Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2014 (2014), No. 174, pp. 1-13. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.txstate.edu

# OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR ODD-ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH ADVANCED AND DELAYED ARGUMENTS 

ETHIRAJU THANDAPANI, SANKARAPPAN PADMAVATHY, SANDRA PINELAS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AbSTRACT. This article presents oscillation criteria for n-th order nonlinear } \\
& \text { neutral mixed type differential equations of the form } \\
& \qquad\left(\left(x(t)+a x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-b x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{(n)}=q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), \\
& \quad\left(\left(x(t)-a x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{(n)}=q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), \\
& \quad\left(\left(x(t)+a x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{(n)}=q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n$ is an odd positive integer, $a$ and $b$ are nonnegative constants, $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are positive real constants, $q(t), p(t) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ and $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are ratios of odd positive integers with $\beta, \gamma \geq 1$. Some examples are provided to illustrate the main results.

## 1. Introduction

In this article, we study the oscillatory behavior of all solutions of $n$-th order nonlinear neutral differential equations of the forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\left(x(t)+a x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-b x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{(n)}=q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right),  \tag{1.1}\\
& \left(\left(x(t)-a x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{(n)}=q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right),  \tag{1.2}\\
& \left(\left(x(t)+a x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{(n)}=q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n$ is an odd positive integer, $a$ and $b$ are nonnegative constants, $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are positive real constants, $q(t), p(t) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ and $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are ratios of odd positive integers with $\beta, \gamma \geq 1$.

As is customary, a solution is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros and non-oscillatory if it is eventually positive or eventually negative. Equations (1.1), $\sqrt[1.2]{ }$ and 1.3 are called oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

Differential equations with advanced and delayed arguments (also called mixed differential equations or equations with mixed arguments) occur in many problems of economy, biology and physics (see for example [3, 7, 11, 12, 19]), because differential equations with mixed arguments are much more suitable than delay differential equations for an adequate treatment of dynamic phenomena. The concept of delay

[^0]is related to a memory of system, the past events are importance for the current behavior, and the concept of advance is related to a potential future events which can be known at the current time which could be useful for decision making. The study of various problems for differential equations with mixed arguments can be seen in 4, 9, 18, 22, 23, 27.

It is well known that the solutions of some of these equations cannot be obtained in closed form. In the absence of closed form solutions a rewarding alternative is to resort to the qualitative study of the solutions of these types of differential equations. But it is not quite clear how to formulate an initial value problem for such equations and existence and uniqueness of solutions becomes a complicated issue. To study the oscillation of solutions of differential equations, we need to assume that there exists a solution of such equation on the half line.

The problem of asymptotic and oscillatory behavior of solutions of n-th order delay and neutral type differential equations has received great attention in recent years see for example [1]-[32], and the references cited therein. However, there are few results regarding the oscillatory properties of neutral differential equations with mixed arguments.

In [25] the author has obtained some oscillation theorems for the odd order neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x(t)+p_{1} x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+p_{2} x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{(n)}=q_{1} x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+q_{2} x\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), t \geq t_{0} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \geq 1$ is odd.
In [16] the authors established some oscillation criteria for the following neutral equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(x(t)+c x(t-h)-c^{*} x\left(t+h^{*}\right)\right)^{(n)}=q x(t-g)+p x\left(t+g^{*}\right),  \tag{1.5}\\
& \left(x(t)-c x(t-h)+c^{*} x\left(t+h^{*}\right)\right)^{(n)}=q x(t-g)+p x\left(t+g^{*}\right),  \tag{1.6}\\
& \left(x(t)+c x(t-h)-c^{*} x\left(t-h^{*}\right)\right)^{(n)}=q x(t-g)+p x\left(t+g^{*}\right),  \tag{1.7}\\
& \left(x(t)+c x(t+h)-c^{*} x\left(t+h^{*}\right)\right)^{(n)}=q x(t-g)+p x\left(t+g^{*}\right), \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t \geq t_{0}$ and $n$ is an odd positive integer, $c, c^{*}, h, h^{*}, p$ and $q$ are real numbers and $g$ and $g^{*}$ are positive constants.

