

## CRITICAL EXPONENT FOR A DAMPED WAVE SYSTEM WITH FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL

MIJING WU, SHENGJIA LI, LIQING LU

ABSTRACT. We shall present the critical exponent

$$F(p, q, \alpha) := \max \left\{ \alpha + \frac{(\alpha + 1)(p + 1)}{pq - 1}, \alpha + \frac{(\alpha + 1)(q + 1)}{pq - 1} \right\} - \frac{1}{2}$$

for the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + u_t &= J_{0|t}^\alpha(|v|^p), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}, \\ v_{tt} - v_{xx} + v_t &= J_{0|t}^\alpha(|u|^q), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}, \\ (u(0, x), u_t(0, x)) &= (u_0(x), u_1(x)), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ (v(0, x), v_t(0, x)) &= (v_0(x), v_1(x)), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p, q \geq 1, pq > 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ ; that is, the small data global existence of solutions can be derived to the problem above if  $F(p, q, \alpha) < 0$ . Furthermore, in the case of  $F(p, q, \alpha) \geq 0$  the non-existence of global solution can be obtained with the initial data having positive average value.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

In this article, we consider the Cauchy problem of damped wave system

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + u_t &= J_{0|t}^\alpha(|v|^p), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}, \\ v_{tt} - v_{xx} + v_t &= J_{0|t}^\alpha(|u|^q), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}, \\ (u(0, x), u_t(0, x)) &= (u_0(x), u_1(x)), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ (v(0, x), v_t(0, x)) &= (v_0(x), v_1(x)), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $p, q \geq 1, pq > 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ . The initial values satisfy

$$\text{supp}\{u_i, v_i\} \subset \{|x| \leq K\}, \quad K > 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \tag{1.2}$$

$$(u_0, u_1, v_0, v_1) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}). \tag{1.3}$$

The notation  $J_{0|t}^\alpha$  stands for the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral [9]

$$J_{0|t}^\alpha f(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, & \alpha > 0, \\ f(t), & \alpha = 0, \end{cases}$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 35B33.

*Key words and phrases*. Damped wave equation; fractional integral; critical exponent; global solution.

©2015 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted July 24, 2015. Published August 12, 2015.

for  $f \in L^p(0, T)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) and  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Euler gamma function.

In recent decades, nonlinear hyperbolic equations and systems have been studied extensively (see, for example, [4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 18, 19] and the rich references therein). Todorova and Yordanov [17] considered the semilinear wave equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} - \Delta u + u_t &= |u|^p, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

and proved that the critical exponent of (1.4) is  $p_c(n) = 1 + 2/n$ . More precisely, if  $p > p_c(n)$  there exists a unique global solution of (1.4) for sufficiently small initial data, while, if  $1 < p < p_c(n)$  any solution with positive initial data must blow up in finite time. Later Zhang [20] proved that the exponent  $1 + 2/n$  belongs to the blow up region. Fino [2] considered the damped wave equation with nonlinear memory term

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + u_t = J_{0|t}^\alpha(|u|^p)(t). \quad (1.5)$$

The existence of global solutions and the asymptotic behavior of small data solutions to (1.5) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  were established when  $1 \leq n \leq 3$ . If  $p > 1 + 2(1 + \alpha)/(n - 2\alpha)$ , the blow up result was also derived under some positive data in any dimensional space. Comparing the results of [17] with that of [2], we derive that the fractional integral  $J_{0|t}^\alpha$  has an influence on the solution. The problems with the fractional integral term are interesting.

