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# EXISTENCE AND MULTIPLICITY OF SOLUTIONS TO STRONGLY INDEFINITE HAMILTONIAN SYSTEM INVOLVING CRITICAL HARDY-SOBOLEV EXPONENTS 

FRANCISCO ODAIR DE PAIVA, RODRIGO S. RODRIGUES


#### Abstract

In this article, we study the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for a class of Hamiltoniam systems with weights and nonlinearity involving the Hardy-Sobolev exponents. Results are proved using variational methods for strongly indefinite functionals.


## 1. Introduction

Elliptic problems involving general operators, such as the degenerate quasilinear elliptic equation $-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u\right)=|x|^{\zeta} f(u)$, were motivated by the Caffarelli, Kohn, and Nirenberg's inequality [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}|u|^{2_{a}^{*}} d x\right)^{2 / 2_{a}^{*}} \leq C_{a, e_{1}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{-2 a}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right), \quad \forall u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \geq 3,-\infty<a<(N-2) / 2, a \leq e_{1} \leq a+1,2_{a}^{*}:=2 N /\left(N-2 d_{a}\right)$, $d_{a}=1+a-e_{1}$, and $C_{a, e_{1}}>0$. Note that several papers have appeared on this subject. Mainly, the works about the existence of solution for quasilinear equations and systems of the gradient type with nonlinearity involving critical growth. See, for instance, [1, 7, 16, 17, 22] and references therein. In particular, for $a=e_{1}=0$, Smets, Willem, and $\mathrm{Su}[19$ studied the existence of non-radial ground states for the Hénon equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta u=|x|^{\zeta} u^{l-1} \quad \text { in } B, \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial B,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $B$ denotes the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $\zeta \geq 0$ and $l \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$. More general Hénon-Type problems has been studied by Carrião, de Figueiredo, and Miyagaki [6], for example. Also, we would like to refer to [18] for Hénon equation, and to [11] for Hardy-Hénon system.

[^0]In this article, we study the following class of quasilinear elliptic systems with weights and nonlinearity involving critical Hardy-Sobolev exponent

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u\right)=\mu_{1} \frac{|u|^{\tau-2} u}{|x|^{\beta_{0}}}+\frac{H_{u}(x, u, v)}{|x|^{\beta_{2}}}+\alpha \frac{|u|^{\alpha-2}|v|^{\gamma} u}{|x|^{2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}} \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-2 b} \nabla v\right)=-\mu_{2} \frac{|v|^{\xi-2} v}{|x|^{\beta_{1}}}-\frac{H_{v}(x, u, v)}{|x|^{\beta_{2}}}-\gamma \frac{|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\gamma-2} v}{|x|^{2_{b}^{*} e_{2}}} \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\
u=v=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where
(H1) $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ with $0 \in \Omega$ and $H$ : $\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of the class $C^{1}$.
(H2) The exponents satisfy
$0 \leq a, \quad b<\frac{N-2}{2}, \quad \xi \in\left(1, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right), \quad \tau \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right), \quad \alpha, \gamma>1$,
$2_{a}^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2 d_{a}}$ and $2_{b}^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2 d_{b}}$ are the Hardy-Sobolev exponents,

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
d_{a}=1+a-e_{1}, & 0 \leq a \leq e_{1}<a+1 \\
d_{b}=1+b-e_{2}, & 0 \leq b \leq e_{2}<b+1
\end{array}
$$

with $2_{a}^{*} e_{1}=2_{b}^{*} e_{2}$ and

$$
\frac{\alpha}{2_{a}^{*}}+\frac{\gamma}{2_{b}^{*}}=1
$$

Note that problem (1.2) belongs to the class of Hamiltonian elliptic systems

$$
\begin{aligned}
-L_{1}(x, u)=\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u, v) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
-L_{2}(x, v)=-\frac{\partial F}{\partial v}(x, u, v) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=v=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are self-adjoint elliptic operators of second order. It is well known that the Euler-Lagrange functional associated is strongly indefinite. For this type of system with $L_{1}=L_{2}=\Delta$, the Laplacian operator, and subcritical growth, we would like to refer Benci and Rabinowitz [2], Costa and Magalhães [9], and de Figueiredo and Ding [10]. For critical and supercritical growth, we cite the de Figueiredo and Ding [10] and Hulshof, Mitidieri, and van der Vorst [12]. We also cite the papers [8] and [23]. Our results will be obtained as an application of some critical point results to strongly indefinite functionals proved in [10] and certain Galerkin approximations.

For the rest of this article we will assume that $H: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of the class $C^{1}$ and satisfies:
(H4) There exist $K_{0}, K_{1}>0, p_{i}, q_{i}, r_{i} \in(1,2 N /(N-2))$, for $i=1,2, p_{0}, q_{0} \in$ $(1,2 N /(N-2))$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|H(x, s, t)| & \leq K_{0}\left(|s|^{p_{0}}+|t|^{q_{0}}\right), \\
\left|H_{s}(x, s, t) l\right| & \leq K_{1}\left(|s|^{p_{1}}+|t|^{q_{1}}+|l|^{r_{1}}\right), \\
\left|H_{t}(x, s, t) l\right| & \leq K_{1}\left(|s|^{p_{2}}+|t|^{q_{2}}+|l|^{r_{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $s, t, l \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \Omega$;
(H5) $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{0}<(a+1) \tau+N\left(1-\frac{\tau}{2}\right), \\
\beta_{1} \leq(b+1) \xi+N\left(1-\frac{\xi}{2}\right), \\
\beta_{2}<\min \left\{(a+1) p_{i}+N\left(1-\frac{p_{i}}{2}\right),(a+1) r_{1}+N\left(1-\frac{r_{1}}{2}\right),\right. \\
\left.(b+1) q_{i}+N\left(1-\frac{q_{i}}{2}\right),(b+1) r_{2}+N\left(1-\frac{r_{2}}{2}\right): i=1,2\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

(H6) for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$,

$$
H(x, s, t) \geq-\left(\frac{\mu_{2}}{\xi}|x|^{\beta_{2}-\beta_{1}}|t|^{\xi}+|x|^{\beta_{2}-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}|s|^{\alpha}|t|^{\gamma}\right)
$$

(H7) there exist $\theta_{1} \in(2, \tau]$ and $\theta_{2} \in(1,2)$ such that, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$,

$$
\frac{1}{\theta_{1}} H_{u}(x, s, t) s+\frac{1}{\theta_{2}} H_{v}(x, s, t) t \geq H(x, s, t), \quad \frac{\alpha}{\theta_{1}}+\frac{\gamma}{\theta_{2}} \geq 1
$$

