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Abstract. This article concerns the nonhomogeneous Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta u+u-(2 \omega+\phi) \phi u=|u|^{p-1} u+h(x), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
\Delta \phi=(\omega+\phi) u^{2}, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\omega>0$ is constant, $p \in(1,5)$. Under appropriate assumptions on $h(x)$, the existence of at least two solutions is obtained by applying the Ekeland's variational principle and the Mountain Pass Theorem in critical point theory.

## 1. Introduction

In this article, we consider the existence of multiple solutions for the nonhomogeneous Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+u-(2 \omega+\phi) \phi u=|u|^{p-1} u+h(x), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
\Delta \phi=(\omega+\phi) u^{2}, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\omega>0$ is constant, $1<p<5$. We assume that the function $h(x)$ satisfies the following hypotheses.
(H1) $0 \leq h(x) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \bigcap C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $h(x)=h(|x|) \not \equiv 0$.
(H2) $\|h(x)\|_{L^{2}}<m_{p}$, where $m_{p}=\frac{p-1}{2 p}\left(\frac{p+1}{2 p \eta_{p}^{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \eta_{p}>0$ is the Sobolev embedding constant.
$(\mathrm{H} 3)\langle\nabla h(x), x\rangle \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
Such system was first introduced in [2] as a model which describes the nonlinear Klein-Gordon field interacting with the electromagnetic field in the electrostatic case. The unknowns of the system are the field $u$ associated to the particle and the electric potential $\phi$, while $\omega$ denotes the phase. The presence of the nonlinear term simulates the interaction between many particles or external nonlinear perturbations.

[^0]When $h(x)=0$, the homogeneous case, a several works have been devoted to the Klein-Gordon-Maxwell:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+\left[m^{2}-(\omega+\phi)^{2}\right] u=|u|^{p-1} u, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
\Delta \phi=(\omega+\phi) u^{2}, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The first result is due to Benci and Fortunato. In [2] , they proved the existence of infinitely many radially symmetric solutions for 1.2 under the assumption $3<$ $p<5$. D'Aprile and Mugnai [5] covered the case $1<p<3$ and the case $p=3$. Under the assumption $1<p<5$, Azzollini and Pomponio proved the existence of a ground state solution for (1.2) in [1]. In (6], some nonexistence results of nontrivial solutions for 1.2 were obtained when $p \geq 5$ or $p \leq 1$.

Recently, by combining the minimization of the corresponding Euler-Lagrange functional on the Nehari manifold with the Brezis and Nirenberg technique, Carrião, Cunha and Miyagaki proved the existence of positive ground state solutions of system (1.1) with $h(x)=0$ when the nonlinearity exhibits critical growth, see 3].

The nonhomogeneous case, that is $h(x) \neq 0$. The authors [4 considered the following nonhomogeneous Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+\left[m^{2}-(\omega+\phi)^{2}\right] u=|u|^{p-2} u+h(x) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
\Delta \phi=(\omega+\phi) u^{2}, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $m>\omega>0$ and $2<p<6$. This is the first paper dealing with the nonhomogeneous Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations. However, since [4, equality (9)] is in error, the authors could not obtain the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ under the assumption $2<p<6$. Then [4, Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 1.3] could not be obtained.

Motivated by the works described above, in the present paper, we establish the existence of multiple solution results for system (1.1). The method is inspired by 9 .

By Ekeland's variational principle, it is not difficult to get a solution $u_{0}$ of 1.1 for all $\omega>0,1<p<5$ and $\|h\|_{L^{2}}$ suitably small. Moreover, $u_{0}$ is a local minimizer of $I_{\omega}$ and $I_{\omega}\left(u_{0}\right)<0$, where $I_{\omega}$ is defined by 2.2 . However, under our assumptions it seems difficult to get a second solution(different from $u_{0}$ ) of (1.1) by applying the Mountain Pass Theorem. So we have to study problem 1.1) in the following two cases: $p \in(1,2]$ and $p \in(2,5)$, respectively.

