

MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR SCHRÖDINGER-MAXWELL SYSTEMS WITH UNBOUNDED AND DECAYING RADIAL POTENTIALS

FANGFANG LIAO, XIAOPING WANG, ZHIGANG LIU

ABSTRACT. This article concerns the nonlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell system

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + V(|x|)u + Q(|x|)\phi u &= Q(|x|)f(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \\ -\Delta \phi &= Q(|x|)u^2, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \end{aligned}$$

where V and Q are unbounded and decaying radial. Under suitable assumptions on nonlinearity $f(u)$, we establish the existence of nontrivial solutions and a sequence of high energy solutions in weighted Sobolev space via Mountain Pass Theorem and symmetric Mountain Pass Theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

This article concerns the nonlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell system

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + V(|x|)u + Q(|x|)\phi u &= Q(|x|)f(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \\ -\Delta \phi &= Q(|x|)u^2, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Such a system, also known as the nonlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell system, arises in an interesting physical context. Indeed, according to a classical model, the interaction of a charge particle with an electromagnetic field can be described by coupling the nonlinear Schrödinger and the Maxwell equations. For more details on the physical aspects, we refer to [1]. In particular, if we are looking for electrostatic-type solutions, we just have to solve (1.1).

For this problem in a bounded domain, there are some works. Let us recall some recent results. Benci and Fortunato obtained the existence of infinitely many solutions of an eigenvalue problem in [1]. D'Aprile and Wei [2] studied concentration phenomena for the system in the unit ball B_1 of \mathbb{R}^3 with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Candela and Salvatore [3] considered the problem with a non-homogeneous term and obtained infinitely many radially symmetric solutions.

Recently, the problem in the whole space \mathbb{R}^3 was considered in some works, see for instance [4 – 15] and the references therein. We recall some of them as follows.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J20, 35J60.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger-Maxwell system; unbounded or decaying potential; weighted Sobolev space; mountain pass theorem.

©2014 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted March 14, 2014. Published June 27, 2014.

Ruiz [4] considered the system

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + V(x)u + \lambda\phi u &= Q(x)f(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \\ -\Delta\phi &= u^2, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

and obtained the existence and nonexistence of radial solutions for (1.2) with $V(x) = Q(x) = 1, f(u) = u^p (1 < p < 5)$. Later, Ambrosetti and Ruiz in [5] obtained multiplicity results for (1.2) with $V(x) = Q(x) = 1$. For the critical growth case, we refer to [6]. Zhao and Zhao established the existence of a positive solution by the concentration compactness principle. Sun, Chen and Nieto [7] obtained the existence of ground state solutions when $V(x) = 1, \lambda = K(x)$ and $f(u)$ is asymptotically linear at infinity. When the potential V is not a constant, Wang and Zhou [8] also considered that the case $f(x, u)$ is asymptotically linear and the positive potential V is bounded and non-radial. Mercuri [9] considered the potential V may vanish at infinity and bounded; i.e., $\frac{a}{1+|x|^\alpha} \leq V(x) \leq A$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 2], a, A > 0$. By using the classical Mountain Pass Theorem, the author obtained the existence of positive solutions with $\lambda = 1$ and $f(u) = u^p (1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}, N = 3, 4, 5)$. Soon after, Sun, Chen and Yang [10] considered the asymptotically linear case under the assumptions in [9], the existence and nonexistence of solutions are obtained depending on the parameters λ . When $\lambda = 1$ and $Q = 1$, Chen and Tang [11] considered the potential $V(x)$ satisfies some coercive condition, i.e.,

$$(V0) \quad V \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \text{ satisfies } \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} V(x) > 0 \text{ and for each } M > 0, \text{ meas}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 | V(x) \leq M\} < +\infty,$$

and proved that (1.2) has infinitely many high energy solutions under the condition that $f(x, u)$ is superlinear at infinity in u by fountain theorem established in [12]. Soon after, Li, Su and Wei [13] improved their results. For $V(x)$ and $f(x, u)$ are 1-periodic in each x . Zhao and Zhao [14] considered this case and obtained the existence of infinitely many geometrically distinct solutions. For the result of semiclassical solutions, we refer to [17].