Under the assumptions (H1), (H2)-(H5), $\mu_{1} \leq 0, \mu_{2} \geq 0$, and $\gamma H_{u}(x, s, t) s=$ $\alpha H_{v}(x, s, t) t$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$, we note that system 1.2 does not possess any nontrivial weak solution. Indeed, supposing by contradiction that $(u, v)$ is a nontrivial weak solution, we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{|x|^{2 a}} d x-\mu_{1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{\tau}}{|x|^{\beta_{0}}} d x=\alpha\left[\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \frac{H_{u}(x, u, v) u}{|x|^{\beta_{2}}} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\gamma}}{|x|^{2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}} d x\right]
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla v|^{2}}{|x|^{2 b}} d x+\mu_{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{\xi}}{|x|^{\beta_{1}}} d x=-\gamma\left[\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} \frac{H_{v}(x, u, v) v}{|x|^{\beta_{2}}} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\gamma}}{|x|^{2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}} d x\right]
$$

So, we conclude that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{|x|^{2 a}} d x-\mu_{1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{\tau}}{|x|^{\beta_{0}}} d x=-\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla v|^{2}}{|x|^{2 b}} d x+\mu_{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{\xi}}{|x|^{\beta_{1}}} d x\right)
$$

hence, $u=v=0$ almost everywhere in $\Omega$, which is a contradiction.
Before enunciating our results, we recall that Xuan [21, under the assumption (H1), proved that if $0 \leq a<(N-2) / 2$, and $\beta_{2}<2(a+1)$, then there exists the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1_{\beta_{2}}}>0$ of problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u\right)=\lambda|x|^{-\beta_{2}} u \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.3}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

which is associated to an eigenfunction $\varphi_{1_{\beta_{2}}} \in C^{1, \alpha_{1}}(\Omega \backslash\{0\})$ with $\varphi_{1_{\beta_{2}}}>0$ in $\Omega \backslash\{0\}$ for some $\alpha_{1}>0$.

Theorem 1.1. Assume (H1), (H2)-(H7), and $\theta_{2} \in(1,2) \cap(1, \xi]$. Then system (1.2) possesses a nontrivial weak solution for each $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\mu_{2} \geq 0$, provided that one of the following conditions is satisfied
(i) $p_{0} \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$;
(ii) $p_{0}=2$ and $K_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{\lambda_{\beta_{2}}}{2}\right)$.

Moreover, if $p_{0} \in(1,2)$ there exists $\bar{\mu}_{0}>0$ such that system 1.2 possesses $a$ nontrivial weak solution for each $\mu_{1} \in\left(0, \bar{\mu}_{0}\right)$ and $\mu_{2} \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.2. Assume (H1), (H2)-(H7), $\xi<2, \beta_{1}<(b+1) \xi+N[1-(\xi / 2)]$, and $\theta_{2} \in[\xi, 2)$. Then system (1.2) possesses a nontrivial weak solution for each $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\mu_{2}<0$, provided that one of the following conditions is satisfied
(i) $p_{0} \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$;
(ii) $p_{0}=2$ and $K_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{\lambda_{\beta_{2}}}{2}\right)$.

Moreover, if $p_{0} \in(1,2)$ there exists $\bar{\mu}_{0}>0$ such that system 1.2 possesses $a$ nontrivial weak solution for each $\mu_{1} \in\left(0, \bar{\mu}_{0}\right)$ and $\mu_{2}<0$.

Theorem 1.3. In addition to (H1), (H2)-(H7), $\theta_{2} \in(1,2) \cap(1, \xi]$, and $H$ even in the variables $s, t$, suppose either $H(x, s, 0) \leq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \Omega$ or $p_{0}=\tau$. Then system (1.2) possesses a sequence $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$ of nontrivial weak solutions with energies $I\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\mu_{2} \geq 0$. Moreover, this result still held if $\xi<2, \beta_{1}<(b+1) \xi+N[1-(\xi / 2)], \theta_{2} \in[\xi, 2), \mu_{1}>0$, and $\mu_{2}<0$. See the definition of $I$ in 2.2 .

Now we present some complementary results, for which we use following condition:
(H9) Assume that

$$
H(x, s, t) \geq-\left(|x|^{\beta_{2}-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}|s|^{\alpha}|t|^{\gamma}\right)
$$

instead of the condition (H6). Notice that if $\mu_{2}<0$ then (H6) is more restrictive than (H9). To obtain similar results we will impose that $-\mu_{2}$ is small or that $\xi<2$.

Theorem 1.4. Assume (H1), (H2)-(H5), (H7), (H9), $\xi<2, \beta_{1}<(b+1) \xi+N[1-$ $(\xi / 2)]$, and $\theta_{2} \in[\xi, 2)$. Then, there exists $\tilde{\mu}_{0}>0$ such that system 1.2 possesses a nontrivial weak solution for each $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\mu_{2} \in\left(-\tilde{\mu}_{0}, 0\right)$, provided that one of the following conditions is satisfied
(i) $p_{0} \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$;
(ii) $p_{0}=2$ and $K_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{\lambda_{\beta_{2}}}{2}\right)$.

Moreover, if $p_{0} \in(1,2)$ there exist $\tilde{\mu}_{0}, \bar{\mu}_{0}>0$ such that system (1.2) possesses a nontrivial weak solution for each $\mu_{1} \in\left(0, \bar{\mu}_{0}\right)$ and $\mu_{2} \in\left(-\tilde{\mu}_{0}, 0\right)$.

Theorem 1.5. In addition to (H1), (H2)-(H5), (H7), (H9), $\xi<2, \beta_{1}<(b+$ 1) $\xi+N[1-(\xi / 2)], \theta_{2} \in[\xi, 2)$, and $H$ even in the variables $s, t$, suppose either $H(x, s, 0) \leq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \Omega$ or $p_{0}=\tau$. Then, system 1.2 possesses a sequence $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$ of nontrivial weak solution with energies $I\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\mu_{2}<0$. See the definition of $I$ in 2.2.
Remark 1.6. The Theorems $1.1,1.5$ still hold for system 1.2 with subcritical growth; that is, when $\xi \in(1,2 N /(N-2))$, $\beta_{1}<(b+1) \xi+N[1-(\xi / 2)]$, and we consider $\beta$ instead $2_{a}^{*} e_{1}=2_{b}^{*} e_{2}$, where $\beta<\min \left\{(a+1) p_{3}+N\left[1-\left(p_{3} / 2\right)\right]\right.$, $(b+$ 1) $\left.p_{4}+N\left[1-\left(p_{4} / 2\right)\right]\right\}$ for some $p_{3}, p_{4} \in\left(1, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$ with

$$
\frac{\alpha}{p_{3}}+\frac{\gamma}{p_{4}}=1
$$

## 2. Preliminaries

Consider $\Omega$ a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ with $0 \in \Omega$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $l \in(0,+\infty)$, let $L^{l}\left(\Omega,|x|^{\alpha}\right)$ be the subspace of $L^{l}(\Omega)$ of the Lebesgue measurable
functions $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\|u\|_{L^{l}\left(\Omega,|x|^{\alpha}\right)}:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|x|^{\alpha}|u|^{l} d x\right)^{1 / l}<\infty .
$$

If $-\infty<a<(N-2) / 2$, we define $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ as being the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{a}$ defined by

$$
\|u\|_{a}=\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)}:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

which is induced by inner product

$$
\langle u, w\rangle_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)}:=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u \nabla w d x
$$

First of all, by using inequality (1.1) and the boundedness of $\Omega$, in [22] was proved that there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\delta}|u|^{r} d x\right)^{2 / r} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right), \quad \forall u \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq r \leq 2 N /(N-2)$ and $\delta \leq(a+1) r+N[1-(r / 2)]$, which is the Caffarelli, Kohn, Nirenberg's inequality. In other words, the embedding $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{r}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\delta}\right)$ is continuous if $1 \leq r \leq 2 N /(N-2)$ and $\delta \leq(a+1) r+N[1-(r / 2)]$. Moreover, this embedding is compact if $1 \leq r<2 N /(N-2)$ and $\delta<(a+1) r+$ $N[1-(r / 2)]$, see [22, Theorem 2.1].

