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# INFINITELY MANY SIGN-CHANGING SOLUTIONS FOR CONCAVE-CONVEX ELLIPTIC PROBLEM WITH NONLINEAR BOUNDARY CONDITION 

LI WANG, PEIHAO ZHAO

Abstract. In this article, we study the existence of sign-changing solutions to

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta u+u=|u|^{p-1} u \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=\lambda|u|^{q-1} u \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

with $0<q<1<p \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and $\lambda>0$. By using a combination of invariant sets and Ljusternik-Schnirelman type minimax method, we obtain two sequences of sign-changing solutions when $p$ is subcritical and one sequence of sign-changing solutions when $p$ is critical.

## 1. Introduction

In this article we study the existence of infinitely many sign-changing solutions to the nonlinear Neumann problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+u=|u|^{p-1} u, \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=\lambda|u|^{q-1} u, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, N>2, \frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ denotes the outward normal derivative and $0<q<1<p, \lambda>0$.

The existence of sign-changing solutions has been studied extensively in recent years. For the Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u=f(u) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

the authors in [3] considered that for $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}), f(0)=0$ and $\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} f^{\prime}(u)<$ $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<f^{\prime}(0)$, in which $\lambda_{i}$ is the eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on $\Omega$, then problem 1.2 has at least one sign-changing solution. If $f(u)$ is odd about $u$, superlinear and subcrtical, Bartsch [4] Showed that problem (1.2) has a sequence of unbounded sign-changing solutions. In this case, the positive cone is a invariant set of gradient

[^0]flow. For $f(u)=\lambda u+|u|^{2^{*}-2} u, N \geq 7, \lambda>0$, the authors in [18, 19] proved that (1.2 has also infinitely many sign-changing solutions. We can look for more examples in [3, 4, 5, 6, and references therein. Problems with nonlinear boundary condition of form (1.1) appear in a nature way when one considers the Sobolev trace embedding $H^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\partial \Omega)$ and conformal deformations on Riemannian manifolds with boundary, see [7, 8. In 9, Garcia et al considered problem (1.1). For subcritical case, $0<q<1<p<\frac{N+2}{N-2}$, there exists a $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that if $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$, equation (1.1) has infinitely many solutions with negative energy; and for $0<q<1<p \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$, there exists $\Lambda>0$, such that for $0<\lambda<\Lambda$, there exists at least two positive solutions for 1.1 , for $\lambda=\Lambda$, at least one positive solution, and no positive solution for $\lambda>\Lambda$. Kajikiya et al [10] studied the problem
\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+u=f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=g(x, u), \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

and proved that the problem has two sequences of solutions, if $f(x, u)$ and $g(x, u)$ satisfying that in a neighborhood of $u=0$, one of $f(x, u)$ and $g(x, u)$ is locally sublinear, and at infinity, one of them is locally superlinear, and they showed that one sequence of the solutions converges to 0 , the other diverges to infinity.

Inspired by [9, 10, we consider the existence of sign-changing solutions of problem (1.1). The main part of our work is that (1.1) has two sequence of sign-changing solutions under the subcritical and concave case. In this sense, the work of the present paper extends the results of [9, 10] partially. In section 2, we give the main results of the paper. In section 3, we establish the invariant sets of pseudo gradient. In section 4, we proof the theorems.

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we state the main results and some preliminaries. We call $u$ a weak solution of (1.1) if $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ and it satisfies (1.1) in the distribution sense, i.e.

$$
\int_{\Omega}(\nabla u \nabla v+u v) d x=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-1} u v d x+\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega}|u|^{q-1} u v d \sigma
$$

for any $v \in H^{1}(\Omega)$. Here $d \sigma$ denotes the surface measure on $\partial \Omega$.
Throughout this paper, the norm of $H^{1}(\Omega)=W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$
\|u\|:=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and the $H^{1}(\Omega)$ inner product of $u$ and $v$ by

$$
(u, v):=\int_{\Omega}(\nabla u \nabla v+u v) d x
$$

We state the main result as follows.
Theorem 2.1. For $0<q<1<p<\frac{N+2}{N-2}, \lambda>0$, there exist at least two sequences of sign-changing solutions of (1.1), one converges to 0 in $H^{1}(\Omega)$, and the other diverges to infinity.

