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#### Abstract

In this work, we generalize so called Green's functional concept in literature to second-order linear integro-differential equation with nonlocal conditions. According to this technique, a linear completely nonhomogeneous nonlocal problem for a second-order integro-differential equation is reduced to one and one integral equation to identify the Green's solution. The coefficients of the equation are assumed to be generally nonsmooth functions satisfying some general properties such as p-integrability and boundedness. We obtain new adjoint system and Green's functional for second-order linear integrodifferential equation with nonlocal conditions. An application illustrate the adjoint system and the Green's functional. Another application shows when the Green's functional does not exist.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the set of real numbers. Let $G=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ be an open bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Let $L^{p}(G)$ with $1 \leq p<\infty$ be the space of $p$-integrable functions on $G$ and let $W^{2, p}(G)$ with $1 \leq p<\infty$ be the space of all classes of functions $u \in L^{p}(G)$ of $x$ having derivatives $d^{k} / d x^{k} \in L^{p}(G)$, where $k=1,2$. The norm on the space $W^{2, p}(G)$ is defined as

$$
\|u\|_{W^{2, p}(G)}=\sum_{k=0}^{k=2}\left\|\frac{d^{k} u}{d x^{k}}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}
$$

We consider the second-order integro-differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(V_{2}\right)(x) \equiv & u^{\prime \prime}(x)+A_{1}(x) u^{\prime}(x)+A_{0}(x) u(x) \\
& +\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[B_{1}(x, \xi) u^{\prime}(\xi)+B_{0}(x, \xi) u(\xi)\right] d \xi=z_{2}(x), \quad x \in G \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]subject to the nonlocal boundary conditions
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{1} u \equiv a_{1} u\left(x_{0}\right)+b_{1} u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\int_{x_{o}}^{x_{1}} g_{1}(\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi=z_{1}  \tag{1.2}\\
& V_{0} u \equiv a_{0} u\left(x_{0}\right)+b_{0} u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\int_{x_{o}}^{x_{1}} g_{0}(\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi=z_{0}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

We investigate for a solution to the problem in the space $W_{p}=W^{2, p}(G)$. Furthermore, we assume that the following conditions are satisfied: $A_{i} \in L^{p}(G)$, $B_{i} \in L^{1}(G \times G)$ and $g_{i} \in L^{p}(G)$ for $i=0,1$ are given functions with $B_{i}^{0} \in L^{p}(G)$, where $B_{i}^{0}(x)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left|B_{i}(x, \xi)\right| d \xi ; a_{i}, b_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ are given real numbers; $z_{2} \in L^{p}(G)$ is a given function and $z_{i}$ for $i=0,1$ are given real numbers.

Remark 1.1. In [1, second-order linear integro-differential equation 1.1 is studied with the generally nonlocal multipoint conditions

$$
V_{i} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[a_{i, k} u\left(\beta_{k}\right)+b_{i, k} u^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k}\right)\right]=z_{i}, \quad i=0,1
$$

where $a_{i, k}$ and $b_{i, k}$ are given numbers; $\beta_{k} \in \bar{G}$ are given points with $x_{0}=\beta_{0}<$ $\cdots<\beta_{n}=x_{1}$ and $z_{0}$ and $z_{1}$ are given real numbers.

In the nonlocal boundary conditions $\sqrt{1.2}$ if we take

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i} & =\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{i, k}, \quad b_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i, k}\left(\beta_{k}-x_{0}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{i, k} \\
g_{i}(\xi) & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i, k}\left(\beta_{k}-\xi\right) H\left(\beta_{k}-\xi\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{i, k} H\left(\beta_{k}-\xi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H(x)$ is the heaviside function on $\mathbb{R}$, then $\sqrt{1.1}-(1.2)$ is reduced to the problem studied in 11. Therefore (1.1)- $\sqrt{1.2}$ is a generalization of the problem studied in [1].

Remark 1.2. In $(1.1)$ if we take $B_{1}=B_{2} \equiv 0$, then $(1.1)-(1.2)$ is reduced to the problem studied in 5 .

