Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2014 (2014), No. 163, pp. 1–22. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.txstate.edu

EXISTENCE AND NONEXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS TO NONLINEAR GRADIENT ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS INVOLVING (p(x), q(x))-LAPLACIAN OPERATORS

OUARDA SAIFIA, JEAN VÉLIN

ABSTRACT. In this article, we establish the existence of nontrivial solutions by employing the fibering method introduced by Pohozaev. We also generalize the well-known Pohozaev and Pucci-Serrin identities to a (p(x), q(x))-Laplacian system. A nonexistence result for a such system is then proved.

1. INTRODUCTION

After the pioneer work by Kovacik and Rokosnik [31] concerning the $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ spaces, many researches have studied the variable exponent spaces. We refer to [17] for the properties of such spaces and [8, 22] for the applications of variable exponent on partial differential equations. In the recent years, problems with p(x)-Laplacian have been applied to a large number of application in nonlinear electrorheological fluids, elastic mechanics, image processing, and flow in porous media (see for instance [1, 5, 9, 10, 23, 32, 39, 48]).

In this article, we study the existence and non-existence of the weak solutions for the following (p(x), q(x))-gradient elliptic system:

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}u = c(x)u|u|^{\alpha-1}|v|^{\beta+1} \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$-\Delta_{q(x)}v = c(x)v|v|^{\beta-1}|u|^{\alpha+1} \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u = v = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$
(1.1)

Here Ω designates a bounded and open set in \mathbb{R}^N , with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. $p,q:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ are two measurable functions from Ω to $[1, +\infty)$, and c is a function with changing sign. Concerning the existence and nonexistence results for such systems, we cite the work [6]. There the authors use the fibering method introduced by Pohozeav. They obtained the existence of multiple solutions for a Dirichlet problem associated with a quasilinear system involving a pair of (p,q)-Laplacian operators. Recently, Velin [44, 45], employing the fibering method, proved the existence of multiple positive solutions for a class of (p,q)-gradient elliptic systems including systems like (1.1).

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J20, 35J35, 35J45, 35J50, 35J60, 35J70.

Key words and phrases. Fibering method; p(x)-Laplacian; Generalized Pohozeav identity; Pucci-Serrin identity.

^{©2014} Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted April 2, 2014. Published July 25, 2014.

Systems structured as (1.1) have been investigated for instance in [43]. There the authors presented some results dealing with existence and nonexistence of a non-trivial solution $(u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ of the system

$$-\Delta_p u = u|u|^{\alpha-1}|v|^{\beta+1} \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$-\Delta_q v = v|v|^{\beta-1}|u|^{\alpha+1} \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u = v = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$
(1.2)

The authors have proved nonexistence results when Ω is a strictly starshaped open domain in \mathbb{R}^N and

$$(\alpha+1)\frac{N-p}{Np} + (\beta+1)\frac{N-q}{Nq} \ge 1.$$
 (1.3)

On the other hand, under the assumptions

$$(\alpha+1)\frac{N-p}{Np} + (\beta+1)\frac{N-q}{Nq} < 1, \quad \frac{\alpha+1}{p} + \frac{\beta+1}{q} \neq 1,$$
(1.4)

some existence results have been obtained. In [13], the authors deal with nonexistence for an elliptic Dirichlet equation governed by the p(x)-Laplacian operator.

The article has the following structure. Section 2 is devoted to introduce some notation and preliminaries needed for the framework of the paper. We also recall some tools defined by the theory of variable exponents Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. Section 3 states the main results. In Section 4, following the ideas explained in [13], we establish a Pohozaev-type identity for the system (1.1). By using this identity, we deal with the non-existence results of non trivial solutions. In section 5, after recalling the spirit of the fibering method, we show that (1.1) admits at least one weak non-trivial solution.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ denote the set $\{p; p : \Omega \to [1, +\infty)$ is measurable $\}$. $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open set. $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ designates the generalized Lebesgue space. $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ consists of all measurable functions u defined on Ω for which the p(x)-modular

$$\rho_{p(.)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx$$

is finite. The Luxemberg norm on this space is defined as

$$||u||_p = \inf\{\lambda > 0; \rho_{p(.)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}|^{p(x)} dx \le 1\}.$$

Equipped with this norm, $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space. Some basic results on the generalized Lebesgue spaces can be find in [12, 19, 21, 22, 26, 27, 31, 32, 33]. If p(x) is constant, $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is reduced to the standard Lebesgue space.

For any $p \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the generalized Sobolev space $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$W^{m,p(.)}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^{p(.)}(\Omega) : D^{\alpha}u \in L^{p(.)}(\Omega) \text{ for all } |\alpha| \le m \},$$
$$\|u\|_{m,p(.)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \|D^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{p(.)}(\Omega)}.$$

The pair $(W^{m,p(.)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{m,p(.)})$ is a separable Banach space (reflexive if $p^- > 1$). $W_0^{1,p(.)}(\Omega)$ denotes the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p(.)}(\Omega)$. On the generalized Sobolev space, we refer to the works due to [16, 17, 19, 20, 24, 25, 31].

We define: $p, q: \Omega \to [1, +\infty)$ as two measurable functions.

For a given measurable function $p: \Omega \to [1, +\infty)$, the conjugate function designated by

$$p'(x) = \frac{p(x)}{p(x) - 1}.$$

A function $p: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is ln-Hölder continuous on Ω (See [19]), provided that there exists a constant L > 0 such that

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \le \frac{L}{-\ln|x-y|}, \text{ for all } x, y \in \Omega, |x-y| \le \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (2.1)

$$p^{-} = \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} p(x), \quad q^{-} = \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} q(x),$$
$$p^{+} = \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} p(x), \quad q^{+} = \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} q(x).$$

For $c: \Omega \to \mathbb{I}$, $c_+(x) \neq 0$, $c_-(x) \neq 0$.

3. Main results

Let us now state the main results of this paper:

A non-existence result for the (p(x), q(x))-Laplacian system (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N , with boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class C^1 . Let $p, q: \Omega \to \mathbb{I}$ functions of class $C^1_B(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$, $p^-, q^- > 1$, and $c(.) \in C^1_B(\Omega \setminus \mathcal{C})$, with meas(\mathcal{C}) = 0. Assume that Ω be a bounded domain of class C^1 , starshaped with respect to the origin; $(p,q) \in C^1_B(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$; $p^-, q^- > 1$; and $(x \cdot \nabla p) \ge 0$, $(x \cdot \nabla q) \ge 0$,

$$\langle x, \nabla c(x) \rangle \le 0 \quad \text{for any } x \text{ in } \Omega,$$

$$(3.1)$$

$$(\alpha+1)\frac{N-p^{+}}{Np^{+}} + (\beta+1)\frac{N-q^{+}}{Nq^{+}} \ge 1.$$
(3.2)

Then (1.1) has not a nontrivial classical solution $(u, v) \in (C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega}))^2$ which satisfies:

$$|\nabla u(x)| \ge e^{1/p(x)}, \quad |\nabla v(x)| \ge e^{1/q(x)} \quad a.e \ x \in \Omega,$$
(3.3)

and

$$\int_{\Omega} c(x)|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta+1}dx > 0.$$

An existence result for the (p(x), q(x))-Laplacian system (1.1).

Theorem 3.2. Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N , with boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class C^1 . Let $p, q: \Omega \to \mathbb{I}^*_+$ two functions of class $C^1_B(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$; $p_-, q_- > 1$. Assume that:

$$(\alpha+1)\frac{N-p^{-}}{Np^{-}} + (\beta+1)\frac{N-q^{-}}{Nq^{-}} < 1,$$
(3.4)

$$\gamma^{+} = \frac{\alpha + 1}{p^{+}} + \frac{\beta + 1}{q^{+}} - 1 > 0.$$
(3.5)

Then system (1.1) admits at least one nontrivial solution $(u^*, v^*) \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega)$. Moreover, one have

$$\|u^*\|_{1,p(x)}^{p^+} = \|v^*\|_{1,q(x)}^{q^+},$$
$$\int_{\Omega} c(x)|u^*|^{\alpha+1}|v^*|^{\beta+1}dx > 0$$

Remark 3.3. Let us remark that conditions (3.2) and (3.4) seem to generalize to (p(x), q(x)) – gradient elliptic systems conditions (1.3) and (1.4) well known when (p, q) – gradient elliptic systems are considered. Obviously, conditions (3.2) and (3.4) imply respectively

$$\begin{split} &1 \leq (\alpha+1)\frac{N-p^-}{Np^-} + (\beta+1)\frac{N-q^-}{Nq^-}, \\ &(\alpha+1)\frac{N-p^+}{Np^+} + (\beta+1)\frac{N-q^+}{Nq^+} < 1. \end{split}$$

4. A Pohozaev-type identity for (p(x), q(x))-Laplacian and a nonexistence result

Consider the elliptic system with Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)}u &= c(x)u|u|^{\alpha-1}|v|^{\beta+1} & \text{in } \Omega\\ -\Delta_{q(x)}v &= c(x)|u|^{\alpha+1}v|v|^{\beta-1} & \text{in } \Omega\\ u &= v = 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{I}^N$ is a bounded open set with a regular boundary $\partial \Omega$; p, q, c are defined as in the previous section.

$$\Delta_{p(x)}u = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Big(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \Big).$$

Proposition 4.1. Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N , with boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class C^1 . Assume that $p, q: \Omega \to \mathbb{I}$ are two functions of class $C^1_B(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$; $p^-, q^- > 1$; $c(.) \in C^1_B(\Omega \setminus \mathcal{C})$, with meas $(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ and

$$\langle x, \nabla c(x) \rangle \leq 0$$
 for any x in Ω .