In the present paper, we will consider more general radial potential, that is, the potential $V(x)$ may be unbounded, decaying and vanishing. We make the following assumptions:

$$(V1) \quad V(r) \in C((0, +\infty)), V(r) \geq 0 \text{ and there exist } a_0 \text{ and } a_1 \text{ such that}$$

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{V(r)}{r^{a_0}} > 0, \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{V(r)}{r^{a_1}} > 0,$$

$$(Q0) \quad Q(r) \in C((0, +\infty)), Q(r) \geq 0 \text{ and there exist } b_0 \text{ and } b_1 \text{ such that}$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{Q(r)}{r^{b_0}} > 0, \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{Q(r)}{r^{b_1}} > 0.$$

Next we introduce notation. Let $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denote the collection of smooth functions with compact support and

$$C_{0,r}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) : u \text{ is radial}\}.$$

Let $D_r^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the completion of $C_{0,r}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_{D_r^{1,2}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Define

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}^3; Q) = \{u : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; u \text{ is measurable and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)|u|^p dx < \infty\}.$$

with norm

$$\|u\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)|u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Set

$$E = H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^3; V) = D_r^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; V),$$

which is a Hilbert space with the norm

$$\|u\|_E = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + V(|x|)u^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Corresponding to [15], if (V1) and (Q0) are satisfied, for $N = 3$, we define

$$\bar{p}(a_0, b_0) = \begin{cases} 6 + 2b_0, & b_0 \geq -2, a_0 \geq -2, \\ \frac{8+4b_0-2a_0}{4+a_0}, & -2 \geq a_0 > -4, b_0 \geq a_0, \\ \infty, & a_0 \leq -4, b_0 > -4, \end{cases}$$

$$\underline{p}(a_1, b_1) = \begin{cases} \frac{8+4b_1-2a_1}{4+a_1}, & b_1 \geq a_1 > -2, \\ 6 + 2b_1, & b_1 \geq -2, a_1 \leq -2, \\ 2, & b_1 \leq \max\{a_1, -2\}. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, recently, Su, Wang and Willem [15] studied the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + V(|x|)u &= Q(|x|)f(u), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ u(x) &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

and assumed the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition holds; i.e., there exists $\mu > 2$ such that

$$0 < \mu F(u) \leq u f(u), \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $F(u) = \int_0^u f(s)ds$. They proved the existence of ground states solutions when V and Q satisfy the assumption (V1) and (Q0).

Motivated by the above facts, as in [15], the purpose of this paper is to extend the existence results of problem (1.3) to Schrödinger-Maxwell system (1.1). Moreover, we assume

$$(Q1) \quad Q \in L^{\frac{6p-12}{5p-12}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ for all } p > 12/5.$$

To reduce our statement, we first make the following assumption on f .

$$(F1) \quad f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \text{ and } |f(u)| \leq c(|u|^{p_1-1} + |u|^{p_2-1})$$

for some $\underline{p} < p_1 \leq p_2 < \bar{p}$ (\underline{p}, \bar{p} will be defined later), where c is a positive constant.

Throughout this article we denote by c_i, C_i various positive constants, $|\cdot|_p$ denotes the usual $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ -norm, and $\|\cdot\|_q$ denotes the $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3, Q)$ -norm.

2. PRELIMINARIES

To prove our results, we use the following lemma from [15].

Lemma 2.1. *Assume (V1) and (Q0) with $\bar{p} = \bar{p}(a_0, b_0) \geq \underline{p} = \underline{p}(a_1, b_1)$. Then*

$$H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^3; V) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^3; Q),$$

for $\underline{p} \leq p \leq \bar{p}$ when $\bar{p} < \infty$ and for $\underline{p} \leq p < \bar{p}$ when $\bar{p} = \infty$. Furthermore, if $b_1 \geq \max\{a_1, -2\}$, the embedding is compact for $\underline{p} < p < \bar{p}$, and if $b_1 < \max\{a_1, -2\}$, the embedding is compact for $2 \leq p < \bar{p}$.

Remark 2.2. In particular, we can take $\bar{p} = \bar{p}(a_0, b_0) \geq \underline{p} = \max\{\underline{p}(a_1, b_1), 12/5\}$ if we take suitable a_0, b_0 . Clearly, $\underline{p} = \max\{\underline{p}(a_1, b_1), \frac{12}{5}\} \geq \underline{p}(a_1, b_1)$. Thus, Lemma 2.1 holds for $\underline{p} = \max\{\underline{p}(a_1, b_1), 12/5\}$.

