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# MIXED TYPE BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS OF SECOND-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS WITH P-LAPLACIAN 

WEIGAO GE, YU TIAN


#### Abstract

In this article we show the existence of solutions to a mixed boundary-value problem of second-order differential systems with a p-Laplacian. The associated Hamiltonian actions are indefinite and the discussion of the existence of solutions is due to the application of duality principle.


## 1. Introduction

Second-order differential systems that include the $p$-Laplacian appear in physical application; see for example [5]. In this article, we study mixed type boundary-value problems of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\nabla F(t, x) & =0, \quad p \geq 2 \\
x(0)=x^{\prime}(1) & =0 \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \varphi_{p}(x)=\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi_{p}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)^{T}$ with $\varphi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $F:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable in $t$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and continuously differentiable in $x$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$. Also we study systems of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\psi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\nabla F(t, x)=0, p \geq 2 \\
x(0)=x^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\psi_{p}(x)=|x|^{p-2} x$.
When $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} F(t, x)=-\infty$, it is easy to obtain a solution of 1.1), by using a minimizing sequence of this functional

$$
\Phi(x)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\Phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)-F(t, x(t))\right] d t
$$

where $\Phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p}\left|x_{i}^{\prime}\right|^{p}$. However, such an approach is not applicable if $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} F(t, x)=+\infty$, since $\Phi(x)$ does not admit maximum, and does not admit minimum. In such a case, for $\alpha>0$, we set $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\left(x,-\varphi_{p}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for (1.1),

[^0]and $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\left(x,-\psi_{p}\left(\alpha x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for 1.2, $\alpha>0$. Then 1.1 becomes
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
-u_{2}^{\prime}+\varphi_{p}(\alpha) \nabla F\left(t, u_{1}\right)=0 \\
u_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{q}\left(u_{2}\right)=0 \\
u_{1}(0)=u_{2}(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

and 1.2 becomes

$$
\begin{gathered}
-u_{2}^{\prime}+\psi_{p}(\alpha) \nabla F\left(t, u_{1}\right)=0 \\
u_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\alpha} \psi_{q}\left(u_{2}\right)=0 \\
u_{1}(0)=u_{2}(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

So (1.1) and 1.2 become

$$
\begin{align*}
& J \dot{u}+\nabla G(t, u)=0 \\
& u_{1}(0)=u_{2}(1)=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
J \dot{u}+\nabla H(t, u)=0 \\
u_{1}(0)=u_{2}(1)=0, \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
G(t, u)=\Phi_{q}\left(u_{2}\right)+\varphi_{p}(\alpha) F\left(t, u_{1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{q \alpha}\left|u_{2, i}\right|^{q}+\varphi_{p}(\alpha) F\left(t, u_{1}\right) \\
H(t, u)=\widetilde{\Phi}_{q}\left(u_{2}\right)+\varphi_{p}(\alpha) F\left(t, u_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{q \alpha}\left|u_{2}\right|^{q}+\varphi_{p}(\alpha) F\left(t, u_{1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with $u_{1}=\left(u_{1,1}, \ldots, u_{1, n}\right), u_{2}=\left(u_{2,1}, \ldots, u_{2, n}\right), q=\frac{p}{p-1}$,

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -I_{n} \\
I_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $I_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Then $G:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable in $t$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ and continually differentiable in $u$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$. Furthermore, if $F$ is strictly convex in $u_{1}$, then $G$ and $H$ are strictly convex in $u$.

When $n=1$, different types of BVPs have been studied there is a series of results [1, 2, 3, 4], whereas there are only a few results for the case $n \geq 2$, except periodic boundary value problems in [6, 7].

Let $X=\left\{u \in C\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right): u_{1}(0)=u_{2}(1)=0\right\}$. For $u \in X$ we construct functionals in the forms

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi(u) & =\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}(J \dot{u}, u)+G(t, u)\right] d t  \tag{1.5}\\
\mathcal{K}(u) & =\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}(J \dot{u}, u)+H(t, u)\right] d t \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The Euler equations $\Psi(u)$ and $\mathcal{K}(u)$ are the differential systems in 1.3 and 1.4 , respectively. The boundary conditions in $\sqrt[1.3]{ }$ and $\sqrt{1.4}$ are given by the definition of $X$.