For every classical solution $(u, v) \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ of (1.1), the following identity holds:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\alpha+1}{N}\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{1-p(x)}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}\langle x,\nu\rangle\mathrm{d}\sigma + \frac{\beta+1}{N}\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{1-q(x)}{q(x)}|\nabla v|^{q(x)}\langle x,\nu\rangle\mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &= \frac{\alpha+1}{N}\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{N-p(x)}{p(x)}-a_{1}\right)|\nabla u|^{p(x)}\mathrm{d}x + \frac{\beta+1}{N}\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{N-q(x)}{q(x)}-a_{2}\right)|\nabla v|^{q(x)}\mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{p^{2}(x)}\langle x\cdot\nabla p\rangle(\ln|\nabla u|^{p(x)}-1)|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{q^{2}(x)}\langle x,\nabla q\rangle(\ln|\nabla v|^{q(x)}-1)|\nabla v|^{q(x)}\right]\mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_{\Omega}\left\{(\alpha+1)a_{1}+(\beta+1)a_{2}-N\right\}c(x)|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta+1}\mathrm{d}x \\ &- \int_{\Omega}\langle x,\nabla c\rangle|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta+1}\mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

for all a_1 and $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Before proving the proposition 4.1, we present the following result generalizing the variational identity of Pucci-Serrin [38].

Proposition 4.2. Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N with boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class C^1 . Assume that $p, q: \Omega \to \mathbb{I}$ are tow functions of class $C^1_B(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}); p^-, q^- > 1; c(\cdot) \in C^1_B(\Omega \setminus \mathcal{C}), \ \mathcal{C} \subset \Omega$ with meas $(\mathcal{C}) = 0$. For every classical solution $(u, v) \in (C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega}))^2$ of problem (1.1), the following equality holds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \Big[x_{i} \Big(\frac{\alpha+1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \frac{\beta+1}{q(x)} |\nabla v|^{q(x)} - c(x)|u|^{\alpha+1} |v|^{\beta+1} \Big) \\ &- (\alpha+1) \Big(x_{j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} + a_{1} u \Big) |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} - (\beta+1) \Big(x_{j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{j}} + a_{2} v \Big) |\nabla v|^{q(x)-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \Big] \\ &= (\alpha+1) \Big[\frac{N-p(x)}{p(x)} - a_{1} \Big] |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + (\beta+1) \Big[\frac{N-q(x)}{q(x)} - a_{2} \Big] |\nabla v|^{q(x)} \\ &+ \frac{\langle x, \nabla p \rangle}{p^{2}(x)} (\ln |\nabla u|^{p(x)} - 1) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \frac{\langle x, \nabla q \rangle}{q^{2}(x)} (\ln |\nabla v|^{q(x)} - 1) |\nabla v|^{q(x)} \\ &+ \{ (\alpha+1)a_{1} + (\beta+1)a_{2} - N \} c(x) |u|^{\alpha+1} |v|^{\beta+1} - \langle x, \nabla c \rangle |u|^{\alpha+1} |v|^{\beta+1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.1)$$

for all a_1 and a_2 in \mathbb{R} .

The proof of Proposition 4.2 can be established by a simple computation.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. In this proof, for any vectors in $\mathbb{I}^N x = (x_i)_{i=1,\dots,N}$ and $y = (y_i)_{i=1,\dots,N}$, the classical inner product xy is denoted x_iy_i and the notation $\sum_{i=1}^{N}$ is omitted. Let $(u, v) \in (C_B^2 \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega}))^2$ be a classical solution of the problem (1.1). According to the Proposition 4.2, (u, v) satisfies the identity (4.1). Integrating by part over Ω , we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\partial\Omega} \left[\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \frac{\beta+1}{q(x)} |\nabla v|^{q(x)} - c(x)|u|^{\alpha+1} |v|^{\beta+1} \right) \right. \\ &- (\alpha+1) \left(x_j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + a_1 u \right) |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \\ &- (\beta+1) \left(x_j \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} + a_2 v \right) |\nabla v|^{q(x)-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right] \nu_i \mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &= (\alpha+1) \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{N-p(x)}{p(x)} - a_1 \right) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ (\beta+1) \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{N-q(x)}{q(x)} - a_2 \right) |\nabla v|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{p^2(x)} \langle x \cdot \nabla p \rangle (\ln |\nabla u|^{p(x)} - 1) |\nabla v|^{q(x)} \right] \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \frac{1}{q^2(x)} \langle x, \nabla q \rangle (\ln |\nabla v|^{q(x)} - 1) |\nabla v|^{q(x)} \right] \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left\{ (\alpha+1)a_1 + (\beta+1)a_2 - N \right\} c(x) |u|^{\alpha+1} |v|^{\beta+1} \mathrm{d}x \\ &- \int_{\Omega} \langle x, \nabla c \rangle |u|^{\alpha+1} |v|^{\beta+1} \mathrm{d}x, \end{split}$$

where ν is the unit outer normal to the boundary $\partial \Omega$ Since u = 0 on $\partial \Omega$, clearly it follows that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} = (\nabla u \cdot \nu) \nu_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Then, for x on $\partial \Omega$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} x_j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nu_i &= x_j [(\nabla u . \nu) \nu_j] \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nu_i \\ &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} (x . \nu) \\ &= |\nabla u|^{p(x)} (x . \nu) \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{aligned}$$

Using the relation (4.2) and the fact that $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ in the left hand side of this relation, the statement of the Proposition 4.1 occurs.

Remark 4.3. Before proving Proposition 4.2, we note that the set of functions c satisfying to hypothesis (3.1), is non-empty. Indeed, let x_0 be in $\partial\Omega$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(0,\partial\Omega) = \operatorname{dist}(0,x_0)$. We set $R_0 = \operatorname{dist}(0,\partial\Omega)$. Obviously, we remark that the ball $B(0, R_0)$ is contained in Ω . We define the set Ω_1 by $\Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega; 0 \le ||x|| \le 1\}$ $R_0/2$. For instance, we define the function

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} -e^{\|x\|^2} & \text{if } x \in \Omega_1 \\ e^{-\|x\|^2} & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_1 \end{cases}$$

This function changes sign in Ω and we also have for any $x \in \Omega$, $\langle x, \nabla c(x) \rangle \leq 0$. Moreover, $c \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Suppose that there exists a nontrivial classical solution (u, v)in $C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ of the problem (1.1). So that, (u, v) satisfies the statement of Proposition 4.1. Since $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is strictly starshaped with respect to the origin, we have $x \cdot \nu > 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ thus

$$-\frac{\alpha+1}{N}\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{1}{\tilde{p}(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}\langle x,\nu\rangle\mathrm{d}\sigma-\frac{\beta+1}{N}\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{1}{\tilde{q}(x)}|\nabla v|^{q(x)}\langle x,\nu\rangle\mathrm{d}\sigma<0,$$

where $\frac{1}{\tilde{p}(x)} = \frac{p(x)-1}{p(x)}$, $\frac{1}{\tilde{q}(x)} = \frac{q(x)-1}{q(x)}$. On other hand, choosing $a_1 \in \mathbb{I}$ and $a_2 \in \mathbb{I}$ such that

$$(\alpha + 1)\frac{a_1}{N} + (\beta + 1)\frac{a_2}{N} = 1$$

and using the relations (3.2), (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\alpha+1}{N}\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{1-p(x)}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}\langle x,\nu\rangle\mathrm{d}\sigma + \frac{\beta+1}{N}\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{1-q(x)}{q(x)}|\nabla v|^{q(x)}\langle x,\nu\rangle\mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &= \frac{\alpha+1}{N}\int_{\Omega}\Big(\frac{N-p(x)}{p(x)}-a_1\Big)|\nabla u|^{p(x)}\mathrm{d}x + \frac{\beta+1}{N}\int_{\Omega}\Big(\frac{N-q(x)}{q(x)}-a_2\Big)|\nabla v|^{q(x)}\mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_{\Omega}\Big\{(\alpha+1)a_1+(\beta+1)a_2-N\Big\}c(x)|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta+1}\mathrm{d}x \\ &- \int_{\Omega}\langle x,\nabla c\rangle|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta+1}\mathrm{d}x \\ &\geq (\alpha+1)\frac{N-p^+}{Np^+}\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}\mathrm{d}x+(\beta+1)\frac{N-q^+}{Nq^+}\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{q(x)}\mathrm{d}x \\ &- (\alpha+1)\frac{a_1}{N}\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}\mathrm{d}x-(\beta+1)\frac{a_2}{N}\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{q(x)}\mathrm{d}x \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \int_{\Omega} \left\{ (\alpha+1)a_{1} + (\beta+1)a_{2} - N \right\} c(x)|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta+1} \mathrm{d}x \\ &- \int_{\Omega} \langle x, \nabla c \rangle |u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta+1} \mathrm{d}x \\ \geq \left\{ (\alpha+1)\frac{N-p^{+}}{Np^{+}} + (\beta+1)\frac{N-q^{+}}{Nq^{+}} - (\alpha+1)\frac{a_{1}}{N} \\ &- (\beta+1)\frac{a_{2}}{N} \right\} \int_{\Omega} c(x)|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta+1} \mathrm{d}x \\ \geq \left\{ (\alpha+1)\frac{N-p^{+}}{Np^{+}} + (\beta+1)\frac{N-q^{+}}{Nq^{+}} - 1 \right\} \int_{\Omega} c(x)|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta+1} \mathrm{d}x \\ &- \int_{\Omega} \langle x, \nabla c \rangle |u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta+1} \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Now we remark that any solution (u, v) of (1.1) satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} c(x) |u|^{\alpha+1} |v|^{\beta+1} dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{q(x)} \mathrm{d}x.$$

So from the hypothesis (3.1), the right-hand side is positive. A contradiction occurs, then the proof is complete. $\hfill \Box$

5. EXISTENCE RESULTS VIA THE FIBERING METHOD

Throughout this section, Ω denotes a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N . The generalized Sobolev spaces $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega)$ are equipped with the Luxembourg norm $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)}$ and $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega)}$ respectively. For a best reading, we denote as $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)} = \|u\|\|_{1,p(x)}$ and $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{1,q(x)}$. Before starting this section, we need to make some crucial remarks for the understanding of this article.

