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# PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR NONLINEAR NEUTRAL DELAY INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 

AZZEDDINE BELLOUR, EL HADI AIT DADS


#### Abstract

In this article, we consider a model for the spread of certain infectious disease governed by a delay integro-differential equation. We obtain the existence and the uniqueness of a positive periodic solution, by using Perov's fixed point theorem in generalized metric spaces.


## 1. Introduction

The existence of positive solutions to the integral equation with non constant delay

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\int_{t-\sigma(t)}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was considered in $[4,13,18,23$. This equation is a mathematical model for the spread of certain infectious diseases with a contact rate that varies seasonally. Here $x(t)$ is the proportion of infectious in population at time $t, \sigma(t)$ is the length of time in which an individual remains infectious, $f(t, x(t))$ is the proportion of new infectious per unit of time (see, for example, $5,9,21$ ).

Ait Dads and Ezzinbi [4] and Ding et al [10 studied the existence of a positive pseudo almost periodic solution. Ezzinbi and Hachimi 13, Torrejón 23], Xu and Yuan 24] showed the existence of a positive almost periodic solution. The existence of a positive almost automorphic solution was studied in $12,15,18$. Bica and Mureşan [7,8 studied the existence and uniqueness of a positive periodic solution of (1.1) by using Perov's fixed point theorem in the case of $f$ depends also on $x^{\prime}(t)$ and $\sigma(t)=\sigma$ is constant.

Ait Dads and Ezzinbi 3 considered the existence of a positive almost periodic solution, Ding et al [11 studied the existence of positive almost automorphic solutions for the neutral nonlinear delay integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\gamma x(t-\tau)+(1-\gamma) \int_{t-\tau}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \gamma<1$. We refer to [2, 17] for the meaning of 1.2 in the context of epidemics.

[^0]In this paper, we consider the more general equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\gamma x(t-\sigma(t))+(1-\gamma) \int_{t-\sigma(t)}^{t} f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.3) includes many important integral and functional equations that arise in biomathematics (see for example $[1,6,8,9,14,16,18,19,21$ ).

We would to use Perov's fixed point theorem to obtain conditions for the existence and uniqueness of a positive periodic solution to 1.3 . This work is motivated by the work of Wei Long and Hui-Sheng Ding [18]. Moreover, the results obtained in this paper generalize several ones obtained in [6, 8, 19, 21], and the main goal in this work is to study the existence and uniqueness of solutions when $\sigma(t)$ is not constant in 1.3.

## 2. Preliminaries and generalized metric spaces

In this section, we recall the following notation and results in generalized metric spaces.
Definition 2.1 ( $\mid 20]$. Let $X$ be a nonempty set and $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a mapping such that for all $x, y, z \in X$, one has:
(i) $d(x, y) \geq 0_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$ and $d(x, y)=0_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Leftrightarrow x=y$,
(ii) $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$,
(iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z)+d(z, y)$,
where for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have $x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x_{i} \leq y_{i}$, for $i=\overline{1, n}$.
Then $d$ is called a generalized metric and $(X, d)$ is a generalized metric space.
Definition $2.2([22])$. Let $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ be a generalized Banach space, the norm $\|\cdot\|: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ has the following properties:
(i) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in E$ and $\|x\|=0$ if and only if $x=0$.
(ii) $\|\lambda x\|=|\lambda|\|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in K=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ and for all $x \in E$.
(iii) $\|x+y\| \leq\|x\|+\|y\|$ for all $x, y \in E$ (the inequalities are defined by components in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ).

Remark 2.3. A generalized Banach space is a generalized complete metric space.
Definition $2.4([20])$. If $(E, d)$ is a generalized complete metric space and $T$ : $E \rightarrow E$ which satisfies the inequality

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq A d(x, y) \quad \text { for all } x, y \in E
$$

where $A$ is a matrix convergent to zero (the norms of it its eigenvalues are in the interval $[0,1))$. We say that $T$ is a Picard operator or generalized contraction.