In [30] the author has obtained some oscillation results for third-order nonlinear neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(x(t)+b(t) x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+c(t) x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}=q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq t_{0}$, where $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are ratios of odd positive integers, $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are positive constants.

Clearly equations (1.5) and (1.6) with $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=1$ and $q(t)=q, p(t)=p$ are special cases of equations (1.1) and (1.2). Moreover equation (1.9) with $n=3$ is special case of equation (1.3). Motivated by the above observations in this paper we study the oscillatory behavior of equations $1.1,(1.2$ and 1.3 for different values of $\beta \geq 1$ and $\gamma \geq 1$.

In Section 2 we present some lemmas which are useful for our main results. In Section 3, we present some sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of equations $(1.1),(1.2)$ and $(1.3)$. Examples are provided in Section 4 to illustrate the main results.

## 2. Some preliminary lemmas

In this section we state the following lemmas which are essential in the proofs of our oscillation theorems.
Lemma $2.1(\boxed{20})$. Let $x(t)$ be a function such that it and each of its derivative up to order $(n-1)$ inclusive are absolutely continuous and of constant sign in an interval $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$. If $x^{(n)}(t)$ is of constant sign and not identically zero on any interval of the form $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$ for some $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$, then there exists a $t_{x} \geq t_{0}$ and an integer $m, 0 \leq m \leq n$ with $n+m$ even for $x^{(n)}>0$, or $n+m$ odd for $x^{(n)} \leq 0$, and such that for every $t \geq t_{x}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
m>0 \text { implies } x^{k}(t)>0 \text { for } k=0,1, \ldots, m-1 ; \text { and } \\
m \leq n-1 \text { implies }(-1)^{m+k} x^{(k)}(t)>0 \text { for } k=m, m+1, \ldots, n-1
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 2.2 (1, Lemma 2.2.2]). If $x(t)$ is as in Lemma 2.1 and $x^{(n-1)}(t) x^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq t_{x}$, then for every $\lambda, 0<\lambda<1$, there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
|x(\lambda t)| \geq M t^{n-1}\left|x^{(n-1)}(t)\right|
$$

for all large $t$.
Lemma 2.3 ([26]). Let $x(t)$ be a function as in Lemma 2.2. If $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \neq 0$, then for every $\lambda \in(0,1)$,

$$
x(t) \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1} x^{(n-1)}(t)
$$

for all large $t$.
Lemma 2.4. Let $A \geq 0, B \geq 0$ and $\gamma \geq 1$. Then

$$
A^{\gamma}+B^{\gamma} \geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1}}(A+B)^{\gamma}
$$

If $A \geq B$, then $A^{\gamma}-B^{\gamma} \geq(A-B)^{\gamma}$.
A proof of the above lemma can be found in [29].
Lemma 2.5 ([21]). Suppose $q:\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous and eventually nonnegative function, and $\sigma$ is a positive real number. Then the following hold.
(I) If

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t}^{t+\sigma} \frac{(s-t)^{i}(t-s+\sigma)^{n-i-1}}{i!(n-i-1)!} q(s) d s>1
$$

hold for some $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, then the inequality

$$
y^{(n)}(t) \geq q(t) y(t+\sigma)
$$

has no eventually positive solution $y(t)$ which satisfies $y^{(j)}(t)>0$ eventually, $j=$ $0,1, \ldots, n$.
(II) If

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\sigma}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{i}(s-t+\sigma)^{n-i-1}}{i!(n-i-1)!} q(s) d s>1
$$

hold for some of $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, then the inequality

$$
(-1)^{n} z^{(n)}(t) \geq q(t) z(t-\sigma)
$$

has no eventually positive solution $z(t)$ which satisfies $(-1)^{j} z^{(j)}(t)>0$ eventually, $j=0,1, \ldots, n$.