Remark 5.1. Assuming that

$$(\alpha+1)\frac{N-p^-}{Np_-} + (\beta+1)\frac{N-q^-}{Nq^-} \le 1.$$

We can establish that the term $\int_{\Omega} c(x)|z|^{\alpha+1}|w|^{\beta+1}dx$ is well defined. Indeed, since the functional c is bounded in Ω , it suffices to verify that $|z|^{\alpha+1}|w|^{\beta+1}$ belongs in $L^1(\Omega)$. This fact derives to the condition $\frac{\alpha+1}{p^+} + \frac{\beta+1}{q^+} > 1$ and so $\frac{\alpha+1}{p^-} + \frac{\beta+1}{q^-} > 1$. So, there exists a pair (\hat{p}, \hat{q}) such that (1)

$$p^- < \hat{p} < \frac{Np^-}{N-p^-},$$
 (5.1)

$$q^- < \hat{q} < \frac{Nq^-}{N-q^-}$$
 (5.2)

(2) $\frac{-\alpha+1}{\hat{p}} + \frac{\beta+1}{\hat{q}} = 1.$

Remark 5.2. Since $\frac{Np^-}{N-p^-} < \frac{Np(x)}{N-p(x)}$ and $\frac{Nq^-}{N-q^-} < \frac{Nq(x)}{N-q(x)}$, the assumption $(\alpha + 1)\frac{N-p^-}{Np^-} + (\beta + 1)\frac{N-q^-}{Nq^-} \le 1$ implies that for any $x \in \Omega$, inequalities (5.1) and (5.2) become

$$p^- < \hat{p} < \frac{Np(x)}{N - p(x)},$$

$$q - < \hat{q} < \frac{Nq(x)}{N - q(x)}$$

In particular, the imbeddings $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\hat{q}}(\Omega)$ are continuous. Consequently, employing the Hölder inequality, the above estimate is fulfilled:

$$\left|\int_{\Omega} c(x)|u|^{\alpha+1}|v|^{\beta+1}dx\right| \le \|c\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)}^{\alpha+1}\|v\|_{L^{\hat{q}}(\Omega)}^{\beta+1} \le Cst\|u\|_{1,p(x)}^{\alpha+1}\|v\|_{1,q(x)}^{\beta+1}.$$

Remark 5.3. (1) Under assumption (3.5), we have

$$\frac{\alpha+1}{p^-} + \frac{\beta+1}{q^-} - 1 > 0.$$
(5.3)

(2) When q(x) and p(x) are constant, (3.5) and (5.3) are reduced to the well-known condition

$$1 < \frac{\alpha + 1}{p} + \frac{\beta + 1}{q}$$

5.1. Notation and hypotheses.

Notation. $X_0(x)$ denotes $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega)$. For any $(z,w) \in X_0(x)$, we set

$$A(z) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx, \quad B(w) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx,$$

$$C(z,w) = \int_{\Omega} c(x) |z|^{\alpha+1} |w|^{\beta+1} dx.$$

$$\gamma^{+} = \frac{\alpha+1}{p^{+}} + \frac{\beta+1}{q^{+}}, \quad \gamma^{-} = \frac{\alpha+1}{p^{-}} + \frac{\beta+1}{q^{-}}.$$
(5.4)

J designates the functional from $X_0(x)$ to \mathbb{R} and defined by

$$\mathbf{J}(u,v) = (\alpha+1) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + (\beta+1) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |\nabla v|^{q(x)} dx - C(u,v) dx.$$
(5.5)

Following remarks 5.1-5.2, the functional **J** is well defined from $X_0(x)$ to \mathbb{I} .

Hypotheses.

$$1 < \gamma^+, \tag{5.6}$$

$$(p,q) \in \left(\mathcal{P}(\Omega) \cup C(\overline{\Omega})\right)^2$$
 satisfies (2.1). (5.7)

Moreover, assume that

$$1 < p^{-} \le p^{+} < +\infty, \quad 1 < q^{-} \le q^{+} < +\infty.$$
 (5.8)

Definition of a weak solution for (1.1).

Definition 5.4. A pair $(u, v) \in X_0(x)$ is a weak solution of (1.1) if for any $(\phi, \psi) \in X_0(x)$:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} c(x) |u|^{\alpha-1} |v|^{\beta+1} u \phi \, dx,$$
$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{q(x)-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} c(x) |u|^{\alpha+1} v |v|^{\beta-1} u \psi \, dx.$$

Fibering Method for quasilinear systems. Pohozaev introduced the fibering method in [34] (see also [36, 37]). For more details about various applications, we refer the reader to [2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 14, 28, 29, 40, 41, 46, 47]). The fibering method applied to this problem consists in seeking the the pair $(u, v) \in X$ in the form

$$u = rz, \quad v = \rho w \tag{5.9}$$

where the functions z and w belong to $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and $W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ respectively, where r and ρ are real numbers. Moreover, since we look for nontrivial solutions (i.e: $u \neq 0, v \neq 0$), we must assume that $r \neq 0$ and $\rho \neq 0$. The fibering method ensures the existence. However, compared to other well known methods, we obtain the specific form (5.9).

Remark 5.5. In [6], the authors applied the fibering method to obtain the existence of multiple solutions for a problem like (1.1) when the exponents p(x) and q(x) are constant. In their studies, we note that the fibering parameters r and ρ depending on z and w verify $r^p = \rho^q$ for (z, w) such that A(z) = 1 and B(z) = 1 for instance. Inspired by this point of view, here we propose to seek a couple $(u, v) = (rz, \rho w)$, with $r = t^{1/p^+}$ and $\rho = t^{1/q^+}$, for t > 0.

Existence of a fibering parameter t(z, w). Existence and properties: Since $\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial u}(u, v)$ and $\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial v}(u, v)$ exist, a weak solution of (1.1) corresponds to a critical point of the energy functional \mathbf{J} associated to the system (1.1). Hence, assuming that $(u, v) \in X_0(x)$ is a critical point of \mathbf{J} , (u, v) satisfies $\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial u}(u, v), \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial v}(u, v)\right) = (0, 0)$. So, according to remark 5.5, a fibering parameter t(z, w) associated to (z, w) is characterized as

$$\frac{d\mathbf{J}}{dt}(t^{1/p^+}z,t^{1/q^+}w) = 0.$$
(5.10)

More precisely, t(z, w) is defined by the following Proposition.

Proposition 5.6. Let (z, w) be fixed in $X_0(x)$ such that C(z, w) > 0.

(1) Assuming (5.6), there is $t(z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$ depending on (z, w) such that

$$\frac{\alpha+1}{p^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} t(z,w)^{\frac{p(x)}{p^+}} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} t(z,w)^{\frac{q(x)}{q^+}} |\nabla z|^{q(x)} dx = t(z,w)^{\gamma^+} C(z,w).$$
(5.11)

(2) Location of t(z, w): for t(z, w) > 1 (respectively, $t(z, w) \le 1$) if Q(z, w) > 1(respectively $Q(z, w) \le 1$), for any (z, w) such that C(z, w) > 0, we have

$$Q(z,w) = \frac{\frac{\alpha+1}{p^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{q(x)} dx}{C(z,w)}.$$

Moreover, the following two estimates hold: (a) If 0 < t(z, w) < 1, then

$$Q(z,w)^{1/\gamma^{+}-1} \le t(z,w) \le Q(z,w)^{1/\gamma^{+}}$$
(5.12)

(b) If $1 \ge t(z, w)$, then

$$Q(z,w)^{1/\gamma^{+}} \le t(z,w) \le Q(z,w)^{1/\gamma^{+}-1}.$$
(5.13)

Proof. We divide the proof in three steps **Step 1: Existence of** t(z, w)**.** Using the definition of **J** (see (5.5)), solving (5.10) is equivalent to solving the equation

$$\frac{\alpha+1}{p^+\gamma^+}\int_{\Omega}t^{\frac{p(x)}{p^+}}|\nabla z|^{p(x)}dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q^+\gamma^+}\int_{\Omega}t^{\frac{q(x)}{q^+}}|\nabla z|^{q(x)}dx = t^{\gamma^+}C(z,w).$$

To do this, consider a function \tilde{f} defined on $[0, +\infty]$ by

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \frac{\alpha + 1}{p^+ \gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} t^{\frac{p(x)}{p^+}} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{\beta + 1}{q^+ \gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} t^{\frac{q(x)}{q^+}} |\nabla z|^{q(x)} dx - t^{\gamma^+} C(z, w).$$

Choosing 0 < t < 1, it follows that

$$t[Q(z,w) - t^{\gamma^+ - 1}]C(z,w) \le \tilde{f}(t).$$
 (5.14)

Now, for $1 \leq t$, we obtain

$$\tilde{f}(t) \le t[Q(z,w) - t^{\gamma^+ - 1}]C(z,w).$$
 (5.15)

Consequently, on one side we have $\lim_{t\to 0} \tilde{f}(t) \ge 0$, and on the other side we have $\lim_{t\to +\infty} \tilde{f}(t) = -\infty$. So, using the Mean Value Theorem, we deduce that there exists $t(z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$ depending on z, w such that $\tilde{f}(t(z, w)) = 0$. Moreover, t(z, w) obeys to (5.11).