Due to Theorem 4.3, see Appendix, we can consider

$$
\left\{\frac{\varphi_{a, n}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{a, n}}}\right\} \subset C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\}) \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})
$$

and

$$
\left\{\frac{\varphi_{b, n}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{b, n}}}\right\} \subset C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\}) \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})
$$

the Hilbertian bases of spaces $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ and $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right)$, respectively. We define

$$
E:=W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right) \times W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right)
$$

endowed with the norm $\|(u, v)\|:=\|u\|_{a}+\|v\|_{b}$. We will denote $\varphi_{n}^{a}=\left(\varphi_{a, n}, 0\right)$, and $\varphi_{n}^{b}=\left(0, \varphi_{b, n}\right)$. Evidently, $\left\{\varphi_{n}^{a}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{\varphi_{n}^{b}\right\}$ ) is a basis for space $E^{+}:=$ $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right) \times\{0\}\left(\right.$ resp. $E^{-}:=\{0\} \times W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right)$ ) and $E=E^{-} \oplus E^{+}$. We define the spaces

$$
X^{m}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{1}^{a}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}^{a}\right\} \oplus E^{-}, \quad X_{n}:=E^{+} \oplus \operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{1}^{b}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}^{b}\right\}
$$

and we denote by $\left(X^{m}\right)^{\perp}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left(X_{n}\right)^{\perp}\right)$ the complement of $X^{m}$ (resp. $X_{n}$ ) in $E$.
Our approach is variational, so we will study the critical points of the EulerLagrange functional $I: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u, v)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\|u\|_{a}^{2}-\|v\|_{b}^{2}\right)-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{0}}|u|^{\tau} d x-\frac{\mu_{2}}{\xi} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}|v|^{\xi} d x  \tag{2.2}\\
& -\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{2}} H(x, u, v) d x-\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\gamma} d x
\end{align*}
$$

which belongs to the class $C^{1}$.
Now, we will proof that $I^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\left\{\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\} \subset E$ be a sequence and $(u, v) \in E$ such that $\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right) \rightharpoonup$ $(u, v)$ weakly in $E$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Assume (H1), (H2)-(H5). Then, $I^{\prime}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right) \rightharpoonup I^{\prime}(u, v)$ weakly in $E^{*}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. By definition of weak convergence in $E$, we have for $(w, z) \in E$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u_{j} \nabla w d x & =\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u \nabla w d x  \tag{2.3}\\
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 b} \nabla v_{j} \nabla z d x & =\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 b} \nabla v \nabla z d x \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By compact embedding, we have

$$
u_{j} \rightarrow u \text { strongly in } L^{\tau}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{0}}\right) \text { and } L^{p_{1}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{2}}\right) \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty
$$

$$
v_{j} \rightarrow v \text { strongly in } L^{q_{1}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{2}}\right) \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty
$$

In particular, there exist functions $h \in L^{\tau}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{0}}\right), f \in L^{p_{1}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{2}}\right)$, and $g \in L^{q_{1}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{2}}\right)$ such that $\left|u_{j}\right|(x) \leq \min \{f(x), h(x)\}$ and $\left|v_{j}\right|(x) \leq g(x)$ almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$. Passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we obtain $u_{j}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ and $v_{j}(x) \rightarrow v(x)$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$, for almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$. Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[H_{u}\left(x, u_{j}, v_{j}\right) w\right](x) \rightarrow\left[H_{u}(x, u, v) w\right](x) \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty \text { almost everywhere } x \in \Omega} \\
& \begin{aligned}
&\left(\left|u_{j}\right|^{\tau-2} u_{j} w\right)(x) \rightarrow\left(|u|^{\tau-2} u w\right)(x) \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty \text { almost everywhere } x \in \Omega \\
&\left|H_{u}\left(x, u_{j}, v_{j}\right) w\right| \leq K_{1}\left(\left|u_{j}\right|^{p_{1}}+\left|v_{j}\right|^{q_{1}}+|w|^{r_{1}}\right) \\
& \leq K_{1}\left(f^{p_{1}}+g^{q_{1}}+|w|^{r_{1}}\right) \in L^{1}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{2}}\right) \\
& \|\left. u_{j}\right|^{\tau-2} u_{j} w \mid \leq h^{\tau-1}|w| \\
& \leq \frac{\tau-1}{\tau} h^{\tau}+\frac{1}{\tau}|w|^{\tau} \in L^{1}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{0}}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the Lebesgue Theorem implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{2}} H_{u}\left(x, u_{j}, v_{j}\right) w d x & =\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{2}} H_{u}(x, u, v) w d x \\
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{0}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\tau-2} u_{j} w d x & =\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{0}}|u|^{\tau-2} u w d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{2}} H_{v}\left(x, u_{j}, v_{j}\right) z d x=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{2}} H_{v}(x, u, v) z d x \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to weak convergence, $\left\{\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. Also, since that $\left(\alpha / 2_{a}^{*}\right)+$ $\left(\gamma / 2_{b}^{*}\right)=1$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\alpha-1}{2_{a}^{*}-1}+\frac{2_{a}^{*} \gamma}{2_{b}^{*}\left(2_{a}^{*}-1\right)}=\frac{\gamma-1}{2_{b}^{*}-1}+\frac{2_{b}^{*} \alpha}{2_{a}^{*}\left(2_{b}^{*}-1\right)}=1, \quad \frac{2_{a}^{*}-1}{\alpha-1}, \frac{2_{b}^{*}-1}{\gamma-1}>1
$$