Let $u_{k}(t)=\left(u_{k, 1}(t), u_{k, 2}(t)\right)=\left(\cos \lambda_{k} t \cdot c, \sin \lambda_{k} t \cdot c\right)$ with $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$, $\lambda_{k}=\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2}$ and $|c|=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(J \dot{u}_{k}(t), u_{k}(t)\right) d t & =\frac{\lambda_{k}}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[-\cos ^{2} \lambda_{k} t|c|^{2}-\sin ^{2} \lambda_{k} t|c|^{2}\right] d t \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{k}=-\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{4} \rightarrow \mp \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \pm \infty$. So $\Psi(u)$ and $\mathcal{K}(u)$ are neither bounded from below nor from above.
Since $G(t, u)$ is continually differentiable in $u$ and strictly convex with respect to $u$, we can make Fenchel transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{*}(t, \dot{v})=\sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}}[(\dot{v}, u)-G(t, u)] \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the transform theory, there is only one $u_{v}$ for $v$ such that

$$
\left(\dot{v}, u_{v}\right)-G\left(t, u_{v}\right)=\sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}}[(\dot{v}, u)-G(t, u)]
$$

Therefore $\dot{v}=\nabla G\left(t, u_{v}\right), u_{v}=\nabla G^{*}(t, \dot{v})$ and

$$
G\left(t, u_{v}\right)+G^{*}(t, \dot{v})=\left(\dot{v}, u_{v}\right)
$$

Let $u=u_{v}$, we have the relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
G(t, u)+G^{*}(t, \dot{v})=(\dot{v}, u) \\
\dot{v}=\nabla G(t, u), \quad u=\nabla G^{*}(t, \dot{v})
\end{gathered}
$$

and among them any one implies the others.
The same is true for the relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
H(t, u)+H^{*}(t, \dot{v})=(\dot{v}, u) \\
\dot{v}=\nabla H(t, u), \quad u=\nabla H^{*}(t, \dot{v})
\end{gathered}
$$

where $H^{*}(t, \dot{v})=\sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}}[(\dot{v}, u)-H(t, u)]$. With the duality we aim to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $F(t, x)$ is measurable in $t$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, strictly convex and lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) in $x$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$ and there are $a \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), b \in L^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that

$$
|\nabla F(t, x)| \leq b(t) a(|x|)
$$

and there are $\delta>0,\left(\delta \in\left(0, \frac{\pi^{2}}{4}\right)\right.$ if $\left.p=2\right)$, and $\beta, \gamma \geq 0$ such that

$$
-\beta \leq F(t, x) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}|x|^{2}+\gamma
$$

Then 1.1 has at least one solution.
Theorem 1.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, system (1.2) has at least one solution.

## 2. Preliminaries

To prove our main theorems, we use the following propositions.
Proposition 2.1. Assume $F:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $F(t, x)$ is strictly convex in $x$ for all $t \in[0,1]$ and there are $\alpha>0, \beta(t), \gamma(t) \geq 0$ such that

$$
-\beta(t) \leq F(t, x) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}|x|^{2}+\gamma(t)
$$

Then for $v=\nabla F(t, x)$ it holds that

$$
|v| \leq 2 \alpha(|x|+\beta(t)+\gamma(t))+1, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] .
$$

Proof. On the one hand, by $v=\nabla F(t, x) \Leftrightarrow F^{*}(t, v)=(v, x)-F(t, x)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*}(t, v) \leq(v, x)+\beta(t), \quad \forall t \in[0,1] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{*}(t, v) & =\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}[(v, x)-F(t, x)] \\
& \geq \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[(v, x)-\frac{\alpha}{2}|x|^{2}-\gamma(t)\right]=\frac{1}{2 \alpha}|v|^{2}-\gamma(t), \quad \forall t \in[0,1] \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.1) and (2.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v|^{2} \leq 2 \alpha[(v, x)+\beta(t)+\gamma(t)], \quad \forall t \in[0,1] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|v| \leq 1$, the result is obvious. If $|v|>1$, by $(2.3),|v|^{2} \leq 2 \alpha[|v||x|+\beta(t)|v|+\gamma(t)|v|]$. The result also follows.

Proposition 2.2. If $u \in X=\left\{x \in H^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right): x_{1}(0)=x_{2}(1)=0\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{4}{\pi^{2}}|\dot{u}|_{2}^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. From

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{u}(t)=\lambda J u(t) \\
u_{1}(0)=u_{2}(1)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the expression $e^{\lambda J t}=\cos (\lambda t) I+\sin (\lambda t) J$, we have the set of eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}$ of 2.5)

$$
\lambda_{k}=\frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2}, \quad k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots
$$