Step 2: Location of t(z, w). We distinguish the cases Q(z, w) < 1 and $Q(z, w) \ge 1$.

(a) Assume that Q(z,w) < 1, it follows that 0 < t(z,w) < 1. Arguing by opposite, if the assert $1 \le t(z,w)$ holds, then from (5.15), we obtain $t(z,w) \le Q(z,w)$. So, Q(z,w) is greater than 1. This is contradicts the hypothesis Q(z,w) < 1.

(b) Conversely, assuming $Q(z, w) \ge 1$, from (5.14), we get $t(z, w) \ge 1$.

From (5.11) and the hypothesis (5.6), it is easy to deduce that for any (z, w) fixed in $X_0(x)$ such that C(z, w) > 0, the location of the fibering parameter t(z, w). \Box

Lemma 5.7. Assume (5.6). Let (z, w) in $X_0(x) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ and t(z, w) defined as in (5.11). The function $(z, w) \mapsto t(z, w)$ is C^1 on $X_0(x) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

Proof. From (5.11), we consider on the open set $X_0(x) \setminus \{(0,0)\} \times (]0,1[\cup]1,+\infty[)$ of $X_0(x) \times \mathbb{I}$, the functional η defined as follows:

$$\eta(z,w,t) = \frac{\alpha+1}{p^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} t^{\frac{p(x)}{p^+}-\gamma^+} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} t^{\frac{q(x)}{q^+}-\gamma^+} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx - C(z,w) dx + C(z,w$$

Obviously, we note that $\eta(z, w, t(z, w)) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}(z, w, t(z, w)) < 0$. We used the implicit function theorem for the function η . Then $(z, w) \mapsto t(z, w)$ is C^1 function on $X_0(x) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

A new definition for the energy functional J derived from Proposition 5.6 and Lemma 5.7. On $X_0(x) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, we define the function

$$\mathcal{J}(z,w) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\alpha+1}{p(x)} t(z,w)^{\frac{p(x)}{p^+}} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\beta+1}{q(x)} t(z,w)^{\frac{q(x)}{q^+}} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx - t(z,w)^{\gamma^+} C(z,w).$$
(5.16)

5.2. A conditional critical point of \mathcal{J} . We start by giving some lemmas.

Lemma 5.8. Let $(z_0, w_0) \in X_0(x) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ such that $C(z_0, w_0) \neq 0$. Then, there exists $Z_0 \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $C(Z_0, w_0) > 0$.

Proof. We fix $(z_0, w_0) \in X_0(x) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ for which $C(z_0, w_0) \neq 0$. Then distinguish two cases: (1) $C(z_0, w_0) > 0$. Then, the assertion of Lemma 5.8 holds by taking $Z_0 = z_0$.

(2) If $C(z_0, w_0) < 0$. In this context, we note that

$$\int_{\Omega} c_{+}(x) |z_{0}|^{\alpha+1} |w_{0}|^{\beta+1} dx < \int_{\Omega} c_{-}(x) |z_{0}|^{\alpha+1} |w_{0}|^{\beta+1} dx.$$

Assuming that $c_+(x) > 0$ and $c_-(x) \ge 0$, we put

$$Z_0 = z_0 \,\chi_{\{h>0\}} - \hat{\varepsilon} z_0 \,\chi_{\{h\le0\}}$$

and

$$0<\hat{\varepsilon}<\Big[\frac{\int_{\{c>0\}}h_+(x)|z_0|^{\alpha+1}|w_0|^{\beta+1}dx}{\int_{\{c\leq0\}}h_-(x)|z_0|^{\alpha+1}|w_1|^{\beta+1}dx+1}\Big]^{1/\alpha+1}.$$

From easy calculations, it follows that $\int_{\Omega} c(x) |Z_0|^{\alpha+1} |w_0|^{\beta+1} dx > 0$. The proof is complete.

Consequently, we define the set

$$E = \{(z, w) \in X; \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx = 1, \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx = 1\}.$$
 (5.17)

It is obvious that E is a nonempty set (see [19, 31]). We then have the next lemma.

Lemma 5.9. The set $\{(z, w) \in E; C(z, w) > 0\}$ is nonempty.

Proof. Let (z_0, w_0) be in $X_0(x) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ such that $C(z_0, w_0) \neq 0$. According to the lemma 5.8, there is $(\mathcal{Z}, w_0) \in X_0(x) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ such that $C(\mathcal{Z}, w_0) > 0$. The assert of the lemma is holds if for instance $(\mathcal{Z}, w_0) \in E$. Now, assume that (\mathcal{Z}, w_0) is not in E. Assume that for instance $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathcal{Z}|^{p(x)} dx > 1$ and $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_0|^{q(x)} dx < 1$. Applying the mean value theorem to the functions $t \to 1 - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla t\mathcal{Z}|^{p(x)} dx$ and $s \to \int_{\Omega} |\nabla sw_0|^{q(x)} dx - 1$, we get a pair $(t_p, s_q) \in]0, 1[\times]1, +\infty[$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla t_p \mathcal{Z}|^{p(x)} dx = 1 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla s_q w_0|^{q(x)} dx.$$

Moreover, since $C(\mathcal{Z}, w_0) > 0$, we also have $C(t_p \mathcal{Z}, s_q w_0) > 0$. The proof is complete.

Proposition 5.10. Let the functional \mathcal{J} be defined by (5.16), and let (z, w) be in *E*. Under hypothesis (5.6)–(5.8), the following estimates hold:

$$\frac{\gamma^{+}}{C(z,w)^{1/\gamma^{+}-1}} - 1 \le \mathcal{J}(z,w) \le \frac{\gamma^{-}-1}{C(z,w)^{\min(\frac{p^{-}}{p^{+}},\frac{q^{-}}{q^{+}})}}, \quad \text{if } c(z,w) \ge 1,$$
$$\frac{\gamma^{+}-1}{C(z,w)^{\min(\frac{p^{-}}{p^{+}},\frac{q^{-}}{q^{+}})}} \le \mathcal{J}(z,w) \le \frac{\gamma^{-}}{C(z,w)^{1/\gamma^{+}-1}} - 1, \quad \text{if } c(z,w) < 1.$$

Proof. Estimates (5.12) and (5.13) imply the following lower and upper bounds for the functional $\mathcal{J}(z, w)$. Indeed: (1) Consider $t(z, w) \geq 1$: after combining (5.16) and (5.11), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(z,w) &= (\alpha+1) \int_{\Omega} \Big(\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p^{+}\gamma^{+}} \Big) t(z,w)^{\frac{p(x)}{p^{+}}} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx \\ &+ (\beta+1) \int_{\Omega} \Big(\frac{1}{q(x)} - \frac{1}{q^{+}\gamma^{+}} \Big) t(z,w)^{\frac{q(x)}{q^{+}}} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx \end{aligned}$$

O. SAIFIA, J. VÉLIN

$$\begin{split} &\geq \Big[(\alpha+1)\frac{\gamma^+-1}{p^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx \\ &+ (\beta+1)\frac{\gamma^+-1}{q^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx \Big] t(z,w)^{\min(\frac{p^-}{p^+},\frac{q^-}{q^+})} \\ &\geq \Big[\frac{\alpha+1}{p^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx \Big] (\gamma^+-1)Q(z,w)^{\min(\frac{p^-}{p^+},\frac{q^-}{q^+})}. \end{split}$$

The functional $\mathcal{J}(z, w)$ is bounded as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}(z,w) &\leq t(z,w) \Big[\frac{\alpha+1}{p^-} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q^-} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx \Big] - t(z,w)^{\gamma^+} C(z,w) \\ &\leq \Big[\frac{\alpha+1}{p^-} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q^-} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx \Big] Q(z,w)^{1/\gamma^+ - 1} \\ &- \Big[\frac{\alpha+1}{p^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx \Big]. \end{split}$$

(2) Now, consider t(z, w) < 1:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}(z,w) \\ &\geq t(z,w) \Big[\frac{\alpha+1}{p^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx \Big] - t(z,w)^{\gamma^+} C(z,w) \\ &\geq \Big[\frac{\alpha+1}{p^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx \Big] Q(z,w)^{1/\gamma^+-1} \\ &- \Big[\frac{\alpha+1}{p^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx + \frac{\beta+1}{q^+\gamma^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx \Big]. \end{split}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}(z,w) &= (\alpha+1) \int_{\Omega} \Big(\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p^{+}\gamma^{+}} \Big) t(z,w)^{\frac{p(x)}{p^{+}}} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx \\ &+ (\beta+1) \int_{\Omega} \Big(\frac{1}{q(x)} - \frac{1}{q^{+}\gamma^{+}} \Big) t(z,w)^{\frac{q(x)}{q^{+}}} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx \\ &\leq \Big[(\alpha+1) \Big(\frac{1}{p^{-}} - \frac{1}{p^{+}\gamma^{+}} \Big) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx \\ &+ (\beta+1) \Big(\frac{1}{q^{-}} - \frac{1}{q^{+}\gamma^{+}} \Big) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx \Big] t(z,w)^{\min(\frac{p^{-}}{p^{+}},\frac{q^{-}}{q^{+}})} \\ &\leq \Big[(\alpha+1) \Big(\frac{1}{p^{-}} - \frac{1}{p^{+}\gamma^{+}} \Big) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx \\ &+ (\beta+1) \Big(\frac{1}{q^{-}} - \frac{1}{q^{+}\gamma^{+}} \Big) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx \Big] Q(z,w)^{\min(\frac{p^{-}}{p^{+}\gamma^{+}},\frac{q^{-}}{q^{+}\gamma^{+}})}. \end{split}$$

We choose $(z, w) \in E$, then Q(z, w) is reduced to become $Q(z, w) = \frac{1}{C(z, w)}$. Thus, the assert of the Proposition 5.10 follows.