We recall the following Perov's fixed point theorem.
Theorem $2.5([20])$. Let $(E, d)$ be a complete generalized metric space. If $T$ : $E \rightarrow E$ is a map for which there exists a matrix $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq A d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in E
$$

and the norms of the eigenvalues of $A$ are in the interval $[0,1)$, then $T$ has a unique fixed point $x^{*} \in E$ and the sequence of successive approximations $x_{m}=T^{m}\left(x_{0}\right)$ converges to $x^{*}$ for any $x_{0} \in E$. Moreover, the following estimation holds

$$
d\left(x_{m}, x^{*}\right) \leq A^{m}\left(I_{n}-A\right)^{-1} d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}
$$

## 3. Main Result

In this section, we study the existence and uniqueness of a positive and periodic solution for the equation (1.3). We consider the following functional spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\omega)=\{x \in C(\mathbb{R}): x(t+\omega)=x(t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\} \\
& P^{1}(\omega)=\left\{x \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}): x(t+\omega)=x(t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \\
& K^{+}(\omega)=\left\{x \in P^{1}(\omega): x(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and denote by $E$ the product space $E=K^{+}(\omega) \times P(\omega)$ which is a generalized metric space with the generalized metric $d_{C}: E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$, defined by

$$
d_{C}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)=\left(\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|+\left\|x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right\|,\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|\right)
$$

where $\|u\|=\max \{|u(t)|: t \in[0, \omega]\}$ for any $u \in P(\omega)$. Before stating the main result, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. $\left(E, d_{C}\right)$ is a complete generalized metric space.
Proof. Let $\left(x^{n}\right)=\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ be a Cauchy sequence, then for any $\epsilon=\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}\right)>0$, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n, m \geq n_{0}$, we have $d_{C}\left(\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right),\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) \leq \epsilon$. Hence, for all $n, m \geq n_{0},\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{m}^{\prime}-x_{n}^{\prime}\right\| \leq \epsilon_{1}$ and $\left\|y_{m}-y_{n}\right\| \leq \epsilon_{2}$. Then, $\left(x_{n}\right),\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)$ are Cauchy sequences in $P(\omega)$. It is clear that $(P(\omega),\|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, hence there exists $y \in P(\omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|y_{n}-y\right\|=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exist $x, w \in P(\omega)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}-w\right\|=0$. Now, since for all $n \geq n_{0}$, and all $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
x_{n}(t)=x_{n}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} x_{n}^{\prime}(s) d s
$$

Then, by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, $x^{\prime}(t)=w(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Therefore, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}, x_{n}(t) \geq 0$. Then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, x(t) \geq 0$. As a consequence, $x \in K^{+}(\omega)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|+\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}-x^{\prime}\right\|\right)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we deduce, by (3.1) and (3.2), that $\left(x^{n}\right)$ converges to $(x, y) \in E$ and $\left(E, d_{C}\right)$ is a complete generalized metric space.

Equation 1.3 will be studied under the following assumptions:
(H1) $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R},(0,+\infty)\right)$ and there exists $\omega>0$ such that

$$
f(t+\omega, x, y)=f(t, x, y), \quad \forall(t, x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

(H2) There exist $\alpha, \beta>0$ such that

$$
\left|f(t, u, v)-f\left(t, u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \alpha\left|u-u^{\prime}\right|+\beta\left|v-v^{\prime}\right|
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $u, u^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, for all $v, v^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$.
(H3) $\sigma \in P^{1}(\omega)$ and $\inf _{t \in[0, \omega]} \sigma(t)=\sigma_{0}>0$. Let $\sigma_{1}=\sup _{t \in[0, \omega]} \sigma(t), \sigma_{2}=$ $\sup _{t \in[0, \omega]}\left|\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right|$ and assume that $\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)<1$.
Under the hypothesis (H1)-(H3), we will use Perov's fixed point theorem to prove the following main result.

Theorem 3.2. If the hypotheses (H1)-(H3) hold, and if

$$
\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+L+\sqrt{\zeta}<2
$$

where, $L=\max \left(\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right), \gamma+(1-\gamma) \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta= & \gamma^{2} \sigma_{2}\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma)^{2} \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+4 \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)+(L-\gamma)^{2} \\
& +2 \gamma \beta(1-\gamma)\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)-2 L\left(\beta(1-\gamma)\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+\gamma \sigma_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, (1.3) has a unique solution in $K^{+}(\omega)$.
Proof. If we differentiate 1.3 with respect to $t$ and denoting $x^{\prime}(t)=y(t)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(t)= & \gamma\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right) y(t-\sigma(t))+(1-\gamma)[f(t, x(t), y(t)) \\
& \left.-\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right) f(t-\sigma(t), x(t-\sigma(t)), y(t-\sigma(t)))\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t)= & \gamma x(t-\sigma(t))+(1-\gamma) \int_{t-\sigma(t)}^{t} f(s, x(s), y(s)) d s \\
y(t)= & \gamma\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right) y(t-\sigma(t))+(1-\gamma)[f(t, x(t), y(t)) \\
& \left.-\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right) f(t-\sigma(t), x(t-\sigma(t)), y(t-\sigma(t)))\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $T: E \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}) \times C(\mathbb{R})$ be the map defined by