It is well known that system (1.1) is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional $J : E \times D_r^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J(u, \phi) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_E^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) \phi u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) F(u) dx.$$

For any $u \in E$, consider the linear functional $T_u : D_r^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$T_u(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) u^2 v dx.$$

For $\underline{p} < p < \bar{p}$, by (Q1), the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) u^2 v dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} Q(|x|)^{2/p} u^2 v dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)^{\frac{p-2}{p} \cdot \frac{6p}{5p-12}} dx \right)^{\frac{5p-12}{6p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Q(|x|)^{2/p} u^2)^{p/2} dx \right)^{2/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v^6 dx \right)^{1/6} \\ &\leq S^{-1} |Q|^{\frac{p-2}{\frac{6p-12}{5p-12}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Q(|x|) u^p)^{2/p} \|v\|_{D_r^{1,2}} \\ &\leq c_1 S^{-1} |Q|^{\frac{p-2}{\frac{6p-12}{5p-12}}} \|u\|_E^2 \|v\|_{D_r^{1,2}}. \end{aligned}$$

where S is the best Sobolev embedding constant. Hence, the Lax-Milgram theorem implies that for every $u \in E$, there exists a unique $\phi_u \in D_r^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) u^2 v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \phi_u \cdot \nabla v, \quad \text{for any } v \in D_r^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

Using the integration by parts, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \phi_u \nabla v dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \Delta \phi_u dx, \quad \text{for any } v \in D_r^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3);$$

therefore, $-\Delta \phi_u = Q(|x|) u^2$. We can write an integral expression for ϕ_u in the form

$$\phi_u = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Q(|y|) u^2(y)}{|x-y|} dy, \quad (2.1)$$

for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, by density it can be extended for any $u \in E$. Moreover, the functions ϕ_u possess the following properties:

$$\phi_u \geq 0, \quad \|\phi_u\|_{D_r^{1,2}} \leq c_2 \|u\|_p^2 \leq c_3 \|u\|_E^2.$$

In fact, clearly, $\phi_u \geq 0$ by (2.1). Using integration by parts, $-\Delta\phi_u = Q(|x|)u^2$, the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev inequality, for any $u \in E$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_u\|_{D_r^{1,2}}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla\phi_u \cdot \nabla\phi_u dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta\phi_u \cdot \phi_u dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)\phi_u u^2 dx \\ &\leq c_1 S^{-1} |Q|_{\frac{6p-12}{5p-12}} \|u\|_p^2 \|\phi_u\|_{D_r^{1,2}} \\ &\leq c_2 \|u\|_p^2 \|\phi_u\|_{D_r^{1,2}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|\phi_u\|_{D_r^{1,2}} \leq c_2 \|u\|_p^2 \leq c_3 \|u\|_E^2.$$

Moreover, there exists $c_4 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)\phi_u u^2 dx \leq c_4 \|u\|_E^4. \quad (2.2)$$

So, we can consider the functional $I : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ defined by $I(u) = J(u, \phi_u)$. By (2.1) the reduced functional takes the form

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_E^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)\phi_u u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)F(u) dx. \quad (2.3)$$

It is clear that I is well defined. Moreover, Our hypotheses imply that $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ and a standard argument shows that $(u, \phi) \in E \times D_r^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a critical point of J if and only if u is a critical point of I and $\phi = \phi_u$ (see [22]).

Lemma 2.3. *If assumptions (V1), (Q0), (Q1), (F1) hold, then $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ and*

$$\langle I'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + V(|x|)uv) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)\phi_u uv dx - \langle \Psi'(u), v \rangle, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\Psi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)F(u) dx$.

