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# GLOBAL SOLUTIONS WITH $\mathcal{C}^{k}$-ESTIMATES FOR $\bar{\partial}$-EQUATIONS ON $q$-CONCAVE INTERSECTIONS 

SHABAN KHIDR, MOULAY-YOUSSEF BARKATOU


#### Abstract

We construct a global solution to the $\bar{\partial}$-equation with $\mathcal{C}^{k}$-estimates on $q$-concave intersections in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Our main tools are integral formulas.


## 1. Introduction and statement of main result

This article is a continuation of [4] and concerns the study of the $\bar{\partial}$-equation on $q$-concave intersection in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ from the viewpoint of $\mathcal{C}^{k}$-estimates by means of integral formulas. For this study we first solve the $\bar{\partial}$-equation with $\mathcal{C}^{k}$-estimates on local $q$-concave wedges in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and then we apply the pushing out method used by Kerzman 11.

We recall the notion of $q$-convexity in the sense of Andreotti-Grauert [4, 8, 17 .
Definition 1.1. A bounded domain $G$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is called strictly $q$-convex if there exist an open neighborhood $\mathbb{U}$ of $\partial G$ and a smooth $\mathcal{C}^{2}$-function $\rho: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $G \cap \mathbb{U}=\{\zeta \in \mathbb{U}: \rho(\zeta)<0\}$ and the Levi form

$$
L_{\rho}(\zeta) t=\sum \frac{\partial^{2} \rho(\zeta)}{\partial \zeta_{j} \partial \bar{\zeta}_{k}} t_{j} \bar{t}_{k}, \quad t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

has at least $q+1$ positive eigenvalues at each point $\zeta \in \mathbb{U}$.
A domain $G$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is said to be strictly $q$-concave if $G$ is in the form $G=G_{1} \backslash \bar{G}_{2}$, where $G_{2} \Subset G_{1}$ is strictly $q$-convex and $G_{1} \Subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is strictly $(n-1)$-convex or compact. A point in $\partial G_{2}$, as a boundary point of $G$, is said to be strictly $q$-concave.

Applications to the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations require that Definition 1.1 be extended to $q$-convex and $q$-concave domains with piecewise-smooth boundaries.

Definition 1.2. A bounded domain $D$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is called a $\mathcal{C}^{d} q$-convex intersection of order $N, d \geq 3$, if there exists a bounded neighborhood $U$ of $\bar{D}$ and a finite number of real-valued $\mathcal{C}^{d}$ functions $\rho_{1}(z), \ldots, \rho_{N}(z), 1 \leq N \leq n-1$, defined on $U$ such that $D=\left\{z \in U: \rho_{1}(z)<0, \ldots, \rho_{N}(z)<0\right\}$ and the following conditions are fulfilled:
(H1) For $1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{\ell} \leq N$ the 1 -forms $d \rho_{i_{1}}, \ldots, d \rho_{i_{\ell}}$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent on the set $\cap_{j=1}^{j=\ell}\left\{\rho_{i_{j}}(z) \leq 0\right\}$.

[^0](H2) For $1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{\ell} \leq N$, for every $z \in \cap_{j=1}^{j=\ell}\left\{\rho_{i_{j}}(z) \leq 0\right\}$, if we set $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\ell}\right)$, there exists a linear subspace $T_{z}^{I}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ of complex dimension at least $q+1$ such that for $i \in I$ the Levi forms $L_{\rho_{i}}$ restricted on $T_{z}^{I}$ are positive definite.
A domain $D$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is said to be a $\mathcal{C}^{d} q$-concave intersection of order $N$ if $D=D_{1} \backslash \bar{D}_{2}$, where $D_{2} \Subset D_{1}$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{d} q$-convex intersection of order $N$ and $D_{1} \Subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{d}(n-1)$ convex intersection. A point in $\partial D_{2}$, as a boundary point of $D$, is said to be strictly $q$-concave.

Condition (H2) was first introduced by Grauert 6], it implies that at every wedge the Levi forms of the corresponding $\left\{\rho_{i}\right\}$ have their positive eigenvalues along the same directions.

The study of the $\bar{\partial}$-equation on piecewise smooth intersections in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ was initiated by Range and Siu 22 and then followed by many authors (see e.g., [1, 8, 12, 10 , 18, 19, 20, 21).