Then for each $\lambda_{k}, k=0,1,2,3, \ldots, 2.5$ possesses $2 n$-dimensional vector space

$$
u_{k}(t)=\binom{\sin \left(\lambda_{k} t\right) C_{1, k}}{\cos \left(\lambda_{k} t\right) C_{2, k}}
$$

where $C_{1, k}, C_{2, k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are arbitrary vectors. Then $u \in X$ can be expressed as

$$
u(t)=\binom{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sin \left(\lambda_{k} t\right) C_{1, k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \cos \left(\lambda_{k} t\right) C_{2, k}}, \quad C_{1, k}, C_{2, k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u|_{2}^{2} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \sin ^{2} \lambda_{k} t d t \cdot\left|C_{1, k}\right|^{2}+\int_{0}^{1} \cos ^{2} \lambda_{k} t d t \cdot\left|C_{2, k}\right|^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\left|C_{1, k}\right|^{2}+\left|C_{2, k}\right|^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
|\dot{u}|_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{k}^{2}\left(\left|C_{1, k}\right|^{2}+\left|C_{2, k}\right|^{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{2}}{4}\left(\left|C_{1, k}\right|^{2}+\left|C_{2, k}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

and hence (2.4) holds.
Proposition 2.3. If $u \in X$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(J \dot{u}, u) d t \geq-\frac{2}{\pi}|\dot{u}|_{2}^{2}
$$

Proof. The result follows directly from the calculation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}(J \dot{u}, u) d t & \geq-\int_{0}^{1}|J \dot{u}| \cdot|u| d t \\
& \geq-\left[\int_{0}^{1}|J \dot{u}|^{2} d t \cdot \int_{0}^{1}|u|^{2} d t\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& =-\left[\int_{0}^{1}|\dot{u}|^{2} d t \cdot \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{1}|\dot{u}|^{2} d t\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& =-\frac{2}{\pi}|\dot{u}|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.4. Under the conditions in Theorem 1.1, we can choose a suitable $\alpha>0$ so that after the transform $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\left(x,-\varphi_{p}(\alpha \dot{x})\right)$, the function $G(t, u)$ in BVP (1.3) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\xi \leq G(t, u) \leq \frac{l}{2}|u|^{2}+\eta \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi, \eta \geq 0, l \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ are appropriate real numbers.
Proof. If $p=2$, then $\delta \in\left(0, \pi^{2} / 4\right)$. Choose $\alpha=1 / \sqrt{\delta}$. One get $G(t, u)=\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{2}\left|u_{2}\right|^{2}+$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} F\left(t, u_{1}\right)$ and

$$
-\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\delta}} \leq G(t, u) \leq \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{2}\left(\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{2}\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\delta}}
$$

Let $\xi=\beta / \sqrt{\delta}, \eta=\gamma / \sqrt{\delta}, l=\sqrt{\delta}$. Obviously $\xi, \eta>0, l \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$.
If $p>2$, then $q \in(1,2)$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\delta>\pi^{2} / 4$. Let $\alpha=(\pi / 4 \delta)^{q-1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\varphi_{p}(\alpha) \beta \leq G(t, u) & \leq \frac{n}{\alpha q}\left|u_{2}\right|^{q}+\varphi_{p}(\alpha) F\left(t, u_{1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{n}{\alpha q}\left|u_{2}\right|^{q}+\frac{\delta \varphi_{p}(\alpha)}{2}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}+\varphi_{p}(\alpha) \gamma \\
& =\frac{n}{\alpha q}\left|u_{2}\right|^{q}+\frac{\pi}{8}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}+\varphi_{p}(\alpha) \gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from $q \in(1,2)$ that there is $M>0$ such that

$$
\frac{n}{\alpha q}\left|u_{2}\right|^{q} \leq M+\frac{\pi}{8}\left|u_{2}\right|^{2} .
$$

Let $\xi=\varphi_{p}(\alpha) \beta, \eta=M+\varphi_{p}(\alpha) \gamma, l=\frac{\pi}{4}$. Then it holds

$$
-\xi \leq G(t, u) \leq \frac{l}{2}|u|^{2}+\eta
$$

For the rest of this article, we assume $G(t, u)$ satisfies 2.6). Similarly we can prove he following result.
Proposition 2.5. Under the conditions in Theorem 1.2, there is an $\alpha>0$ such that after the transform $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\left(x,-\psi_{p}(\alpha \dot{x})\right)$, the function $H$ in 1.4 satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\xi \leq H(t, u) \leq \frac{l}{2}|u|^{2}+\eta \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi, \eta \geq 0, l \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ are some real numbers.
In the Clarke transform $G^{*}(t, \dot{v})=\sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}}[(\dot{v}, u)-G(t, u)], G^{*}(t, u)$ is convex in $u$. On the other hand, if