Proof. First, we prove the existence of the Gateaux derivative of Ψ . From (F1), we have

$$|f(u)| \leq c(|u|^{p_1-1} + |u|^{p_2-1}), \quad (2.5)$$

$$|F(u)| \leq c\left(\frac{1}{p_1}|u|^{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}|u|^{p_2}\right). \quad (2.6)$$

For any $u, v \in E$ and $0 < |t| < 1$, by the mean value and (2.5), there exists $0 < \theta < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|Q(|x|)F(u+tv) - Q(|x|)F(u)|}{|t|} \\ &= |Q(|x|)f(u+\theta tv)v| \\ &\leq cQ(|x|)(|u+\theta tv|^{p_1-1} + |u+\theta tv|^{p_2-1})|v| \\ &\leq c_5 Q(|x|)[(|u|^{p_1-1}|v| + |v|^{p_1}) + (|u|^{p_2-1}|v| + |v|^{p_2})] \end{aligned}$$

The Hölder inequality implies

$$g(x) := cQ(|x|)(|u|^{p_1-1}|v| + |v|^{p_1}) + (|u|^{p_2-1}|v| + |v|^{p_2}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Consequently, by the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, one has

$$\langle \Psi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)f(u)v dx.$$

Next, we show that $\Psi'(\cdot) : E \rightarrow E^*$ is continuous. Assume that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E . By Lemma 2.1, we know that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3; Q)$, for $\underline{p} \leq p \leq \bar{p}$ when $\bar{p} < \infty$ and for $\underline{p} \leq p < \bar{p}$ when $\bar{p} = \infty$.

On the space $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^3; Q) \cap L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^3; Q)$, we define the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{p_1 \wedge p_2} &= \|u\|_{p_1} + \|u\|_{p_2} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)|u|^{p_1} dx \right)^{1/p_1} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)|u|^{p_2} dx \right)^{1/p_2} \end{aligned}$$

On the space $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^3; Q) + L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^3; Q)$, we define the norm

$$\|u\|_{p_1 \vee p_2} = \inf \{ \|v\|_{p_1} + \|w\|_{p_2} : v \in L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^3; Q), w \in L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^3; Q), u = v + w \}.$$

Since $\underline{p} < p_1 \leq p_2 < \bar{p}$, one has $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^3; Q) \cap L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^3; Q)$. Similar to [22, Theorem A.4], we have

$$f(u_n) \rightarrow f(u) \quad \text{in } L^{p'_1}(\mathbb{R}^3; Q) + L^{p'_2}(\mathbb{R}^3; Q).$$

By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi'(u_n) - \Psi'(u), v \rangle| &\leq \|f(u_n) - f(u)\|_{p'_1 \vee p'_2} \|v\|_{p_1 \wedge p_2} \\ &\leq c_6 \|f(u_n) - f(u)\|_{p'_1 \vee p'_2} \|v\|_E, \end{aligned}$$

where $p'_i = p_i/(p_i - 1)$, $i = 1, 2$. Hence

$$\|\Psi'(u_n) - \Psi'(u)\| \leq c_6 \|f(u_n) - f(u)\|_{p'_1 \vee p'_2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This shows $\Psi'(\cdot) : E \rightarrow E^*$ is continuous. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Under the condition (F1), if $\{u_n\} \subset E$ is a bounded sequence with $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, then $\{u_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.*

Proof. Since $\{u_n\} \subset E$ is bounded and the embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^3; Q)$ is compact for each $s \in (\underline{p}, \bar{p})$, passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in E , and

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^s(\mathbb{R}^3; Q), \quad s \in (\underline{p}, \bar{p}).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle I'(u_n) - I'(u), u_n - u \rangle \\ &= \|u_n - u\|_E^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Q(|x|)\phi_{u_n} u_n^2 - Q(|x|)\phi_{u_n} u_n u) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Q(|x|)\phi_u u^2 - Q(|x|)\phi_u u_n u) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) (f(u_n) - f(u)) (u_n - u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_n - u\|_E^2 \\ &= \langle I'(u_n) - I'(u), u_n - u \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Q(|x|)\phi_{u_n} u_n^2 - Q(|x|)\phi_{u_n} u_n u) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Q(|x|)\phi_u u^2 - Q(|x|)\phi_u u_n u) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) (f(u_n) - f(u)) (u_n - u) dx.$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E and $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\langle I'(u_n) - I'(u), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