$$
T(x, y)(t)=\binom{T_{1}(x, y)(t)}{T_{2}(x, y)(t)}
$$

where

$$
T_{1}(x, y)(t)=\gamma x(t-\sigma(t))+(1-\gamma) \int_{t-\sigma(t)}^{t} f(s, x(s), y(s)) d s
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{2}(x, y)(t)= & \gamma\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right) y(t-\sigma(t))+(1-\gamma)[f(t, x(t), y(t))  \tag{3.3}\\
& \left.-\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right) f(t-\sigma(t), x(t-\sigma(t)), y(t-\sigma(t)))\right]
\end{align*}
$$

From Conditions (H1) and (H3), one has that $T_{1}(E) \subset C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, from the condition that $f$ is $\omega$-periodic with respect to $t$, it follows that $T_{1}(E) \subset K^{+}(\omega)$. indeed

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1}(x, y)(t+\omega) & =\gamma x(t+\omega-\sigma(t+\omega))+(1-\gamma) \int_{t+\omega-\sigma(t+\omega)}^{t+\omega} f(s, x(s), y(s)) d s \\
& =\gamma x(t-\sigma(t))+(1-\gamma) \int_{t-\sigma(t)}^{t} f(s-\omega, x(s-\omega), y(s-\omega)) d s \\
& =T_{1}(x, y)(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall(x, y) \in E .
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition in the same way, one has $T_{2}(x, y)(t+\omega)=T_{2}(x, y)(t)$. Consequently, $T(E) \subset E$. Moreover, from Conditions (H2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|T_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)(t)-T_{1}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)(t)\right|+\left|T_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)(t)-T_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)(t)\right| \\
& \leq \gamma\left|x_{1}(t-\sigma(t))-x_{2}(t-\sigma(t))\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +(1-\gamma) \int_{t-\sigma(t)}^{t}\left[\alpha\left|x_{1}(s)-x_{2}(s)\right|+\beta\left|y_{1}(s)-y_{2}(s)\right|\right] d s \\
& +\gamma\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right)\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t-\sigma(t))-x_{2}^{\prime}(t-\sigma(t))\right| \\
& +(1-\gamma)\left(\alpha\left|x_{1}(t)-x_{2}(t)\right|+\beta\left|y_{1}(t)-y_{2}(t)\right|\right) \\
& +(1-\gamma)\left|1-\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right| \alpha\left|x_{1}(t-\sigma(t))-x_{2}(t-\sigma(t))\right| \\
& +(1-\gamma)\left|1-\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right| \beta\left|y_{1}(t-\sigma(t))-y_{2}(t-\sigma(t))\right| \\
& \leq L\left(\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|+\left\|x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right\|\right)+(1-\gamma) \beta\left(1+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
L=\max (\underbrace{\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)}_{r_{1}}, \underbrace{\gamma+(1-\gamma) \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)}_{r_{2}}) .
$$

Similarly, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|T_{2}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)(t)-T_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)(t)\right| \\
& \leq\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)(1-\gamma) \alpha\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|+\left[\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\right]\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)(1-\gamma) \alpha\left(\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|+\left\|x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right\|\right) \\
& \quad+\left[\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\right]\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
d_{C}\left(T\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), T\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right) \leq A\binom{\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|+\left\|x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right\|}{\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|}
$$