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)=\frac{\varepsilon}{2}(u, u)+G(t, u), \\
G_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, \dot{v})=\sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}}\left[(\dot{v}, u)-G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

then $G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)$ is strictly convex in $u$ and satisfies $\lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)}{|u|}=\infty$. Hence $G_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, \dot{v})$ is differentiable in $\dot{v}$; i.e., $\nabla G_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, y)$ is continuous in $y$. This time we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\xi+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}|u|^{2} \leq G_{\varepsilon}(t, u) \leq \frac{l+\varepsilon}{2}|u|^{2}+\eta \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, \dot{v})=\sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}}\left[(\dot{v}, u)-G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)\right] \geq \sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}}\left[(\dot{v}, u)-\frac{l+\varepsilon}{2}|u|^{2}-\eta\right]=\frac{1}{2(l+\varepsilon)}|\dot{v}|^{2}-\eta \\
G_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, \dot{v}) \leq \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}|\dot{v}|^{2}+\xi \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\dot{v} \in \partial G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)$. One has

$$
G_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, \dot{v})=(\dot{v}, u)-G_{\varepsilon}(t, u) \leq(\dot{v}, u)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}|u|^{2}+\xi
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{2(l+\varepsilon)}|\dot{v}|^{2}-\eta \leq|\dot{v}||u|+\xi
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\dot{v}| \leq 1+2(l+\varepsilon)(|u|+\xi+\eta) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly for $u \in \partial G_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, \dot{v})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u| \leq 1+\frac{2}{\varepsilon}(|\dot{v}|+\xi+\eta) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be such that $l+\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. Take in account the boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
J \dot{u}+\nabla G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)=0 \\
u_{1}(0)=u_{2}(1)=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

whose functional is

$$
\Psi_{\varepsilon}(u)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}(J \dot{u}, u)+G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)\right] d t
$$

Let $v=-J u$. Then

$$
\Psi_{\varepsilon}(u)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}(J \dot{u}, u) d t+\int_{0}^{1}\left[(J \dot{u}, u)+G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)\right] d t
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}(J \dot{u}, u) d t-\int_{0}^{1}\left[(\dot{v}, u)-G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)\right] d t \\
& =-\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}(J \dot{v}, v)+G_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, \dot{v})\right] d t=:-\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}(v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.6. Under the conditions in Theorem 1.1, $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ has one critical point $v_{\varepsilon} \in Y=\left\{y \in H^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right): y_{1}(1)=0, y_{2}(0)=0\right\}$, which minimize the value of $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ and is uniformly bounded below for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}-l\right)$. Furthermore $u_{\varepsilon}=J v_{\varepsilon}$ is a solution of $B V P(2.12$.
Proof. It follows from

$$
G_{\varepsilon}(t, u) \leq \frac{l+\varepsilon}{2}|u|^{2}+\eta=: G(u)
$$

that

$$
G_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, v) \geq G^{*}(\dot{v})=\sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}}[(\dot{v}, u)-G(u)]=\frac{1}{2(l+\varepsilon)}|\dot{v}|^{2}-\eta
$$

and then

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}(v) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{l+\varepsilon}-\frac{2}{\pi}\right) \int_{0}^{1}|\dot{v}(t)|^{2} d t-\int_{0}^{1} \eta(t) d t \geq \alpha_{0}\|\dot{v}\|_{2}^{2}-\eta_{0}
$$

where $\eta_{0}=\int_{0}^{1} \eta(t) d t, \alpha_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{l+\varepsilon}-\frac{2}{\pi}\right)>0$. Obviously $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}(v) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|\dot{v}\|_{2} \rightarrow \infty$ and uniformly bounded below. Let

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon 1}(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}(J \dot{v}, v) d t, \quad \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon 2}(v)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, \dot{v}) d t
$$

Both $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon 1}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon 2}$ are weakly lower semi-continuous (w.l.s.c.) imply $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ is w.l.s.c. and then $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ possesses one minimum at some point $v_{\varepsilon} \in Y$.

At the same time, by $L(t, x, y)=\frac{1}{2}(J y, x)+G_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, y)$, we have from 2.8 2.9 and 2.11 that

$$
\begin{gathered}
|L(t, x, y)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x||y|+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}|y|^{2}+\xi \\
\left|\nabla_{x} L(t, x, y)\right|=\frac{1}{2}|y| \\
\left|\nabla_{y} L(t, x, y)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|+\left|\nabla_{y} G^{*}(t, \dot{y})\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|+1+\frac{2}{\varepsilon}(|\dot{y}|+\xi+\eta),
\end{gathered}
$$

and then $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ is continuously differentiable on $Y$. As for all $w \in Y$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(v), w\right\rangle & =\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(J \dot{v}_{\varepsilon}, w\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(J v_{\varepsilon}, \dot{w}\right)+\left(\nabla G_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(t, \dot{v}_{\varepsilon}\right), \dot{w}\right)\right] d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left(-J v_{\varepsilon}+\nabla G_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(t, \dot{v}_{\varepsilon}\right), \dot{w}\right) d t=0
\end{aligned}
$$