Theorem 2.2. For $0<q<1, p=\frac{N+2}{N-2}, \lambda>0$, there exists at least one sequence of sign-changing solutions of 1.1 which converges to 0 in $H^{1}(\Omega)$.

Now, we state some results we will need in the following sections. Next lemma is Lemma 6.1 in 9 .
Lemma 2.3. For $0<q<1<p \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$, there exists a $\Lambda>0$ such that for $\lambda \leq \Lambda$, then (1.1) has a minimal positive solution $u^{+}$and a maximal negative solution $u_{-}$.

If $\lambda>\Lambda$, 1.1) has no positive and negative solutions, by the results in [10] as we mentioned above, we know the existence of two sequences of solutions that are sign-changing solutions. Hence, we only need to prove the results in Theorem 2.1 under the condition $0<\lambda \leq \Lambda$. Throughout the paper, we assume that $\lambda \leq \Lambda$. For (1.1) the minimal positive solution and the maximal negative solution satisfying $u^{+}=-u^{-}$.

The following lemma is a variant of [14, Lemma 3.2] and we can also look for [15, Lemma 2.4].

Lemma 2.4. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space, $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be two closed convex subsets of $H$, and $I \in C^{1}(H, \mathbb{R})$. Suppose $I^{\prime}(u)=u-A(u)$ and $A\left(D_{i}\right) \subset D_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Then there exists a pseudo gradient vector field $V$ of $I$ in the form $V(u)=u-B(u)$ with $B$ satisfying $B\left(D_{i}\right) \subset \operatorname{int}\left(D_{i}\right)$ if $A\left(D_{i}\right) \subset \operatorname{int}\left(D_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$, and $V$ is odd if $I$ is even and $D_{1}=-D_{2}$.

We refer to [10] for the following priori estimates.
Lemma 2.5. Let $f(x, s)$ and $g(x, s)$ satisfy:
(1) $|f(x, s)| \leq C\left(|s|^{p}+1\right)$,
(2) $|g(x, s)| \leq C\left(|s|^{q}+1\right)$ with $0<q<1<p<\frac{N+2}{N-2}$

Then for every $H^{1}(\Omega)$-solution $u$ of 1.3 belongs to $W^{1, r}(\Omega)$ for all $r<\infty$, and satisfies

$$
\|u\|_{W^{1, r}(\Omega)} \leq C_{r}\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{d p}+C_{r}\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{d q}+C_{r}
$$

where $C_{r}$ is a constant depends only on $r$ and $d$ is independent of $u$ and $r$.
Here we call that $V$ is a pseudo gradient vector field of $I$ if $V \in C(H, H),\left.V\right|_{H \backslash K}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous with $K:=\left\{u \in H: I^{\prime}(u)=0\right\}$, and $\left(I^{\prime}(u), V(u)\right) \geq$ $\frac{1}{2}\left\|I^{\prime}(u)\right\|^{2}$ and $\|V(u)\| \leq 2\left\|I^{\prime}(u)\right\|$ for all $u \in H$.

## 3. Invariant sets of the gradient flow

To construct nodal solutions we need to isolate the signed solutions into certain invariant sets. We know that problem (1.1) has a minimal positive solution and a maximal negative solution, by this results we can build the invariant sets.

Define $v:=A(u), u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ if

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta v+v=|u|^{p-1} u \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}=\lambda|u|^{q-1} u \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d}{d t} \eta^{t}(u)=-\eta^{t}(u)+B\left(\eta^{t}(u)\right) \\
\eta^{0}(u)=u
\end{gathered}
$$

where $B$ is related to $A$ via Lemma 2.4 in which $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ will be constructed in Theorem 3.1. This section is concerned with the construction of these sets which
are invariant under the flow $\eta^{t}(u)$ such that all positive and negative solutions are contained in these invariant sets. Recall that a subset $W \subset H$ is an invariant set with respect to $\eta$ if, for any $u \in W, \eta^{t}(u) \in W$ for all $t>0$.