Remark 1.3. In [8, the ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)+A_{0}(x) u(x)+A_{2}(x) u\left(x_{0}\right)=z_{2}(x), \quad x \in G \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is studied with the nonlocal boundary conditions 1.2 . In 1.1 if we take $A_{1} \equiv 0$, $B_{1}(x, \xi)=\frac{A_{2}(x)\left(\xi-x_{1}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)}$ and $B_{0}(x, \xi)=A_{2}(x)$, then 1.1)-1.2 is reduced to the problem studied in [8].

So the second-order linear integro-differential equation (1.1) with nonlocal conditions $\sqrt{1.2}$ is a generalization of the problems studied in [1, 5, 8]. For more information about adjoint system and Green's functional method we refer to the references in this article and the references therein.

## 2. Adjoint space of the solution space

Problem 1.1 - 1.2 is a linear nonhomogeneous problem which can be considered as an operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V u=z \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the linear operator $V=\left(V_{2}, V_{1}, V_{0}\right)$ and $z=\left(z_{2}(x), z_{1}, z_{0}\right)$. In order that the linear operator $V$ defined from the normed space $W_{p}$ into the Banach space $E_{p} \equiv L^{p}(G) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ have an adjoint operator, first of all the linear operator $V$ should be a bounded operator. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| & V_{2} u \|_{L^{p}(G)} \\
= & \left(\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left|V_{2} u(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
= & \left(\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} \mid u^{\prime \prime}(x)+A_{1}(x) u^{\prime}(x)+A_{0}(x) u(x)\right. \\
& \left.+\left.\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[B_{1}(x, \xi) u^{\prime}(\xi)+B_{0}(x, \xi) u(\xi)\right] d \xi\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
\leq & \left(\int _ { x _ { 0 } } ^ { x _ { 1 } } \left[\left|u^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|+\left|A_{1}(x) u^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left|A_{0}(x) u(x)\right|\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[\left|B_{1}(x, \xi) u^{\prime}(\xi)\right|+\left|B_{0}(x, \xi) u(\xi)\right|\right] d \xi\right]^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
\leq & \|u\|_{W_{p}}\left(\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[1+\left|A_{1}(x)\right|+\left|A_{0}(x)\right|+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[\left|B_{1}(x, \xi)\right|+\left|B_{0}(x, \xi)\right|\right] d \xi\right]^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
\leq & \|u\|_{W_{p}}\left(\left(\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left|A_{1}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left|A_{0}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left|B_{1}(x, \xi)\right| d \xi\right]^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left|B_{0}(x, \xi)\right| d \xi\right]^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}\right) \\
\leq & \|u\|_{W_{p}}\left(\left(\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left|A_{1}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left|A_{0}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[B_{1}^{0}(x)\right]^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[B_{0}^{0}(x)\right]^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $B_{i}^{0} \in L^{p}(G), A_{i} \in L^{p}(G)$, for $i=0,1$ then $V_{2}$ is bounded in $L^{p}(G)$. And, since

$$
\|V u\|_{E_{p}}=\left\|V_{2} u\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}+\left|V_{1} u\right|+\left|V_{0} u\right|
$$

then $V$ is bounded from $W_{p}$ into the Banach space $E_{p} \equiv L^{p}(G) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ consisting of elements $z=\left(z_{2}(x), z_{1}, z_{0}\right)$ with norm

$$
\|z\|_{E_{p}}=\left\|z_{2}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}+\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|z_{0}\right|, \quad 1 \leq p<\infty
$$