Consider the optimal problem

$$\inf_{\{(z,w)\in E; \ c(z,w)>0\}} \frac{1}{C(z,w)}.$$
(5.18)

We claim that the infimum value is attained in E. To assert this claim, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.11. Under assumption (5.7), the optimal problem (5.18) possesses at least one solution.

Proof. Solving (5.18) is equivalent to solving the maximizing problem:

$$\sup\left\{\int_{\Omega} c(x)|z|^{\alpha+1}|w|^{\beta+1}dx; (z,w) \in E, \ C(z,w) > 0\right\} =: M.$$
(5.19)

Firstly, from Remarks 5.1 and 5.2, we observe that M is finite. Indeed, from the end of Remark 5.2 and the use of [19] or [31], for any $(z, w) \in E$, $0 < C(z, w) \leq ||c||_{\infty} K$, (the constants $||c||_{\infty}$ and K are not depending on (z, w)). We follow the ideas of [6] and we show that there exists $(z_M, w_M) \in E$ such that $C(z, w) \leq C(z_M, w_M)$ for any $(z, w) \in E$.

Let (z_n, w_n) be a maximizing sequence of (5.19) (i.e (z_n, w_n) is such that $A(z_n) = 1$, $B(w_n) = 1$ and $C(z_n, w_n) \to M > 0$). It is easy to see that (z_n, w_n) is bounded in $X_0(x)$. It follows that $z_n \to \overline{z}$ weakly in $W_0^{1.p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $z_n \to \overline{z}$ strongly in $L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)$. Similarly, $w_n \to \overline{w}$ weakly in $W_0^{1.q(x)}(\Omega)$ and $z_n \to \overline{z}$ strongly in $L^{\hat{q}}(\Omega)$. Consequently

$$C(z_n, w_n) \to C(\bar{z}, \bar{w}).$$

Moreover, since $z \mapsto \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx$ is a semimodular in the sense of [12, Definition 2.1.1], applying [12, Theorem 2.2.8]), we obtain that p(x)- and q(x)-modular functions $\rho_p(\cdot)$ and $\rho_q(\cdot)$ are weakly lower semicontinuous. So, since $z_n \rightharpoonup \bar{z}$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{z}|^{p(x)} \, dx \le \liminf_{n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{z}_{n}|^{p(x)} \, dx = 1$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{w}|^{q(x)} \, dx \le \liminf_{n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{w}_{n}|^{q(x)} \, dx = 1.$$

Now assume by contradiction that $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{z}|^{p(x)} dx < 1$ and $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{w}|^{p(x)} dx < 1$. Then we have $\|\bar{z}\|_{1,p(x)} < 1$ and $\|\bar{w}\|_{1,p(x)} < 1$. We set

$$a = \|\bar{z}\|_{1,p(x)} = \|\nabla\bar{z}\|_{L^{p(x)}}, \quad b = \|\bar{w}\|_{1,q(x)} = \|\nabla\bar{w}\|_{L^{q(x)}}.$$

Using again the properties of the functions ρ_p and $\rho_q,$ it follows that

$$\rho_p\left(|\nabla(\frac{1}{a}\bar{z})|\right) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\frac{1}{a}\bar{z})|^{p(x)} dx = 1$$

and

$$\rho_q\left(|\nabla(\frac{1}{b}\bar{w})|\right) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\frac{1}{b}\bar{w})|^{q(x)} \, dx = 1.$$

Obviously, we see that $\left(\frac{1}{a}\bar{z}, \frac{1}{b}\bar{w}\right) \in E$. On the other hand,

$$C(\frac{1}{a}z_n, \frac{1}{b}w_n) \to C(\frac{1}{a}\overline{z}, \frac{1}{b}\overline{w}) \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

However, we remark that

$$C(\frac{1}{a}\bar{z},\frac{1}{b}\bar{w}) = (\frac{1}{a})^{\alpha+1}(\frac{1}{b})^{\beta+1}C(\bar{z},\bar{w}) = (\frac{1}{a})^{\alpha+1}(\frac{1}{b})^{\beta+1}M.$$

Since a < 1 and b < 1, we obtain $C(\frac{1}{a}\bar{z}, \frac{1}{b}\bar{w}) > M$. A contradiction occurs.

Consequently, combining Proposition 5.10 and Lemma 5.11, we deduce that

$$\inf_{\{(z,w)\in E; \ C(z,w)>0\}} \mathcal{J}(z,w)$$

exists. In the next section, we will show that the infimum of the functional $\mathcal{J}(z, w)$ is attained on E.

Existence result for the optimal problem (5.18). We are looking for $(z, w) \in E$ satisfying

$$\inf \mathcal{J}(z, w) : A(z) = 1, \ B(w) = 1.$$
(5.20)

To investigate (5.20), we give some lemmas and remarks.

Lemma 5.12. Let E be the set defined as in (5.17). Assume that the functions p and q satisfy hypothesis (5.7). Then, for any $(z, w) \in X_0(x)$, there exit $\delta(z) > 0$ and $\theta(w) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\delta(z)}z, \frac{1}{\theta(w)}w\right) \in E.$$

Proof. For any fixed z in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, we define a function f on $]0, +\infty[$ by

$$f(z,\delta) = \int_{\Omega} (\frac{1}{\delta})^{p(x)} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx - 1.$$

For any $\delta > 1$, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{p_+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx - 1 \le f(z,\delta) \le \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{p^-} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx - 1.$$

Now, taking $\delta < 1$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx - 1 \le f(z,\delta) \le \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx - 1.$$

It follows from the above inequality that

- for δ large enough, $f(z, \delta) \to -1$ as $\delta \to +\infty$,
- for δ small enough, $f(z, \delta) \to +\infty$ as $\delta \to 0$.

By applying the Mean Value Theorem, we conclude that there exists $\delta_z \in]0, +\infty[$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\delta_z^{p(x)}} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx = 1.$$

Similarly, we can prove that, there exists $\theta_w > 0$ such that:

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\theta_w^{q(x)}} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx = 1$$

The proof is complete.

Lemma 5.13. Let $(z, w) \in X_0(x)$ be fixed. The functions $z \mapsto \delta(z)$ defined in Lemma 5.12 possess C^1 -regularity respectively from \mathcal{U}_{z,δ_z} to \mathbb{I} and \mathcal{V}_{w,θ_w} to \mathbb{I} . Here, \mathcal{U}_{z,δ_z} is a neighborhood of (z,δ_z) lying on the open set $\mathcal{U} = W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \times]0, +\infty[$ and \mathcal{V}_{w,θ_w} is a neighborhood of (w,θ_w) lying on the open set $\mathcal{V} = W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \times]0, +\infty[$.

14

Proof. After making a simple computation, it is easily to see that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \delta}(z,\delta) = -\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{1}{\delta^{p(x)}} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx.$$

Replacing δ by δ_z , we have

$$|\frac{\partial f}{\partial \delta}(z,\delta_z)| > \frac{p^-}{\delta_z} > 0.$$

Hence, the implicit function theorem implies that there exist a neighborhood of $(z, \delta_z), \mathcal{U}_{z,\delta_z} \subset \mathcal{U}$ and a function of class $C^1 : z \longmapsto \delta(z)$ from \mathcal{U}_{z,δ_z} to \mathbb{I} . Particularly, for all z in $W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\delta'(z) \cdot \phi = -\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z, \delta_z) \cdot \phi}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \delta}(z, \delta_z)}.$$
(5.21)

Since we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z,\delta_z)\cdot\phi = \int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{1}{\delta_z^{p(x)}} |\nabla z|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z \cdot \nabla \phi dx,$$

the definition (5.21) then becomes

$$\delta'(z) \cdot \phi = -\frac{\int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{1}{\delta_z^{p(x)}} |\nabla z|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z \cdot \nabla \phi dx}{\frac{1}{\delta_z} \int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{1}{\delta_z^{p(x)}} |\nabla z|^{p(x)} dx}.$$
(5.22)

In the same way, we have

$$\theta'(w) \cdot \psi = -\frac{\int_{\Omega} q(x) \frac{1}{\theta_w^{q(x)}} |\nabla w|^{q(x)-2} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \psi dx}{\frac{1}{\theta_w} \int_{\Omega} q(x) \frac{1}{\theta_w^{q(x)}} |\nabla w|^{q(x)} dx}.$$
(5.23)

Remark 5.14. We introduce the functional $\widetilde{\mathbf{J}}$ defined on $W_0^{1,p(x)} \times W_0^{1,q(x)} \times \mathbb{I}$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{J}}(z,w,t) = \mathbf{J}(t^{1/p^+}z,t^{1/q^+}w).$$
(5.24)

Thus, for any $(z, w) \in X_0(x) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ and t(z, w) given by (5.11), this definition implies that

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{J}}(z, w, t(z, w)) = \mathcal{J}(z, w)$$
(5.25)

where the functional \mathcal{J} is given by (5.16).