On one hand, by (Q1), for $\underline{p} < p < \bar{p}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)(\phi_{u_n} u_n^2 - \phi_{u_n} u_n u) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)\phi_{u_n} u_n (u_n - u) dx \\ &\leq |Q|_{\frac{6p-12}{5p-12}}^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \|u_n - u\|_p \|u_n\|_p \|\phi_{u_n}\|_6 \\ &\leq c_7 \|u_n - u\|_p \|u_n\|_p \|\phi_{u_n}\|_{D_r^{1,2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) (\phi_{u_n} u_n^2 - \phi_{u_n} u_n u) dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) (\phi_u u^2 - \phi_u u_n u) dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) (f(u_n) - f(u)) (u_n - u) dx \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) (|f(u_n)| + |f(u)|) |u_n - u| dx \\ & \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) (|u_n|^{p_1-1} + |u_n|^{p_2-1} + |u|^{p_1-1} + |u|^{p_2-1}) |u_n - u| dx \\ & \leq c \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) |u_n - u|^{p_1} dx \right)^{1/p_1} \left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) |u_n|^{p_1} dx \right)^{\frac{p_1-1}{p_1}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) |u|^{p_1} dx \right)^{\frac{p_1-1}{p_1}} \right) \\ & \quad + c \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) |u_n - u|^{p_2} dx \right)^{1/p_2} \left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) |u_n|^{p_2} dx \right)^{\frac{p_2-1}{p_2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) |u|^{p_2} dx \right)^{\frac{p_2-1}{p_2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3; Q)$, $s \in (\underline{p}, \bar{p})$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) (f(u_n) - f(u)) (u_n - u) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

So we have $\|u_n - u\|_E \rightarrow 0$. This completes the proof. \square

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that conditions (V1), (Q0), (Q1) hold. If (F1) and the following condition hold*

(F2) *There exists μ and $r > 0$ such that $\max\{p, 4\} < \mu \leq \bar{p} < \infty$, and*

$$\mu F(u) \leq u f(u), \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \inf_{|u|=r} F(u) := \beta > 0.$$

Then system (1.1) has a nontrivial solution. Furthermore, if $f(u)$ is odd in u , then system (1.1) has a sequence $\{(u_n, \phi_n)\}$ of solutions in $E \times D_r^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $I(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. From (F1), we have

$$|F(u)| \leq c\left(\frac{1}{p_1}|u|^{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}|u|^{p_2}\right).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_E^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)\phi_u u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)F(u) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_E^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)F(u) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_E^2 - \frac{c}{p_1}\|u\|_{p_1}^{p_1} - \frac{c}{p_2}\|u\|_{p_2}^{p_2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_E^2 - c_8\|u\|_E^{p_1} - c_9\|u\|_E^{p_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p_1, p_2 > 2$, we can take a small ρ such that

$$I|_{\partial B_\rho} \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 - c_8\rho^{p_1} - c_9\rho^{p_2} := \delta > 0,$$

where $B_\rho = \{u \in E : \|u\|_E < \rho\}$.

For $z \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$h(t) := F(t^{-1}z)t^\mu, \quad \forall t \in [1, +\infty).$$

For $|z| \geq r$ and $t \in [1, |z|/r]$, by (F2), one has

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &= f(t^{-1}z)\left(-\frac{z}{t^2}\right)t^\mu + F(t^{-1}z)\mu t^{\mu-1} \\ &= t^{\mu-1}(\mu F(t^{-1}z) - t^{-1}z f(t^{-1}z)) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$F(z) = h(1) \geq h\left(\frac{|z|}{r}\right) \geq \frac{\beta}{r^\mu}|z|^\mu.$$

Since $\mu > 4$, there exists a constant $\max\{\underline{p}, 4\} < \alpha < \bar{p}$ such that $\alpha < \mu$, and hence

$$\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(u)}{|u|^\alpha} = +\infty. \quad (3.1)$$

For any finite dimensional space $E_1 \subset E$, by the equivalence of norms in the finite space, there exists a constant $c_{(\alpha)} > 0$, such that

$$\|u\|_\alpha \geq c_\alpha \|u\|_E, \quad \forall u \in E_1 \quad (3.2)$$

where α is the constant appearing in (3.1). For any $\sigma > 0$, by (F1), there is a constant $c_\sigma > 0$ such that

$$|F(u)| \leq c_\sigma |u|^\varrho, \quad \forall |u| < \sigma.$$

Hence, by (3.1), we know that for $M > 0$, there is a constant $C_M > 0$ such that

$$F(u) \geq M|u|^\alpha - C_M|u|^\varrho, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.3)$$

By (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$I(u) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_E^2 + \frac{c_4}{4}\|u\|_E^4 - M\|u\|_\alpha^\alpha + C_M\|u\|_\varrho^\varrho$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_E^2 + \frac{c_4}{4}\|u\|_E^4 - Mc_\alpha^\alpha\|u\|_E^\alpha + C_M\|u\|_E^{\underline{p}},$$

for all $u \in E_1$. Consequently, there is a large $r_1 > 0$ such that $I < 0$ on $E_1 \setminus B_{r_1}$. Consequently, there is a point $e \in E$ with $\|e\|_E > \rho$ such that $I(e) < 0$.