For $p \in[3,5)$, we can directly prove the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and the $(P S)_{c}$ condition. But for $p \in(2,3)$, it is difficult to show if the $(P S)_{c}$ condition satisfies. To overcome the difficulty, by introducing a suitable approximation problem, we use an indirect method to obtain the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ sequence for $I_{\omega}$ based on the weak solutions of the approximation problem, and then show that this special (PS) sequence converges to a solution of problem (1.1). However, when $p \in(1,2]$, it is more delicate. For this case, we note that 1.1) has no positive energy solution for $\omega>0$ large enough (see Theorem 5.1). Based on this observation, by using the cut-off technique as in [7], we finally get a positive energy solution for problem (1.1) with $\omega>0$ small enough.

Our main results read as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Let $p \in(2,5)$ and (H1)-(H3) hold. Then, for all $\omega>0$, problem 1.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ such that $I_{\omega}\left(u_{0}\right)<0<I_{\omega}\left(u_{1}\right)$.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $p \in(1,2]$ and (H1)-(H2) hold. Then, if $\omega>0$ small, problem (1.1) possesses two nontrivial solutions $u_{0}$ and $\tilde{u_{1}}$ such that $I_{\omega}\left(u_{0}\right)<0<$
$I_{\omega}\left(\tilde{u_{1}}\right)$. However, if $\omega>0$ large enough, problem 1.1) has no solution with positive energy.
Remark 1.3. According to our results, for any $\omega>0$, problem (1.1) has always a solution with negative energy.

Throughout this article mC denotes various positive constants.

## 2. Variational setting

In this section, we introduce some preliminary results concerning the variational structure for 1.1). Our working space is $E:=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ equipped with the inner product and norm

$$
\langle u, v\rangle:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+u v) d x, \quad\|u\|:=\langle u, u\rangle^{1 / 2}
$$

Let $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ be the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, R\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{D^{1,2}}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

And for any $1 \leq s<\infty,\|u\|_{L^{s}}:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{s} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$ denotes the usual norm of the Lebesgue space $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

Due to the variational nature of problem (1.1), its weak solutions $(u, \phi) \in E \times$ $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ are critical points of the functional $J: E \times D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \rightarrow R$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u, \phi)= & \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(2 \omega+\phi) \phi u^{2} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) u d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, the action functional $J$ belongs to $C^{1}\left(E \times D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), R\right)$ and exhibits a strong indefiniteness. To avoid the indefiniteness we apply a reduction method, as has been done by the aforementioned authors.
Lemma 2.1 ( 5, 6]). For every $u \in E$ there exists a unique $\phi=\phi_{u} \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ which solves $\Delta \phi=(w+\phi) u^{2}$. Furthermore
(i) in the set $\{x: u(x) \neq 0\}$ we have $-\omega \leq \phi_{u} \leq 0$ for $\omega>0$;
(ii) if $u$ is radially symmetric, $\phi_{u}$ is radial too.

According to Lemma 2.1, we can consider the functional $I_{\omega}: E \rightarrow R$ defined by $I_{\omega}(u)=J\left(u, \phi_{u}\right)$. After multiplying both members of the second equation in equations (1.1) by $\phi_{u}$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla \phi_{u}\right|^{2} d x=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u}^{2} u^{2} d x . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the reduced functional takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\omega}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}-\omega \phi_{u} u^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) u d x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore $I$ is $C^{1}$ and we have for any $u, v \in E$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle I_{\omega}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+u v-\left(2 \omega+\phi_{u}\right) \phi_{u} u v\right) d x  \tag{2.3}\\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p-1} u v d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) v d x
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.2. By 2.1, we can note that

$$
\left\|\phi_{u}\right\|_{D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega\left|\phi_{u}\right| u^{2} d x \leq \omega\left\|\phi_{u}\right\|_{L^{6}}\|u\|_{L^{12 / 5}}^{2}
$$

then

$$
\left\|\phi_{u}\right\|_{D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C_{1} \omega\|u\|_{L^{12 / 5}}^{2}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega\left|\phi_{u}\right| u^{2} d x \leq \omega C_{1}\|u\|^{4}
$$

Now, we can apply [6, Lemma 2.2] to our functional $I_{\omega}$ and obtain the following result.