Lemma 5.15. Let $(z_n, w_n) \in E$ be a minimizing sequence of (5.20), the sequence (u_n, v_n) with

$$u_n = t(z_n, w_n)^{1/p^+} z_n, \quad v_n = t(z_n, w_n)^{1/q^+} w_n$$

is then a Palais-Smale sequence for the functional J. i.e.,

$$\mathbf{J}(u_n, v_n) \le m,\tag{5.26}$$

$$\mathbf{J}'(u_n, v_n) \to 0$$
, in the meaning of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_0^*(x)}$. (5.27)

Proof. We follow the ideas of [3]. For a best understanding, some of the notation used here remain unchanged. Generalizing [3], we define $\pi : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{I}$ by

$$\pi(z) = (\pi_1(z), \pi_2(z)) = (\delta(z), \frac{z}{\delta(z)})$$

O. SAIFIA, J. VÉLIN

and $\tau: W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{I}$ by

$$\tau(w) = (\tau_1(w), \tau_2(w)) = \left(\theta(w), \frac{w}{\theta(w)}\right)$$

Before continuing, let us designate by $T_{(z,w)}E$ the tangent space to E. Denote

$$E_p = \{ z \in W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega); A(z) = 1 \}$$

(respectively, $E_q = \{w \in W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega); B(z) = 1\}$), hence, it is clear that $T_{(z,w)}E = T_z E_p \times T_w E_q$. Moreover, for any $(z, w) \in X_0(x)$, for any $(\Phi, \Psi) \in T_{(z,w)}E$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}'(z,w)(\Phi,\Psi) = \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathbf{J}}}{\partial z}(z,w,t(z,w))(\Phi) + \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathbf{J}}}{\partial w}(z,w,t(z,w))(\Psi).$$

Now, we consider a minimizing sequence $(z_n, w_n) \in E$. For any $(\phi, \psi) \in X_0(x)$, it is obvious that $(\pi'_2(z_n) \cdot \phi, \tau'_2(w_n) \cdot \psi) \in T_{(z,w)}E$. From the above, setting $B_n = (z_n, w_n, t(z_n, w_n))$ and following the spirit of the

proof of the [3, Lemma 3.1], we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial u}(u_n, v_n)(\phi) &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial z}(B_n)(\pi'_2(z_n) \cdot \phi), \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial v}(u_n, v_n)(\phi) &= \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathbf{J}}}{\partial w}(B_n)(\pi'_2(w_n) \cdot \psi), \\ \mathcal{J}'(z_n, w_n)(\pi'_2(z_n) \cdot \phi, \tau'_2(w_n) \cdot \psi) &= \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathbf{J}}}{\partial z}(B_n)(\pi'_2(z_n) \cdot \phi) + \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathbf{J}}}{\partial w}(B_n)(\tau'_2(w_n) \cdot \psi) \end{aligned}$$

Then, since

$$\mathbf{J}'(u_n, v_n)(\phi, \psi) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial u}(u_n, v_n)(\phi) + \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial v}(u_n, v_n)(\psi)$$

for any $(\phi, \psi) \in X_0(x)$, it follows that

$$\mathbf{J}'(u_n, v_n)(\phi, \psi) = \mathcal{J}'(z_n, w_n)(\pi'_2(z_n) \cdot \phi, \tau'_2(w_n) \cdot \psi).$$

However, applying the Ekeland variational principle, we have

$$|\mathcal{J}'(z_n, w_n)(\pi_2'(z_n) \cdot \phi, \tau_2'(w_n) \cdot \psi)| \le \frac{1}{n} \|(\pi_2'(z_n) \cdot \phi, \tau_2'(w_n) \cdot \psi)\|_{X_0(x)},$$

for all $(\phi, \psi) \in X_0(x)$. Therefore,

$$|\mathbf{J}'(u_n, v_n) \cdot (\phi, \psi)| \le \frac{1}{n} \| (\pi'_2(z_n) \cdot \phi, \tau'_2(w_n) \cdot \psi) \|_{X_0(x)}, \quad \forall (\phi, \psi) \in X_0(x).$$

The space $X_0(x)$ is equipped with the cartesian norm $\|\cdot\|_{X_0(x)} = \|\cdot\|_{1,p(x)} + \|\cdot\|_{1,q(x)}$. Then the following estimate holds

$$|\mathbf{J}'(u_n, v_n) \cdot (\phi, \psi)| \le \frac{1}{n} \Big(\|(\pi_2'(z_n) \cdot \phi\|_{1, p(x)} + \|\tau_2'(w_n) \cdot \psi)\|_{1, q(x)} \Big).$$
(5.28)

To simplify notation, we set $\tilde{\delta}_n = \delta(z_n)$. So, from the definition of π_2 , we check that 1

$$\pi_2'(z_n) \cdot \phi = \frac{\phi}{\tilde{\delta}_n} - \frac{z_n \int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_n^{p(x)}} |\nabla z_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z_n \cdot \nabla \phi dx}{\frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_n} \int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_n^{p(x)}} |\nabla z_n|^{p(x)} dx}$$

Thus,

$$\|\pi_{2}'(z_{n}) \cdot \phi\|_{1,p(x)} \leq \frac{\|\phi\|_{1,p(x)}}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}} + \frac{\|z_{n}\|_{1,p(x)}|\int_{\Omega} p(x)\frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}^{p(x)}}|\nabla z_{n}|^{p(x)-2}\nabla z_{n} \cdot \nabla \phi dx|}{\frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}}\int_{\Omega} p(x)\frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}^{p(x)}}|\nabla z_{n}|^{p(x)}dx}$$

Particularly, applying successively the Hölder inequality for p(x)-Lebesgue space [30, 31, 19], we find

$$\left|\int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{|\nabla z_{n}|^{p(x)-2}}{z_{n}^{p(x)-2}} \frac{\nabla z_{n}}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}} \cdot \frac{\nabla \phi}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}} dx\right| \leq p + \left|\frac{|\nabla z_{n}|^{p(x)-1}}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}^{p(x)-1}}\right|_{L^{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}}(\Omega)} \frac{\|\phi\|_{1,p(x)}}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}}$$

$$= p^{+} \frac{\|\phi\|_{1,p(x)}}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}}.$$

$$\int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}^{p(x)}} |\nabla z_{n}|^{p(x)} dx \geq p^{-} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}^{p(x)}} |\nabla z_{n}|^{p(x)} dx \geq p^{-}.$$
(5.30)

The above remarks allow us to obtain the new estimate:

$$\|\pi'_{2}(z_{n}) \cdot \phi\|_{1,p(x)} \le \left(1 + \frac{p+}{p^{-}}\right) \frac{\|\phi\|_{1,p(x)}}{\tilde{\delta}_{n}}.$$

From the properties on the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ spaces (see for instance [19]), and because $\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla z_n|^{p(x)}}{\tilde{\delta}_n^{p(x)}} dx = 1$ and $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_n|^{p(x)} dx = 1$, we have $||z_n||_{1,p(x)} = \tilde{\delta}_n = 1$. Therefore

$$\|\pi'_2(z_n) \cdot \phi\|_{1,p(x)} \le \left(1 + \frac{p^+}{p^-}\right) \|\phi\|_{1,p(x)}.$$

Similarly,

$$\|\tau'_2(w_n) \cdot \psi\|_{1,q(x)} \le \left(1 + \frac{q^+}{q^-}\right) \|\psi\|_{1,q(x)}.$$

Taking into account the estimate (5.28), we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|\mathbf{J}'(u_n, v_n)\|_{X_0^*(x)} = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 5.16. Assume that (5.6) holds. Let (z_n, w_n) be a minimizing sequence of **J** on the manifold *E*. The sequence $(u_n, v_n) = (t(z_n, w_n)^{1/p^+} z_n, t(z_n, w_n)^{1/q^+} w_n)$ is bounded in $X_0(x)$.

Proof. Since $u_n = t(z_n, w_n)^{1/p^+} z_n$, $v_n = t(z_n, w_n)^{1/q^+} w_n$, by the characterization (5.11), it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^{q(x)} dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} c(x) |u_n|^{\alpha+1} |v_n|^{\beta+1} dx = 0.$$
(5.31)

On the other hand, because (z_n, w_n) is a minimizing sequence for $\inf_{(z,w)\in E} \mathcal{J}(z,w)$, we have

$$m \le (\alpha+1) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx + (\beta+1) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |\nabla v_n|^{q(x)} dx - C(u_n, v_n) < m + \frac{1}{n}$$
(5.32)

Combining (5.31) and (5.32), one concludes that

$$m \le \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{p(x)} - 1\right) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\beta+1}{q(x)} - 1\right) |\nabla v_n|^{q(x)} dx + C(u_n, v_n) < m + \frac{1}{n}$$

Recall that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^{q(x)} dx = \int_{\Omega} c(x) |u_n|^{\alpha+1} |v_n|^{\beta+1} dx,$$

hence

$$m \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{\alpha+1}{p(x)} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\beta+1}{q(x)} - 1\right) |\nabla v_n|^{q(x)} dx < m + \frac{1}{n}.$$

After making some easy calculations, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx < \frac{m+1}{\gamma^+ - 1}.$$

Arguing similarly, we find that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^{q(x)} dx < \frac{m+1}{\gamma^+ - 1}$$

We have proved that the sequence is bounded in $X_0(x)$.

Lemma 5.17. Under hypothesis (5.7), problem (5.20) possesses at least one solution.

Proof. We divide the proof in three steps.