Motivated by the same problem, Laurent-Thiébaut and Leiterer [15] solved the $\bar{\partial}$-equation on piecewise smooth intersections of $q$-concave domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with uniform estimates for $(n, s)$-forms, $1 \leq s \leq q-N ; q-N \geq 1$, where instead of condition (H2) they required the following Henkin's condition [1, 8]:
(H3) The Levi form of any nontrivial convex combination of $\left\{\rho_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ has at least $q+1$ positive eigenvalues.
In addition, under slightly stronger hypotheses than those of [15, the authors extended their results in [16] to the case when $s=q-N+1$.

Barkatou [2] obtained local solutions with $\mathcal{C}^{k}$-estimates for $\bar{\partial}$ on $q$-convex wedges in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, his proof requires actually the following condition:
there is a subdivision of the simplex $\triangle_{N}$ such that for every compo-
nent $\left[a^{1} \ldots a^{N}\right]$ in this subdivision, the Leray maps of $\rho_{a^{1}}, \ldots, \rho_{a^{N}}$
are $q+1$-holomorphic in the same directions with respect to the
variable $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, where for $a=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right)$, $\rho_{a}=\sum \lambda_{i} \rho_{i}$
which is weaker than condition (H2) and stronger than condition (H3).
Ricard [23] proved weaker $\mathcal{C}^{k}$-estimates than those obtained in [2] but for general $q$-convex ( $q$-concave) wedges satisfying condition (3).

Recently, Barkatou and Khidr [4] constructed a global solution for $\bar{\partial}$ with $\mathcal{C}^{k}$ estimates with small loss of smoothness for $(0, s)$-forms, $n-q \leq s \leq n-1$, on $q$-convex intersections in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

Let $V$ be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. We use $\mathcal{C}_{r, s}^{k}(\bar{V}), k \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, to denote the space of all continuous $(r, s)$-forms defined on $\bar{V}$ and having a continuous derivatives up to $[k]$ on $\bar{V}$ satisfying Hölder condition of order $k-[k]$. The corresponding norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{k, V}$. Our main result is the following theorem.
Theorem 1.3. Let $D$ be a $\mathcal{C}^{d} q$-concave intersection of order $N$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, d \geq 3$, and let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s}^{0}(D), \bar{\partial} f=0,1 \leq s \leq q-N$. Then there is a form $g \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s-1}^{0}(D)$ such that $\bar{\partial} g=f$ on $D$. If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s}^{k}(\bar{D}), 1<k \leq d-2 ; \epsilon>0$, then $g \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s-1}^{k-\epsilon}(\bar{D})$ and there exists a constant $C_{k, \epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g\|_{k-\epsilon, D} \leq C_{k, \epsilon}\|f\|_{k, D} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that for $q=n-1$ (i.e., the pseudoconvex case) this theorem was proved by Michel and Perotti 20 and for arbitrary $q$, but smooth $\partial D$, $\operatorname{sharp} \mathcal{C}^{k}$ estimates were obtained by Lieb and Range 18 .

The paper is organized in the following way: In section 1 we introduce the definition of a $q$-concave intersection in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and state our main result (Theorem 1.3). In section 2 we recall the generalized Koppelman lemma which plays a key role in the construction of the solution operators. Section 3 is devoted to the construction of the local solution operators with $\mathcal{C}^{k}$-estimates for $\bar{\partial}$. The main theorem is proved in section 4. The proof is based on pushing out the method of Kerzman [11.

## 2. Generalized Koppelman Lemma

In this section we recall a formal identity (the generalized Koppelman lemma) which is essential for our purposes. The exterior calculus we use here was developed by Harvey and Polking in [7] and Boggess [5].

Let $D$ be an open set in $\mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Let $G: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ map and write $G(\zeta, z)=\left(g_{1}(\zeta, z), \ldots, g_{n}(\zeta, z)\right)$. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle G(\zeta, z), \zeta-z\rangle & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(\zeta, z)\left(\zeta_{j}-z_{j}\right) \\
\langle G(\zeta, z), d(\zeta-z)\rangle & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(\zeta, z) d\left(\zeta_{j}-z_{j}\right) \\
\left\langle\bar{\partial}_{\zeta, z} G(\zeta, z), d(\zeta-z)\right\rangle & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{\partial}_{\zeta, z} g_{j}(\zeta, z) d\left(\zeta_{j}-z_{j}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{\partial}_{\zeta, z}=\bar{\partial}_{\zeta}+\bar{\partial}_{z}$ (in the sense of distributions).
The Cauchy-Fantappiè form $\omega^{G}$ is defined by