Lemma 2.6 (31). Assume that for large $t$,

$$
q(s) \neq 0 \quad \text { for all } s \in\left[t, t^{*}\right]
$$

where $t^{*}$ satisfies $\sigma\left(t^{*}\right)=t$. Then

$$
x^{\prime}(t)+q(t)[x(\sigma(t))]^{\alpha}=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

has an eventually positive solution if and only if the corresponding inequality

$$
x^{\prime}(t)+q(t)[x(\sigma(t))]^{\alpha} \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

has an eventually positive solution.
In [8, 13, 23, 32], the authors investigated the oscillatory behavior of solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)+q(t)[x(\sigma(t))]^{\alpha}=0, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), \sigma \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), \sigma(t)<t, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t)=\infty$ and $\alpha \in(0, \infty)$ is a ratio of odd positive integers.

Let $\alpha=1$. Then (2.1) reduces to the linear delay differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)+q(t) x(\sigma(t))=0, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is shown that every solution of equation (2.2) oscillates if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} q(s) d s>\frac{1}{e} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Oscillation Results

In this section we shall obtain some sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of $(\sqrt{1.1}),(1.2)$ and $(1.3)$. First we study the oscillation of all solutions of equation 1.1).

Theorem 3.1. Assume that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{+\infty}(q(t)+p(t)) d t=+\infty
$$

hold, and $\tau_{2}>\sigma_{2},\left(1+a^{\beta}\right)>0, a, b \leq 1$, and $1 \leq \beta \leq \gamma$, and $q(t)$ and $p(t)$ are positive and non-increasing functions for $t \geq t_{0}$. If the differential inequalities either

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(t)+\frac{q(t)}{b^{\beta}} y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) \leq 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(t)+\frac{q(t)+p(t)}{b^{\gamma}} y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\left(\tau_{2}-\sigma_{2}\right)\right) \leq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(t)-\frac{p(t)}{2^{\gamma-1}\left(1+a^{\beta}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}} y^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

have no eventually positive solution and no eventually positive increasing solution respectively then every solution of equation 1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1). Without loss of generality we may assume that $x(t)$ is eventually positive; i.e., there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Set

$$
z(t)=\left(x(t)+a x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-b x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{(n)}(t)=q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)>0 \quad \text { for all } t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $z^{(i)}(t), i=0,1, \ldots, n$, are of one sign on $\left[t_{2}, \infty\right) ; t_{2} \geq t_{1}$. There are two possibilities: (a) $z(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$, (b) $z(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$.

Case 1: Assume $z(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$. In this case, we let

$$
0<v(t)=-z(t)=\left(b x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)-a x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-x(t)\right)^{\alpha} \leq b^{\alpha} x^{\alpha}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)
$$

Then in view of the last inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t) \geq \frac{1}{b} v^{1 / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right) \quad \text { for } t \geq t^{*} \geq t_{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by (3.4) and 3.5,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{(n)}(t)+\frac{q(t)}{b^{\beta}} v^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)+\frac{p(t)}{b^{\gamma}} v^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{2}\right) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t^{*} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1. it is easy to check that there exists a $T_{0} \geq t^{*}$ such that $v^{(n-1)}(t)>0$ for $t \geq T_{0}$. Now, if $v^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq T_{0}$ then there exist a constant $k>0$ and a $T \geq T_{0}$ such that

$$
v\left(t-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) \geq k, \quad v\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{2}\right) \geq k \quad \text { for } t \geq T .
$$

Thus

$$
v^{(n)}(t) \leq-k^{\beta / \alpha} \frac{p(t)+q(t)}{b^{\gamma}}, \quad \text { for } t \geq T
$$

and hence

$$
0<v^{(n-1)}(t) \leq v^{(n-1)}(T)-\frac{k^{\beta / \alpha}}{b^{\gamma}} \int_{T}^{t}(p(s)+q(s)) d s \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

a contradiction. Thus, $v^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geq T$ and the function satisfies $(-1)^{i} v^{(i)}(t)>0$ eventually for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$ and $t \geq T$. From (3.6), we have either

$$
v^{(n)}(t)+\frac{q(t)}{b^{\beta}} v^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) \leq 0, \quad t \geq T
$$