Step 1: Weak convergence of u_n and v_n . Let $(z_n, w_n) \in E$ be a minimizing sequence. From Lemma 5.15, it is known that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \mathbf{J}(u_n, v_n) = m$ and $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \|\mathbf{J}'(u_n, v_n)\|_{X_0^*(x)} = 0$ and that (u_n, v_n) is bounded in $X_0(x)$. Extracting if necessary to a subsequence, there exists a pair (u^*, v^*) in $X_0(x)$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u^* \quad \text{in } W_0^{1.p(x)}(\Omega),$$

 $v_n \rightharpoonup v^* \quad \text{in } W_0^{1.q(x)}(\Omega).$

Step 2: Strong convergence of u_n and v_n in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ (resp. $W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega)$). To do this, we establish that u_n and v_n are two Cauchy sequences. Firstly, easy calculations ensure that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\mathbf{J}'(u_m, v_m) - \mathbf{J}'(u_l, v_l) \right] &(u_m - u_l, 0) \\ &= (\alpha + 1) \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_m|^{p(x) - 2} \nabla u_m - |\nabla u_l|^{p(x) - 2} \nabla u_l) (\nabla u_m - u_l) dx \\ &- (\alpha + 1) \int_{\Omega} c(x) \left[|v_m|^{(\beta + 1)} |u_m|^{\alpha - 1} u_m - |v_l|^{(\beta + 1)} |u_l|^{\alpha - 1} u_l \right] (u_m - u_l) dx \end{aligned}$$

Thus, after making a suitable rearrangement, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m - |\nabla u_l|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_l) (\nabla u_m - u_l) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \Big[\mathbf{J}'(u_m, v_m) - \mathbf{J}'(u_l, v_l) \Big] (u_m - u_l, 0) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} c(x) \big[|v_m|^{\beta+1} |u_m|^{\alpha-1} u_m - |v_l|^{\beta+1} |u_l|^{\alpha-1} u_l \big] (u_m - u_l) dx. \end{split}$$

We claim that

$$\int_{\Omega} c(x) \left[|v_m|^{(\beta+1)} |u_m|^{\alpha-1} u_m - |v_l|^{(\beta+1)} |u_l|^{\alpha-1} u_l \right] (u_m - u_l) dx \to 0,$$
 (5.33)

18

as $m, l \to +\infty$. Indeed in view of (5.33) and according to Remarks 5.1 and 5.2 (the notation used remains the same), we observe that

$$\begin{split} &|\int_{\Omega} c(x) \left[|v_{m}|^{\beta+1} |u_{m}|^{\alpha-1} v_{m} - |v_{l}|^{\beta+1} |u_{l}|^{\alpha-1} u_{l} \right] (u_{m} - u_{l}) dx | \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} c(x) |v_{m}|^{\beta+1} |u_{m}|^{\alpha} |u_{m} - u_{l}| dx + \int_{\Omega} c(x) |v_{l}|^{\beta+1} |u_{l}|^{\alpha} |u_{m} - u_{l}| dx \\ &\leq \|c\|_{\infty} \|v_{m}\|_{L^{\hat{q}}(\Omega)}^{\beta+1} \|u_{m}\|_{L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)}^{\alpha} \|u_{m} - u_{l}\|_{L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)} \\ &+ \|c\|_{\infty} \|v_{l}\|_{L^{\hat{q}}(\Omega)}^{\beta+1} \|u_{l}\|_{L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)}^{\alpha} \|u_{m} - u_{l}\|_{L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \|u_{m} - u_{l}\|_{L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)}. \end{split}$$
(5.34)

Before continuing, we recall a fundamental convergence property. It is well known that the imbedding $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\delta(x)}(\Omega)$ (resp $W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\gamma(x)}(\Omega)$) with $\delta(x) < \frac{Np(x)}{N-p(x)}$ (resp. $\gamma(x) < \frac{Nq(x)}{N-q(x)}$) is compact (see [19]).

Choose $\gamma(x) = \hat{p}$, it follows that u_n converges strongly to u^* in $L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)$ and so on, u_n is a Cauchy sequence in sense of the $L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)$ norm. Consequently, (5.34) occurs. Furthermore, following [30], there exist constants C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 such that

$$\langle F(\nabla u_m) - F(\nabla u_l), u_m - u_l \rangle \geq \begin{cases} C_1 \|u_m - u_l\|_{1,p(x)}^2, & \text{if } 1 < p(x) < 2, \\ C_2 \|u_m - u_l\|_{1,p(x)}^{2p_{0,1}/p_{1,1}}, & \text{if } 1 < p(x) < 2, \\ C_3 \|u_m - u_l\|_{1,p(x)}^{p_{0,2}}, & \text{if } 2 \ge p(x), \\ C_4 \|u_m - u_l\|_{1,p(x)}^{p_{1,2}}, & \text{if } 2 \ge p(x), \end{cases}$$
(5.35)

where $F(\xi) = |\xi|^{p(x)-2}\xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{I}^N$; $p_{0,j} = \inf_{x \in \Omega_j} p(x)$ and $p_{1,j} = \sup_{x \in \Omega_j} p(x)$ for j = 1, 2; $\Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega; 1 < p(x) < 2\}$; and $\Omega_2 = \{x \in \Omega; 2 \ge p(x)\}$.

Then, from (5.27), (5.33) and (5.35), we conclude that u_n converges strongly to u^* in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Similar argues allow to prove that the sequence v_n converges to v^* strongly in $W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega)$.

Step 3: (u^*, v^*) is a solution of (1.1) involving a fibering decomposition. We show that $u^* = \bar{r}\bar{z}$ and $v^* = \bar{\rho}\bar{w}$ involve a solution of (1.1) via the fibering method. Let us recall that \bar{z} and \bar{w} are respectively the weak limit of z_n and w_n is the weak limit of w_n . The sequence $t_n = t(z_n, w_n)$ is defined as in (5.11). To simplify notation, we set $r_n = t_n^{1/p^+}$ and $\rho_n = t_n^{1/q^+}$. Moreover, using (5.12) and (5.13), by extracting subsequences, if necessary, we can assume that t_n converges in I. We designate as $\bar{t} = \lim_{n \to +\infty} t_n$. So, it follows $r_n \to \bar{t}^{1/p^+}$ and $\rho_n \to \bar{t}^{1/q^+}$ when n tends to $+\infty$. We set $\bar{r} = \bar{t}^{1/p^+}$ and $\bar{\rho} = \bar{t}^{1/q^+}$.

Because of the formulation

$$\frac{1}{r_n}u_n - \frac{1}{\bar{r}}u^* = \frac{1}{r_n\bar{r}}[(\bar{r} - r_n)u^* + \bar{r}(u_n - u^*)],$$

and the convergence results announced above, it is clear that

$$\|\frac{u_n}{r_n} - \frac{u^*}{\bar{r}}\|_{1,p(x)} \to 0$$
, as *n* tends to $+\infty$.

In other words, since $\frac{u_n}{r_n} = z_n$, we deduce that z_n converges strongly to $\frac{u^*}{\bar{r}}$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Thus, since z_n converges weakly to \bar{z} in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, we deduce from above and also from uniqueness $\bar{z} = \frac{u^*}{\bar{r}}$. On the other hand

$$||u^*||_{1,p(x)} \le \liminf_n ||u_n||_{1,p(x)} \le \limsup_n ||u_n||_{1,p(x)}.$$

 So

$$\|\bar{z}\|_{1,p(x)}\bar{r} \le \liminf_{n} \|u_n\|_{1,p(x)} \le \limsup_{n} \|u_n\|_{1,p(x)}$$

thus

$$\|\bar{z}\|_{1,p(x)}r \le \liminf_{n} r_{n}\|z_{n}\| \le \limsup_{n} \|u_{n}\|_{1,p(x)}.$$

Since $||z_n||_{1,p(x)} = 1$ and $||u_n||_{1,p(x)} \le ||u_n - u^*||_{1,p(x)} + ||u^*||_{1,p(x)}$, we obtain

$$\|\bar{z}\|_{1,p(x)}\bar{r} \le \bar{r} \le \|\bar{z}\|_{1,p(x)}\bar{r}$$

thus after dividing by $\bar{r} > 0$, it occurs $\|\bar{z}\|_{1,p(x)} = 1$. In the same manner, we obtain $\|\bar{w}\|_{1,q(x)} = 1$. We can conclude that (\bar{z}, \bar{w}) is solution of the conditional problem (5.20). Furthermore, since $\|\bar{z}\|_{1,p(x)} = \|\bar{w}\|_{1,q(x)} = 1$, using [19], we deduce the second part of the Theorem 3.2. The proof is complete.

The material needed to prove Theorem 3.2 is complete. Next, we establish that the boundary value problem (1.1) admits at least one solution.