Problem (1.1)- 1.2 is studied by means of a new concept of the adjoint problem. This concept is introduced in [5, 8] using the adjoint operator $V^{*}$ of $V$. Some isomorphic decompositions of the space $W_{p}$ of solutions and its adjoint space $W_{p}^{*}$ are employed. Any function $u \in W_{p}$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=u(\alpha)+u^{\prime}(\alpha)(x-\alpha)+\int_{\alpha}^{x}(x-\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a given point in $\bar{G}$ which is the set of closure points for $G$. Furthermore, the trace or value operators $D_{0} u=u(\gamma), D_{1} u=u^{\prime}(\gamma)$ are bounded and surjective from $W_{p}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$ for a point $\gamma$ of $G$. In addition, the values $u(\alpha), u^{\prime}(\alpha)$ and the second derivative $u^{\prime \prime}(x)$ are unrelated elements of the function $u \in W_{p}$ such that for any real numbers $\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}$ and any function $\nu \in L_{p}(G)$, there exists one and only one $u \in W_{p}$ such that $u(\alpha)=\nu_{0}, u^{\prime}(\alpha)=\nu_{1}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)=\nu_{2}(x)$. Therefore, there
exists a linear homeomorphism between $W_{p}$ and $E_{P}$. In other words, the space $W_{p}$ has the isomorphic decomposition $W_{p}=L_{p}(G) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.1 ([1]). If $1 \leq p<\infty$, then any linear bounded functional $F \in W_{p}^{*}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} u^{\prime \prime}(x) \varphi_{2}(x) d x+u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \varphi_{1}+u\left(x_{0}\right) \varphi_{0} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a unique element $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{2}(x), \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{0}\right) \in E_{q}$ where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
Proof. To give the proof, a bounded linear bijective operator

$$
N u=\left(u^{\prime \prime}(x), u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right), u\left(x_{0}\right)\right)
$$

is constructed from the space $W_{p}$ into the space $E_{p}$. Since the adjoint operator $N^{*}$ is also a bounded linear bijective operator from the space $E_{p}^{*}$ to the space $W_{p}^{*}$ then using the fact that $E_{p}^{*}=E_{q}$ for $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, the conclusion follows. For the detail of the proof, see [1].

## 3. Adjoint operator and adjoint system of integro-algebraic EQUATIONS

In this section we consider an explicit form for the adjoint operator $V^{*}$ of $V$. To this end, we take any linear bounded functional $f=\left(f_{2}(x), f_{1}, f_{0}\right) \in E_{q}$. We can also assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(V u) \equiv \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f_{2}(x)\left(V_{2} u\right)(x) d x+f_{1}\left(V_{1} u\right)+f_{0}\left(V_{0} u\right), \quad u \in W_{p} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting expressions (1.1)-1.2 and expression 2.2 (for $\alpha=x_{0}$ ) of $u \in W_{p}$ into (3.1), we obtain the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(V u) \equiv & \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f_{2}(x)\left\{u^{\prime \prime}(x)+A_{1}(x)\left[u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi\right]\right. \\
& +A_{0}(x)\left[u\left(x_{0}\right)+u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x}(x-\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi\right] \\
& +\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} B_{1}(x, s)\left[u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\int_{x_{0}}^{s} u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi\right] d s \\
& \left.+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} B_{0}(x, s)\left[u\left(x_{0}\right)+u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(s-x_{0}\right)+\int_{x_{0}}^{s}(s-\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi\right] d s\right\} d x \\
& +f_{1}\left\{a_{1} u\left(x_{0}\right)+b_{1} u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} g_{1}(\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi\right\} \\
& +f_{0}\left\{a_{0} u\left(x_{0}\right)+b_{0} u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} g_{0}(\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

After some calculations, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
f(V u) & \equiv \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f_{2}(x)\left(V_{2} u\right)(x) d x+f_{1}\left(V_{1} u\right)+f_{0}\left(V_{0} u\right) \\
& =\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(\omega_{2} f\right)(\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi+\left(\omega_{1} f\right) u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(\omega_{0} f\right) u\left(x_{0}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& \equiv(\omega f)(u), \quad \text { for any } f \in E_{q} \text { and any } u \in W_{p}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\omega_{2} f\right)(\xi)= f_{2}(\xi)+f_{1} g_{1}(\xi)+f_{0} g_{0}(\xi)+\int_{\xi}^{x_{1}} f_{2}(s)\left[A_{0}(s)(s-\xi)+A_{1}(s)\right] d s \\
&+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f_{2}(x)\left[\int_{\xi}^{x_{1}} B_{1}(x, s) d s+\int_{\xi}^{x_{1}} B_{0}(x, s)(s-\xi) d s\right] d x \\
& \omega_{1} f= b_{1} f_{1}+b_{0} f_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f_{2}(x)\left[A_{0}(x)\left(x-x_{0}\right)+A_{1}(x)\right] d x  \tag{3.3}\\
&+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f_{2}(x)\left[B_{0}(x, s)\left(s-x_{0}\right)+B_{1}(x, s)\right] d s d x \\
& \omega_{0} f=a_{1} f_{1}+a_{0} f_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f_{2}(x) A_{0}(x) d x+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f_{2}(x) B_{0}(x, s) d s d x
\end{align*}
$$