or

$$
v^{(n)}(t)+\frac{q(t)+p(t)}{b^{\gamma}} v^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\left(\tau_{2}-\sigma_{2}\right)\right) \leq 0, \quad t \geq T
$$

has a positive solution, which is a contradiction.
Case 2: Assume $z(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$. By the Lemma 2.1, there exists a $t_{3} \geq t_{2}$ such that $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{3}$. Next, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=z(t)+a^{\beta} z\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-\frac{b^{\gamma}}{2^{\gamma-1}} z\left(t+\tau_{2}\right), \quad t \geq t_{3} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(n)}(t) & =z^{(n)}(t)+a^{\beta} z^{(n)}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-\frac{b^{\gamma}}{2^{\gamma-1}} z^{(n)}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
& =q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+a^{\beta}\left(q\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+p\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{1}\right)\right)-\frac{b^{\gamma}}{2^{\gamma-1}}\left(q\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+p\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}+\tau_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the monotonicity of $q(t)$ and $p(t), a, b \leq 1,1 \leq \beta \leq \gamma$ and Lemma 2.4 in the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(n)}(t) \geq & \frac{q(t)}{2^{\beta-1}}\left(x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+a x\left(t-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)-b x\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\beta} \\
& +\frac{p(t)}{2^{\gamma-1}}\left(x\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+a x\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{1}\right)-b x\left(t+\sigma_{2}+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using $z(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$ in the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(t) \geq \frac{q(t)}{2^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{p(t)}{2^{\gamma-1}} z^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)>0, \quad t \geq t_{3} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $y(t)<0$ eventually, we can get same conclusion as in Case 1 . Thus we observe that $y(t)>0$ eventually. Now, if $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ eventually for $t \geq t_{2}$ then there exist a positive constant $c$ and a $T \geq t_{2}$ such that, $z\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) \geq c, z\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \geq c$. Thus using last inequality in (3.8), we obtain

$$
y^{(n)}(t) \geq \frac{q(t)}{2^{\beta-1}} c^{\beta / \alpha}+\frac{p(t)}{2^{\gamma-1}} c^{\gamma / \alpha}>0
$$

Then $y^{(n-1)}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ and $y^{(i)}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-2$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, one can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(i)}(t)>0 \quad \text { eventually for } i=0,1, \ldots, n \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using the monotonicity of $z(t)$, we obtain

$$
y(t)=z(t)+a^{\beta} z\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-\frac{b^{\gamma}}{2^{\gamma-1}} z\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \leq\left(1+a^{\beta}\right) z(t)
$$

then from the above inequality and 3.8 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(t) \geq \frac{p(t)}{2^{\gamma-1}\left(1+a^{\beta}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}} y^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), \quad t \geq t_{3} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality admits a solution that satisfies (3.9), thus $y(t)$ is a positive increasing solution of the inequality (3.3), which is a contradiction. The proof is now complete.

Corollary 3.2. Assume that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{+\infty}(q(t)+p(t)) d t=+\infty
$$

hold, and $\tau_{2}>\sigma_{2},\left(1+a^{\alpha}\right)>0, a, b \leq 1$ and $\alpha=\beta=\gamma \geq 1$ and $q(t)$ and $p(t)$ are non-increasing functions for $t \geq t_{0}$. If either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\left(\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)}^{t}\left(s-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)^{n-1} q(s) d s>\frac{b^{\alpha}(n-1)!}{\lambda e}, \quad \lambda \in(0,1) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}}^{t}\left(s-\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)^{n-1}(p(s)+q(s)) d s>\frac{b^{\alpha}(n-1)!}{\lambda e}, \quad \lambda \in(0,1) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
$\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t}^{t+\sigma_{2}} \frac{(s-t)^{i}\left(t-s+\sigma_{2}\right)^{n-i-1}}{i!(n-i-1)!} p(s) d s>2^{\alpha-1}\left(1+a^{\alpha}\right), \quad i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$,
then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a positive solution of $\sqrt{3.22}$, for $t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. Then we have $y^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. More over, $(-1)^{i} y^{(i)}(t)>0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. Then from Lemma 2.3 we obtain

$$
y(t) \geq \frac{\lambda}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1} y^{(n-1)}(t), \quad \lambda \in(0,1) .
$$