We first note that because of the sublinear term on the boundary, any neighborhoods of the positive (and negative) cones are no longer invariant sets of the gradient flow. We give a construction inspired by [15]. Let $e_{1} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ be the first eigenfunction associated with the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=\lambda u \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

such that $\max _{\Omega} e_{1}(x) \leq s_{0}$, in which $0<s_{0} \leq \min _{\Omega} u^{+}(x)$ and $s_{0}$ to be determined later. Then we have $u^{+}(x) \geq e_{1}(x)$ and $u^{-}(x) \leq-e_{1}(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega, u^{ \pm}$is the minimal positive solution and maximal negative solution to (1.1), respectively. Define:

$$
D^{ \pm}:=\left\{u \in H^{1}(\Omega): \pm u \geq e_{1}\right\}
$$

From above, we know that all positive and negative solutions to (1.1) are contained in $D^{+}$and $D^{-}$, respectively. Define $\left(D^{ \pm}\right)_{\epsilon}=\left\{u \in H^{1}(\Omega): \operatorname{dist}\left(u, D^{ \pm}\right)<\epsilon\right\}$.
Theorem 3.1. Assume $0<q<1<p<\frac{N+2}{N-2}$, and $0<\lambda \leq \Lambda$. Then there exists $\epsilon_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
A\left(\left(D^{ \pm}\right)_{\epsilon}\right) \subset \operatorname{int}\left(\left(D^{ \pm}\right)_{\epsilon}\right) \quad \text { for all } 0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{0} \\
\eta^{t}\left(\left(D^{ \pm}\right)_{\epsilon}\right) \subset \operatorname{int}\left(\left(D^{ \pm}\right)_{\epsilon}\right) \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0,0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. We only prove the result for the positive one, the other case follows analogously. For $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$, we denote

$$
v=A u, \quad v_{1}=\max \left\{e_{1}, v\right\}
$$

Then $\operatorname{dist}\left(v, D^{+}\right) \leq\left\|v-v_{1}\right\|$ which implies $\operatorname{dist}\left(v, D^{+}\right) \cdot\left\|v-v_{1}\right\| \leq\left\|v-v_{1}\right\|^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|v-v_{1}\right\|^{2} & =\left(v-e_{1}, v-v_{1}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \nabla\left(v-e_{1}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(v-v_{1}\right)+\left(v-e_{1}\right)\left(v-v_{1}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(-\Delta\left(v-e_{1}\right)+v-e_{1}\right)\left(v-v_{1}\right) d x+\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\lambda|u|^{q-1} u-\lambda_{1} e_{1}\right)\left(v-v_{1}\right) d \sigma \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(|u|^{p-1} u\right)\left(v-v_{1}\right) d x+\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\lambda|u|^{q-1} u-\lambda_{1} e_{1}\right)\left(v-v_{1}\right) d \sigma \\
& =: I_{1}+I_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leq \int_{\{u<0\} \cap \Omega}\left(v_{1}-v\right)\left(-|u|^{p-1} u\right) d x \leq \int_{\{u<0\} \cap \Omega}\left(v_{1}-v\right)\left(e_{1}-|u|^{p-1} u\right) d x \\
& \leq C_{p} \int_{\{u<0\} \cap \Omega}\left(v_{1}-v\right)\left(e_{1}-u\right)^{p} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