Then, by Hölder's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| x\right|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}\left(\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha-2}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\gamma} u_{j}\right)^{\frac{2_{a}^{*}}{2_{a}^{*}-1}} d x \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2_{a}^{*}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{\left.-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}\right)}\right.}\right)^{\frac{2_{a}^{*}(\alpha-1)}{2_{a}^{*-1}}}\left(\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{L_{b}^{2_{b}^{*}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2_{b}^{*} e_{2}}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2_{a}^{*} \gamma}{2_{a}^{*}-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left\{\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha-2}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\gamma} u_{j}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^{\frac{2_{a}^{*}}{2_{a}^{2}-1}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}\right)$. Also, the sequence $\left\{\left|v_{j}\right|^{\xi-2} v_{j}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{\xi}{\xi-1}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{1}}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\left(\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha-2}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\gamma} u_{j}\right)(x) \rightarrow\left(|u|^{\alpha-2}|v|^{\gamma} u\right)(x) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\left|v_{j}\right|^{\xi-2} v_{j}\right)(x) \rightarrow\left(|v|^{\xi-2} v\right)(x)
$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$, for almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$. Then, by [13, Lemma 4.8], we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha-2}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\gamma} u_{j} \rightharpoonup|u|^{\alpha-2}|v|^{\gamma} u \quad \text { weakly in } L^{\frac{2_{a}^{*}}{2_{a}^{*}-1}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}\right) \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty, \\
\left|v_{j}\right|^{\xi-2} v_{j} \rightharpoonup|v|^{\xi-2} v \quad \text { weakly in } L^{\frac{\xi}{\xi-1}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{1}}\right) \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha-2}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\gamma} u_{j} w d x=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}|u|^{\alpha-2}|v|^{\gamma} u w d x  \tag{2.6}\\
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\xi-2} v_{j} z d x=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}|v|^{\xi-2} v z d x \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\gamma-2} v_{j} z d x=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\gamma-2} v z d x \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining the limits 2.3-2.8, we conclude that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),(w, z)\right\rangle=\left\langle I^{\prime}(u, v),(w, z)\right\rangle, \quad \forall(w, z) \in E
$$

Definition 2.2. We say that $\left\{\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\} \subset E$ is a $(P S)_{c}^{*}$-sequence with relation to the functional $I$ if $\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right) \in X_{n_{j}}, n_{j} \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty, I\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right) \rightarrow c$, and $\left\|\left.I^{\prime}\right|_{X_{n_{j}}}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\|_{\left(X_{n_{j}}\right)^{*}} \leq \epsilon_{n_{j}}, \epsilon_{n_{j}} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, if all $(P S)_{c}^{*}$-sequence be precompact, we say that functional $I$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}^{*}$-condition.
Lemma 2.3. Assume (H1), (H2)-(H5). Then, all $(P S)_{c}^{*}$-sequence is bounded in $E$, if one of the following conditions occurs:
(i) $\mu_{1}>0, \mu_{2} \geq 0$, and $(H 7)$ are satisfied with $\theta_{2} \in(1,2) \cap(1, \xi]$;
(ii) $\xi<2, \beta_{1}<(b+1) \xi[1-(\xi / 2)], \mu_{1}>0, \mu_{2}<0$, and (H7) are satisfied with $\theta_{2} \in[\xi, 2)$.
Proof. Let $\left\{\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}$ be a $(P S)_{c}^{*}$-sequence with relation to the functional $I$. We consider $\theta_{1} \in(2, \tau]$ and $\theta_{2} \in(1,2) \cap(1, \xi]$ if $(i)$ is satisfied and, for (ii), we consider $\theta_{1} \in(2, \tau]$ and $\theta_{2} \in[\xi, 2)$. In both cases, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o(1)\left\|\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\|+o(1) & \geq I\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right)-\left\langle\left. I^{\prime}\right|_{X_{n_{j}}}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{1}} u_{j}, \frac{1}{\theta_{2}} v_{j}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{a}^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{b}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so, $\left\{\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}$ is bounded in $E$.
Theorem 2.4. Assume (H1), (H2)-(H5). Let $\left\{\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\} \subset E$ be a $(P S)_{c}^{*}$-sequence with relation to the functional I such that $\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right) \rightharpoonup(u, v)$ weakly in $E$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $(u, v)$ is a weak solution of system (1.2) and $\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right) \rightarrow(u, v)$ strongly in $E$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, provided that one of the following conditions is satisfied
(i) $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\mu_{2} \geq 0$;
(ii) $\xi<2, \beta_{1}<(b+1) \xi+[1-(\xi / 2)], \mu_{1}>0$, and $\mu_{2}<0$.

Proof. Due to weak convergence, $\left\{\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}$ is bounded in $E$.
Step I. We will prove that $\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right) \rightarrow(u, v)$ strongly in $E$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. For each $z \in$ $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right)$, we can write $z=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} \varphi_{k}^{b}$. Thus, we have the projection $P_{n_{j}}^{0}$ : $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{1}^{b}, \ldots, \varphi_{n_{j}}^{b}\right\}$ given by $P_{n_{j}}^{0}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n_{j}} a_{k} \varphi_{k}^{b}$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $P_{n_{j}}^{0}(z) \rightarrow z$ strongly in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

By definition of $(P S)_{c}^{*}$-sequence, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 b} \nabla v_{j} \nabla\left(v-v_{j}\right) d x \\
& =\left\langle\left. I^{\prime}\right|_{X_{n_{j}}}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),\left(0, v_{j}-P_{n_{j}}^{0}(v)\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),\left(0, v-P_{n_{j}}^{0}(v)\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\mu_{2} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\xi-2} v_{j}\left(v_{j}-v\right) d x+\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{2}} H_{v}\left(x, u_{j}, v_{j}\right)\left(v_{j}-v\right) d x  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad+\gamma \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\gamma-2} v_{j}\left(v_{j}-v\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Since that $\left(0, v_{j}-P_{n_{j}}^{0}(v)\right) \in X_{n_{j}}$ and $\left\{\left(0, v_{j}-P_{n_{j}}^{0}(v)\right)\right\}$ is bounded in $E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left. I^{\prime}\right|_{X_{n_{j}}}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),\left(0, v_{j}-P_{n_{j}}^{0}(v)\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $P_{n_{j}}^{0}(v) \rightarrow v$ strongly in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and boundedness of $\left\{\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right)\right\}$ in $E$ follow that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),\left(0, v-P_{n_{j}}^{0}(v)\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\xi-2} v_{j} v d x=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}|v|^{\xi} d x  \tag{2.12}\\
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{2}} H_{v}\left(x, u_{j}, v_{j}\right)\left(v_{j}-v\right) d x=0  \tag{2.13}\\
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\gamma-2} v_{j} v d x=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\gamma} d x \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

By compact embedding, $u_{j}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ and $v_{j}(x) \rightarrow v(x)$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$, for almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$. Then $|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha}(x)\left|v_{j}\right|^{\gamma}(x) \rightarrow|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}|u|^{\alpha}(x)|v|^{\gamma}(x)$, as $j \rightarrow$ $\infty$, for almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$. Hence, we obtain by Fatou's Lemma that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\gamma} d x \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\gamma} d x . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, taking the lower limit in equation (2.9) and by using 2.10-2.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\|v\|_{b}^{2}-\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{b}^{2} & =\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} \nabla v_{j} \nabla\left(v-v_{j}\right) d x \\
& \geq \liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mu_{2} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\xi-2} v_{j}\left(v_{j}-v\right) d x\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
& \geq \liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mu_{2} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\xi} d x\right)-\mu_{2} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}|v|^{\xi} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Consider $\mu_{2} \geq 0$. Then, since $|x|^{-\beta_{1}}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\xi}(x) \rightarrow|x|^{-\beta_{1}}|v|^{\xi}(x)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$, we obtain by Fatou's Lemma that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}|v|^{\xi} d x \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\xi} d x
$$

therefore, from 2.16 we obtain

$$
\|v\|_{b}^{2}-\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{b}^{2} \geq 0
$$