From (3.2), we have

$$
y^{(n)}(t)+\frac{p(t)+q(t)}{b^{\alpha}} y\left(t-\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_{2} .
$$

Combining the last two inequalities, we obtain

$$
y^{(n)}(t)+(p(t)+q(t)) \frac{\lambda}{b^{\alpha}(n-1)!}\left(t-\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)^{n-1} y^{(n-1)}\left(t-\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_{2} .
$$

Let $w(t)=y^{(n-1)}(t)$. Then we see that $w(t)$ is a positive solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t)+(p(t)+q(t)) \frac{\lambda}{b^{\alpha}(n-1)!}\left(t-\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)^{n-1} w\left(t-\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_{2} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

But according to the Lemma 2.6 and the condition (2.3), condition (3.12) guarantees that inequality (3.14) has no positive solution, which is a contradiction. Hence (3.2) has no eventually positive solution. Moreover condition (3.11) is sufficient for the inequality (3.1) has no eventually positive solution, which is a contradiction. Moreover in view of Lemma 2.5 (I) and the condition (3.13), inequality 3.10 has no eventually positive solution which satisfies 3.9 , which is a contradiction. Hence (3.3) has no eventually positive increasing solution.

Next we consider (1.2), and present sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{+\infty}(q(t)+p(t)) d t=+\infty
$$

hold, and $\sigma_{i}>\tau_{i}$ for $i=1,2,\left(1+b^{\gamma}\right)>0, a, b \leq 1$, and $1 \leq \gamma \leq \beta$, and $q(t)$ and $p(t)$ are positive and nondecreasing functions for $t \geq t_{0}$. If the differential inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(t)+\frac{q(t)}{a^{\beta}} y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right) \leq 0, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(t)-\frac{p(t)}{2^{\gamma-1}\left(1+b^{\gamma}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}} y^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{2}\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

have no eventually positive solution and no eventually positive increasing solution respectively. Then every solution of equation (1.2) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a non-oscillatory solution of (1.2). Without loss of generality we may assume that $x(t)$ is eventually positive; i.e., there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Set

$$
z_{1}(t)=\left(x(t)-a x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}
$$

and proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. we that the function $z_{1}^{(i)}(t), i=$ $0,1, \ldots, n$, are of one $\operatorname{sign}$ on $\left[t_{2}, \infty\right), t_{2} \geq t_{1}$. There are two possibilities: (1) $z_{1}(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{2},(2) z_{1}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$.

Case 1: Assume $z_{1}(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$. In this case, we let

$$
0<v_{1}(t)=-z_{1}(t)=\left(a x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-b x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)-x(t)\right)^{\alpha} \leq a^{\alpha} x^{\alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)
$$

Then in view of the last inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t) \geq \frac{1}{a} v_{1}^{1 / \alpha}\left(t+\tau_{1}\right) \quad \text { for } t \geq t^{*} \geq t_{2} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by (1.2) and (3.17),

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}^{(n)}(t)+\frac{q(t)}{a^{\beta}} v_{1}^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right)+\frac{p(t)}{a^{\gamma}} v_{1}^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}+\tau_{1}\right) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t^{*} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, it is easy to check that there exists a $T_{0} \geq t^{*}$ such that $v_{1}^{(n-1)}(t)>0$ for $t \geq T_{0}$. Now, if $v_{1}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq T_{0}$ then there exist a constant $k_{1}>0$ and a $T \geq T_{0}$ such that

$$
v_{1}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right) \geq k_{1}, \quad v_{1}\left(t+\sigma_{2}+\tau_{1}\right) \geq k_{1} \quad \text { for } t \geq T .
$$