As shown in the beginning of the second section, the linear operator $V$ defined from the normed space $W_{p}$ into the Banach space $E_{p}$ is bounded, its adjoint should be also be linear and bounded. As in the section two, the boundedness of the linear operators $\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}, \omega_{0}$ from the space $E_{q}$ of the triples $f=\left(f_{2}(x), f_{1}, f_{0}\right)$ into the spaces $L_{q}(G), \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}$, respectively, can be shown. Therefore, the operator $\omega=\left(\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}, \omega_{0}\right): E_{q} \rightarrow E_{q}$ represented by $\omega f=\left(\omega_{2} f, \omega_{1} f, \omega_{0} f\right)$ is linear and bounded. By (3.2) and Theorem 2.1, the operator $\omega$ is an adjoint operator for the operator $V$ when $1 \leq p<\infty$, in other words, $V^{*}=\omega$.

Following the articles [1, 5, 8, equation (2.1) can be transformed into the equivalent equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V S h=z \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an unknown $h=\left(h_{2}, h_{1}, h_{0}\right) \in E_{P}$ by the transformation $u=S h$ where $S=N^{-1}$. If $u=S h$, then $u^{\prime \prime}(x)=h_{2}(x), u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=h_{1}, u\left(x_{0}\right)=h_{0}$. Hence, 3.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
f(V S h) & \equiv \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f_{2}(x)\left(V_{2} S h\right)(x) d x+f_{1}\left(V_{1} S h\right)+f_{0}\left(V_{0} S h\right) \\
& =\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(\omega_{2} f\right)(\xi) h_{2}(\xi) d \xi+\left(\omega_{1} f\right) h_{1}+\left(\omega_{0} f\right) h_{0}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \equiv(\omega f)(h) \quad \text { for any } f \in E_{q}, \quad \text { for any } u \in W_{p}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore the operator $V S$ is the adjoint of the operator $\omega$. Consequently, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega f=\varphi \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an unknown function $f=\left(f_{2}(x), f_{1}, f_{0}\right) \in E_{q}$ and a given function $\varphi=$ $\left(\varphi_{2}(x), \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{0}\right) \in E_{q}$ can be considered as an adjoint equation of (2.1) and (3.4) for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Equation (3.6) can be written in explicit form as the system of equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\omega_{2} f\right)(\xi)=\varphi_{2}(\xi), \quad \xi \in G \\
\omega_{1} f=\varphi_{1}  \tag{3.7}\\
\omega_{0} f=\varphi_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 4. Solvability conditions for the completely nonhomogeneous PROBLEM

Using the argument in the articles [1, 3, we consider the operator $Q=\omega-I_{q}$, where $I_{q}$ is the identity operator on $E_{q}$. This operator can also be defined as $Q=\left(Q_{2}, Q_{1}, Q_{0}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(Q_{2} f\right)(\xi)=\left(\omega_{2} f\right)(\xi)-f_{2}(\xi), \quad \xi \in G \\
Q_{1} f=\omega_{1} f-f_{1}  \tag{4.1}\\
Q_{0} f=\omega_{0} f-f_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

The expressions in (3.3) and the conditions imposed on $A_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ show that $Q_{2}$ is a compact operator from $E_{q}$ into $L_{q}(G)$ and also $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{0}$ are compact operators from $E_{q}$ into $\mathbb{R}$, where $1<p<\infty$. Therefore, $Q: E_{q} \rightarrow E_{q}$ is a compact operator and therefore has a compact adjoint operator $Q^{*}: E_{p} \rightarrow E_{p}$. Since $\omega=Q+I_{q}$ and $V S=Q^{*}+I_{p}$, where $I_{p}=I_{q}^{*}$, we have that (3.4) and (3.6) are canonical Fredholm type equations. Consequently, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.1 ([1]). Assume that $1<p<\infty$. Then the homogenous equation $V u=0$ has either only the trivial solution or a finite number of linearly independent solutions in $W_{p}$ :
(1) If $V u=0$ has only the trivial solution in $W_{p}$ then also $\omega f=0$ has only the trivial solution in $E_{q}$. Then the operators $V: W_{p} \rightarrow E_{p}$ and $\omega: E_{q} \rightarrow E_{q}$ become linear homeomorphisms.
(2) If $V u=0$ has $m$ linear independent solutions $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}$ in $W_{p}$, then the equation $\omega f=0$ also has $m$ linear independent solutions