Lemma 2.3. The following statements are equivalent:
(1) $(u, \phi) \in E \times D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is a critical point of $J$ (i.e. $(u, \phi)$ is a solution of 1.1).
(2) $u$ is a critical point of $I_{\omega}$ and $\phi=\phi_{u}$.

Set

$$
H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right):=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right): u=u(r), r=|x|\right\}
$$

We shall consider the functional $I_{\omega}$ on $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Then any critical point $u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ of $\left.I_{\omega}\right|_{H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}$ is also a critical point of $I_{\omega}$ since $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is a natural constraint for $I_{\omega}$. Thus we are reduced to look for critical points of $\left.I_{\omega}\right|_{H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}$. In the following, we still denote $\left.I_{\omega}\right|_{H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}$ by $I_{\omega}$. It follows from $\sqrt{2}$ that for $2<s<6, H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is compactly embedded into $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Therefore, there exists a positive constant $\eta_{s}>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{L^{s}} \leq \eta_{s}\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

To obtain our results, the following theorem will be needed in our argument.
Theorem $2.4([8]) .(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space and $S \subset R_{+}$an interval. Let us consider the family of $C^{1}$ functionals on $X$

$$
I_{\lambda}(u)=A(u)-\lambda B(u), \quad \lambda \in S
$$

with $B$ nonnegative and either $A(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ or $B(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ and such that $I_{\lambda}(0)=0$. Set

$$
\Gamma_{\lambda}=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], X): \gamma(0)=0, I_{\lambda}(\gamma(1))<0\right\}, \quad \text { for any } \lambda \in S
$$

If for every $\lambda \in S$ the set $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ is nonempty and $c_{\lambda}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\lambda}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\lambda}(\gamma(t))>0$, then for almost every $\lambda \in S$, there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ satisfying
(i) $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded;
(ii) $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\lambda}$;
(iii) $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in the dual $X^{-1}$ of $X$.

## 3. A WEAK solution with negative energy

In this section, we prove that (1.1) has a weak solution with negative energy for any $\omega>0$ and $p \in(1,5)$. With the aid of Ekeland's variational principle, this weak solution is obtained by seeking a local minimum of the energy functional $I_{\omega}$.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $p \in(1,5)$ and (H1)-(H2) hold. Then there exist $\rho, \alpha$, and $m_{p}$ positive such that $\left.I_{\omega}(u)\right|_{\|u\|=\rho} \geq \alpha>0$ for all $h$ satisfying $\|h\|_{L^{2}}<m_{p}$, where $m_{p}=\frac{p-1}{2 p}\left(\frac{p+1}{2 p \eta_{P}^{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$.

Proof. For all $\omega>0$ and $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, by Lemma 2.1 the Hölder inequality and Sobolev's embedding theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\omega}(u) & \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{L^{p}}^{p+1}-\|h\|_{L^{2}}\|u\| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{\eta_{p}^{p+1}}{p+1}\|u\|^{p+1}-\|h\|_{L^{2}}\|u\|  \tag{3.1}\\
& =\|u\|\left(\frac{1}{2}\|u\|-\frac{\eta_{p}^{p+1}}{p+1}\|u\|^{p}-\|h\|_{L^{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Set

$$
g(t)=\frac{1}{2} t-\frac{\eta_{p}^{p+1}}{p+1} t^{p} \quad \text { for } t \geq 0
$$

By direct calculations, we see that $\max _{t \geq 0} g(t)=g(\rho)=\frac{p-1}{2 p}\left(\frac{p+1}{2 p \eta_{p}^{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}:=m_{p}$, where $\rho=\left(\frac{p+1}{2 p \eta_{p}^{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Then it follows from 3.1) that, if $\|h\|_{L^{2}}<m_{p}$, there exists $\alpha=\rho\left(g(\rho)-\|h\|_{L^{2}}\right)>0$ such that $\left.I_{\omega}(u)\right|_{\|u\|=\rho} \geq \alpha>0$ for all $\omega>0$.