Thus

$$
v_{1}^{(n)}(t) \leq-k_{1}^{\gamma / \alpha} \frac{p(t)+q(t)}{a^{\beta}}, \quad \text { for } t \geq T
$$

and hence

$$
v_{1}^{(n-1)}(t) \leq v_{1}^{(n-1)}(T)-\frac{k_{1}^{\gamma / \alpha}}{a^{\beta}} \int_{T}^{t}(p(s)+q(s)) d s \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

a contradiction. Thus, $v_{1}^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geq T$ and the function satisfies $(-1)^{i} v_{1}^{(i)}(t)>0$ eventually for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$ and $t \geq T$. From (3.18), we have

$$
v_{1}^{(n)}(t)+\frac{q(t)}{a^{\beta}} v_{1}^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right) \leq 0, \quad t \geq T
$$

has a positive solution, which is a contradiction.
Case 2: Assume $z_{1}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$. By the Lemma 2.1, there exists a $t_{3} \geq t_{2}$ such that $z_{1}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{3}$. Next, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(t)=z_{1}(t)-\frac{a^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} z_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b^{\gamma} z_{1}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right), \quad t \geq t_{3} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{1}^{(n)}(t)= & z_{1}^{(n)}(t)-\frac{a^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} z_{1}^{(n)}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b^{\gamma} z_{1}^{(n)}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
= & q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)-\frac{a^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\left(q\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+p\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{1}\right)\right)+b^{\gamma}\left(q\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+p\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}+\tau_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the monotonicity of $q(t)$ and $p(t), a, b \leq 1,1 \leq \gamma \leq \beta$ and Lemma 2.4 in the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{1}^{(n)}(t) \geq & \frac{q(t)}{2^{\beta-1}}\left(x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)-a x\left(t-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)+b x\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\beta} \\
& +\frac{p(t)}{2^{\gamma-1}}\left(x\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)-a x\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{1}\right)+b x\left(t+\sigma_{2}+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\gamma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using $z_{1}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$ in the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{(n)}(t) \geq \frac{q(t)}{2^{\beta-1}} z_{1}^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{p(t)}{2^{\gamma-1}} z_{1}^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)>0, \quad t \geq t_{3} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $y(t)<0$ eventually, we can get same conclusion as in Case 1. Thus we observe that $y(t)>0$ eventually. Now, if $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ eventually for $t \geq t_{2}$ then there exist a positive constant $c_{1}$ and a $T \geq t_{2}$ such that, $z\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) \geq c_{1}, z\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \geq c_{1}$. Thus using last inequality in 3.20 , we obtain

$$
y^{(n)}(t) \geq \frac{q(t)}{2^{\beta-1}} c_{1}^{\beta / \alpha}+\frac{p(t)}{2^{\gamma-1}} c_{1}^{\gamma / \alpha}>0 .
$$

Then $y^{(n-1)}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ and $y^{(i)}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-2$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore one can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{(i)}(t)>0 \quad \text { eventually for } i=0,1, \ldots, n, t \geq t_{3} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
y_{1}(t)=z_{1}(t)-\frac{a^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} z_{1}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b^{\gamma} z_{1}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \leq\left(1+b^{\gamma}\right) z_{1}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) .
$$

then from the above inequality and 3.20 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{(n)}(t) \geq \frac{p(t)}{2^{\gamma-1}\left(1+b^{\gamma}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}} y_{1}^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{2}\right), \quad t \geq t_{3} . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (3.22) admits a solution that satisfies (3.21), thus $y_{1}(t)$ is a positive increasing solution of the inequality (3.16), which is a contradiction. The proof is now complete.

Corollary 3.4. Let $\sigma_{i}>\tau_{i}$ for $i=1,2,\left(1+b^{\alpha}\right)>0, a, b \leq 1$ and $\alpha=\beta=\gamma \geq 1$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)}^{t}\left(s-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}\right)^{n-1} q(s) d s>\frac{a^{\alpha}(n-1)!}{\lambda_{1} e}, \quad \lambda_{1} \in(0,1) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t}^{t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{2}} \frac{(s-t)^{i}\left(t-s+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{2}\right)^{n-i-1}}{i!(n-i-1)!} p(s) d s>2^{\alpha-1}\left(1+b^{\alpha}\right), \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, then every solution of $(\overline{1.2})$ is oscillatory.
The proof of the above corollary is similar to that of Corollary 3.2 and hence it is omitted. Next we consider equation (1.3) and present sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\sigma_{2}>\tau_{2}, a \leq 1, b \geq 1$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq \gamma$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(t)=\min \left\{q\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), q(t), q\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
& P(t)=\min \left\{p\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), p(t), p\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