One gets $J v_{\varepsilon}=\nabla G_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(t, \dot{v}_{\varepsilon}\right)$, i.e., $u_{\varepsilon}=\nabla G_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(t, \dot{v}_{\varepsilon}\right)$. From the duality principle, it holds

$$
\dot{v}_{\varepsilon}=\nabla G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)
$$

and hence

$$
-J \dot{u}_{\varepsilon}=\nabla G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)
$$

i.e.,

$$
J \dot{u}_{\varepsilon}+\nabla G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)=0
$$

Clearly $v_{\varepsilon} \in Y$ implies $u_{\varepsilon} \in X$.

Let $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}-l\right)$ and $H_{\varepsilon}(t, u)=H(t, u)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}|u|^{2}$. Consider the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
J \dot{u}+\nabla H_{\varepsilon}(t, u)=0 \\
u_{1}(0)=u_{2}(0)=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

From $v=-J u$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}(u) & =\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}(J \dot{u}, u)+H_{\varepsilon}(t, u)\right] d t \\
& =-\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}(J \dot{v}, v)+H_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, \dot{v})\right] d t=:-\Pi_{\varepsilon}(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, \dot{v})=\sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}}\left[(\dot{v}, u)-H_{\varepsilon}(t, u)\right]$.
The following proposition can be proved in a similar way as Proposition 2.5
Proposition 2.7. Under the conditions given in Theorem 1.2, $\Pi_{\varepsilon}$ has one critical point $v_{\varepsilon} \in Y=\left\{y \in H^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right): y_{1}(1)=0, y_{2}(0)=0\right\}$, which minimize the value of $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ and is uniformly bounded below for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}-l\right)$. Furthermore $u_{\varepsilon}=J v_{\varepsilon}$ is a solution of 2.13.

## 3. Proof of main theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In Proposition 2.5 we have proven that for each $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}-l\right)$, BVP 2.12 has a solution $u_{\varepsilon}=J v_{\varepsilon}$, and $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is the minimum of $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ on $Y$ with $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq-\eta_{0}+\alpha_{0}\left\|\dot{v}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2}$. Furthermore,

$$
G(t, u) \leq G_{\varepsilon}(t, u)
$$

implies

$$
G_{\varepsilon}^{*}(t, \dot{v}) \leq G^{*}(t, \dot{v})
$$

So

$$
\alpha_{0}\left\|\dot{v}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\eta_{0} \leq \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} G^{*}(t, 0) d t=c<\infty
$$

and then there is a $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\dot{v}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}^{2}<c_{1}^{2}
$$

which in turn implies

$$
\left\|\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}=\left\|J \dot{v}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}<c_{1}
$$

and there is $c_{2}>0$ such that $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}<c_{2}$. Therefore, there is a $c_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{X}<c_{3}
$$

Since $X$ is reflexive, there is a sequence $\left\{u_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right\} \subset\left\{u_{\varepsilon}: 0<\varepsilon<\frac{\pi}{2}-l\right\}$ such that $u_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightharpoonup u_{0} \in X \subset H^{1}$ as $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$
u_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { uniformly in } C\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)
$$

It follows from $J \dot{u}_{\varepsilon_{n}}(t)+\nabla G_{\varepsilon}\left(t, u_{\varepsilon_{n}}(t)\right)=0$ that

$$
J\left(u_{\varepsilon_{n}}(t)-u_{\varepsilon_{n}}(0)\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left[\varepsilon_{n} u_{\varepsilon}(s)+\nabla G\left(s, u_{\varepsilon_{n}}(s)\right)\right] d s=0
$$

and then

$$
J\left(u_{0}(t)-u_{0}(0)\right)+\int_{0}^{t} \nabla G\left(s, u_{0}(s)\right) d s=0
$$

Consequently,

$$
J \dot{u}_{0}(t)+\nabla G\left(t, u_{0}(t)\right)=0
$$

and $u_{0} \in X$ implies $u_{0,1}(0)=u_{0,2}(1)=0$. That is to say, $u_{0}(t)$ is a solution to (1.3). Then $x(t)=u_{0,1}(t)$ is a solution to (1.1). Theorem 1.1 is now proved.

Theorem 1.2 is proved in a similar way as Theorem 1.1
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