On $\{u<0\} \cap \Omega$, we have $u \leq e_{1}$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e_{1}-u\right\|_{L^{p+1}((u<0) \cap \Omega)}^{p} & =\inf _{w \in D^{+}}\|w-u\|_{L^{p+1}((u<0) \cap \Omega)}^{p} \\
& \leq \inf _{w \in D^{+}}\|w-u\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)}^{p} \leq C_{p} \operatorname{dist}^{p}\left(u, D^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $I_{1} \leq C\left\|v-v_{1}\right\| \operatorname{dist}^{p}\left(u, D^{+}\right)$. Here $C_{p}$ and $C$ are constants which are relevant to $p$ and $e_{1}$, and may change from line to line. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq \int_{\partial \Omega \cap\left\{\lambda|u|^{q-1} u<\lambda_{1} e_{1}\right\}}\left(\lambda_{1} e_{1}-\lambda|u|^{q-1} u\right)\left(v_{1}-v\right) d \sigma \\
& =\left(\int_{\partial \Omega \cap\left\{\lambda\left(\frac{e_{1}}{2}\right)^{q}<\lambda|u|^{q-1} u<\lambda_{1} e_{1}\right\}}+\int_{\partial \Omega \cap\left\{u \leq \frac{e_{1}}{2}\right\}}\right)\left(\lambda_{1} e_{1}-\lambda|u|^{q-1} u\right)\left(v_{1}-v\right) d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\lambda\left(e_{1} / 2\right)^{q}>\lambda_{1} e_{1}$, the first term above vanishing, this can be done by choose $s_{0}$ small enough such that $s_{0}^{1-q} \leq \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1} 2^{q}}$. On $\partial \Omega \cap\left\{u \leq \frac{e_{1}}{2}\right\}$, we have

$$
\lambda_{1} e_{1}-\lambda|u|^{q-1} u \leq C_{r}\left(e_{1}-u\right)^{r}
$$

where $r \in\left(1, \frac{N}{N-2}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e_{1}-u\right\|_{L^{r+1}\left(\left\{u<\frac{e_{1}}{2}\right\} \cap \partial \Omega\right)}^{r} & =\inf _{w \in D^{+}}\|w-u\|_{L^{r+1}\left(\left\{u<\frac{e_{1}}{2}\right\} \cap \partial \Omega\right)}^{r} \\
& \leq \inf _{w \in D^{+}}\|w-u\|_{L^{r+1}(\partial \Omega)}^{r} \leq C_{r} \operatorname{dist}^{r}\left(u, D^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $I_{2} \leq C\left\|v-v_{1}\right\| \operatorname{dist}^{r}\left(u, D^{+}\right)$.

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(v, D^{+}\right) \cdot\left\|v-v_{1}\right\| \leq C\left\|v-v_{1}\right\|\left(\operatorname{dist}^{r}\left(u, D^{+}\right)+\operatorname{dist}^{p}\left(u, D^{+}\right)\right)
$$

Then we can choose $\epsilon_{0}$ small, such that for $\epsilon<\epsilon_{0}$,

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(v, D^{+}\right)<\operatorname{dist}\left(u, D^{+}\right) \quad \text { for } u \in D_{\epsilon}^{+} .
$$

The first conclusion in Theorem 3.1 is proved, the second part is a consequence of the first one as shown in [14] via Lemma 2.4 above.

## 4. Proof of main results

Let us start with a more abstract setting. Consider $I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ where $X$ is a Banach space. $V$ is a pseudo gradient vector field of $I$ such that $V$ is odd if $I$ is even, and consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \sigma(t, u) & =-V(\sigma) \\
\sigma(0, u) & =u \in X
\end{aligned}
$$

To construct nodal solution by using the combination of invariant sets and minimax method, we need a deformation lemma in the presence of invariant sets. We have the following deformation lemma which follows from [15, Lemma 5.1] (see also [13, Lemma 2.4]).