Lemma 3.2. If $p \in(1,5)$ and (H1)-(H2) hold. Then, for any $\omega>0$, there exists $u_{0} \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that

$$
I_{\omega}\left(u_{0}\right)=\inf \left\{I_{\omega}(u): u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \text { and }\|u\| \leq \rho\right\}<0
$$

where $\rho$ is given by Lemma 3.1. Moreover, $u_{0}$ is a solution of problem 1.1.
Proof. By (H1), we can choose a function $\varphi \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) \varphi d x>0$. Hence, for $t>0$ small enough, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\omega}(t \varphi)= & \frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+\varphi^{2}\right) d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega \phi_{t \varphi}(t \varphi)^{2} d x \\
& -\frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\varphi|^{p+1} d x-t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) \varphi d x \\
\leq & \frac{t^{2}}{2}\|\varphi\|^{2}+\frac{t^{4} C_{1} \omega}{2}\|\varphi\|^{4}-\frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\varphi|^{p+1} d x-t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) \varphi d x<0
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $c_{0}=\inf \left\{I_{\omega}(u): u \in \bar{B}_{\rho}\right\}<0$, where

$$
\bar{B}_{\rho}=\left\{u \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \text { and }\|u\| \leq \rho\right\} .
$$

By the Ekeland's variational principle, there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \bar{B}_{\rho}$ such that

$$
c_{0} \leq I_{\omega}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq c_{0}+\frac{1}{n}, \quad I_{\omega}(\vartheta) \geq I_{\omega}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{n}\left\|\vartheta-u_{n}\right\| \quad \forall \vartheta \in \bar{B}_{\rho}
$$

By a standard procedure, see, for example [12], we can show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded (PS) sequence of $I_{\omega}$. Then, by the compactness of the embedding $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)(2<s<6)$, there exists $u_{0} \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \rightarrow u_{0}$ strongly in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Hence $I_{\omega}\left(u_{0}\right)=c_{0}<0, I_{\omega}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$.
4. Positive energy solution for $p \in(2,5)$

In this section, we aim to prove that problem (1.1) has a positive energy solution for any $\omega>0, p \in(2,5)$. It is well-known that, for $p \in[3,5)$, we can directly prove the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of the functional $I_{\omega}$. But for $p \in(1,3)$, it is not easy to do this. Particularly, $p \in(1,2)$ is the hardest case. To show the boundedness of a
(PS) sequence of $I_{\omega}$ when $p \in(2,5)$ is also nontrivial. Here we have to use Theorem 2.4. Consider the approximation problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+u-(2 \omega+\phi) \phi u=\lambda|u|^{p-1} u+h(x), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
\Delta \phi=(\omega+\phi) u^{2}, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \tag{4.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p \in(2,5)$ and $\lambda \in[1 / 2,1]$. Set $X=H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$,

$$
A(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) u d x
$$

and $B(u)=\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x$. Thus we study the perturbed functional

$$
I_{\omega, \lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}-\omega \phi_{u} u^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) u d x-\frac{\lambda}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x .
$$

Then, $I_{\omega, \lambda}$ is a family of $C^{1}$-functionals on $X, B(u) \geq 0$ and $A(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-$ $\|h\|_{L^{2}}\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 4.1. Assume $p \in(1,5)$ and (H1)-(H2) satisfy. Then, the following hold.
(i) $\Gamma_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$, for any $\lambda \in[1 / 2,1]$;
(ii) There exists a constant $\tilde{c}$ such that $c_{\lambda} \geq \tilde{c}>0$ for all $\lambda \in[1 / 2,1]$.