Now, we prove that I satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. By Lemma 2.4 we know that it is sufficient to prove $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E . Indeed, if a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ such that $I(u_n)$ is bounded and $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, then there is positive constant M_0 such that for large n , one has

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 + \|u_n\|_E &\geq I(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\|u_n\|_E^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)\phi_{u_n} u_n^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) \left(\frac{f(u_n)u_n}{\mu} - F(u_n) \right) dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\|u_n\|_E^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\{u_n\}$ is bounded.

Obviously, $I(0) = 0$. Hence I possesses a critical value $\eta \geq \delta$ by [20, Theorem 2.2], thus problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution. Moreover, obviously, I is bounded on each bounded subset of E and $f(u)$ is odd which implies I is even. Hence the second conclusion follows from [20, Theorem 9.12]. This completes the proof. \square

Note that $\mu > 4$ in condition (F2). Now, we consider the weak case $\mu = 4$. At this one, we have the following Theorem.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that conditions (V1), (Q0), (Q1), (F1) and the following conditions hold:*

$$(F3) \quad \frac{F(u)}{|u|^4} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } |u| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

$$(F4) \quad uf(u) \geq 4F(u) \text{ for all } u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $\underline{p} < 4 < \bar{p}$, then system (1.1) has at least one nontrivial solution. Furthermore, if $f(u)$ is odd in u , then system (1.1) has a sequence $\{(u_n, \phi_n)\}$ of solutions in $E \times D_r^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $I(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. From the proofs of the first segment in Theorem 3.1, we know that there exist constants $\rho > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$I|_{\partial B_\rho} \geq \delta > 0.$$

Moreover, for any finite dimensional space $E_1 \subset E$, by the equivalence of norms in the finite space, there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$\|u\|_4 \geq C\|u\|_{E_1}, \quad \forall u \in E_1. \quad (3.4)$$

Since $\underline{p} < 4$, by (F1) and (F3) we know that for any $M > \frac{c_4}{4C^4}$, there is a constant $C_M > 0$ such that

$$F(u) \geq M|u|^4 - c(M)|u|^{\underline{p}}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.5)$$

Hence

$$I(u) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_E^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)\phi_{u_n} u_n^2 dx - M\|u\|_4^4 + C_M\|u\|_{\underline{p}}^{\underline{p}}.$$

By (3.4) and (3.5), we know

$$I(u) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_E^2 + \frac{c_4}{4}\|u\|_E^4 - MC^4\|u\|_E^4 + C_M\|u\|_E^{\frac{p}{2}},$$

for all $u \in E_1$. Consequently, there is a large $r_1 > 0$ such that $I < 0$ on $E_1 \setminus B_{r_1}$. Consequently, there is a point $e \in E$ with $\|e\|_E > \rho$, such that $I(e) < 0$.

Next we prove that I satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Indeed, if a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ is such that $\{I(u_n)\}$ is bounded and $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, then there is a positive constant M_1 such that for large n , one has

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 + \|u_n\|_E &\geq I(u_n) - \frac{1}{4}\langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\|u_n\|_E^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|) \left(\frac{1}{4}f(u_n)u_n - F(u_n) \right) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}\|u_n\|_E^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. Hence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ has a convergent subsequence by Lemma 2.4. This shows that I satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Finally, the conclusions follows from [20, Theorem 2.2 and 9.12]. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Assume that conditions (V1), (Q0), (Q1), (F1), (F3) and the following conditions hold:*

(F4') $u \rightarrow f(u)/|u|^3$ is increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$ and on $(0, +\infty)$.