The problem (1.1) with  $\alpha = 0$  can be considered as the following weakly coupled system

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} - \Delta u + u_t &= |v|^p, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ v_{tt} - \Delta v + v_t &= |u|^q, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ (u(0, x), u_t(0, x)) &= (u_0(x), u_1(x)), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ (v(0, x), v_t(0, x)) &= (v_0(x), v_1(x)), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Sun and Wang [16] considered (1.6) and obtained the critical exponent

$$F(p, q, n) := \max \left\{ \frac{p+1}{pq-1}, \frac{q+1}{pq-1} \right\} - \frac{n}{2}.$$

The authors proved that if  $F(p, q, n) < 0$ , there exists a unique global solution of (1.6) with suitably small initial data for  $n = 1$  or  $n = 3$ , and if  $F(p, q, n) \geq 0$ , any solution of (1.6) with initial data having positive integral values does not exist globally for any  $n \geq 1$ . Based on some conditions on nonlinear term, the asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1.6) was considered in [12]. Recently, Kenji and Yuta [13] showed that the number  $F(p, q, n)$  is the critical exponent of (1.6) for any dimensional space.

Motivated by the work of [2] and [16], we aim at determining the critical exponent of (1.1). The global result is proved by the weighted energy method (see [17]). For the non-existence of a global solution, we shall use the test function method (see [2]). Our basic definition of the solution to problem (1.1) is the following.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $T > 0$ . We say that a pair of functions  $(u, v)$  in  $L^q((0, T), L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \times L^p((0, T), L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  is a weak solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1) with

the initial data  $(u_i, v_i) \in [L_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})]^2$  if  $(u, v)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(\varphi_{tt} - \varphi_{xx} + \varphi_t) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (J_{0|t}^\alpha |v|^p) \varphi dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_1(x) \varphi(0, x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x) (\varphi(0, x) - \varphi_t(0, x)) dx, \\ & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v(\varphi_{tt} - \varphi_{xx} + \varphi_t) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (J_{0|t}^\alpha |u|^q) \varphi dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v_1(x) \varphi(0, x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v_0(x) (\varphi(0, x) - \varphi_t(0, x)) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

for all compactly supported test functions  $\varphi \in C^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$  with  $\varphi(T, \cdot) = 0$  and  $\varphi_t(T, \cdot) = 0$ . If  $T = \infty$ , we say that  $(u, v)$  is a global weak solution of (1.1).

We remark that the above definition of a weak solution is a very weak form which will be used in the proof of non-existence of a global solution. However, to prove the global result we need a much stronger form. We have the following local existence result.

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $T > 0$ . Under assumptions (1.2) and (1.3), there exists a unique solution  $(u, v) \in X(T) \times X(T)$  for (1.1) satisfying*

$$\text{supp}\{u, v\} \subset B(t + K) = \{(t, x) : |x| \leq t + K\}, \quad K > 0$$

where  $X(T) = C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ .

Using [6, Proposition 2.3] and [2, Proposition 1], the local solvability and uniqueness of (1.1) can be established by a standard estimation and compactness theory.

Denote  $\|\cdot\|_r$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{H^m}$  the norms of  $L^r(\mathbb{R})$  and  $H^m(\mathbb{R})$  respectively. Throughout this article, we use  $C$  to stand for a generic positive constant which may be different from line to line. Set

$$F(p, q, \alpha) := \max \left\{ \alpha + \frac{(\alpha + 1)(p + 1)}{pq - 1}, \alpha + \frac{(\alpha + 1)(q + 1)}{pq - 1} \right\} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Based on Proposition 1.2, our main results read as follows

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume that (1.2) and (1.3) hold. If  $F(p, q, \alpha) < 0$ , then there is a small constant  $\varepsilon$  such that under the conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{0,u} &= \|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|u_0\|_1 + \|u_1\|_2 + \|u_1\|_1 < \varepsilon, \\ I_{0,v} &= \|v_0\|_{H^1} + \|v_0\|_1 + \|v_1\|_2 + \|v_1\|_1 < \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

problem (1.1) admits a unique global solution

$$(u, v) \in [C((0, \infty); H^1(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1((0, \infty); L^2(\mathbb{R}))]^2.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Du(t)\|_2 &\leq (1 + t)^{-\frac{(\alpha+1)(p+1)}{(pq-1)} - \frac{1}{4}}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \\ \|Dv(t)\|_2 &\leq (1 + t)^{-\frac{(\alpha+1)(q+1)}{(pq-1)} - \frac{1}{4}}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

where  $Du = (u_t, u_x)$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Assumed that (1.2), (1.3) hold and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_i dx > 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_i dx > 0, \quad i = 0, 1. \quad (1.10)$$