$$
f^{(1)}=\left(f_{2}^{(1)}(x), f_{1}^{(1)}, f_{0}^{(1)}\right), \ldots, f^{(m)}=\left(f_{2}^{(m)}(x), f_{1}^{(m)}, f_{0}^{(m)}\right)
$$

in $E_{q}$. In this case, 2.1) and (3.6 have solutions $u \in W_{p}$ and $f \in E_{q}$ for given $z \in E_{p}$ and $\varphi \in E_{q}$ if and only if the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f_{2}^{(i)}(\xi) z_{2}(\xi) d_{\xi}+f_{1}^{(i)} z_{1}+f_{0}^{(i)} z_{0}=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m  \tag{4.2}\\
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} \varphi_{2}(\xi) u_{1}^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi+\varphi_{1} u_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\varphi_{0} u_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

are satisfied.

## 5. Green's functional

Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\omega f)(u)=u(x), \quad \forall u \in W_{p} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

given in the form of a functional identity, where $f=\left(f_{2}(\xi), f_{1}, f_{0}\right) \in E_{q}$ is an unknown triple and $x \in \bar{G}$ is a parameter.

Definition 5.1 ([1]). Suppose that $f(x)=\left(f_{2}(\xi, x), f_{1}(x), f_{0}(x)\right) \in E_{q}$ is a triple with a parameter $x \in \bar{G}$. If for a given $x \in \bar{G}, f=f(x)$ is a solution of functional equation (5.1) then $f(x)$ is called a Green's functional of $V$ or a Green's functional of 2.1.

Due to the operator $I_{W_{p}, C}$ of the imbedding of $W_{p}$ into the space $C(\bar{G})$ of continuous functions on $\bar{G}$ is bounded, the linear functional $\eta(x)$ defined by $\eta(x)(u)=u(x)$ is bounded on $W_{p}$ for a given $x \in \bar{G}$. On the other hand, $(\omega f)(u)=\left(V^{*} f\right)(u)$. Thus, 5.1) can also be written as, 2, 3],

$$
\left(V^{*} f\right)=\eta(x)
$$

In other words, 5.1 can be considered as a special case of the adjoint equation $V^{*} f=\psi$ for some $\psi=\eta(x)$.

By substituting $\alpha=x_{0}$ into (2.2) and using (3.2), we can write (5.1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(\omega_{2} f\right)(\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi+\left(\omega_{1} f\right) u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(\omega_{0} f\right) u\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& =\int_{x_{0}}^{x}(x-\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi+u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right)+u\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $f \in E_{q}$ and any $u \in W_{p}$. The elements $u^{\prime \prime} \in L_{p}(G), u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u\left(x_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ of the function $u \in W_{p}$ are unrelated. Then, we can construct the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\omega_{2} f\right)(\xi)=(x-\xi) H(x-\xi), \quad \xi \in G \\
\left(\omega_{1} f\right)=\left(x-x_{0}\right)  \tag{5.3}\\
\left(w_{0} f\right)=1
\end{gather*}
$$