$$
\omega^{G}=\frac{\langle G(\zeta, z), d(\zeta-z)\rangle}{\langle G(\zeta, z),(\zeta-z)\rangle}
$$

on the set where $\langle G(\zeta, z),(\zeta-z)\rangle \neq 0$.
Given $m$ such maps, $G^{j}, 1 \leq j \leq m$, the generalized Cauchy-Fantappiè kernel is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega\left(G^{1}, \ldots, G^{m}\right) \\
& =(2 \pi i)^{-n} \omega^{G^{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^{G^{m}} \wedge \sum_{\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{m}=n-m}\left(\bar{\partial}_{\zeta, z} \omega^{G^{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(\bar{\partial}_{\zeta, z} \omega^{G^{m}}\right)^{\alpha_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

on the set where all the denominators are nonzero.
Lemma 2.1 (generalized Koppelman lemma).

$$
\bar{\partial}_{\zeta, z} \Omega\left(G^{1}, \ldots, G^{m}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^{j} \Omega\left(G^{1}, \ldots, \widehat{G}^{j}, \ldots, G^{m}\right)
$$

on the set where the denominators are nonzero.
If $\beta(\zeta, z)=\left(\overline{\zeta_{1}-z_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{\zeta_{n}-z_{n}}\right)$, then $\Omega(\beta)=B(\zeta, z)$ is the usual Bochner-Martinelli-Koppelman kernel. Denote by $B_{r, s}(\zeta, z)$ the component of $B(\zeta, z)$ of type $(r, s)$ in $z$ and of type $(n-r, n-s-1)$ in $\zeta$. Then one has the following formula which is known as the Bochner-Martinelli-Koppelman formula (see e.g., [13, Theorem 1.7]).

Theorem 2.2. Let $D \Subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a bounded domain with $\mathcal{C}^{1}$-boundary, and let $f$ be a continuous $(r, s)$-form on $\bar{D}$ such that $\bar{\partial} f$, in the sense of distributions, is also continuous on $\bar{D}, 0 \leq r, s \leq n$. Then for any $z \in D$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{r+s} f(z)= & \int_{\zeta \in \partial D} f(\zeta) \wedge B_{r, s}(\zeta, z)-\int_{\zeta \in D} \bar{\partial} f(\zeta) \wedge B_{r, s}(\zeta, z) \\
& +\bar{\partial}_{z} \int_{\zeta \in D} f(\zeta) \wedge B_{r, s-1}(\zeta, z)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Solution operators on local $q$-CONCAVE WEDGES

In this section, we construct local solution operators $T_{s}$ on the complement of a $q$-convex intersection. The plan of the construction is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 in [4]. The main differences are due to the fact that in this case the function $\rho_{m+1}$ has convexity properties opposite to those of the functions $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{m}$. Before we go further, we fix the following notation:

- Let $J=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\ell}\right), 1 \leq \ell<\infty$, be an ordered collection of elements in $\mathbb{N}$. Then we write $|J|=\ell, J(\hat{\nu})=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\nu-1}, j_{\nu+1}, \ldots, j_{\ell}\right)$ for $\nu=1, \ldots, \ell$, and $j \in J$ if $j \in\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\ell}\right\}$.
- Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer. Then we denote by $P(N)$ the set of all ordered collections $K=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}\right), \ell \geq 1$, of integers with $1 \leq k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell} \leq N$. We call $P^{\prime}(N)$ the subset of all $K=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}\right)$ with $k_{1}<\cdots<k_{\ell}$.
- For $I=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\ell}\right) \in P^{\prime}(N)$ and $j \notin\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\ell}\right\}$, we set $I_{j}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell+1}\right)$ if $\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell+1}\right\} \subset\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}, j\right\}$ and $k_{1}<\cdots<k_{\ell+1}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $D$ be a $\mathcal{C}^{d}(d \geq 3)$ q-convex intersection of order $n$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then for each $\xi \in \partial D$, there is a radius $R>0$ such that on the set $\mathcal{W}=(U \backslash \bar{D}) \cap\{z \in$ $\left.\mathbb{C}^{n}:|z-\xi|<R\right\}$ there are linear operators $T_{s}: \mathcal{C}_{n, s}^{0}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n, s-1}^{0}(\mathcal{W})$ such that $\bar{\partial} T_{s} f=f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s}^{0}(\overline{\mathcal{W}}), 1 \leq s \leq q-N$, with $\bar{\partial} f=0$ (in the sense of distributions) on $\mathcal{W}$. If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s}^{k}(\overline{\mathcal{W}}), 1<k \leq d-2 ; \epsilon>0$, then there exists $a$ constant $C_{k, \epsilon}>0$ (independent of $f$ ) satisfying the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{s} f\right\|_{k-\epsilon, \mathcal{W}} \leq C_{k, \epsilon}\|f\|_{k, \mathcal{W}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $N=1$ (i.e., the case of local $q$-concave domains) this theorem was proved by Laurent-Thiébaut and Leiterer [14].