But, if $\mu_{2}<0, \xi<2$, and $\beta_{1}<(b+1) \xi+[1-(\xi / 2)]$, then the embedding $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{\xi}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{1}}\right)$ is compact. Therefore,

$$
\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}|v|^{\xi} d x=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}\left|v_{j}\right|^{\xi} d x
$$

and from 2.16 it follows that

$$
\|v\|_{b}^{2}-\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{b}^{2} \geq 0
$$

Then, in both cases, we have

$$
\limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{b}^{2} \leq\|v\|_{b}^{2} \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{b}^{2}
$$

so, $v_{j} \rightarrow v$ strongly in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.
Define $\tilde{u}_{j}:=u_{j}-u$ and $\tilde{v}_{j}:=v_{j}-v$. From definition of $(P S)_{c}^{*}$-sequence and by Brezis-Lieb's Lemma follow

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\tilde{u}_{j}\right\|_{a}^{2}-\alpha \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}\left|\tilde{u}_{j}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\tilde{v}_{j}\right|^{\gamma} d x  \tag{2.17}\\
& =\left\langle\left. I^{\prime}\right|_{X_{n_{j}}}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{j}, 0\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle I^{\prime}(u, v),(u, 0)\right\rangle+o(1)
\end{align*}
$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.
As $\left\{\left(u_{j}, 0\right)\right\}$ is bounded in $E,\left(u_{j}, 0\right),(w, 0) \in X_{n_{j}}:=E^{+} \oplus \operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{1}^{b}, \ldots, \varphi_{n_{j}}^{b}\right\}$ where $E^{+}:=W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right) \times\{0\}$, we have by definition of $(P S)_{c}^{*}$-sequence that $\left\langle\left. I^{\prime}\right|_{X_{n_{j}}}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{j}, 0\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\langle\left. I^{\prime}\right|_{X_{n_{j}}}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),(w, 0)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for all $w \in$ $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.1. $\left\langle\left. I^{\prime}\right|_{X_{n_{j}}}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right),(w, 0)\right\rangle \rightarrow$ $\left\langle I^{\prime}(u, v),(w, 0)\right\rangle$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for all $w \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u, v),(w, 0)\right\rangle=0, \quad \forall w \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we obtain by Hölder's inequality, Caffarelli, Kohn, and Nirenberg's inequality, boundedness of $\left\{\tilde{u}_{n}\right\}$ in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$, and 2.17 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{u}_{j}\right\|_{a}^{2}=\alpha \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2_{a}^{*} e_{1}}\left|\tilde{u}_{j}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\tilde{v}_{j}\right|^{\gamma} d x+o(1) \leq M\|v\|_{b}^{\gamma}+o(1), \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

so, as $\tilde{v}_{j} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $E$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\tilde{u}_{j} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we conclude that $\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right) \rightarrow(u, v)$ strongly in $E$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Step II. We will prove that $(u, v)$ is a weak solution of system (1.2). Consider $z \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),(0, z)\right\rangle=\left\langle\left. I^{\prime}\right|_{X_{n_{j}}}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),\left(0, P_{n_{j}}^{0}(z)\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),\left(0, z-P_{n_{j}}^{0}(z)\right)\right\rangle \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, as $\left(0, P_{n_{j}}^{0}(z)\right) \in X_{n_{j}}$ and $\left\{\left(0, P_{n_{j}}^{0}(z)\right)\right\}$ is bounded in $E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left. I^{\prime}\right|_{X_{n_{j}}}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),\left(0, P_{n_{j}}^{0}(z)\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, follows similar to 2.11 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),\left(0, z-P_{n_{j}}^{0}(z)\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by 2.20, 2.21, and 2.22, we obtain

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),(0, z)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty
$$

But, by Theorem 2.1. we have $\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right),(0, z)\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle I^{\prime}(u, v),(0, z)\right\rangle$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for all $z \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u, v),(0, z)\right\rangle=0, \quad \forall z \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by using 2.18 and 2.23, we conclude that $(u, v)$ is a weak solution of system (1.2).

## 3. Proof of main results

Lemma 3.1. Assume (H1), (H2)-(H5), (H7), $\mu_{1}>0$, and $\mu_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exist $r, \sigma>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf I\left(\partial B_{r}\left(E^{+}\right)\right) \geq \sigma \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that one of the following conditions is satisfied
(i) $p_{0} \in\left(2, \frac{2 N}{N-2}\right)$;
(ii) $p_{0}=2$ and $K_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{\lambda_{1_{\beta_{2}}}}{2}\right)$.

Moreover, if $p_{0} \in(1,2)$, there exist $\bar{\mu}_{0}, r, \sigma>0$ such that (3.1) is held for each $\mu_{1} \in\left(0, \bar{\mu}_{0}\right)$ and $\mu_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. If (i) is satisfied, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u, 0) & \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{a}^{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} C^{\frac{\tau}{2}}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau}-K_{0} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{2}}|u|^{p_{0}} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{a}^{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} C^{\frac{\tau}{2}}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau}-K_{0} C^{\frac{p_{0}}{2}}\|u\|_{a}^{p_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so, as $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\tau, p_{0}>2$, there exist $r, \sigma \in(0,1)$ such that $I(u, 0) \geq \sigma$ for all $(u, 0) \in E^{+}$with $\|(u, 0)\|=r$.

Assuming (ii), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u, 0) & \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{a}^{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} C^{\frac{\tau}{2}}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau}-K_{0} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{2}}|u|^{2} d x \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{K_{0}}{\lambda_{1_{\beta_{2}}}}\right)\|u\|_{a}^{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} C^{\frac{\tau}{2}}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

so, as $\mu_{1}>0, K_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{\lambda_{1_{\beta_{2}}}}{2}\right)$, and $\tau>2$, there exist $r, \sigma \in(0,1)$ such that $I(u, 0) \geq \sigma$ for all $(u, 0) \in E^{+}$with $\|(u, 0)\|=r$.