Proof. (i) For any $\lambda \in[1 / 2,1]$, we choose a function $\psi \in X \geq(\not \equiv 0)$. Then, by Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$
I_{\omega, \lambda}(t \psi) \leq \frac{t^{2}}{2}\|\psi\|^{2}+\frac{t^{2}}{2} \omega^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \psi^{2} d x-\frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\psi|^{p+1} d x
$$

Since $p \in(1,5)$, there exists $t_{0}$ large enough such that $I_{\omega, \lambda}\left(t_{0} \psi\right)<0$. Hence $(i)$ holds.
(ii) By Lemma 2.1, for any $u \in X$ and $\lambda \in[1 / 2,1]$, we have

$$
I_{\omega, \lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x
$$

Since $p>1$, we conclude that there exists $\rho>0$ such that $I_{\omega, \lambda}(u)>0$ for any $u \in X$ and $\lambda \in[1 / 2,1]$ with $\|u\| \leq \rho$. In particular, for any $\|u\|=\rho$, we have $I_{\omega, \lambda}(u)>\tilde{c}>0$. Now fix $\lambda \in[1 / 2,1]$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\lambda}$, by the definition of $\Gamma_{\lambda}$, certainly $\|\gamma(1)\|>\rho$. By continuity, we deduce that there exists $t_{\gamma} \in(0,1)$ such that $\left\|\gamma\left(t_{\gamma}\right)\right\|=\rho$. Therefore, for any $\lambda \in[1 / 2,1]$, we have

$$
c_{\lambda} \geq \inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\lambda}} I_{\omega, \lambda}\left(\gamma\left(t_{\gamma}\right)\right) \geq \tilde{c}>0
$$

Thus, (ii) holds.
Since $I_{\omega, \lambda}(0)=0$, then by Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 2.4 there exist (i) $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\} \subset$ $[1 / 2,1]$ such that $\lambda_{j} \rightarrow 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and (ii) a bounded sequence $\left\{v_{n}^{j}\right\}$ of the functional $I_{\omega, \lambda_{j}}$. By the compactness of the embedding $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)(2<s<$ 6) and 11, Lemma 2.1], we can show that for each $j \in \mathrm{~N}$ there exists $v_{j} \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that $v_{n}^{j} \rightarrow v_{j}$ strongly in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Moreover, for all $j \in \mathrm{~N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\tilde{c} \leq I_{\omega, \lambda_{j}}\left(v_{j}\right)=c_{\omega, \lambda_{j}} \leq c_{\omega, \frac{1}{2}}, \quad I_{\omega, \lambda_{j}}^{\prime}\left(v_{j}\right)=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.2. If $v_{j} \in X$ solves the problem (*), then the following Pohoz̆aev type identity

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla v_{j}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} v_{j}^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{5}{2} \omega+\phi_{v_{j}}\right) \phi_{v_{j}} v_{j}^{2} d x  \tag{4.3}\\
\quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left[\frac{3 \lambda}{p+1}\left|v_{j}\right|^{p+1}+(3 h(x)+\langle x, \nabla h(x)\rangle) v_{j}\right] d x
\end{array}
$$

holds.
The proof can be done as in [6, Lemma 3.1] and details are omitted here. In what follows, we turn to showing that $\left\{v_{j}\right\}$ converges to a solution of problem (1.1). For this purpose, we have to prove $\left\{v_{j}\right\}$ is the bounded in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

Lemma 4.3. Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1. if $p \in(2,5)$, then $\left\{v_{j}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

Proof. The proof of this theorem is divided into two steps.
Step 1: $\left\{\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right\}$ is bounded. By contradiction, we assume that $\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Set $u_{j}=\frac{v_{j}}{\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}}, X_{j}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u_{j}\right|^{2} d x, Y_{j}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega \phi_{v_{j}} u_{j}^{2} d x, Z_{j}=$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{v_{j}}^{2} u_{j}^{2} d x$, and $T_{j}=\lambda_{j}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{p-1}$. By 4.2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\left|\nabla v_{j}\right|^{2}+v_{j}^{2}-\omega \phi_{v_{j}} v_{j}^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) v_{j} d x-\frac{\lambda_{j}}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|v_{j}\right|^{p+1} d x=c_{\omega, \lambda_{j}} \\
& \left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla v_{j}\right|^{2}+v_{j}^{2}-\left(2 \omega+\phi_{v_{j}}\right) \phi_{v_{j}} v_{j}^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) v_{j} d x=\lambda_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|v_{j}\right|^{p+1} d x \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\left\{c_{\omega, \lambda_{j}}\right\}$ is bounded. Note that $h(x),\langle x, h(x)\rangle \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Multiplying 4.3) and (4.4) by $\frac{1}{\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} X_{j}-\frac{5}{2} Y_{j}-Z_{j}-\frac{3}{p+1} T_{j}=o(1)-\frac{3}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} X_{j}-\frac{1}{2} Y_{j}-\frac{1}{p+1} T_{j}=o(1)-\frac{1}{2}  \tag{4.5}\\
X_{j}-2 Y_{j}-Z_{j}-T_{j}=o(1)-1
\end{gather*}
$$