If $\underline{p} < 4 < \bar{p}$, then system (1.1) has at least one nontrivial solution. Furthermore, if $f(u)$ is odd in u , then system (1.1) has a sequence $\{(u_n, \phi_n)\}$ of solutions in $E \times D_r^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $I(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that (F4') implies (F4). In fact, whenever $u > 0$,

$$F(u) = \int_0^1 f(ut)u dt = \int_0^1 \frac{f(ut)}{(ut)^3} u^4 t^3 dt \leq \int_0^1 \frac{f(u)}{(u)^3} u^4 t^3 dt = \frac{1}{4}f(u)u.$$

Whenever $u < 0$,

$$F(u) = \int_0^1 f(ut)u dt = - \int_0^1 \frac{f(ut)}{(-ut)^3} u^4 t^3 dt \leq \int_0^1 \frac{f(u)}{(u)^3} u^4 t^3 dt = \frac{1}{4}f(u)u.$$

This shows (F4) holds. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that condition (V1), (Q1), (F1), (F3) and the following condition hold:*

(F5) $F(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $G(s) \leq G(t)$ whenever $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and $s \leq t$, where $G(u) = f(u)u - 4F(u)$.

If $\underline{p} < 4 < \bar{p}$, then system (1.1) has at least one nontrivial solution. Furthermore, if $f(u)$ is odd in u , then system (1.1) has a sequence $\{(u_n, \phi_n)\}$ of solutions in $E \times D_r^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $I(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2, we know that there exist $\rho > 0$, $\delta > 0$ such that

$$I|_{\partial B_\rho} \geq \delta > 0.$$

Moreover, for any finite dimensional subspace $E_1 \subset E$, there is a large $r_1 > 0$ such that $I < 0$ on $E_1 \setminus B_{r_1}$.

Now, we prove that I satisfies the Cerami condition. Indeed, if a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ is such that $\{I(u_n)\}$ is bounded and $(1 + \|u_n\|)I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, then we claim that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. If this is false, then we can assume $\|u_n\| \rightarrow +\infty$. Set $v_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_E}$, then $\|v_n\|_E = 1$. By virtue of Lemma 2.1, passing to a subsequence, we may assume

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &\rightharpoonup v \quad \text{in } E, \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^s(\mathbb{R}^3; Q), s \in (\underline{p}, \bar{p}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{I(u_n)\}$ is bounded, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Q(|x|)F(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_E^4} dx \leq C_1 < \infty.$$

Set $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : v(x) \neq 0\}$. Then $|u_n(x)| \rightarrow +\infty$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. If $\text{meas}(\Omega) > 0$, then, by (F4)

$$\frac{F(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_E^4} = \frac{F(u_n)}{|u_n|^4} |v_n(x)|^4 \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $Q(|x|) > 0$, using Fatou's lemma, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Q(|x|)F(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_E^4} dx \rightarrow \infty.$$

A contradiction, so $\text{meas}(\Omega) = 0$. Therefore, $v(x) = 0$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Next, as in [19], we define

$$I(t_n u_n) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(tu_n).$$

For any $M > 0$, set $\tilde{v}_n = \sqrt{4M} \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_E} = \sqrt{4M} v_n$. Since $|F(u)| \leq c(\frac{1}{p_1}|u|^{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}|u|^{p_2})$ for $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)F(\tilde{v}_n) dx \right| \leq \frac{c}{p_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)|\tilde{v}_n|^{p_1} dx + \frac{c}{p_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)|\tilde{v}_n|^{p_2} dx \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, for large n , one has

$$\begin{aligned} I(t_n u_n) &\geq I(\tilde{v}_n) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{v}_n\|_E^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)\phi_{\tilde{v}_n} \tilde{v}_n^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)F(\tilde{v}_n) dx \\ &\geq M. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(t_n u_n) = \infty$. In view of the choice of t_n we know that $\langle I'(t_n u_n), t_n u_n \rangle = 0$ or $\rightarrow 0$. Hence, by (F5) and the oddness of f , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &\leftarrow 4I(t_n u_n) - \langle I'(t_n u_n), t_n u_n \rangle \\ &= t_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u_n|^2 + V(|x|)|u_n|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)(f(t_n u_n)t_n u_n - 4F(t_n u_n)) dx \\ &\leq \|u_n\|_E^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(|x|)(f(u_n)u_n - 4F(u_n)) dx \\ &= 4I(u_n) - \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, so $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. Consequently, $\{u_n\} \subset E$ has a convergent subsequence by Lemma 2.4. This shows that I satisfies the Cerami condition. Note that if we use Cerami condition in place of the Palais-Smale condition, then