Now, for $p_{0} \in(1,2)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u, 0) & \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{a}^{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} C^{\frac{\tau}{2}}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau}-K_{0} C^{\frac{p_{0}}{2}}\|u\|_{a}^{p_{0}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{4}\|u\|_{a}^{2}-K_{0} C^{\frac{p_{0}}{2}}\|u\|_{a}^{p_{0}}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{4}\|u\|_{a}^{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} C^{\frac{\tau}{2}}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p_{0} \in(1,2)$, there exist $r, \sigma>0$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{4} r^{2}-K_{0} C^{\frac{p_{0}}{2}} r^{p_{0}}\right) \geq \sigma
$$

We choose $\bar{\mu}_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{4} r^{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} C^{\frac{\tau}{2}} r^{\tau}\right) \geq 0
$$

for all $\mu_{1} \in\left(0, \bar{\mu}_{0}\right)$.
Hence, we conclude that $I(u, 0) \geq \sigma$ for all $(u, 0) \in E^{+}$with $\|(u, 0)\|=r$, provided that $\mu_{1} \in\left(0, \bar{\mu}_{0}\right)$ and $\mu_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider $(e, 0) \in E^{+}$with $\|(e, 0)\|=r$. We define the sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
M=M(\rho):=\left\{(s e, v): v \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right),\|(s e, v)\| \leq \rho\right\} \\
M_{0}=M_{0}(\rho):=\left\{(s e, v): v \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right),\|(s e, v)\|=\rho\right. \\
\text { and } \left.s>0 \text { or }\|v\|_{b} \leq \rho \text { and } s=0\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Assume (H1) and (H2)-(H7). Then, there exists $\rho>r>0$ such that $I(u, v) \leq 0$ for all $(s e, v) \in M_{0}$, for each $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\mu_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. If $(s e, v) \in M_{0}$, then, by using (H6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(s e, v) \leq \frac{r^{2}}{2} s^{2}-s^{\tau} \frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{0}}|e|^{\tau} d x-\frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{b}^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $\rho_{0}>r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r^{2}}{2} s^{2}-s^{\tau} \frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{0}}|e|^{\tau} d x \leq 0, \forall s \geq \rho_{0} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, define

$$
0<b_{0}:=\max _{s \geq 0}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{2} s^{2}-s^{\tau} \frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{0}}|e|^{\tau} d x\right)<\infty
$$

Then, we choose $\rho>\max \left\{\rho_{0}, r \rho_{0}\right\}>r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{b}^{2} \geq b_{0}, \quad \text { for all } v \text { with }\|v\|_{b} \geq \rho-\rho_{0} r \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $s=0$ and $\|v\|_{b} \leq \rho$, follows by (3.2) that $I(0, v) \leq 0$.
If $s>0$ and $\|(s e, v)\|=\rho$, we have $\|v\|_{b}=\rho-s\|e\|_{a}=\rho-s r$. Then, for $s \geq \rho_{0}$, we obtain by (3.2) and (3.3) that $I(0, v) \leq 0$. However, if $s<\rho_{0}$, we have $\|v\|_{b}=\rho-s r \geq \rho-\rho_{0} r$, so, by (3.2) and (3.4), we obtain $I(s e, v) \leq 0$. Note that $\frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{b}^{2} \leq b_{0}$ and $s>0$ imply $s \geq\left(\rho-\sqrt{2 b_{0}}\right) / r>\rho_{0}$.

Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We have

$$
X_{n}=E^{+} \oplus \operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{1}^{b}, \cdots, \varphi_{n}^{b}\right\}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{n}:=M \cap X_{n}, \quad M_{0, n}:=M_{0} \cap X_{n}, \quad N_{n}:=\partial B_{r}\left(E^{+}\right), \\
c_{n}
\end{gathered}:=\inf _{h \in \Gamma_{n}} \max I\left(h\left(M_{n}\right)\right), ~ \$
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_{n}:=\left\{h \in C\left(M_{n}, X_{n}\right):\left.h\right|_{M_{0, n}} \equiv i d_{M_{0, n}}\right\} .
$$

Similar to the proof of [20, Theorem 2.12], we obtain

$$
h\left(M_{n}\right) \cap \partial B_{r}\left(E^{+}\right) \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall h \in \Gamma_{n} .
$$

Then, by using Lemmata 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain

$$
\sup I\left(M_{0, n}\right) \leq 0<\sigma \leq \inf I\left(\partial B_{r} E^{+}\right) \leq c_{n} \leq k_{0}:=\sup I\left(M_{n}\right)<\infty
$$

In particular, we obtain a subsequence $\left\{c_{n_{j}}\right\}$ of $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ and $c \in\left[\sigma, k_{0}\right]$ such that $c_{n_{j}} \rightarrow c$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Then, by applying [20, Theorem 2.8], we obtain $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in X_{n}$ with $\mid I\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-$ $c_{n} \mid \leq 1 / n$ and $\left\|\left.I^{\prime}\right|_{X_{n}}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|_{\left(X_{n}\right)^{*}} \leq 1 / n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\left\{\left(u_{n_{j}}, v_{n_{j}}\right)\right\}$ is a $(P S)_{c}^{*}$-sequence with relation to the functional $I$. Due to Lemma 2.3. $\left\{\left(u_{n_{j}}, v_{n_{j}}\right)\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. Therefore, there exists $(u, v) \in E$ such that $\left(u_{n_{j}}, v_{n_{j}}\right) \rightharpoonup(u, v)$ weakly in $E$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by Theorem 2.4 , we conclude that $(u, v)$ is a weak solution of system (1.2) and $\left(u_{n_{j}}, v_{n_{j}}\right) \rightarrow(u, v)$ strongly in $E$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, $I(u, v)=c>0$, then $(u, v)$ is nontrivial.

Lemma 3.3. Assume (H1), (H2)-(H6), $\mu_{1}>0$, and $\mu_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists $R_{m}>0$ such that $I(u, v) \leq 0$ for all $(u, v) \in X^{m}$ with $\|(u, v)\| \geq R_{m}$.
Proof. We recall that $X^{m} \approx \operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{a, 1}, \ldots, \varphi_{a, m}\right\} \times W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right)$. Thus, as $\operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{a, 1}, \ldots, \varphi_{a, m}\right\}$ has finite dimension, all norms in this space are equivalent. From Caffarelli, Kohn, and Nirenberg's inequality $\|w\|_{L^{\tau}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{0}}\right)} \leq C^{1 / 2}\|w\|_{a}$ for all $w \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ and $\|z\|_{L^{\xi}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{1}}\right)} \leq C^{1 / 2}\|z\|_{b}$ for all $z \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right)$. In particular, $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\tau}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{0}}\right)}$ define a norm on the space $\operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{a, 1}, \ldots, \varphi_{a, m}\right\}$. Then, there exists $K_{m}>0$ such that

$$
\|w\|_{L^{\tau}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{0}}\right)} \geq K_{m}\|w\|_{a}, \quad \forall w \in \operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{a, 1}, \ldots, \varphi_{a, m}\right\}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
I(u, v) \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{a}^{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} K_{m}^{\tau}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{b}^{2} \leq 0
$$

for all $(u, v) \in X^{m},\|(u, v)\| \geq R_{m}$, for some $R_{m}>0$ large enough, because $\tau>2$.