5.3. Proof of Theorem 3.2. Existence of a critical point for J.

Proof. The previous lemmas imply that (\bar{z}, \bar{w}) is a conditional critical point for \mathcal{J} . From the Euler-Lagrange characterization, we deduce that there is a pair (m_1, m_2) in \mathbb{I}^2 such that for any $(h, k) \in X_0(x)$,

$$\nabla \mathcal{J}(\bar{z},\bar{w}) \cdot (h,k) = m_1 \nabla A(\bar{z},\bar{w}) \cdot (h,k) + m_2 \nabla B(\bar{z},\bar{w}) \cdot (h,k).$$
(5.36)

In (5.36), we choose $h = \bar{z}, k = \bar{w}$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}'(\bar{z},\bar{w})(\bar{z},\bar{w}) = 0. \tag{5.37}$$

Combining (5.36) and (5.37), we obtain

$$m_1 A^{(1)} \cdot (\bar{z}, \bar{w}) + m_2 B^{(1)} \cdot (\bar{z}, \bar{w}) = 0$$

$$m_1 A^{(2)} \cdot (\bar{z}, \bar{w}) + m_2 B^{(2)} \cdot (\bar{z}, \bar{w}) = 0.$$

Here, $A^{(1)}$, $B^{(1)}$ (resp. $A^{(2)}$ and $B^{(2)}$) denote the first derivatives with respect to z (resp. w). Note that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A^{(1)} \cdot (\bar{z}, \bar{w}) & B^{(1)} \cdot (\bar{z}, \bar{w}) \\ A^{(2)} \cdot (\bar{z}, \bar{w}) & B^{(2)} \cdot (\bar{z}, \bar{w}) \end{pmatrix} \ge p^{-}q^{-}A(\bar{z})B(\bar{w}) = p^{-}q^{-} > 0.$$

It follows that $m_1 = m_2 = 0$. Consequently, $\mathcal{J}'(\bar{z}, \bar{w}) = 0$, or again,

$$\mathbf{J}'(\bar{r}\bar{z},\bar{\rho}\bar{w})=0$$

Finally, we can conclude that $(u^*, v^*) = (\bar{r}\bar{z}, \bar{\rho}\bar{w})$ is a critical point of **J**.

References

- [1] E. Acerbi, G. Mingione; *Regularity results for stationary electrheological fluids*, Archive for rational mechanic and analysis, 164 (3) (2002), 213-259.
- [2] K. Adriouch; Sur les systèmes elliptiques quasi-linéaires et anisotropiques avec exposants critiques de Sobolev, Thèse de Doctorat, Université de La Rochelle
- [3] K. Adriouch, A. El Hamidi; The Nehari manifold for systems of nonlinear elliptic equations, Nonlinear Analysis 64 (2006), 2149-2167.
- [4] L. Antonio-Ribeiro de Santana, Y. Bozhkov, W. Castro Ferreiro Jr; Species survival versus eigeinvalues, Abstract and Applied Analysis 2004 (2) (2004), 115-135.
- [5] S. N. Antontsev, S. I. Shmarev; A model porous medium equation with variable exponent of nonlinearity: existence, uniqueness and localization properties of solutions, Nolinear Analysis: theory, Methods and Applications, 60 (3) (2005), 515-554.
- [6] Y. Bozhkova, E. Mitidieri; Existence of multiple solutions for quasilinear systems via Fibering method, J. Differential Equations 190 (2003), 239-267.
- [7] K. Brown, T-F. Wu; A fibering map approach to a semilinear elliptic boundary value problem, Electron. J. Diff. Equ. 2007 (69) (2007), 1-9.
- [8] J. Chabrowski, Y. Fu; existence of solutions for p(x)-Laplacian problem on a bounded domain, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and applications 306 (2) (2005), 604-618.
- Y. Chen, S. Levine, M. Rao; Variable exponent, linear growty Functionals in image restoration, SIAM journal on Applied Mathematics, 66 (4) (2006), 1383-1406.
- [10] L. Diening; Theoretical and numerical results for eletrorheological fluids, PhD. Thesis, university of Frieburg, Germany (2000).
- [11] L. Diening; Maximal function on generalized Lebesgue spaces L^{p(.)}, Math. Inequal.Appl. 7 (2) (2004), 245-253.
- [12] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Ružička; Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2011).
- [13] G. Dinca, F. Issaia; Generalized Pohozeav and Pucci-Serrin Identities and non-existence results for p(x)-laplacian type equations, Rendiconti del circolo matimatico di palermo 59 (2010), 1-46.
- [14] P. Drabeck, S. I. Pohozaev; Positive solutions for the p-Laplacian: application of the fibering method, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 127A (1997), 703-726.
- [15] D. Edminds, J. Rakosnik; Density of smooth functions in $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 437 (1992), 229-236.
- [16] D. Edminds, J. Rakosnik; Sobolev embedding with variable exponent, Studia mathematical, 143 (3) (2000), 267-293.
- [17] X. L. Fan, J. Shen, D. Zhao; Sobolev Embedding theorems for spaces $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, J. math. Anal. Appl, 262 (2001), 749-760.
- [18] X. L. Fan, S. Y. Wang, D. Zhao; Density of $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1.p(x)}$ with discontinous exponent p(x), Math. Nachr., 279 (1-2) (2006), 142-149.
- [19] X. L. Fan, D. Zhao; On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, J. Math. Anal. Appl 263 (2001), 424-446.
- [20] X. L. Fan, Q. H. Zhang; Existence of solutions for p(x)-laplacian Dirichlet problem, Nonlinear Analysis 52 (2003) 1843-1852.
- [21] X. Fan; Solutions for p(x)-Laplacian Dirichlet problems with singular coefficients, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and applications, 312 (1) (2005), 464-477.
- [22] M. Galewski; New variation method for p(x)-Laplacian equation, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical society, 72 (1) (2005), 53-65.
- [23] T. C. Halsey; Electrorheological fluids, Science 258 (1992), 761-766.
- [24] P. Harjulehto, P. Hasto, M. Koskenoja, S. Varonen; The Dirichlet energy integral and variable exponent Sobolev spaces with zero boundary values Potentiel Anal. 25 (2006), 79-94.
- [25] P. Harjulehto, P. Hasto; Sobolev inequalies for variable exponents attaining the value 1 and n, Publ. Mat. 52:2 (2008), 347-363.
- [26] H. Hudzik; On generalized Orlicz-Sobolev space, Funct. Approximatio Comment. Math. 4 (1976), 37-51.
- [27] H. Hudzik; A generalization of Sobolev spaces. I, Funct. Approximatio Comment. Math. 2 (1976), 67-73.

- [28] Y. S. Il'yasov; The Pokhozhaev identity and the fibering method, Diff. Equations, 38 (10) (2002), 1453-1459.
- [29] D. A. Kandilakis, M. Magiropoulos; Existence results for a p-Laplacian problem with competing nonlinear boundary conditions, Electron. J. Diff. Equ., 2011 (95) (2011), 1-6.
- [30] Yun-Ho Kim, L. Wang, C. Zhang; Global bifurcation for a class of degenerate elliptic equations with variable exponents, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 371 (2010), 624-637.
- [31] O. Kovacik, J. Rakosnik; On the spaces L^{p(x)}(Ω) and W^{1,p(x)}(Ω), Czechoslovak Math. Journal 41(4) (1991), 592-618.
- [32] M. Minailescu, V. Rodulescu; A mulplicity result for nonlinear degenerate problem arising in the theory of electrorheological fluids, Proceedings of the royal society of london A, 426 (2073) (2006), 2625-2641.
- [33] J. Musielak; Orliez Spaces and Modular Spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1034, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1983).
- [34] S. I. Pohozaev; On a constructive method in the calculus of variations, Dokl. Akad. NaukSSSR 298 (1988), pp. 1330-1333 (in Russian).
- [35] S. I. Pohozeav; Eigenfunctions of the equation $-\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$, Soviet Math. Dokl. 6 (1965), 1408-1411.
- [36] S. I. Pohozeav; Nonlinear variationnal problems Via the Fibering Method, Steklov mathematical Institute, Russian academy of sciences, Gubkina str.8, (1991) Moscow, Russia.
- [37] S. I. Pohozaev; On the global fibrering method in variational problems, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 219 (1997), 281-328.
- [38] P. Pucci, J. Serrin; A general variationnal identity, Indiana Univ. Math. 35 (3) (1986), 681-703.
- [39] M. Rúžička; Eletrorheological Fluids, Modeling and mathematical theory, vol. 1748 Lecture Notes in Mathemathematics, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2000.
- [40] A. Salvatore; Some multiplicity results for a superlinear elliptic problem in \mathbb{R}^N , Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis, 21 (2003), 29-39.
- [41] A. Salvatore; Multiple solutions for elliptic systems with nonlinearities of arbitrary growth, J. Diff. Eq., 244 (2008), 2529-2544.
- [42] S. Samoko; Convolution type operators in $L^{p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, Integral transform. Spec. Funct., 7 (1-2) (1998), 123-144.
- [43] F de Thélin, J. Vélin; Existence and non-existence of non-trivial solutions for quasilinear elliptic systems, Rev. Mat. Univ. Complutence Madrid 6 (1993) 153-154.
- [44] J. Vélin; On an existence result for a class of (p,q)-gradient elliptic systems via a fibering method, Nonlinear Analysis T.M.A 75 (2012), 6009-6033.
- [45] J. Vélin; Multiple solutions for a class of (p,q)-gradient elliptic systems via a fibering method, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, Section A, 144 (2) (2014), 363-393.
- [46] T-F. Wu; Multiple positive solutions of a nonlinear boundary value problem involving a signchanging weight, Nonlinear Analysis: T.M.A, 74 (12) (2011), 4223-4233.
- [47] G. Yang, M. Wang; Existence of multiple positive solutions for a p-Laplacian system with sign-changing weight, Computer and Mathematics with applications, 55 (4) (2008), 636-653.
- [48] V. V. Zhikov; Averaging of functionals of calculus of variations and elasticity theory, Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR. Seriya Mathematicheskaya, 50 (4) (1986) 675-710, (Russian).

Ouarda Saifia

Department of mathematics, University of Annaba, PO 12, El Hadjar, 23000, Annaba, Algeria

E-mail address: wsaifia@gmail.com

Jean Vélin

Department of Mathematics and Computers, Laboratory CEREGMIA, University of Antilles-Guyane, Campus de Fouillole, 97159 Pointe-à-Pitre , Guadeloupe (French West Indies)

E-mail address: jean.velin@univ-ag.fr