where

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L & (1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)(1-\gamma) \alpha & \gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+L+\sqrt{\zeta}\right] \\
\lambda_{2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+L-\sqrt{\zeta}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta= & \gamma^{2} \sigma_{2}\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma)^{2} \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+4 \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)+(L-\gamma)^{2} \\
& +2 \gamma \beta(1-\gamma)\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)-2 L\left(\beta(1-\gamma)\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+\gamma \sigma_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In what follows, we show that the eigenvalues of the matrix $A$ are nonnegative real numbers $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.
Step 1: We show that $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ are real numbers. It suffices to prove that $\zeta \geq 0$. We have two cases:
Case 1: If $L=r_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta= & \gamma^{2} \sigma_{2}\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma)^{2} \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+4 \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)+\gamma^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2} \\
& +2 \gamma \beta(1-\gamma)\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)-2 \gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(\beta(1-\gamma)\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+\gamma \sigma_{2}\right) \\
= & \gamma^{2} \sigma_{2}\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma)^{2} \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+4 \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)+\gamma^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2} \\
& -2 \gamma^{2} \sigma_{2}\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
= & (1-\gamma)^{2} \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+4 \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right) \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2: If $L=r_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta= & \gamma^{2} \sigma_{2}\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma)^{2} \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+4 \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +(1-\gamma)^{2} \alpha^{2}\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+2 \gamma \beta(1-\gamma)\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
& -2\left(\gamma+(1-\gamma) \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)\left(\beta(1-\gamma)\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+\gamma \sigma_{2}\right) \\
= & \gamma^{2} \sigma_{2}\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma)^{2} \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+2 \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +(1-\gamma)^{2} \alpha^{2}\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+2 \gamma \beta(1-\gamma) \sigma_{2}\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
& -2 \gamma \sigma_{2}\left(\gamma+(1-\gamma) \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & \gamma^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}+(1-\gamma)^{2} \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+2 \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +(1-\gamma)^{2} \alpha^{2}\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+2 \gamma \beta(1-\gamma) \sigma_{2}\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
& -2 \gamma \sigma_{2}(1-\gamma) \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
= & (1-\gamma)^{2} \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+2 \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)+2 \gamma \beta(1-\gamma) \sigma_{2}\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
& +\left((1-\gamma) \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)-\gamma \sigma_{2}\right)^{2} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2: We show that $\lambda_{2}$ is nonnegative. We have two cases:
Case 1: If $L=r_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+L\right]^{2}-\zeta} \\
& =4 \gamma^{2}\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+(1-\gamma)^{2} \beta^{2}\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+4 \gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)(1-\gamma) \beta\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)-\zeta \\
& =4 \gamma^{2}\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+4(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(r_{1}-r_{2}+\gamma\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2: If $L=r_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+L\right]^{2}-\zeta} \\
& =\left[\gamma\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma) \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right]^{2}-\zeta \\
& =\gamma^{2}\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+(1-\gamma)^{2} \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+2 \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +2 \gamma \beta(1-\gamma)\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+(1-\gamma)^{2} \alpha^{2}\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 \gamma(1-\gamma)\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right) \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)-\zeta \\
& =4 \gamma^{2}\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)+4 \gamma \beta(1-\gamma)\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+4 \gamma(1-\gamma) \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right) \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Which implies that $\lambda_{2} \geq 0$.
We remark that $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}$, this implies that $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ belong to the open unit disc of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ if and only if $\lambda_{1}<1$, which is equivalent to

$$
\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+L+\sqrt{\zeta}<2
$$

Then, by Perov's fixed point theorem, the operator $T$ has a unique solution $x^{*}=$ $\left(x_{*}, y_{*}\right) \in K^{+}(\omega) \times P(\omega)$, which implies that $x_{*} \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{*}\right)^{\prime}(t)= & \gamma\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right)\left(x_{*}\right)^{\prime}(t-\sigma(t))+(1-\gamma)\left[f\left(t, x_{*}(t), y_{*}(t)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right) f\left(t-\sigma(t), x_{*}(t-\sigma(t)), y_{*}(t-\sigma(t))\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by using (3.3), for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left(\left(x_{*}\right)^{\prime}-y_{*}\right)(t)=\gamma\left(1-\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right)\left(\left(x_{*}\right)^{\prime}-y_{*}\right)(t-\sigma(t))
$$

Then, $\left\|\left(x_{*}\right)^{\prime}-y_{*}\right\| \leq \gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)\left\|\left(x_{*}\right)^{\prime}-y_{*}\right\|$. We deduce, by Condition (H3), that $\left(x_{*}\right)^{\prime}=y_{*}$ and $x_{*}$ is the unique solution of 1.3 .