[20, Theorems 2.2 and 9.12] are still true. Therefore, the conclusion follows from [20, Theorems 2.2 and 9.12]. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments. This work is partially supported by the NNSF (11171351) of China, the National Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (14JJ2133), the Scientific Research Foundation of Hunan Provincial Education Department (13A093), Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (12C0895), and the Construct Program of the Key Discipline in Hunan Province.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Benci, D. Fortunato; *An eigenvalue problem for the Schrödinger-Maxwell equations*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 11 (1998) 283-293.
- [2] T. D'Aprile, J. Wei; *On bound states concentrating on spheres for the Schrödinger-Maxwell equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 37 (2005) 321-342.
- [3] A. Candela, A. Salvatore; *Multiple solitary waves for non-homogeneous Schrödinger-Maxwell equations*, Mediterr. J. Math. 3 (2006) 483-493.
- [4] D. Ruiz; *The Schrödinger-Maxwell equation under the effect of a nonlinear local term*, J. Funct. Anal. 237 (2006) 655-674.
- [5] A. Ambrosetti, D. Ruiz; *Multiple bound states for the Schrödinger-Maxwell problem*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 10 (2008) 391-304.
- [6] L. G. Zhao, F. K. Zhao; *Positive solutions for Schrödinger-Maxwell equations with a critical exponent*, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009) 2150-2164.
- [7] J. T. Sun, H. B. Chen, J.J. Nieto, *On ground state solutions for some non-autonomous Schrödinger-Maxwell systems*, J. Differential Equations 252 (2012) 3365-3380.
- [8] Z. P. Wang, H. S. Zhou; *Positive solution for a nonlinear stationary Schrödinger-Maxwell system in \mathbb{R}^3* , Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 18 (2007) 809-816.
- [9] C. Mercuri; *Positive solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell systems with radial potentials vanishing at infinity*, Rend. Lincei-Matematicae Applicazioni 19 (2008) 211-227.
- [10] J. T. Sun, H. B. Chen, L. Yang; *Positive solutions of asymptotically linear Schrödinger-Maxwell systems with a radial potential vanishing at infinity*, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011) 413-423.
- [11] S. J. Chen, C. L. Tang; *High energy solutions for the superlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 71 (2009) 4927-4934.
- [12] W. Zou; *Variant fountain theorems and their applications*, Manuscripta Math. 104 (2001) 343-358.
- [13] Q. D. Li, H. Su, Z. L. Wei; *Existence of infinitely many large solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010) 4264-4270.
- [14] L. G. Zhao, F. K. Zhao; *On the existence of solutions for the Schrödinger-Maxwell equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 346 (2008) 155-169.
- [15] J. B. Su, Z. Q. Wang, M. Willem; *Weighted Sobolev embedding with unbounded and decaying radial potentials*, J. Differential Equations 238 (2007) 201-219.
- [16] A. Azzollini, A. Pomponio; *Ground state solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 345 (2008) 90-108.
- [17] M. B. Yang, Z. F. Shen, Y. H. Ding; *Multiple semiclassical solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell system*, Nonlinear Analysis 71 (2009) 730-739.
- [18] M. B. Yang, B. R. Li; *Solitary waves for non-homogeneous Schrödinger-Maxwell system*, Applied Mathematics and Computation 215 (2009) 66-70.
- [19] L. Jeanjean; *On the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequences and application to a Landesman-Lazer type problem set on \mathbb{R}^N* , Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A 129 (1999) 787-809.
- [20] P. H. Rabinowitz; *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Application to Differential Equations*, in: CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., vol. 65, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [21] W. M. Zou, M. Schechter; *Critical Point Theory and its Applications*, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [22] M. Willem; *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Berlin, 1996.

FANGFANG LIAO

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CENTRAL SOUTH UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, 410083,
HUNAN, CHINA.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, XIANGNAN UNIVERSITY, CHENZHOU, 423000, HUNAN, CHINA

E-mail address: liaofangfang1981@126.com

XIAOPING WANG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, XIANGNAN UNIVERSITY, CHENZHOU, 423000, HUNAN, CHINA

E-mail address: wxp31415@163.com

ZHIGANG LIU

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, XIANGNAN UNIVERSITY, CHENZHOU, 423000, HUNAN, CHINA

E-mail address: liuzg22@sina.com