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# UNIQUENESS OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR AN ELLIPTIC SYSTEM ARISING IN A DIFFUSIVE PREDATOR-PREY MODEL 

XIAODAN WEI, WENSHU ZHOU

Abstract. In this note, we study the uniqueness of positive solutions for an
elliptic system which arises in a diffusive predator-prey model in the strong-
predator case. The main result extends an earlier results by the same authors.

## 1. Introduction

In this note, we study the uniqueness of positive solutions for the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u=\lambda u-b u v \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
-\Delta v=\mu v\left(1-\xi \frac{v}{u}\right) \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a smooth bounded domain, $\nu$ is the outward unit normal vector on $\partial \Omega, \lambda, b, \mu$ and $\xi$ are positive constants, which arises in the diffusive predator-prey model in the strong-predator case $(\beta \rightarrow+\infty)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u=\lambda u-a(x) u^{2}-\beta u v \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
-\Delta v=\mu v\left(1-\frac{v}{u}\right) \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\beta$ is a positive constant, and $a(x)$ is a continuous function satisfying $a(x)=0$ on $\bar{\Omega}_{0}$ and $a(x)>0$ in $\bar{\Omega} \backslash \bar{\Omega}_{0}$ for some smooth domain $\Omega_{0}$ with $\bar{\Omega}_{0} \subset \Omega$. We refer the reader to $1,2,5$ for some related studies on 1.2 .

It is easy to see that $(u, v)=\left(\frac{\xi \lambda}{b}, \frac{\lambda}{b}\right)$ is a positive solution for problem 1.1). In [2, Remark 3.2], the authors pointed out that when $N=1$, the positive solution of (1.1) is unique for any $\mu>0$ by a simple variation of the arguments in 3. When $N \geqslant 2$, it was proved in [6] that the uniqueness holds for all sufficiently large $\mu$. In the present paper, we prove the uniqueness for $\mu \geqslant 2 \lambda$. We point out that a key step of the proof is to establish a new a priori estimate on $u$ for the solution $(u, v)$ of problem (1.1), which is stated as follows.

[^0]Theorem 1.1. Let $(u, v)$ be a positive solution of 1.1. If $\mu>\lambda$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \leqslant \frac{\xi \mu \lambda}{b(\mu-\lambda)} \quad \text { on } \bar{\Omega} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on this estimate and the identity in [6, (2.13)], we have
Theorem 1.2. Let $N \geqslant 2$. If $\mu \geqslant 2 \lambda$, then there is a unique positive solution for (1.1).

## 2. Proofs of main theorems

To prove Theorem 1.1. we need the following maximal principle due to Lou and Ni 4, Lemma 2.1].
Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $g \in C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^{1}\right), b_{j} \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, N$.
(i) If $w \in C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta w(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{N} b_{j}(x) w_{x_{j}}+g(x, w(x)) \geqslant 0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\partial_{\nu} w \leqslant 0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

and $w\left(x_{0}\right)=\max _{\bar{\Omega}} w$, then $g\left(x_{0}, w\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \geqslant 0$.
(ii) If $w \in C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta w(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{N} b_{j}(x) w_{x_{j}}+g(x, w(x)) \leqslant 0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\partial_{\nu} w \geqslant 0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

and $w\left(x_{0}\right)=\min _{\bar{\Omega}} w$, then $g\left(x_{0}, w\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \leqslant 0$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Denote us denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
(U, V)=\left(\frac{b}{\xi} u, b v\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $(U, V)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta U=U(\lambda-V) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
-\Delta V=\mu V\left(1-\frac{V}{U}\right) \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.2}\\
\frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu}=\frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

Clearly, estimate 1.3 is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \leqslant \frac{\mu \lambda}{\mu-\lambda} \quad \text { on } \bar{\Omega} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi=V / U$. Then $V=\varphi U$, and differentiating it twice yields

$$
\Delta V=\varphi \Delta U+2 \nabla U \cdot \nabla \varphi+U \Delta \varphi \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \varphi-\frac{2}{U} \nabla U \cdot \nabla \varphi=-\frac{1}{U} \Delta V+\frac{\varphi}{U} \Delta U \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $(2.2)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta U=U(\lambda-\varphi U) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega  \tag{2.5}\\
-\Delta V=\mu \varphi U(1-\varphi) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting them into (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \varphi-\frac{2}{U} \nabla U \cdot \nabla \varphi=\varphi(\mu-\lambda-\mu \varphi+\varphi U) \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
-\Delta \varphi-\frac{2}{U} \nabla U \cdot \nabla \varphi \geqslant \varphi(\mu-\lambda-\mu \varphi) \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

Using Lemma 2.1 (ii) and noticing that $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$, we obtain

$$
\varphi \geqslant \frac{\mu-\lambda}{\mu} \quad \text { on } \bar{\Omega}
$$

From the estimate and the first equation of (2.5) it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta U \leqslant U\left(\lambda-\frac{\mu-\lambda}{\mu} U\right) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 (i), we obtain 2.3. The proof is complete.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. It suffices to show that $(u, v)=\left(\frac{\xi \lambda}{b}, \frac{\lambda}{b}\right)$ for any positive solution $(u, v)$ of 1.1). Let $(U, V)$ be the same as that in 2.1). Then $(U, V)$ satisfies (2.2).

On the other hand, one can show the following identity (i.e. [6, (2.13)]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}(U-2 \lambda) \frac{|\nabla U|^{2}}{U^{3}} d x-\frac{\lambda}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla V|^{2}}{V^{2}} d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{(\lambda-V)^{2}}{U} d x . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, multiplying the equations of $U$ and $V$ by $\frac{\lambda-U}{U^{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\lambda-V}{V}$, respectively, we obtain

$$
-2 \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla U|^{2}}{U^{3}} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla U|^{2}}{U^{2}} d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{(\lambda-U)(\lambda-V)}{U} d x
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\lambda}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla V|^{2}}{V^{2}} d x & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{(U-V)(\lambda-V)}{U} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{(U-\lambda)(\lambda-V)}{U} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{(\lambda-V)^{2}}{U} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding the two identities yields (2.7).
Noticing $\mu \geqslant 2 \lambda$, we deduce from 2.3 that $U \leqslant 2 \lambda$, so the first integral of left hand side of 2.7 is non-positive, hence

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{(\lambda-V)^{2}}{U} d x \leqslant 0
$$

which implies that $V=\lambda$, so $U=\lambda$. Recalling 2.1), we complete the proof.

Acknowledgments. This research was Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants 10901030, 11071100), and by Dalian Nationalities University (grants DC110109, DC120101064).

## References

[1] Y. H. Du, S. B. Hsu; A diffusive predator-prey model in heterogeneous environment, J. Differential Equations 203 (2004), 331-364.
[2] Y. H. Du, M. X. Wang; Asymptotic behaviour of positive steady states to a predator-prey model, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edin. 136A(2006), 759-778.
[3] J. Lopez-Gomez, R. M. Pardo; Invertibility of linear noncooperative of linear noncooperative elliptic systems, Nonlinear Anal. 31(1998), 687-699.
[4] Y. Lou, W. M. Ni; Diffusion vs cross-Diffusion: an elliptic approach, J. Differential Euations 154(1999), 157-190.
[5] M. X. Wang, Peter Y. H. Pang, W. Y. Chen; Sharp spatial pattern of the diffusive HollingTanner prey-predator model in heterogeneous environment, IMA J. Appl. Math. 73(2008), 815-835.
[6] W. S. Zhou, X. D. Wei; Uniqueness of positive solutions for an elliptic system, Electronic J. Diff. Equa. 2011 (2011), No. 126, 1-6.

Xiaodan Wei
School of Computer Science, Dalian Nationalities University, Dalian 116600, China
E-mail address: weixiaodancat@126.com
Wenshu Zhou
Department of Mathematics, Dalian Nationalities University, Dalian 116600, China
E-mail address: pdezhou@126.com


[^0]:    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J57, 92D25.
    Key words and phrases. Predator-prey model; strong-predator; positive solution; uniqueness. (C) 2013 Texas State University - San Marcos.

    Submitted September 3, 2012. Published January 30, 2013.