where $o(1)$ denotes that the quantity tends to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Solving 4.5, we have

$$
X_{j}=\frac{(1-p)\left(1+Z_{j}\right)}{2(p-2)}+o(1), \quad \text { for } p \in(2,5)
$$

Since $Z_{j} \geq 0$ and $X_{j} \geq 0$ for all $j \in \mathrm{~N}, 4.5$ is a contradiction for $j$ large enough. Thus, $\left\{\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right\}$ is bounded for $p \in(2,5)$.
Step 2: $\left\|\nabla v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ is bounded. Similarly, by contradiction, we can assume that $\left\|\nabla v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Set $w_{j}=\frac{v_{j}}{\left\|\nabla v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}}, M_{j}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega \phi_{v_{j}} w_{j}^{2} d x, N_{j}=$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{v_{j}}^{2} w_{j}^{2} d x, S_{j}=\lambda_{j}\left\|w_{j}\right\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}\left\|\nabla v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{p-1}$. Then, multiplying 4.3) and 4.4 by $\frac{1}{\left\|\nabla v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}}$, and noting that $\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ is bounded, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{5}{2} M_{j}-N_{j}-\frac{3}{p+1} S_{j}=o(1)-\frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2} M_{j}-\frac{1}{p+1} S_{j}=o(1)-\frac{1}{2}  \tag{4.6}\\
-2 M_{j}-N_{j}-S_{j}=o(1)-1
\end{gather*}
$$

For $p \in(2,5)$, solving (4.6), we obtain

$$
N_{j}=\frac{2(2-p)}{(p-1)}+o(1), \quad \text { for } p \in(2,5)
$$

which implies a contradiction for $j$ large enough since $N_{j} \geq 0$ for all $j \in \mathrm{~N}$. Thus, $\left\{\left\|\nabla v_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right\}$ is bounded for $p \in(2,5)$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Lemma 4.3 implies that $\left\{v_{j}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence of $I_{\omega}$. Then, by the compactness of the embedding $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)(2<s<5)$, for any $\omega>0$, we show that problem (1.1) has a solution $u_{1}$ satisfying $I_{\omega}\left(u_{1}\right)>0$. Combining with Lemma 3.2, we complete the proof.

## 5. Positive energy solution for $p \in(1,2]$

In this section, we first prove that 1.1 with $1<p \leq 2$ has no solution with positive energy for $\omega>0$ large enough.

Theorem 5.1. Assume that $p \in(1,2]$ and (H1)-(H2) hold (in fact, $h(x)$ may not be radially symmetric). Then (1.1) has no solution with positive energy if $\omega>0$ is large enough.

Proof. Let $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ be a solution of (1.1). Then $\left\langle I_{\omega}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0$. By (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\omega}(u)= & -\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u}^{2} u^{2} d x\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u^{2} d x+\frac{p}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x . \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to [11, (20)], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\omega+\phi_{u}\right)|u|^{3} \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\omega+\phi_{u}\right)|u|^{3} & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u}^{2} u^{2} d x  \tag{5.3}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega \phi_{u} u^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u}^{2} u^{2} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

For $p \in(1,2]$ and $\omega>0$ large enough such that $\omega+\phi_{u}>0$, it follows from (5.1) and (5.3) that

$$
I_{\omega}(u) \leq-\left\{\sqrt{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left[\left(\omega+\phi_{u}\right)|u|^{3}+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}-\frac{p}{p+1}|u|^{p+1}\right] d x\right\}<0 .
$$

Hence, problem (1.1) must have no solution with positive energy if $\omega>0$ is large enough.