*If  $F(p, q, \alpha) \geq 0$ , then the weak solution  $(u, v)$  of (1.1) does not exist globally.*

**Remark 1.5.**  $F(p, q, \alpha)$  is the critical exponent of (1.1).

**Remark 1.6.** If  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $F(p, q, \alpha)$  is consistent with the critical exponent of (1.6) for  $n = 1$ .

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries are collected. We will prove our global result (Theorem 1.3) in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to proving the blow up result (Theorem 1.4).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We shall start this section with some basic definitions and properties on the Riemann-Liouville fractional calculus. We refer to [7]-[3] for more details.

Let  $AC[0, T]$  denote the space of all absolutely continuous functions on  $[0, T]$ . Then, if  $f \in AC[0, T]$ , the left-sided and the right-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of the function  $f$  of order  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  are defined by

$$D_{0|t}^{\alpha} f(t) := \partial_t J_{0|t}^{1-\alpha} f(t), \quad D_{t|T}^{\alpha} f(t) := -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \partial_t \int_t^T (s-t)^{-\alpha} f(s) ds.$$

Set

$$AC^{n+1}[0, T] := \{f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \partial_t^n f \in AC[0, T]\}.$$

Then for all  $f \in AC^{n+1}[0, T]$ , the following propositions are obtained in [7, 14, 15], respectively.

**Proposition 2.1** ([7]). *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $p \geq 1$ . If  $f \in L^p(0, T)$ ,*

$$(D_{0|t}^{\alpha} J_{0|t}^{\alpha} f)(t) = f(t), \quad (-1)^n \partial_t^n D_{t|T}^{\alpha} f = D_{t|T}^{n+\alpha} f,$$

*for almost everywhere on  $[0, T]$ .*

**Proposition 2.2** ([15]). *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . For every  $f, g \in C([0, T])$  such that  $(D_{0|t}^{\alpha} f)(t), (D_{t|T}^{\alpha} g)(t)$  exist and are continuous, the formula of integration by parts is*

$$\int_0^T (D_{0|t}^{\alpha} f)(t) g(t) dt = \int_0^T f(t) (D_{t|T}^{\alpha} g)(t) dt, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

**Proposition 2.3** ([14]). *Set  $\varphi_2(t) := (1 - t/T)_+^{\eta}$ . Then  $\varphi_2(t)$  satisfies*

$$D_{t|T}^{\alpha} \varphi_2(t) = CT^{-\eta} (T-t)_+^{\eta-\alpha}, \quad D_{t|T}^{\alpha+1} \varphi_2(t) = CT^{-\eta} (T-t)_+^{\eta-\alpha-1}, \\ D_{t|T}^{\alpha+2} \varphi_2(t) = CT^{-\eta} (T-t)_+^{\eta-\alpha-2},$$

*and*

$$D_{t|T}^{\alpha} \varphi_2(T) = 0, \quad D_{t|T}^{\alpha} \varphi_2(0) = CT^{-\alpha}, \\ D_{t|T}^{\alpha+1} \varphi_2(T) = 0, \quad D_{t|T}^{\alpha+1} \varphi_2(0) = CT^{-\alpha-1}.$$

Consider the linear damped wave equation

$$\begin{aligned} U_{tt} - U_{xx} + U_t &= 0, \quad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ (U(0, x), U_t(0, x)) &= (U_0(x), U_1(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

When  $U_0 = 0$ , the unique solution  $U(t, x)$  to (2.1) can be denoted by  $S(t)U_1$ . Then the Duhamel's principle implies the solution to (1.4) solves the integral system

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= S(t)(u_0 + u_1) + \partial_t(S(t)u_0) + \int_0^t S(t - \tau)J_{0|\tau}^\alpha(|v|^p)d\tau \\ &= u_L + \int_0^t S(t - \tau)J_{0|\tau}^\alpha(|v|^p)d\tau, \\ v(t, x) &= S(t)(v_0 + v_1) + \partial_t(S(t)v_0) + \int_0^t S(t - \tau)J_{0|\tau}^\alpha(|u|^q)d\tau \\ &= v_L + \int_0^t S(t - \tau)J_{0|\tau}^\alpha(|u|^q)d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

The following lemmas will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 2.4** ([17, Proposition2.5]). *Let  $m \in [1, 2]$ . Then*

$$\|\partial_t^k \nabla_x^\nu S(t)f\|_2 \leq C(1 + t)^{n/4 - n/(2m) - |\nu|/2 - k} (\|f\|_m + \|f\|_{H^{k+|\nu|-1}}), \tag{2.3}$$

for each  $f \in L^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^{k+|\nu|-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Lemma 2.5** ([17, Proposition2.4]). *Let  $\theta(r) = n(1/2 - 1/r)$  and  $0 \leq \theta(r) \leq 1, 0 < \delta \leq 1$ . If  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } u \subset B(t + K)$ , then*

$$\|e^{\delta\psi(t, \cdot)}u\|_r \leq C(1 + t)^{(1 - \theta(r))/2} \|e^{\psi(t, \cdot)}\nabla u\|_2^\delta \|\nabla u\|_2^{1 - \delta}, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $\psi(t, x) = (t + K - \sqrt{(t + K)^2 - |x|^2})/2$ .

**Lemma 2.6** ([1]). *Suppose that  $0 \leq \theta < 1, a \geq 0$  and  $b \geq 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending only on  $a, b$  and  $\theta$  such that for all  $t > 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-\theta} (1 + t - \tau)^{-a} (1 + \tau)^{-b} d\tau \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C(1 + t)^{-\min\{a+\theta, b\}}, & \max\{a + \theta, b\} > 1, \\ C(1 + t)^{-\min\{a+\theta, b\}} \ln(2 + t), & \max\{a + \theta, b\} = 1, \\ C(1 + t)^{1 - \theta - a - b}, & \max\{a + \theta, b\} < 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Let  $T_{\max}$  be the maximal existence time of the local solution of  $(u, v)$  to the problem (1.1). Denote

$$M(t) = \sup_{0 \leq \tau < t} ((1 + \tau)^k \|Du(\tau)\|_2 + (1 + \tau)^j \|Dv(\tau)\|_2), \quad \forall t \in [0, T_{\max}), \tag{3.1}$$

where  $k, j$  will be determined later. We will prove the estimate

$$M(t) \leq C(\varepsilon + M(t)^p + M(t)^q), \quad \forall t \in [0, T_{\max}), \tag{3.2}$$

with  $C$  is independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Taking  $\varepsilon$  and  $C_1$  sufficiently small such that

$$C\varepsilon < C_1, \quad 2^{p-1}CM(t)^{p-1} + 2^{q-1}CM(t)^{q-1} < 1,$$

then as the argument in [4, Proposition 2.1], we find from (3.2) that

$$M(t) \leq 2C_1, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_{\max}).$$

We have that

$$\|Du(t)\|_2 \leq C(1+t)^{-k}, \quad \|Dv(t)\|_2 \leq C(1+t)^{-j}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_{\max}),$$

which imply  $T_{\max} = \infty$ , the solution of (1.1) exists globally in time.

Now, we prove (3.2). From (2.2), we deduce that

$$\|Du(t)\|_2 \leq \|Du_L(t)\|_2 + \int_0^t \|DS(t-\tau)J_{0|\tau}^\alpha(|v|^p)(\tau)\|_2 d\tau. \quad (3.3)$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 with  $m = 1$  and  $n = 1$ , we see that

$$\|Du_L(t)\|_2 \leq CI_{0,u}(1+t)^{-3/4}, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \|DS(t-\tau)J_{0|\tau}^\alpha(|v|^p)(\tau)\|_2 d\tau \\ & \leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-3/4} (\|J_{0|\tau}^\alpha(|v|^p)(\tau)\|_1 + \|J_{0|\tau}^\alpha(|v|^p)(\tau)\|_2) d\tau \\ & \leq C \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-3/4} \int_0^\tau (\tau-s)^{-(1-\alpha)} (\|v(s)\|_p^p + \|v(s)\|_{2p}^p) ds d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Next, we transform the  $L^p$  norm into a weighted  $L^{2p}$  norm. Making use of the Cauchy inequality and the fact  $\psi(t, x) \geq |x|^2/4(t+K)$  for  $x \in B(\tau+K)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(\tau, \cdot)\|_p^p &= \int_{B(\tau+K)} |v(\tau, x)|^p dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{B(\tau+K)} e^{-2p\delta\psi(\tau, x)} dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{B(\tau+K)} e^{2p\delta\psi(\tau, x)} |v(\tau, x)|^{2p} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{B(\tau+K)} e^{-p\delta|x|^2/2(\tau+K)} dx \right)^{1/2} \|e^{\delta\psi(\tau, \cdot)} v(\tau)\|_{2p}^p \\ &\leq C_{K, \delta} (\tau+K)^{1/4} \|e^{\delta\psi(\tau, \cdot)} v(\tau)\|_{2p}^p, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\delta > 0$ . Obviously,

$$\|v(\tau, \cdot)\|_{2p}^p \leq (\tau+K)^{1/4} \|e^{\delta\psi(\tau, \cdot)} v(\tau)\|_{2p}^p. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.5)-(3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \|DS(t-\tau)J_{0|\tau}^\alpha(|v|^p)(\tau)\|_2 d\tau \\ & \leq C \sup_{[0, t]} \left[ (1+\tau)^{\beta_1} \|e^{\delta\psi(\tau, \cdot)} v(\tau)\|_{2p} \right]^p \\ & \quad \times \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-3/4} \int_0^\tau (\tau-s)^{-(1-\alpha)} (1+s)^{-(p\beta_1-1/4)} ds d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Taking  $\beta_1 = (\alpha + 1)(q + 1)/(pq - 1) - 1/4p$  such that  $1/4 < p\beta_1 < 5/4$  and applying Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \|DS(t - \tau)J_{0|\tau}^\alpha(|v|^p)(\tau)\|_2 d\tau \\ & \leq C(1 + t)^{-k} \sup_{[0,t)} [(1 + \tau)^{\beta_1} \|e^{\delta\psi(\tau,\cdot)}v(\tau)\|_{2p}]^p, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where  $k = (\alpha + 1)(p + 1)/(pq - 1) + 1/4$ . To estimate the weighted  $L^{2p}$  norm, we use Lemma 2.5 with  $r = 2p$  and  $n = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{\delta\psi(\tau,\cdot)}v(\tau)\|_{2p} & \leq C(1 + \tau)^{(1-\theta(2p))/2} \|v_x\|_2^{1-\delta} \|e^{\psi(\tau,\cdot)}v_x\|_2^\delta \\ & \leq C(1 + \tau)^{(1-\theta(2p))/2} \|Dv\|_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.9), (3.10) and (3.1), we derive that

$$\int_0^t \|DS(t - \tau)J_{0|\tau}^\alpha(|v|^p)(\tau)\|_2 d\tau \leq C(1 + t)^{-k} \sup_{[0,t)} [(1 + \tau)^{\beta_1+(1-\theta(2p))/2-j} M(t)]^p. \tag{3.11}$$

Multiplying (3.3) by  $(1 + t)^k$  and from (3.4), (3.11), we obtain that

$$(1 + t)^k \|Du(t)\|_2 \leq CI_{0,u}(1 + t)^{k-3/4} + C \sup_{[0,t)} [(1 + \tau)^{\beta_1+(1-\theta(2p))/2-j} M(\tau)]^p. \tag{3.12}$$

Similarly, we can deduce that

$$(1 + t)^j \|Dv(t)\|_2 \leq CI_{0,v}(1 + t)^{j-3/4} + C \sup_{[0,t)} [(1 + \tau)^{\beta_2+(1-\theta(2q))/2-k} M(\tau)]^q, \tag{3.13}$$

where we choose  $\beta_2 = (\alpha + 1)(p + 1)/(pq - 1) - 1/4q$  such that  $1/4 < q\beta_2 < 5/4$  and get  $j = (\alpha + 1)(q + 1)/(pq - 1) + 1/4$ . It can be easily checked that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 + (1 - \theta(2p))/2 - j & = 0, \\ \beta_2 + (1 - \theta(2q))/2 - k & = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$k - 3/4 < F(p, q, \alpha) - \alpha, \quad j - 3/4 < F(p, q, \alpha) - \alpha.$$

As  $q\beta_2 < 5/4$  and  $p\beta_1 < 5/4$  imply  $F(p, q, \alpha) < 0$ , we have

$$k - 3/4 < -\alpha, \quad j - 3/4 < -\alpha. \tag{3.15}$$

Combining (3.12)-(3.15), we have (3.2). Theorem 1.3 is proved.

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

In this section we prove the theorem by contraction. In the following, we assume that  $(u, v)$  is a global weak solution of (1.1).

Set  $\varphi_1(x) := \phi^l(|x|/R)$ ,  $l \gg 1$  with the cut-off function  $\phi(r)$  satisfying

$$\phi(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq r \leq 1, \\ 0, & r \geq 2, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

$$0 \leq \phi(r) \leq 1, \quad |\phi'(r)| \leq C/r, \quad |\phi''(r)| \leq C/r, \tag{4.2}$$

and  $\varphi_2(t) := (1 - t/T)_+^\eta$ , with  $\eta \gg 1$ . The supports of  $\varphi_1$  and  $(\varphi_1)_{xx}$  are denoted as  $B_{2R}$  and  $B_{2R} \setminus B_R$  respectively, where

$$B_{2R} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| \leq 2R\}, \quad B_{2R} \setminus B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}.$$

Denote

$$\varphi(t, x) := \varphi_1(x)(D_{t|T}^\alpha \varphi_2)(t). \quad (4.3)$$

From (4.1)-(4.3) and the Proposition 2.1-2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R}} |v(t, x)|^p \varphi(x, t) \, dx \, dt \\ & + T^{-\alpha} \int_{B_{2R}} (u_1(x) + u_0(x)) \varphi_1(x) \, dx + T^{-\alpha-1} \int_{B_{2R}} u_0(x) \varphi_1(x) \, dx \\ & = \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R}} u \varphi_1 (D_{t|T}^{\alpha+2} \varphi_2(t) + D_{t|T}^{\alpha+1} \varphi_2(t)) \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} u(\varphi_1)_{xx} (D_{t|T}^\alpha \varphi_2)(t) \, dx \, dt, \\ & \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R}} |u(t, x)|^q \varphi(x, t) \, dx \, dt + T^{-\alpha} \int_{B_{2R}} (v_1(x) + v_0(x)) \varphi_1(x) \, dx \\ & \quad + T^{-\alpha-1} \int_{B_{2R}} v_0(x) \varphi_1(x) \, dx \\ & = \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R}} v \varphi_1(x) (D_{t|T}^{\alpha+2} \varphi_2(t) + D_{t|T}^{\alpha+1} \varphi_2(t)) \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} v(\varphi_1)_{xx} (D_{t|T}^\alpha \varphi_2)(t) \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Set

$$J_p = \int_0^t \int_{B_{2R}} |v(t, x)|^p \varphi(t, x) \, dx \, dt, \quad (4.5)$$

$$J_q = \int_0^t \int_{B_{2R}} |u(t, x)|^q \varphi(t, x) \, dx \, dt. \quad (4.6)$$

From (1.10) and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_p & \leq C \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R}} |u| \varphi_1 (D_{t|T}^{2+\alpha} \varphi_2(t) + D_{t|T}^{1+\alpha} \varphi_2(t)) \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad + C \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} |u(\varphi_1)_{xx}| (D_{t|T}^\alpha \varphi_2)(t) \, dx \, dt = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Applying Holder's inequality with exponents  $q$  and  $q/(q-1)$ , we can achieve that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq C \left( \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R}} |u(t, x)|^q \varphi(t, x) \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/q} \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R}} \varphi_1 \varphi_2^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} (D_{t|T}^{2+\alpha} \varphi_2 + D_{t|T}^{1+\alpha} \varphi_2)^{q'} \, dx \, dt \right)^{1/q'} \\ & \leq C(T^{-(2+\alpha)+1/q'} + T^{-(1+\alpha)+1/q'}) R^{1/q'} J_q^{1/q}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$



with  $q' = q/(q-1)$ . In the same way,  $I_2$  can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C \left( \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R}} |u(t,x)|^q \varphi(t,x) dx dt \right)^{1/q} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_0^T \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} \varphi_1^{1-2q'/l} \varphi_2^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} (|\Delta \varphi_1|^{q'} + |\nabla \varphi_1|^{2q'}) (D_{t|T}^{2+\alpha} \varphi_2)^{q'} dx dt \right)^{1/q'} \\ &\leq CT^{-\alpha+1/q'} R^{-2+1/q'} J_q^{1/q}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

From (4.8) and (4.9), we deduce that

$$J_p \leq CS(q', T, R) J_q^{1/q}, \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$S(q', T, R) = T^{-(2+\alpha)+1/q'} R^{1/q'} + T^{-(1+\alpha)+1/q'} R^{1/q'} + T^{-\alpha+1/q'} R^{-2+1/q'}. \quad (4.11)$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$J_q \leq CS(p', T, R) J_p^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$S(p', T, R) = T^{-(2+\alpha)+1/p'} R^{1/p'} + T^{-(1+\alpha)+1/p'} R^{1/p'} + T^{-\alpha+1/p'} R^{-2+1/p'}. \quad (4.13)$$

This yields

$$J_p \leq CS(q', T, R) S(p', T, R)^{1/q} J_p^{\frac{1}{pq}}. \quad (4.14)$$

Taking  $R = \sqrt{T}$  in (4.10)-(4.14), and by Young's inequality, we have

$$J_p \leq \frac{1}{2} J_p + CT^{1/2-\alpha-(1+p)(1+\alpha)/(pq-1)}. \quad (4.15)$$

Next, we divide into two cases to discuss the estimate of (4.15).

**Case i.**  $F(p, q, \alpha) > 0$ . this implies the exponent of  $T$  in (4.15) is negative. Letting  $T \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.15), we derive that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |u(t,x)|^p dx dt = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

which implies  $u(t,x) = 0$  for all  $t$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  a.e.. This is a contradiction to (1.10).

**Case ii.**  $F(p, q, \alpha) = 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} J_p = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x,t)|^p dx dt \leq D. \quad (4.17)$$

It follows from (4.12) that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $T_1$ , such that

$$J_q \leq C\epsilon^{1/p} T^{-(1+\alpha)+3(p-1)/2p}, \quad T > T_1, \quad (4.18)$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $\epsilon$ . Combining (4.10) and (4.18), we get that

$$J_p \leq C\epsilon^{1/(pq)}, \quad (4.19)$$

and the constant  $C$  is also independent of  $\epsilon$ . The arbitrary of  $\epsilon$  yields a contradiction with (1.10). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**Acknowledgments.** This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Nos. 61174082, 61473180, 11401351).

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Cui; *Local and global existence of solutions to semilinear parabolic initial value problems*, Nonlinear Anal. 43 (2001), 293-323.
- [2] A. Z. Fino; *Critical exponent for damped wave equations with nonlinear memory*, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011), 5495-5505.
- [3] A. Z. Fino, M. Kirane; *Qualitative properties of solutions to a time-space fractional evolution equation*, J. Quart. Appl. Math., hal-00398110v6.
- [4] R. Ikehata, K. Tanizawa; *Global existence for solutions for semilinear damped wave equation in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  with noncompactly supported initial data*, Nonlinear Anal. 61 (2005), 1189-1208.
- [5] R. Ikehata, G. Todorova, B. Yordanov; *Optimal decay rate of the energy for wave equations with critical potential*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 65 (2013), 183-263.
- [6] R. Ikehata, M. Ohta; *Critical exponents for semilinear dissipative wave equations in  $\mathbf{R}^N$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 269(2002) 87-97.
- [7] A. A. Killbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo; *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, 2006.
- [8] T. T. Li, Y. Zhou; *Breakdown of solutions to  $\square u + u_t = |u|^{1+\alpha}$* , Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 1 (1995), 503-520.
- [9] A. Lotfi, M. Dehghan, S. A. Yousefi; *A numerical technique for solving fractional optimal control problems*, Comput Math Appl. 62(3) (2011), 1055-1067.
- [10] A. Matsumura; *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of semi-linear wave equations*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ., 121 (1976), 169-197.
- [11] P. Marcati, K. Nishihara; *The  $L^p - L^q$  estimates of solutions to one-dimensional damped wave equations and their application to the compressible flow through porous media*, J. Differential Equations 191(2003), 445-469.
- [12] K. Nishihara; *Asymptotic behavior of solutions for a system of semilinear heat equations and corresponding damped wave system*, Osaka J. Math. 49(2012) 331-348.
- [13] K. Nishihara, Y. Wakasugi; *Critical exponent for the Cauchy problem to the weakly coupled damped wave system*, Nonlinear Anal. 108(2014) 249-259.
- [14] K. B. Oldham, J. Spaniner; *The Fractional Calculus*, ACad.Press, New York, 1974.
- [15] I. Podlubny; *Fractional Differential Equation*, In: Math.IN SCi and Eng., vol. 198, Acad. PRes, New York,London, 1999.
- [16] F. Sun, M. Wang; *Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for a nonlinear hyperbolic system with damping*, Nonlinear Anal. 66(2007) 2889-2910.
- [17] G. Todorova, B. Yordanov; *Critical exponent for a nonlinear wave equation with damping*, J. Differential Equations 174 (2001) 464-489.
- [18] Z. J. Yang; *Global existence, asymptotic behavior and blow up of solutions for a class of nonlinear wave equations with dissipative term*, J. Differential Equations 187 (2003), 520-540.
- [19] Q. S. Zhang; *The quantizing effect of potentials on the critical number of reaction-diffusion equations*, J.Differential Equations 170 (2001) 188-214.
- [20] Q. S. Zhang; *A blow up result for a nonlinear wave equation with damping: the critical case*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 333(2) (2001), 109-114.

MIJING WU

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANXI UNIVERSITY, TAIYUAN, SHANXI 030006, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* [mjwu@sxu.edu.cn](mailto:mjwu@sxu.edu.cn)

SHENGJIA LI

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANXI UNIVERSITY, TAIYUAN, SHANXI 030006, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* [sjli@sxu.edu.cn](mailto:sjli@sxu.edu.cn)

LIQING LU (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANXI UNIVERSITY, TAIYUAN, SHANXI 030006, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* [lulq@sxu.edu.cn](mailto:lulq@sxu.edu.cn)