Lemma 4.1. Assume $I$ satisfies the $(P S)$-condition, and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed, $W=$ $\partial W \cup \operatorname{int}(W)$ is an invariant subset such that $\sigma(t, \partial W) \subset \operatorname{int}(W)$ for $t>0$. Define $K_{c}^{1}:=K_{c} \cap W, K_{c}^{2}:=K_{c} \cap(X \backslash W)$, where $K_{c}:=\left\{u \in X: I^{\prime}(u)=0, I(u)=c\right\}$. Let $\delta>0$, be such that $\left(K_{c}^{1}\right)_{\delta} \subset W$ where $\left(K_{c}^{1}\right)_{\delta}=\left\{u \in X: \operatorname{dist}\left(u, K_{c}^{1}\right)<\delta\right\}$. Then there exists an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for any $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$, there exists $\eta \in C([0,1] \times X, X)$ satisfying:
(1) $\eta(t, u)=u$ for $t=0$ or $u \notin I^{-1}\left(c-\varepsilon_{0}, c+\varepsilon_{0}\right) \backslash\left(K_{c}^{2}\right)_{\delta}$.
(2) $\eta\left(1, I^{c+\varepsilon} \cup W \backslash\left(K_{c}^{2}\right)_{3 \delta}\right) \subset I^{c-\varepsilon} \cup W$ and $\eta\left(1, I^{c+\varepsilon} \cup W\right) \subset I^{c-\varepsilon} \cup W$ if $K_{c}^{2}=\emptyset$.
(3) $\eta(t, \cdot)$ is a homeomorphism of $X$ for $t \in[0,1]$.
(4) $\|\eta(t, u)-u\| \leq \delta$, for any $(t, u) \in[0,1] \times X$.
(5) $I(\eta(t, \cdot))$ is non-increasing.
(6) $\eta(t, W) \subset W$ for any $t \in[0,1]$.
(7) $\eta(t, \cdot)$ is odd if $I$ is even and if $W$ is symmetric with respect to 0 .

Set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma:=\left\{A \subset H^{1}(\Omega) \backslash 0: A \text { is closed and } A=-A\right\} \\
\Gamma_{k}:=\left\{A \subset H^{1}(\Omega) \backslash 0: A \text { is closed, symmetric, } \gamma(A) \geq k\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\gamma(A)$ denotes the Krasnoselskii's genus of the set $A$. We refer to [17] for the following properties of genus.

Lemma 4.2. Let $A, B \in \Gamma_{k}$, and $h \in C\left(H^{1}(\Omega), H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ be an odd map. Then
(1) $A \subset B \Rightarrow \gamma(A) \leq \gamma(B)$;
(2) $\gamma(A \cup B) \leq \gamma(A)+\gamma(B)$;
(3) $\gamma(A) \leq \gamma(h(A))$;
(4) If $A$ is compact, there exists an $N \in \Gamma_{k}$ such that $A \subset \operatorname{int}(N) \subset N$ and $\gamma(A)=\gamma(N) ;$
(5) If $F$ is a linear subspace of $H^{1}(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{dim} F=n, A \subset F$ is bounded, open and symmetric, and $0 \in A$, then $\gamma\left(\partial_{F} A\right)=n$;
(6) Let $W$ be a closed linear subspace of $H^{1}(\Omega)$ whose codimension is finite. If $\gamma(A)$ is greater than the codimension of $W$, then $A \cap W \neq \emptyset$.

We choose an even function $h \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $h(s)=1$ for $|s| \leq 1, h(s)=0$ for $|s| \geq 2,0 \leq h \leq 1$; defining

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(s):=s|s|^{p-1} h(s), g(s)=s|s|^{q-1} h(s)  \tag{4.1}\\
\widetilde{I}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} F(u) d x-\int_{\partial \Omega} G(u) d \sigma
\end{gather*}
$$

in which $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(s) d s, G(u)=\int_{0}^{u} g(s) d s$, both of them are bounded. Assume $\left(\lambda_{i}, e_{i}\right)$ is the eigenvalue and corresponding eigenfunction of 3.1), and $E_{m}=$ $\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{m}\right\}$. Then the following lemma is obvious.
Lemma 4.3. $\widetilde{I} \in C^{1}\left(H^{1}(\Omega), \mathbb{R}\right)$,
(1) for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $\rho>0$, such that $\sup _{E_{m} \cap \partial B_{\rho}} \widetilde{I}(u)<0$, where $\partial B_{\rho}:=\left\{u \in H^{1}(\Omega):\|u\|=\rho\right\}$,
(2) $\widetilde{I}$ is even, bounded from blow, and the $(P S)$-condition holds, $\widetilde{I}(0)=0$;