Obviously, when $p \in(1,2]$, Theorem 5.1 implies that we may find a solution with positive energy to problem (1.1) only for $\omega>0$ small. To overcome the
difficulty in finding bounded $(P S)_{c}(c>0)$ sequence for the associated functional $I_{\omega}$, following 10 , we introduce the cut-off function $\eta \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta(t)=1, \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1] \\
0 \leq \eta(t) \leq 1, \quad \text { for } t \in(1,2), \\
\eta(t)=0, \quad \text { for } t \in[2,+\infty) \\
\left|\eta^{\prime}\right|_{\infty} \leq 2
\end{gathered}
$$

and consider the modified functional

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\omega, T}(u)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x-\frac{\omega}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{T}(u) \phi_{u} u^{2} d x  \tag{5.4}\\
& -\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) u d x .
\end{align*}
$$

where, for $T>0, K_{T}(u)=\eta\left(\frac{\|u\|^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)$. If $h(x)=h(|x|) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $p \in(1,5]$, then $I_{\omega, T}$ is a $C^{1}$ functional, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle I_{\omega, T}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(\nabla u \nabla v+u v) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{T}(u)\left(2 \omega+\phi_{u}\right) \phi_{u} u v d x \\
& -\frac{\omega}{T^{2}} \eta^{\prime}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{2}}{T^{2}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u} u^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(\nabla u \nabla v+u v) d x  \tag{5.5}\\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p-1} u v d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) v d x
\end{align*}
$$

for every $u, v \in E$.
Lemma 5.2. Assume that $p \in(1,5)$ and (H1)-(H2). Then the functional $I_{\omega, T}$ satisfies the following:
(i) $\left.I_{\omega, T}\right|_{\|u\|=\rho}>\alpha>0$ for all $\omega, T>0$.
(ii) For each $T>0$, there exists a function $e_{T} \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with $\left\|e_{T}\right\|>\rho$ such that $I_{\omega, T}\left(e_{T}\right)<0$, where $\rho, \alpha$ is given by Lemma 3.1.
Proof. The proof of (i) is similar to that of Lemma 3.1.
(ii) we choose $\varphi \in E$ with $\varphi \geq 0,\|\varphi\|=1$. By (5.4) and the definition of $\eta$, there exists $t_{T} \geq 2 T>0$ large enough such that $K_{T}\left(t_{T} \varphi\right)=0$ and $I_{\omega, T}\left(t_{T} \varphi\right)<0$. Hence, (ii) holds by taking $e_{T}=t_{T} \varphi$. Set

$$
c_{\omega, T}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\omega, T}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\omega, T}(\gamma(t))
$$

where $\Gamma_{\omega, T}:=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], E): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=e_{T}\right\}$. Then, by Lemma 5.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\omega, T} \geq \alpha>0, \quad \text { for all } \omega, T>0 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the Mountain Pass Theorem, there exists $\left\{u_{\omega, T}^{n}\right\} \in H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ (denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ for simplicity) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\omega, T}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\omega, T},\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|I_{\omega, T}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{H_{r}^{-1}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $H_{r}^{-1}$ denotes the dual space of $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
Lemma 5.3. Suppose that $p \in(1,5)$ and (H1)-(H2) hold. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be given by (5.7). Then there exists $T_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq \frac{T_{0}}{2}, \quad \forall 0<\omega<T_{0}^{-3}
$$