where $H(x-\xi)$ is the Heaviside function on $\mathbb{R}$.
Equation (5.1) is equivalent to the system (5.3) which is a special case for the adjoint system (3.7) when $\varphi_{2}(\xi)=(x-\xi) H(x-\xi), \varphi_{1}=x-x_{0}$ and $\varphi_{0}=1$. Therefore, $f(x)$ is a Green's functional if and only if $f(x)$ is a solution of the system (5.3) for an arbitrary $x \in \bar{G}$. For a solution $u \in W_{p}$ of 2.1), we can rewrite (3.2) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f_{2}(\xi, x) z_{2}(\xi) d \xi+f_{1}(x) z_{1}+f_{0}(x) z_{0}  \tag{5.4}\\
& =\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}(x-\xi) H(x-\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi+u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right)+u\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The right side of 5.4 is equal to $u(x)$. Therefore, we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2 ([1]). If (2.1) has at least one Green's functional $f(x)$, then any solution $u \in W_{p}$ of 2.1) can be represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f_{2}(\xi, x) z_{2}(\xi) d \xi+f_{1}(x) z_{1}+f_{0}(x) z_{0} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular the homogenous equation $V u=0$ has only the trivial solution.
Since one of the operators $V: W_{p} \rightarrow E_{p}$ and $\omega: E_{q} \rightarrow E_{q}$ is a homeomorphism, so the other. Therefore, for $1 \leq p<\infty$ there exists a unique Green's functional. For $1<p<\infty$ the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a Green's functional can be given in the following theorem.
Theorem 5.3 ([1]). If there exists a Green's functional, then it is unique. Additionally, a Green's functional exists if and only if $V u=0$ has only the trivial solution.

## 6. Applications

In this section we present some applications of the theory investigated above.
Example 6.1. First let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)+x u\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=g(x), \quad x \in G=(0,1)  \tag{6.1}\\
u(0)=\frac{1}{4} u^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right), \quad u^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{5} u\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g \in L_{p}(G)$. Using the identities

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\alpha} H(\alpha-\xi) \xi u^{\prime}(\xi) d \xi+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\alpha} H(\alpha-\xi) u(\xi) d \xi, \quad \alpha \in G=(0,1) \\
u(c)=u(0)+c u^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{1}(c-\xi) H(c-\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi, \quad c \in G=(0,1) \\
u^{\prime}(c)=u^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{1} H(c-\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi, \quad c \in G=(0,1)
\end{gathered}
$$

for $x \in G=(0,1)$. We can rewrite this problem as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(V_{2} u\right)(x)=u^{\prime \prime}(x)+\int_{0}^{1}\left[2 x \xi u^{\prime}(\xi)+2 x u(\xi)\right] H\left(\frac{1}{2}-\xi\right) d \xi=g(x)=z_{2}(x) \\
\left(V_{1} u\right)=u(0)-\frac{1}{4} u^{\prime}(0)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{4} H\left(\frac{1}{3}-\xi\right) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi=0=z_{1} \\
\left(V_{0} u\right)=-\frac{1}{5} u(0)+\frac{29}{30} u^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{6}-\xi\right) H\left(\frac{1}{6}-\xi\right) u^{\prime \prime} d \xi=0=z_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1}(x)=A_{0}(x)=0, \quad B_{1}(x, \xi)=2 x \xi H\left(\frac{1}{2}-\xi\right), \\
B_{0}(x, \xi)=2 x H\left(\frac{1}{2}-\xi\right), \quad a_{1}=1, \quad b_{1}=-\frac{1}{4} \\
g_{1}(\xi)=-\frac{1}{4} H\left(\frac{1}{3}-\xi\right) \\
a_{0}=-\frac{1}{5}, \quad b_{0}=\frac{29}{30}, \quad g_{0}(\xi)=\left(\frac{1}{6}-\xi\right) H\left(\frac{1}{3}-\xi\right), \\
z_{2}(x)=g(x), \quad z_{1}=z_{0}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, the adjoint system corresponding to the problem 6.1$)-6.2$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\omega_{2} f\right)(\xi)= & f_{2}(\xi)-f_{1} \frac{1}{4} H\left(\frac{1}{3}-\xi\right)+f_{0}\left(\frac{1}{6}-\xi\right) H\left(\frac{1}{3}-\xi\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} f_{2}(x)\left[\int_{\xi}^{1} 2 x s H\left(\frac{1}{2}-s\right) d s+\int_{\xi}^{1} 2 x H\left(\frac{1}{2}-s\right)(s-\xi) d s\right] d x \\
= & \varphi_{2}(\xi)  \tag{6.3}\\
\omega_{1} f= & -\frac{1}{4} f_{1}+\frac{29}{30} f_{0}+\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} f_{2}(x) 4 x s H\left(\frac{1}{2}-s\right) d s d x=\varphi_{1}(\xi) \\
\omega_{0} f= & f_{1}-\frac{1}{5} f_{0}+\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} f_{2}(x) 2 x H\left(\frac{1}{2}-s\right) d s d x=\varphi_{0}(\xi)
\end{align*}
$$