Proof. Let $D=\left\{z \in U \mid \rho_{1}(z)<0, \ldots, \rho_{N}(z)<0\right\} \subset U$ be a $q$-convex intersection. We suppose for example that $E=\left\{\xi \in U \mid \rho_{1}(\xi)=\cdots=\rho_{m}(\xi)=0\right\}$. If we set $\rho_{m+1}(\zeta)=|\zeta-\xi|^{2}-R^{2}$ for $R>0$, it follows from [15, Lemma 2.3] that $\left(E,(U \backslash \bar{D}) \cap\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:|z-\xi|<R\right\}\right)$ is a local $q$-concave wedge.

Denote by $F_{\rho_{i}}(\zeta,$.$) the Levi polynomial of \rho_{i}$ at $\zeta \in U$. For $\zeta \in U, z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$,

$$
F_{\rho_{i}}(\zeta, z)=2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \rho_{i}(\zeta)}{\partial \zeta_{j}}\left(\zeta_{j}-z_{j}\right)-\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \rho_{i}}{\partial \zeta_{j} \partial \zeta_{k}}\left(\zeta_{j}-z_{j}\right)\left(\zeta_{k}-z_{k}\right)
$$

By Definition 1.2, there exists an $(q+1)$-linear subspace $T$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Levi forms $L_{-\rho_{i}}$ at $\xi$ are all positive definite on $T$.

Denote by $P$ the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ onto $T$ and set $Q:=I d-P$. Then it follows from Taylor's expansion theorem that there exist a number $R$ and two positive constants $A$ and $B$ such that the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{Re} F_{\rho_{i}}(\zeta, z) \geq \rho_{i}(z)-\rho_{i}(\zeta)+B|\zeta-z|^{2}-A|Q(\zeta-z)|^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and all $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \times U$ with $|\xi-\zeta|<R$ and $|\xi-z|<R$.
Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. As $\rho_{i}$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ on $U$, we then can find $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ functions $a_{i}^{k j}(U), j, k=1, \ldots, n$, such that for all $\zeta \in U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{i}^{k j}(\zeta)-\frac{\partial^{2} \rho(\zeta)}{\partial \zeta_{k} \partial \zeta_{j}}\right|<\frac{B}{2 n^{2}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $Q_{k j}$ the entries of the matrix $Q$; i.e.,

$$
Q=\left(Q_{k j}\right)_{k, j=1}^{n} \quad(k=\text { column index })
$$

We set, for $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \times U$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{j}^{i}(\zeta, z)=2 \frac{\partial \rho_{i}(\zeta)}{\partial \zeta_{j}}-\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i}^{k j}(\zeta)\left(\zeta_{k}-z_{k}\right)-A \sum_{k=1}^{n} \overline{Q_{k j}\left(\zeta_{k}-z_{k}\right)} \\
G_{i}(\zeta, z)=\left(g_{i}^{1}(\zeta, z), \ldots, g_{i}^{n}(\zeta, z)\right) \\
\Phi_{i}(\zeta, z)=\left\langle G_{i}(\zeta, z), \zeta-z\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

As $Q$ is an orthogonal projection, we then have

$$
\Phi_{i}(\zeta, z)=2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \rho_{i}(\zeta)}{\partial \zeta_{j}}\left(\zeta_{j}-z_{j}\right)-\sum_{k, j=1}^{n} a_{i}^{k j}(\zeta)\left(\zeta_{k}-z_{k}\right)\left(\zeta_{j}-z_{j}\right)-A|Q(\zeta-z)|^{2}
$$