To illustrate this result, we have the following example.
Example 3.3. Consider (1.3) where $f$ is $\omega$-periodic with respect to $t$ and $\sigma$ is $\omega$-periodic, $\gamma=\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=\frac{1}{4}, \alpha=\frac{1}{6}, \beta=\frac{1}{5}$, then $\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)=\frac{5}{16}<1, L=$ $\max \left(\frac{5}{16}, \frac{9}{16}\right)=\frac{9}{16}$ and

$$
\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)+(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)+L+\sqrt{\zeta}=\frac{67+\sqrt{2749}}{80} \cong 1.86<2
$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.2. Equation (1.3) has a unique positive $\omega$-periodic solution.
The following proposition gives an estimation of the error between the exact solution and the approximate solution of (1.3).

Proposition 3.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2. the solution of (1.3), which is obtained by the successive approximations method starting from any $x^{0}=$ $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in E$, satisfies the estimate

$$
d_{C}\left(x^{m}, x^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\mu\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
e_{1} \lambda_{1}^{m}+e_{2} \lambda_{2}^{m} & e_{3} \lambda_{1}^{m}+e_{4} \lambda_{2}^{m} \\
e_{5} \lambda_{1}^{m}+e_{6} \lambda_{2}^{m} & e_{7} \lambda_{1}^{m}+e_{8} \lambda_{2}^{m}
\end{array}\right) \times d_{C}\left(x^{1}, x^{0}\right)
$$

where $\mu=(1-L)\left(1-\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)-\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)(1-\gamma)\right)-(1-\gamma)^{2} \alpha \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)$, $x^{m}=T\left(x^{m-1}\right), x^{m}=\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right)$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and
$e_{1}=\left(a\left(L-\lambda_{2}\right)-c^{2}\right), e_{2}=\left(a\left(\lambda_{1}-L\right)+c^{2}\right)$
$e_{3}=\left(b\left(L-\lambda_{2}\right)-c(1-L)\right), e_{4}=\left(b\left(\lambda_{1}-L\right)+c(1-L)\right)$
$e_{5}=\left(L-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\frac{a\left(L-\lambda_{2}\right)}{c}-c\right), e_{6}=\left(L-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(c-\frac{a\left(L-\lambda_{1}\right)}{c}\right)$
$e_{7}=\left(L-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\frac{b\left(L-\lambda_{2}\right)}{c}+L-1\right), e_{8}=\left(L-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(1-L-\frac{b\left(L-\lambda_{1}\right)}{c}\right)$
such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =1-\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)-\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)(1-\gamma) \\
b & =(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
c & =\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)(1-\gamma) \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.5, by the conditions of Theorem 3.2, one has

$$
d_{C}\left(x^{m}, x^{*}\right) \leq A^{m}(I-A)^{-1} d_{C}\left(x^{1}, x^{0}\right), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}
$$

We have

$$
A^{m}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(L-\lambda_{2}\right) \lambda_{1}^{m}+\left(\lambda_{1}-L\right) \lambda_{2}^{m} & (1-\gamma) \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}^{m}-\lambda_{1}^{m}\right) \\
\frac{\left(L-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(L-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}^{m}-\lambda_{2}^{m}\right)}{(1-\gamma) \alpha\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)} & \left(\lambda_{1}-L\right) \lambda_{1}^{m}+\left(L-\lambda_{2}\right) \lambda_{2}^{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
(I-A)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\underbrace{1-\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)-\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)(1-\gamma)}_{a} & \underbrace{(1-\gamma) \beta\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)}_{b} \\
\underbrace{\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)(1-\gamma) \alpha}_{b} & 1-L
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mu=(1-L)\left(1-\gamma\left(1+\sigma_{2}\right)-\beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)(1-\gamma)\right)-(1-\gamma)^{2} \alpha \beta\left(2+\sigma_{2}\right)\left(2+\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)$. Which implies

$$
A^{m}(I-A)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\mu\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
e_{1} \lambda_{1}^{m}+e_{2} \lambda_{2}^{m} & e_{3} \lambda_{1}^{m}+e_{4} \lambda_{2}^{m} \\
e_{5} \lambda_{1}^{m}+e_{6} \lambda_{2}^{m} & e_{7} \lambda_{1}^{m}+e_{8} \lambda_{2}^{m}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 8$ are given by (3.4).
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