Lemma 3.4. In addition to (H1), (H2)-(H5), $\mu_{1}>0$, and $\mu_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, suppose either $H(x, s, 0) \leq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \Omega$ or $p_{0}=\tau$. Then, there exist $r_{m}, a_{m}>0$ such that $a_{m} \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $I(u, v) \geq a_{m}$ for all $(u, v) \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}$ with $\|(u, v)\|=r_{m}$.
Proof. We have $\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp} \approx \operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{a, j}: j \geq m\right\} \times\{0\} \approx \operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{a, j}: j \geq m\right\}$. Thus, we can consider $\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp} \subset W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{m} & :=\sup _{u \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp},\|u\|_{a}=1}\|u\|_{L^{\tau}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{0}}\right)}, \\
\rho_{m} & :=\sup _{u \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp},\|u\|_{a}=1}\|u\|_{L^{p_{0}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{2}}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since that $\left(X^{m}\right)^{\perp} \subset\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}$, it follows that $\sigma_{m} \geq \sigma_{m+1}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\sigma_{m} \searrow \sigma \geq 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We will prove that $\sigma=0$. By definition of $\sigma_{m}$, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $u_{m} \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}$ with $\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{a}=1$ and

$$
\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{\tau}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{0}}\right)} \geq \frac{\sigma_{m}}{2} .
$$

Moreover, as $\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp} \approx \operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{a, j}: j \geq m\right\}$, we obtain $u_{m} \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We have, from compact embedding $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right) \hookrightarrow$
$L^{\tau}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{0}}\right)$, that $u_{m} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^{\tau}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{0}}\right)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $\sigma_{m} \searrow \sigma=$ 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, we have that $\rho_{m} \searrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

If $H(x, s, 0) \leq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \Omega$, then, for each $(u, 0) \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}$, we obtain

$$
I(u, 0) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{a}^{2}-\mu_{1}\left(\sigma_{m}\right)^{\tau}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau}
$$

therefore, taking $r_{m}:=\left[\mu_{1}\left(\sigma_{m}\right)^{\tau}\right]^{\frac{1}{2-\tau}}$ and $a_{m}:=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) r_{m}^{2}$, we conclude that

$$
I(u, 0) \geq a_{m}
$$

where $a_{m} \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, for all $(u, 0) \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}$ with $\|(u, 0)\|=r_{m}$.
However, if $p_{0}=\tau$, we define $l:=\max \left\{\mu_{1} / \tau, K_{0}\right\}$ and $\eta_{m}:=\max \left\{\sigma_{m}, \rho_{m}\right\}$. Then, for each $(u, 0) \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u, 0) & \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{a}^{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau}\left(\sigma_{m}\right)^{\tau}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau}-K_{0} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{2}}|u|^{\tau} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{a}^{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau}\left(\sigma_{m}\right)^{\tau}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau}-K_{0}\left(\rho_{m}\right)^{\tau}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{a}^{2}-2 l\left(\eta_{m}\right)^{\tau}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

so, taking $r_{m}:=\left[2 \tau l\left(\eta_{m}\right)^{\tau}\right]^{\frac{1}{2-\tau}}$ and $a_{m}:=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) r_{m}^{2}$, we conclude that

$$
I(u, 0) \geq a_{m}
$$

where $a_{m} \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, for all $(u, 0) \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}$ with $\|(u, 0)\|=r_{m}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. We remark that $I$ is an even functional in the variables $u$ and $v$. By using Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{X^{m}} I<\infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Lemmata 2.3, 3.3, and 3.4. Theorem 2.4 , and by (3.5), we have the hypotheses of [10, Proposition 2.1], which concludes Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof is similar to prove of Theorem 1.2, the difference is that we apply the next lemma instead of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.5. Assume (H1), (H2)-(H5), (H7), (H9), $\xi<2, \beta_{1}<(b+1) \xi+$ $N[1-(\xi / 2)]$, and $\theta_{2} \in[\xi, 2)$. Then, there exist $\tilde{\mu}_{0}>0$ and $\rho>r>0$ such that $\sup I\left(M_{0}\right)<\sigma$ for all $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\mu_{2} \in\left(-\tilde{\mu}_{0}, 0\right)$, where $\sigma, r>0$ are coming from Lemma 3.1.

Proof. If $(s e, v) \in M_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
I(s e, v) & \leq \frac{r^{2}}{2} s^{2}-s^{\tau} \frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{0}}|e|^{\tau} d x-\frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{b}^{2}+\frac{\left|\mu_{2}\right|}{\xi} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{1}}|v|^{\xi} d x \\
& \leq\left(\frac{r^{2}}{2} s^{2}-s^{\tau} \frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{0}}|e|^{\tau} d x\right)+\left(\frac{\left|\mu_{2}\right|}{\xi} C^{\frac{\xi}{2}}\|v\|_{b}^{\xi}-\frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{b}^{2}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy verify that

$$
t_{\mu_{1}}:=\left(\frac{r^{2}}{\mu_{1} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{0}}|e|^{\tau} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{\tau-2}}, \quad t_{\mu_{2}}:=\left(\left|\mu_{2}\right| C^{\frac{\xi}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-\xi}}
$$

are the respective maximum points of the functions $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g:(0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
f(s):=\frac{r^{2}}{2} s^{2}-s^{\tau} \frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{0}}|e|^{\tau} d x, \quad g(t):=\frac{\left|\mu_{2}\right|}{\xi} C^{\frac{\xi}{2}} t^{\xi}-\frac{t^{2}}{2}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(t_{\mu_{1}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\left(\mu_{1} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta_{0}}|e|^{\tau} d x\right)^{\frac{-2}{\tau-2}}\left(r^{2}\right)^{\frac{\tau}{\tau-2}} \\
g\left(t_{\mu_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{\xi}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\left|\mu_{2}\right| C^{\frac{\xi}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\xi}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us fix $\tilde{\mu}_{0}>0$ and $\rho_{0}>r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(t_{\mu_{2}}\right)<\sigma & \text { if } 0<\left|\mu_{2}\right|<\tilde{\mu}_{0}  \tag{3.7}\\
f(s) \leq 0 & \text { for all } s \geq \rho_{0} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, we choose $\rho>\max \left\{\rho_{0}, r \rho_{0}\right\}>r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\|v\|_{b}\right)+f\left(t_{\mu_{1}}\right) \leq 0, \quad \forall\|v\|_{b} \geq \rho-\rho_{0} r \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $s=0$ and $\|v\|_{b} \leq \rho$, it follows by (3.6) and (3.7) that $I(0, v) \leq g\left(t_{\mu_{2}}\right)<\sigma$ if $0<\left|\mu_{2}\right|<\tilde{\mu}_{0}$.

If $s>0$ and $\|(s e, v)\|=\rho$, we have $\|v\|_{b}=\rho-s\|e\|_{a}=\rho-s r$. Then, for $s \geq \rho_{0}$, we obtain by (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8) that $I(s e, v) \leq g\left(t_{\mu_{2}}\right)<\sigma$ if $0<\left|\mu_{2}\right|<\tilde{\mu}_{0}$. However, if $s<\rho_{0}$, we have $\|v\|_{b}=\rho-s r \geq \rho-\rho_{0} r$, so, by (3.6) and (3.9), we obtain $I(s e, v) \leq f\left(t_{\mu_{1}}\right)+g\left(\|v\|_{b}\right) \leq 0<\sigma$. Note that $\|v\|_{b} \leq \rho-r \rho_{0}$ and $s>0$ imply $s \geq \rho_{0}$.

To prove Theorem 1.5, we will need of the following lemma.
Lemma 3.6. Assume (H1), (H2)-(H5), (H7), (H9), $\xi<2, \beta_{1}<(b+1) \xi+N[1-$ $(\xi / 2)], \theta_{2} \in[\xi, 2), \mu_{1}>0$, and $\mu_{2}<0$. Then, there exists $R_{m}>0$ such that $I(u, v) \leq 0$ for all $(u, v) \in X^{m}$ with $\|(u, v)\| \geq R_{m}$.

We remark that $I$ is an even functional in the variables $u$ and $v$. By using Lemma 3.6, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{X^{m}} I<\infty \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Lemmata 3.6 and 3.4 . Theorem 2.4 , and $(3.10$, we have the hypotheses of [10, Proposition 2.1], which concludes Theorem 1.5 .

Proof of Lemma 3.6. We have $X^{m} \approx \operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{a, 1}, \ldots, \varphi_{a, m}\right\} \times W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 b}\right)$. Following as in Lemma 3.3, we obtain that there exists $K_{m}>0$ such that

$$
\|w\|_{L^{\tau}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-\beta_{0}}\right)} \geq K_{m}\|w\|_{a}, \quad \forall w \in \operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{a, 1}, \ldots, \varphi_{a, m}\right\}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u, v) & \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{a}^{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\tau} K_{m}^{\tau}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{b}^{2}+\frac{\left|\mu_{2}\right|}{\xi} C^{\frac{\xi}{2}}\|v\|_{b}^{\xi} \\
& \leq-\left(\mu_{1} K^{\tau}\|u\|_{a}^{\tau-2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\|u\|_{a}^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{b}^{2-\xi}-\left|\mu_{2}\right| C^{\frac{\xi}{2}}\right)\|v\|_{b}^{\xi} \\
& \leq 0, \quad \forall(u, v) \in X^{m},\|(u, v)\| \geq R_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $R_{m}>0$ large enough, because $\tau>2>\xi$.
4. Appendix: Basis for $L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ AND $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$

The next result was proved in (4).
Theorem 4.1. For each $f \in L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$, the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u\right)=|x|^{-2(a+1)+c} f \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

has an unique weak solution $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ for each $c>0$. Moreover, the operator $T_{c}: L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right), T_{c} f=u$ is continuous and nondecreasing.

Lemma 4.2. If $c=2$, then the operator $T:=T_{2}$ is a compact self-adjoint operator and $N(T)=\{0\}$.
Proof. Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \subset L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ a bounded sequence and $f \in L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ such that $f_{n} \rightharpoonup f$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By using definition of $T$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a}\left|\nabla\left(T f_{n}\right)\right|^{2} d x & =\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} f_{n}\left(T f_{n}\right) d x \\
& \leq M\left(\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a}\left(T f_{n}\right)^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq M\left(\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a}\left|\nabla\left(T f_{n}\right)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M$ is a positive constant; therefore

$$
\left\|T f_{n}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)} \leq M, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Consequently, there exists $g \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ such that $T f_{n} \rightharpoonup g$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence form the compact embedding $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ we conclude that $T f_{n} \rightarrow g$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in other words, $T$ is compact.

Now, we prove that $T$ is self-adjoint. Let $f, g \in L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$. Then, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} g(T f) d x=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} \nabla(T f) \nabla(T g) d x=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} f(T g) d x
$$

that is,

$$
\langle T f, g\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)}=\langle f, T g\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)}
$$

so, $T$ is self-adjoint.
Let $f \in N(T)$. We have $T f=0$ almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$, then

$$
0=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} \nabla(T f) \nabla w d x=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} f w d x, \forall w \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)
$$

Then, we obtain $f \equiv 0$.
Theorem 4.3. The normalized eigenfunctions $\left\{\varphi_{a, n}\right\} \subset C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\}) \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ of eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-2 a} \nabla u\right)=\lambda|x|^{-2 a} u \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{4.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

is a Hilbertian basis of space $L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$. Moreover, if $\left\{\lambda_{a, n}\right\}$ are the respective eigenvalues of $\left\{\varphi_{a, n}\right\}$, the sequence $\left\{\frac{\varphi_{a, n}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{a, n}}}\right\} \subset C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\}) \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ is a Hilbertian basis for space $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$.

Proof. First of all, we recall that Xuan [21] proved that the eigenvalue problem 4.1 has a sequence of eigenfunctions $\left\{\varphi_{a, n}\right\}$ associated to eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{a, n}\right\}$ with $0<\lambda_{a, 1}<\lambda_{a, 2} \leq \lambda_{a, 3} \leq \cdots \nearrow+\infty$. Changing $\left\{\varphi_{a, n}\right\}$ by $\varphi_{a, n} /\left\|\varphi_{a, n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)}$, if necessary, we can consider $\left\|\varphi_{a, n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)}=1$. Moreover,

$$
\left\{\varphi_{a, n}\right\} \subset L^{\infty}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right) \cap C^{1}(\Omega \backslash\{0\})
$$

and $\varphi_{1}>0$ in $\Omega \backslash\{0\}$. Then, by [4, Theorem 2.1], we obtain $\left\{\varphi_{a, n}\right\} \subset C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$. Thus, by applying the [14, Theorem 1] follows that $\left\{\varphi_{a, n}\right\} \subset C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\})$. Also, by strong maximum principle, see [15, Theorem 2.1], we obtain $\varphi_{a, 1}>0$ in $\Omega$.

By the definition of $T$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} \nabla\left(T \varphi_{a, n}\right) \nabla w d x & =\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} \varphi_{a, n} w d x \\
& =\lambda_{a, n}^{-1} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} \nabla \varphi_{a, n} \nabla w d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} \nabla\left(\lambda_{a, n}^{-1} \varphi_{a, n}\right) \nabla w d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $w \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$. Hence, we conclude

$$
T \varphi_{n}=\lambda_{a, n}^{-1} \varphi_{a, n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

that is, $\left\{\lambda_{a, n}^{-1}\right\}$ and $\left\{\varphi_{a, n}\right\}$ are the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $T$, respectively. But, by Lemma 4.2 and 3, Theorem V.I.11], we obtain that the eigenfunctions of $T$ is a Hilbertian basis for space $L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$.

To prove the second claim, we remark that $\left\{\frac{\varphi_{a, n}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{a, n}}}\right\} \subset W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$. Moreover, from 4.1), we obtain that $\left\{\frac{\varphi_{a, n}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{a, n}}}\right\}$ is an orthonormal set with respect to inner product of $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$.

Now, we prove that the space spanned by $\left\{\varphi_{a, n}\right\}$ is dense in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$. Indeed, if $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$ is such that $\left\langle u, \varphi_{a, n}\right\rangle_{W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)}=0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by (4.1), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-2 a} u \varphi_{a, n} d x=0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Then, since that $\left\{\varphi_{a, n}\right\}$ is a Hilbertian basis of $L^{2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$, we conclude that $u=0$ for almost everywhere in $\Omega$. Hence, by [3, Corollary I.8] follows that $\left\{\varphi_{a, n}\right\}$ is dense in $W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-2 a}\right)$.
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