where $f=\left(f_{2}(x), f_{1}, f_{0}\right) \in E_{q}$ is unknown function and $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{2}(x), \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{0}\right) \in E_{q}$ is a given function. In (6.3), if we take $\varphi_{2}(x)=(x-\xi) H(x-\xi), \varphi_{1}=x$ and $\varphi_{0}=1$ then we can obtain the special adjoint system corresponding to the problem (6.1)-6.2) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{2}(\xi)-\frac{1}{4} H\left(\frac{1}{3}-\xi\right) f_{1}+\left(\frac{1}{6}-\xi\right) H\left(\frac{1}{3}-\xi\right) f_{0} \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\xi}^{1} f_{2}(x)[4 x s-2 x \xi] H\left(\frac{1}{2}-s\right) d s d x=(x-\xi) H(x-\xi)  \tag{6.4}\\
& \quad-\frac{1}{4} f_{1}+\frac{29}{30} f_{0}+\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} f_{2}(x) 4 x s H\left(\frac{1}{2}-s\right) d s d x=x  \tag{6.5}\\
& \quad f_{1}-\frac{1}{5} f_{0}+\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} f_{2}(x) 2 x H\left(\frac{1}{2}-s\right) d s d x=1 \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi \in(0,1)$. To solve the system of equations (6.4), (6.5), (6.6), first we solve the equations $\sqrt{6.5}$ and $\sqrt{6.6}$ to determine $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ with respect to $f_{2}$, then we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{0} & =\frac{3}{11}(4 x+1)-\frac{9}{11} K(x) \\
f_{1} & =\frac{1}{55}(12 x+58)-\frac{64}{55} K(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{1} x f_{2}(x, \alpha) d x$. After substituting $f_{1}$ and $f_{0}$ into the equation (6.4), $f_{2}(\xi)$ can be found as

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{2}(\xi)= & \left(-\frac{14}{55}+\frac{19}{110}-\frac{17}{110} K(x)+\frac{3}{11}(4 x+1)-\frac{9 \xi}{11} K(x)\right) H\left(\frac{1}{3}-\xi\right) \\
& -\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\xi}^{1} f_{2}(x)[4 x s-2 x \xi] H\left(\frac{1}{2}-s\right) d s d x+(x-\xi) H(x-\xi) \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the Green's functional $f(x)=\left(f_{2}(\xi, x), f_{1}(x), f_{0}(x)\right)$ for the problem has been determined. Therefore, by Theorem 5.2, a solution $u \in W_{p}$ of the problem (6.1)- (6.2) can be represented as

$$
u(x)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f_{2}(\xi, x) g(\xi) d \xi
$$

Example 6.2. Now, let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)+u(x)-\frac{1}{2} u(0)=g(x), \quad x \in G=(0, \pi)  \tag{6.8}\\
u(\pi)=0 \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{6.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g \in L_{p}(G)$. Using the identities given in Example 6.1. for $x \in G=(0, \pi)$ the problem (6.8)-6.9) can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)+u(x)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\xi u^{\prime}(\xi)+u(\xi)\right] d \xi=g(x)=z_{2}(x) \\
u(0)+\pi u^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{\pi}(\pi-\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi=0=z_{1}, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0=z_{0} \tag{6.11}
\end{array}
$$

As is given in Theorem 5.3 , in order for problem (6.8)-6.9) or 6.10)-6.11 to have a Green's functional, the corresponding homogenous problem should have only the
trivial solution. But, the corresponding homogenous problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)+u(x)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\xi u^{\prime}(\xi)+u(\xi)\right] d \xi=0, \quad x \in G=(0, \pi)  \tag{6.12}\\
u(0)+\pi u^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{\pi}(\pi-\xi) u^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{6.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

has a solution $u(x)=5 \cos x+5$, other than the trivial solution. So problem (6.8)(6.9) or problem (6.10)- (6.11) does not have any Green's functionals in accordance with Definition 5.1.
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