which implies $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ being a bounded $(P S)$ sequence of $I_{\omega}$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
Proof. Motivated by [10], we will argue by contradiction. Assume that, for every $T>0$ there exists $0<\omega_{T}<T^{-3}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\|u_{n}\right\|>\frac{T}{2}$. So, up to a subsequence, we obtain $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq \frac{T}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathrm{~N}$. On the one hand, by 5.4 , 5.5 and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (p+1) I_{\omega, T}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle I_{\omega, T}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{p-1}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{\omega(p-3)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{T}\left(u_{n}\right) \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2} d x \\
& \quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{T}\left(u_{n}\right) \phi_{u_{n}}^{2} u_{n}^{2} d x+\frac{\omega}{T^{2}} \eta^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2} d x-p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) u_{n} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{p-1}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|I_{\omega, T}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|u_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{p-1}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\langle I_{\omega, T}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \leq \\
& \quad(p+1) I_{\omega, T}\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{\omega(p-3)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{T}\left(u_{n}\right) \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2} d x \\
& \quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{T}\left(u_{n}\right) \phi_{u_{n}}^{2} u_{n}^{2} d x-\frac{\omega}{T^{2}} \eta^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2} d x+p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) u d x \\
& \leq(p+1) I_{\omega, T}\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{\omega(p-3)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{T}\left(u_{n}\right) \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2} d x \\
& -\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{T}\left(u_{n}\right) \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2} d x-\frac{\omega}{T^{2}} \eta^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{u_{n}} u_{n}^{2} d x+p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) u d x \\
& =(p+1) I_{\omega, T}\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{\omega(5-p)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{T}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(-\phi_{u_{n}}\right) u_{n}^{2} d x  \tag{5.8}\\
& \quad+\frac{\omega}{T^{2}} \eta^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(-\phi_{u_{n}}\right) u_{n}^{2} d x+p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) u d x .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we claim that there exist $T_{1}, C, M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\omega, T} \leq C \omega T^{4}+M_{1}, \quad \forall T \geq T_{1} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi$ be the function taken in the proof of (ii) of Lemma 5.2. By 5.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\omega, T}(2 T \varphi) \leq 2 T^{2}-\frac{2^{p+1}}{p+1} T^{p+1}\|\varphi\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists $T_{1}>0$ such that $I_{\omega, T}(2 T \varphi)<0$ for all $T>T_{1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\omega, T} \leq \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\omega, T}(2 t T \varphi), \quad \forall T \geq T_{1} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.4) and Remark 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\omega, T}(2 t T \varphi) \\
& \leq \max _{t \in[0,1]}\left\{2(t T)^{2}-\frac{2^{p+1}}{p+1}(t T)^{p+1}\|\varphi\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}\right\}+\max _{t \in[0,1]}\left\{-\frac{\omega}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \phi_{2 t T \varphi}(2 t T \varphi)^{2} d x\right\} \\
& \leq \max _{m \geq 0}\left\{2(m)^{2}-\frac{2^{p+1}}{p+1}(m)^{p+1}\|\varphi\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}\right\}+C \omega T^{4} \\
& =M_{1}+C \omega T^{4} . \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (5.11) and 5.12 that 5.9 holds. By Remark 2.2, and noting that $K_{T}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$ for $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \geq 2 T^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{T}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(-\phi_{u_{n}}\right) u_{n}^{2} d x \leq C T^{4}  \tag{5.13}\\
\eta^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}{T^{2}}\right) \frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}{T^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(-\phi_{u_{n}}\right) u_{n}^{2} d x \leq C T^{4} . \tag{5.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining (5.7), (5.8), 5.9, 5.13) with 5.14, one has, for all $T>T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p-1}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq C_{2} \omega T^{4}+M_{2}+p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) u d x \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}, M_{2}>0$ independent of $T$. Then, for any $\varepsilon>0$, by the inequality $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} h(x) u_{n} \leq \varepsilon\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+C\left(\varepsilon,\|h\|_{L^{2}}\right)$ and 5.15, there exist $C, M>0$ independent of $T$ such that, for all $T>T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq C \omega T^{4}+M \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0<\omega<T_{0}^{-3}$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq \frac{T}{2}, 5.16$ is impossible for $T>0$ large enough. Thus we complete the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 5.3, we obtain that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is given by (5.7) is bounded sequence of $I_{\omega}$ in $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for all $0<\omega<T_{0}^{-3}$. Moreover, by using (5.6) and 5.7, we see that

$$
I_{\omega}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\omega, T_{0}} \geq \alpha>0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then, by the compactness of the embedding $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{s+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)(1<s<5)$, for any $0<\omega<T_{0}^{-3}$, problem (1.1) has a solution $\tilde{u_{1}}$ satisfying $I_{\omega}\left(\tilde{u_{1}}\right)>0$. Then, by Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 3.2 we easily complete the proof.
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