be positive functions for $t \geq t_{0}$. If the differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(t)-\frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}\left(1+a^{\beta}+b^{\gamma}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}} y^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{2}\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no eventually positive solution. Then every solution of 1.3 is oscillatory.
Proof. Let $x(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of equation 1.3), then there exists a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Set

$$
z_{2}(t)=\left(x(t)+a x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha}, \quad t \geq t_{1}
$$

and proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. we see that the function $z_{2}^{(i)}(t), i=$ $0,1, \ldots, n$ are of one sign on $\left[t_{2}, \infty\right)$, for some $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$. Now we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}(t)=z_{2}(t)+a^{\beta} z_{2}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b^{\gamma} z_{2}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right), \quad t \geq t_{2} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $y_{2}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$ and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2}^{(n)}(t)= & z_{2}^{(n)}(t)+a^{\beta} z_{2}^{(n)}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b^{\gamma} z_{2}^{(n)}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \\
= & q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+a^{\beta}\left(q\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+p\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{1}\right)\right)+b^{\gamma}\left(q\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+p\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}+\tau_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $a \leq 1, b \geq 1,1 \leq \beta \leq \gamma$ and using Lemma 2.4 in the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2}^{(n)}(t) \geq & \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}}\left(x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+a x\left(t-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)+b x\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\beta} \\
& +\frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}}\left(x\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)+a x\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{1}\right)+b x\left(t+\sigma_{2}+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using $z_{2}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$ in the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}^{(n)}(t) \geq \frac{Q(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z_{2}^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}} z_{2}^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)>0, \quad t \geq t_{2} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z_{2}(t)>0$ and $z_{2}^{\prime}(t)>0$ are eventually positive increasing functions. From (3.26) we see that $y_{2}(t)>0$ and $y_{2}^{\prime}(t)>0$ and also from inequality 3.27), $y_{2}^{(n)}(t)>$ 0 for $t \geq t_{2}$. As a result of this

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}^{(i)}(t)>0, \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{2} \text { and } i=0,1, \ldots, n \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the monotonicity of $z_{2}(t)$, we obtain

$$
y_{2}(t)=z_{2}(t)+a^{\beta} z_{2}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b^{\gamma} z_{2}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \leq\left(1+a^{\beta}+b^{\gamma}\right) z_{2}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)
$$

Then from the above inequality and (3.27), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2}^{(n)}(t) & \geq \frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}} z_{2}^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{P(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}\left(1+a^{\beta}+b^{\gamma}\right)^{\gamma / \alpha}} y_{2}^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right), \quad t \geq t_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality admits a solution that satisfies 3.28 , thus $y_{2}(t)$ is a positive increasing solution of the inequality 3.25 , which is a contradiction. The proof is now complete.

Corollary 3.6. Let $\sigma_{2}>\tau_{2}, a \leq 1, b \geq 1$ and $\alpha=\beta=\gamma \geq 1$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t}^{t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{2}} \frac{(s-t)^{i}\left(t-s+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{2}\right)^{n-i-1}}{i!(n-i-1)!} P(s) d s>4^{\alpha-1}\left(1+a^{\alpha}+b^{\alpha}\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, then every solution of equation (1.3) is oscillatory.
The proof of the above corollary is similar to that of Corollary 3.2 and hence it is omitted.