The estimates (3.2) and (3.3) imply that

$$
\operatorname{Re} \Phi_{i}(\zeta, z) \geq \rho_{i}(\zeta)-\rho_{i}(z)+\frac{B}{2}|\zeta-z|^{2}
$$

for $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \times U$ with $\left|z_{0}-\zeta\right| \leq R$ and $\left|z_{0}-z\right| \leq R$.
We recall that a map $f$ defined on a complex manifold $\mathcal{X}$ is called $k$-holomorphic if, for each point $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$, there exist holomorphic coordinates $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}$ in a neighborhood of $\xi$ such that $f$ is holomorphic with respect to $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}$.

Lemma 3.2. For every fixed $\zeta \in U$, the maps $G_{i}(\zeta, z)$ and the function $\Phi_{i}(\zeta, z)$ are $(q+1)$-holomorphic in the same directions in $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Proof. Choose complex linear coordinates $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with

$$
\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: Q(z)=0\right\}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: h_{q+2}(z)=\cdots=h_{n}(z)=0\right\}
$$

The map $z \rightarrow \overline{Q(\zeta-z)}$ is then independent of $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{q+1}$. This implies that the $\operatorname{map} G_{i}(\zeta, z)$ is complex linear with respect to $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{q+1}$ for all $i$, and the function $\Phi_{i}(\zeta, z)$ is a quadratic complex polynomial with respect to $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{q+1}$.

Set

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{m+1}(\zeta, z)=2\left(\frac{\partial \rho_{m+1}(\zeta)}{\partial \zeta_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \rho_{m+1}(\zeta)}{\partial \zeta_{n}}\right) \\
\Phi_{m+1}(\zeta, z)=\left\langle G_{m+1}(\zeta, z),(\zeta-z)\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

As $G_{m+1}(\zeta, z)$ and $\Phi_{m+1}(\zeta, z)$ are independent of $R$, we can choose $R_{1}>0$ such that for all $R \leq R_{1}$ there exists $\beta>0$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{Re} \Phi_{m+1}(\zeta, z) \geq \rho_{m+1}(\zeta)-\rho_{m+1}(z)+\beta|\zeta-z|^{2}
$$

for all $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \times U$ with $\left|z_{0}-\zeta\right| \leq R$ and $\left|z_{0}-z\right| \leq R$. We define

$$
\mathcal{W}=\left\{z \in U \mid \rho_{j}>0 \text { for } j=1, \ldots, m\right\} \cap\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:|z-\xi|<R\right\}
$$

For $I=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\ell}\right) \in P^{\prime}(m+1)$, we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{\Omega}[I]:=\Omega\left(G_{j_{1}}, \ldots, G_{j_{\ell}}\right) \\
\widetilde{\Omega}[\partial I]:=\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}(-1)^{k} \Omega\left(G_{j_{1}}, \ldots, \widehat{G}_{j_{k}}, \ldots, G_{j_{\ell}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, we can rewrite Lemma 2.1 in the following way.
Lemma 3.3. For every $I \in P^{\prime}(m+1)$, we have $\bar{\partial}_{\zeta, z} \widetilde{\Omega}[I]=\widetilde{\Omega}[\partial I]$ outside the singularities.

For every $0 \leq r \leq n, 0 \leq s \leq n-p(p \geq 1)$ and any $I$, we define $\widetilde{\Omega}_{r, s}[I]$ as the component of $\widetilde{\Omega}[I]$ which is of type $(r, s)$ in $z$. One has the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. For any $I \in P^{\prime}(m+1)$. For any $r \geq 0$ and $s \geq n-q$ we have
(i) $\widetilde{\Omega}_{r, s}(I)=0$,
(ii) $\bar{\partial}_{z} \widetilde{\Omega}_{r, n-q-1}(I)=0$,
on the set where all the denominators are non-zero.
Proof. Statement (i) follows from Lemma 2.1 and the fact that the map $z \mapsto$ $G_{m+1}(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic. Lemmas 3.3 and 2.1 imply that

$$
\bar{\partial}_{z} \widetilde{\Omega}_{r, s-1}(I)=-\bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \widetilde{\Omega}_{r, s}(I)+\widetilde{\Omega}_{r, s}(\partial I)
$$

Statement (ii) follows from (i).
Let $\beta(\zeta, z)=\left(\overline{\zeta_{1}-z_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{\zeta_{n}-z_{n}}\right)$ be the classical section that defines the usual Bochner-Martinelli kernel in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and define

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{\beta}[I]:=\Omega\left(\beta, G_{j_{1}}, \ldots, G_{j_{\ell}}\right)
$$

for any $I \in P^{\prime}(m+1)$. Lemma 3.4 implies that

$$
\bar{\partial}_{\zeta, z} \widetilde{\Omega}_{\beta}[I]=-\widetilde{\Omega}[I]-\widetilde{\Omega}_{\beta}[\partial I]
$$

outside the singularities, where $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\beta}[\partial I]:=\Omega(\beta)$ if $|I|=1$. Define, for $|I| \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
K^{I}(\zeta, z)=\widetilde{\Omega}_{\beta}[I](\zeta, z), \\
B^{I}(\zeta, z)=-\widetilde{\Omega}_{\beta}[\partial I](\zeta, z)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 3.5. For any $I \in P^{\prime}(m+1)$,

$$
\bar{\partial}_{\zeta, z} K^{I}(\zeta, z)=B^{I}(\zeta, z)-\widetilde{\Omega}[I](\zeta, z)
$$

outside the singularities.
Proof. For every $I=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\ell}\right) \in P^{\prime}(m+1)$, define

$$
S_{I}=\left\{z \in \partial \mathcal{W} \mid \rho_{j_{1}}(z)=\cdots=\rho_{j_{\ell}}(z)=0\right\}
$$

and choose the orientation of $S_{I}$ such that the orientation is skew symmetric in the components of $I$ and the following two equations hold when $\mathcal{W}$ is given the natural orientation:

$$
\partial \mathcal{W}=\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} S_{j}, \quad \partial S_{I}=\sum_{j \notin I} S_{I_{j}}
$$

Denote by $K_{r, s}^{I}(\zeta, z)$ the component of $K^{I}(\zeta, z)$ which is of type $(r, s)$ in $z$.
Then Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5. Theorem 2.2, and Stoke's theorem imply that the following formulas hold in the sense of distribution in $\mathcal{W}$ (see [3, Theorem 2.7]):

For any continuous $(n, s)$-form $f$ on $\overline{\mathcal{W}}, 1 \leq s \leq q-m$, such that $\bar{\partial} f$ is also continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{n+s} f(\zeta) \\
&= \sum_{I \in P^{\prime}(m+1)}(-1)^{(n+s)|I|+\frac{|I|(|I|-1)}{2}} \int_{z \in S_{I}} \bar{\partial} f(z) \wedge K_{0, n-|I|-s}^{I}(\zeta, z) \\
& \quad+\sum_{I \in P^{\prime}(m+1)}(-1)^{(n+s)|I|+\frac{|I|(|I|+1)}{2}+1} \bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \int_{z \in S_{I}} f(z) \wedge K_{0, n-|I|-s+1}^{I}(\zeta, z) \\
&-\int_{z \in \mathcal{W}} \bar{\partial} f(z) \wedge B_{0, n-s-1}(\zeta, z)+\bar{\partial}_{\zeta} \int_{z \in \mathcal{W}} f(z) \wedge B_{0, n-s}(\zeta, z)+L f(\zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L f$ is a linear combination of the integrals $\int_{z \in S_{I_{m+1}}} f(z) \wedge \widetilde{\Omega}[I](\zeta, z)$, where $I \in P^{\prime}(m)$.

It is easy to see that $L f$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{d-2}$ in a neighborhood of $\xi$; moreover if $\bar{\partial} f=0$, then $\bar{\partial} L f=0$. Let $H$ be the Henkin operator for solving the $\bar{\partial}$-equation in a ball $B\left(\xi, R^{\prime}\right)$. From the smootness properties of $H$, it follows that $H(L f)$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{d-2+\frac{1}{2}}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{s}(f)(\zeta)= & \sum_{I \in P^{\prime}(m+1)}(-1)^{(n+s)|I|+\frac{|I| \mid(|I|+1)}{2}+1} \int_{z \in S_{I}} f(z) \wedge K_{0, n-|I|-s+1}^{I}(z, \zeta) \\
& +(-1)^{n+s} \int_{z \in \mathcal{W}} f(z) \wedge B_{0, n-s}(z, \zeta)+H(L f)(\zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfies the equation $\bar{\partial} u=f$ on $\mathcal{W} \cap B\left(\xi, R^{\prime}\right)$ with $u=T_{s}(f)(\zeta)$.
The $\mathcal{C}^{k}$-estimates follows, as in [2], by using arguments similar to those in [18].

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Theorem 3.1 yields the following continuation lemma which in turn enables us to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 .

Lemma 4.1 (An extension lemma with bounds). Let $D$ be a $\mathcal{C}^{d}$, $d \geq 3$, $q$-concave intersection of order $N$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then there exists another slightly larger $q$-concave intersection of order $N, \widetilde{D} \Subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $D \Subset \widetilde{D}$ and for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s}^{0}(D)$, $1 \leq s \leq q-N$, with $\bar{\partial} f=0$ there exist two linear operators $N_{1}, N_{2}$, a form $\tilde{f}=N_{1} f \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s}^{0}(\widetilde{D})$ and a form $u=N_{2} f \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s-1}^{0}(D)$ such that:
(i) $\bar{\partial} \tilde{f}=0$ in $\widetilde{D}$.
(ii) $\tilde{f}=f-\bar{\partial} u$ in $D$.
(iii) If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s}^{k}(\bar{D}), 1<k \leq d-2, \epsilon>0$, then $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s}^{k-\epsilon}(\widetilde{D}), u \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s-1}^{k-\epsilon}(\bar{D})$ and we have the estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\tilde{f}\|_{k-\epsilon, \widetilde{D}} & \leq C_{k, \epsilon}\|f\|_{k, D}  \tag{4.1}\\
\|u\|_{k-\epsilon, D} & \leq C_{k, \epsilon}\|f\|_{k, D} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. As $\partial D$ is compact, there are finitely many open neighborhoods $\left(B_{\xi_{j}}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, K}$ of $\xi_{j}$ covering $\partial D$. Let $\left(\theta_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, K}$ be a partition of unity such that $\theta_{j} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{\xi_{j}}^{\prime}\right)$, $B_{\xi_{j}}^{\prime} \Subset B_{\xi_{j}}, 0 \leq \theta_{j} \leq 1$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{K} \theta_{j}=1$ on a neighborhood $V_{0}$ of $\partial D$. We choose $V_{1} \Subset V_{0} \Subset U$. We enlarge $D$ to $\widetilde{D}$ in $K$ step as follows. For $\delta>0$, sufficiently small to be chosen fixed later on, and for $j=1, \ldots, K$ we define

$$
D_{j}=\left\{z \in D \cup V_{1}: \rho_{1}(z)>-\delta \sum_{k=1}^{j} \theta_{k}(z), \ldots, \rho_{N}(z)>-\delta \sum_{k=1}^{j} \theta_{k}(z)\right\}
$$

We set $D_{0}=D$ and $\widetilde{D}=D_{K}$. Clearly

$$
D \subseteq D_{j} \subseteq D_{j+1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \widetilde{D}=D_{K}
$$

Reducing $\delta$ if necessary, we see that all $D_{j}, j \in\{=1, \ldots, K\}$ (in particular $\widetilde{D}$ ) are $\mathcal{C}^{d} q$-concave intersections.

Claim: For any $f_{j} \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s}^{0}\left(D_{j}\right)$ with $\bar{\partial} f_{j}=0, j \in\{1, \ldots, K-1\}$, there exist two forms $f_{j+1} \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s}^{0}\left(D_{j+1}\right)$ and $u_{j} \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s-1}^{0}\left(D_{j}\right)$ such that (i), (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 4.1 hold when $f, \tilde{f}, u, D$ and $\widetilde{D}$ are replaced by $f_{j}, f_{j+1}, u_{j}, D_{j}$ and $D_{j+1}$ respectively.

Proof. (see [11, p. 318]): Fix $\delta>0$ so small that we can apply Theorem 3.1, we obtain a solution $g_{j}$ of $\bar{\partial} g=f_{j}$ defined in $D_{j} \cap B_{\xi_{j+1}}$ and satisfies the estimates of the local theorem. Let $\eta_{j+1} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{\xi_{j+1}}\right), \eta_{j+1}=1$ in a neighborhood of the support of $\theta_{j+1}$. We set

$$
f_{j+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
f_{j}-\bar{\partial} u_{j} & \text { in } D_{j}, \\
0 & \text { in } D_{j+1} \backslash D_{j},
\end{array} \quad u_{j}= \begin{cases}g_{j} \eta_{j+1} & \text { in } D_{j} \cap B_{\xi_{j+1}} \\
0 & \text { in } D_{j} \backslash B_{\xi_{j+1}}\end{cases}\right.
$$

The estimates for $f_{j+1}$ and $u_{j}$ follow from those of the local theorem. The claim is proved.

Using the above claim, we can now complete the proof of Lemma 4.1. Applying the claim $K$-times, starting with $D_{0}=D, f_{0}=f$ and ending with $D_{K}=\widetilde{D}$, $f_{K}=\tilde{f}$, yield $\tilde{f}=f-\bar{\partial} u$ in $D$, where we set $u=\sum_{j=0}^{K-1} u_{j}$. Collecting the estimates for $f_{j+1}$ and $u_{j}$ in each step, we obtain 4.1) and 4.2). Clearly $\tilde{f}$ and $u$ are linear in $f$.

Lemma 4.2. There exists a strictly $q$-concave domain with smooth boundary $D^{\prime} \Subset$ $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ satisfying

$$
D \Subset D^{\prime} \Subset \widetilde{D}
$$

Proof. Let $V_{2}$ be a neighborhood of $D$ such that $V_{2} \Subset V_{1}$ and for $\tau>0$ we define $D_{\tau}:=\left\{z \in D \cup V_{2} \mid \rho_{1}(z)>\tau, \ldots, \rho_{N}(z)>\tau\right\}$. Recall that $D$ is defined by the $\mathcal{C}^{d}$-functions $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{N}$. For each $\beta>0$, let $\chi_{\beta}$ be a fixed non-negative real $C^{\infty}$ function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, \chi_{\beta}(x)=\chi_{\beta}(-x),|x| \leq \chi_{\beta}(x) \leq$ $|x|+\beta,\left|\chi_{\beta}^{\prime}\right| \leq 1, \chi_{\beta}^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$ and $\chi_{\beta}(x)=|x|$ if $|x| \geq \frac{\beta}{2}$. Moreover, we assume that $\chi_{\beta}^{\prime}(x)>0$ if $x>0$ and $\chi_{\beta}^{\prime}(x)<0$ if $x<0$. We define as in [9, Definition 4.12] $\max _{\beta}(t, s)=\frac{t+s}{2}+\chi_{\beta}\left(\frac{t-s}{2}\right), t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\varphi_{1}=\rho_{1}, \varphi_{2}=\max _{\beta}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right), \ldots$, $\varphi_{N}=\max _{\beta}\left(\varphi_{N-1}, \rho_{N}\right)$. Then it is easy to compute that the Levi form of $\varphi_{N}$ has
at least $q+1$ negative eigenvalues at each point in $U$. For $\tau>0$ we can choose positive numbers $\beta=\frac{\tau}{2(N+1)}, \gamma=\frac{\tau}{2}$ small enough and $V_{3} \Subset V_{2}$ such that

$$
D \Subset D^{*}=\left\{z \in D \cup V_{3} \mid \varphi_{N}(z)-\gamma>0\right\} \Subset D_{\tau}
$$

then $D^{*}$ is a strictly $q$-concave domain. According to [9, Theorem 6.6], there exists a strictly $q$-concave domain $D^{\prime}$ with smooth boundary such that $D \Subset D^{\prime} \Subset D^{*}$. Choose $\tau$ small enough to get $D_{\tau} \Subset \widetilde{D}$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s}^{k}(\bar{D})$ be a $\bar{\partial}$-closed form. Let $\widetilde{D}, \tilde{f}$ and $u$ as in Lemma 4.1. Let $D^{\prime}$ be given as in Lemma 4.2 and set $f_{1}=\left.\tilde{f}\right|_{D^{\prime}}$. It follows from [18, Theorem 2] that there exists $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_{n, s-1}^{k-\epsilon}(\bar{D})$ such that $\bar{\partial} \eta=f_{1}$ on $D$ and $\|\eta\|_{k-\epsilon, \bar{D}} \leq C_{k, \epsilon}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{k-\epsilon, D^{\prime}}$. Then we have $f=\bar{\partial}(u+\eta)$. The form $g=u+\eta$ is a global solution that satisfies the $\mathcal{C}^{k}$-estimates 1.1 of Theorem 1.3
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