The following lemma is similar to [15, Lemma 5.3].
Lemma 4.4. For any $\rho>0$, let $B_{\rho}=\left\{u \in H^{1}(\Omega),\|u\| \leq \rho\right\}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\partial B_{\rho} \cap E_{1}^{\perp}, D^{+} \cup D^{-}\right)>0
$$

Proof. Assume on the contrary, that there exists $\left(u_{n}\right) \in D^{+}, v_{n} \in \partial B_{\rho} \cap E_{1}^{\perp}$, such that $\left\|u_{n}-v_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Then $\left(u_{n}, e_{1}\right)=\left(u_{n}-v_{n}, e_{1}\right)+\left(v_{n}, e_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But, since $u_{n} \geq e_{1}$, we have

$$
\left(u_{n}, e_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1} \int_{\partial \Omega} u_{n} e_{1} \geq \lambda_{1} \int_{\partial \Omega} e_{1}^{2} d \sigma \neq 0
$$

a contradiction.

Proof of Theorems. We essentially follow from [15], see also [2] and [16].
Part 1. In this part, we will prove that for $0<q<1<p \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$, 1.1 has a sequence of sign-changing solutions which converge to 0 . This is a conclusion of [11] and [9. By Lemma 4.3 above we have taht for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $A_{k} \in \Gamma_{k}$ such that $\sup _{u \in A_{k}} I(u)<0$. With the help of [11, Theorem 1], there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ satisfying

$$
\widetilde{I}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)=0, \quad \widetilde{I}\left(u_{k}\right)<0, \quad u_{k} \rightarrow 0 \text { in } H^{1}(\Omega)
$$

By Lemma $2.5 u_{k}$ converges to zero in $C(\bar{\Omega})$. Hence for large $k$, we have $\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}<$ 1, $\widetilde{I}\left(u_{k}\right)=I\left(u_{k}\right)$ and $\widetilde{I}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)=I^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)$. But from Lemma 2.3 we know that (1.1) has a minimal positive solution and a maximal negative solution, thus, for large $j, u_{j}$ must change signs. Theorem 2.2 and the first part of Theorem 2.1 follows from the above argument.
Part 2. In this part, we prove the existence of a sequence of sign-changing solutions which tends to infinity under the case $0<q<1<p<\frac{N+2}{N-2}$. The functional

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q+1} \int_{\partial \Omega}|u|^{q+1} d \sigma
$$

is well defined on $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and $I \in C^{1}\left(H^{1}(\Omega), \mathbb{R}\right), I$ satisfies the (PS) condition for $0<q<1<p<\frac{N+2}{N-2}$.

Lemma 4.5. Assume $m \geq 2$, then there exists $R=R(m)>0$ such that for all $\lambda>0$,

$$
\sup _{B_{R}^{c} \cap E_{m}} I(u)<0 .
$$

where $B_{R}^{c}:=H^{1}(\Omega) \backslash B_{R}$.
From Theorem 3.1 we can choose an $\epsilon>0$ small enough such that $\left(D^{ \pm}\right)_{\epsilon}$ are invariant sets. Set $\mathrm{W}=\overline{\left(D^{+}\right)_{\epsilon}} \cup \overline{\left(D^{-}\right)_{\epsilon}}, S=H^{1}(\Omega) \backslash W$ contains only sign-changing solutions. Set

$$
G_{m}=\left\{h \in C\left(B_{R} \cap E_{m}, H^{1}(\Omega)\right): h \text { is odd and } h=\mathrm{id} \text { on } \partial B_{R} \cap E_{m}\right\}
$$

in which $R$ is determined in Lemma 4.5.
$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{j}=\left\{h\left(\overline{B_{R} \cap E_{m} \backslash Y}\right): h \in G_{m}, \forall m \geq j, