi|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}|t \varphi|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq \frac{m_{2}}{\beta}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla t \varphi|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\beta}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} t^{q(x)}|\varphi|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq \frac{m_{2}}{\beta\left(p^{-}\right)^{\beta}} t^{\beta p^{-}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\beta}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{+}} t^{q^{-}+\epsilon_{0}} \int_{\Omega_{0}}|\varphi|^{q(x)} d x<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t<\delta^{\frac{1}{\beta p^{-}-q^{-}-\epsilon_{0}}}$ with

$$
0<\delta<\min \left\{1, \frac{\lambda \beta\left(p^{-}\right)^{\beta}}{m_{2} q^{+}} \frac{\int_{\Omega_{0}}|\varphi|^{q(x)} d x}{\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\beta}}\right\}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let $\lambda^{* *}$ be defined as in 3.12 and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{* *}\right)$. By Lemma 3.7, it follows that on the boundary of the ball centered at the origin and of radius $\rho$ in $X$, we have

$$
\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}(u)>0
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.8, there exists $\varphi \in X$ such that

$$
J_{\lambda}(t \varphi)<0 \text { for } t>0 \text { small enough. }
$$

Moreover, for $u \in B_{\rho}(0)$,

$$
J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}}\|u\|^{\alpha p^{+}}-\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} c_{7}^{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{-}} .
$$

It follows that

$$
-\infty<c_{8}=\frac{\inf }{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}(u)<0
$$

We let now $0<\varepsilon<\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}-\inf _{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}$. Applying Ekeland variational principle [17] to the functional $J_{\lambda}: \overline{B_{\rho}(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we find $u_{\varepsilon} \in \overline{B_{\rho}(0)}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)<\frac{\inf }{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}+\varepsilon \\
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)<J_{\lambda}(u)+\varepsilon\left\|u-u_{\varepsilon}\right\|, \quad u \neq u_{\varepsilon} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \inf _{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}+\varepsilon \leq \inf _{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}+\varepsilon<\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda},
$$

we deduce that $u_{\varepsilon} \in B_{\rho}(0)$. Now, we define $K_{\lambda}: \overline{B_{\rho}(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $K_{\lambda}(u)=J_{\lambda}(u)+$ $\varepsilon\left\|u-u_{\varepsilon}\right\|$. It is clear that $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a minimum point of $K_{\lambda}$ and thus

$$
\frac{K_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}+t v\right)-K_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{t} \geq 0
$$

for small $t>0$ and $v \in B_{\rho}(0)$. The above relation yields

$$
\frac{J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}+t v\right)-J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{t}+\varepsilon\|v\| \geq 0
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$ it follows that $\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right), v\right\rangle+\varepsilon\|v\|>0$ and we infer that $\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\| \leq \varepsilon$. We deduce that there exists a sequence $\left(v_{n}\right) \subset B_{1}(0)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{8}, \quad J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $\left(v_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $X$. Thus, there exists $u_{2} \in X$ such that, up to a subsequence, $\left(v_{n}\right)$ converges weakly to $u_{2}$ in $X$. Actually, with similar arguments as those used in the proof Theorem 3.1. we can show that $v_{n} \rightarrow u_{2}$ in $X$. Thus, by relation (3.13),

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{2}\right)=c_{8}<0, \quad J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{2}\right)=0
$$

i.e., $u_{2}$ is a nontrivial weak solution for problem (1.1).
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## 4. Corrigendum posted in August 27, 2014

A reader pointed out that no function $M(t)$ can satisfy both hypotheses (M1) and (M2). In response, we present a proof of our results by adding the following assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1} q^{-}\left(p^{-}\right)^{\alpha}>m_{2} \alpha p^{-}\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and without assumption (M2).
Modified assumptions. We delete the assumption (M2) and re-state the following:
(M1) There exist $m_{2} \geq m_{1}>0$ and $\alpha>1$ such that

$$
m_{1} t^{\alpha-1} \leq M(t) \leq m_{2} t^{\alpha-1}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

(The original (M1) implies $\alpha=\beta$, so we rename constant $\alpha$.);
In the proof of Theorem 3.1, By 3.2 and (M1), for $n$ large enough, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & +c_{3}+\left\|u_{n}\right\| \\
\geq & J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & \widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{q^{-}} M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)+\frac{\lambda}{q^{-}} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
\geq & \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\alpha}-\frac{m_{2}}{q^{-}}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{\alpha-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& +\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{q^{-}}-\frac{1}{q(x)}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
\geq & \left(\frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}}-\frac{m_{2}}{q^{-}\left(p^{-}\right)^{\alpha-1}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha p^{-}}+\lambda\left(c_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q^{-}}+c_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q^{+}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing the above inequality by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha p^{-}}$, taking into account (3.1) and (4.1) hold true and passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain a contradiction. It follows that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $X$.

Theorem 3.6 remains unchanged. However, Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 need to be stated without assumption (M2). Relation 3.1) need to be changed by $\alpha p^{+}<$ $q^{-} \leq q^{+}<p^{*}(x)$. The proofs of Theorems and Lemmas are similar to the original proofs, but replacing $\beta$ by $\alpha$.

The authors would like to thank anonymous reader and the editor for allowing us to correct our mistake.

## Ghasem Alizadeh Afrouzi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

E-mail address: afrouzi@umz.ac.ir
Maryam Mirzapour
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

E-mail address: mirzapour@stu.umz.ac.ir


[^0]:    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J60, 35J35, 35J70.
    Key words and phrases. $p(x)$-Kirchhoff type equations; variational methods;
    boundary value problems.
    (c) 2013 Texas State University - San Marcos.

    Submitted August 17, 2013. Published November 20, 2013.