## 4. EXAMPLES

In this section we present some examples to illustrate the main results.
Example 4.1. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(x(t)+\frac{1}{4} x(t-\pi)-\frac{1}{4} x(t+2 \pi)\right)^{3}\right)^{(v)}=\frac{1}{4} x^{3}(t-3 \pi / 2)+\frac{1}{8} x^{3}(t+3 \pi / 2) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq 0$. Here $a=1 / 4, b=1 / 4, \alpha=\beta=\gamma=3, \tau_{1}=\pi, \tau_{2}=2 \pi, \sigma_{1}=3 \pi / 2$, $\sigma_{2}=3 \pi / 2, q(t)=1 / 4, p(t)=1 / 8$. Then one can see that all conditions of Corollary 3.2 are satisfied. Therefore all the solutions of equation 4.1) are oscillatory. In fact $x(t)=\sin ^{1 / 3} t$ is one such oscillatory solution of equation 4.1.

Example 4.2. Consider the differential equation
$\left(\left(x(t)-\frac{e^{\pi / 3}}{9} x(t-\pi)+\frac{1}{e^{\pi / 3}} x(t+\pi)\right)^{3}\right)^{(v)}=\frac{4 e^{5 \pi / 2}}{729} x^{3}(t-5 \pi / 2)+\frac{4}{729 e^{3 \pi}} x^{3}(t+3 \pi)$,
where $t \geq 0$. Here $a=e^{\pi / 3} / 9, b=1 / e^{\pi / 3}, \alpha=\beta=\gamma=3, \tau_{1}=\pi, \tau_{2}=\pi$, $\sigma_{1}=5 \pi / 2, \sigma_{2}=3 \pi, q(t)=4 e^{5 \pi / 2} / 729, p(t)=4 /\left(729 e^{3 \pi}\right)$. Then one can see that all conditions of Corollary 3.4 are satisfied. Therefore, all the solutions of equation (4.2) are oscillatory. In fact $x(t)=e^{t / 3} \sin ^{1 / 3} t$ is one such oscillatory solution of equation 4.2.

Example 4.3. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x(t)+x(t-\pi)+x(t+\pi))^{(v)}=\frac{5}{t-\pi} x(t-\pi)+\frac{t}{t+3 \pi / 2} x(t+3 \pi / 2) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq 0$. Here $a=b=1, \alpha=\beta=\gamma=1, \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\pi, \sigma_{1}=\pi, \sigma_{2}=3 \pi / 2$, $q(t)=\frac{5}{t-\pi}, p(t)=\frac{t}{t+3 \pi / 2}$. Then one can see that all conditions of Corollary 3.6 are satisfied. Therefore, all the solutions of equation 4.3 are oscillatory. In fact $x(t)=t \sin t$ is one such oscillatory solution of equation 4.3).

Example 4.4. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x(t)+\frac{1}{2} x(t-\pi / 2)-\frac{1}{2} x(t+2 \pi)\right)^{(v i i)}=\frac{1}{2} x(t-7 \pi / 2)+\frac{1}{2} x(t+4 \pi) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq 0$. Here $a=1 / 2, b=1 / 2, \alpha=\beta=\gamma=1, \tau_{1}=\pi / 2, \tau_{2}=2 \pi, \sigma_{1}=5 \pi / 2$, $\sigma_{2}=4 \pi, q(t)=1 / 2, p(t)=1 / 2$. Then one can see that all conditions of Corollary 3.2 are satisfied. Therefore, all the solutions of equation 4.4 are oscillatory. In fact $x(t)=\sin t+\cos t$ is one such oscillatory solution of equation 4.4.

Example 4.5. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(x(t)-\frac{e}{3} x(t-1)+\frac{1}{e^{2}} x(t+2)\right)^{3}\right)^{(v)}=1000 e^{9} x^{3}(t-3)+\frac{125}{e^{9}} x^{3}(t+3) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq 0$. Here $a=e / 3, b=1 / e^{2}, \alpha=\beta=\gamma=3, \tau_{1}=1, \tau_{2}=2, \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=3$, $q(t)=1000 e^{9}, p(t)=\frac{e^{9}}{125}$. Then one can see that all conditions of Corollary 3.4 are satisfied except the condition (3.24). Therefore, not all solutions of 4.5 are oscillatory. In fact $x(t)=e^{t}$ is one such non-oscillatory solution, since it satisfies equation 4.5.
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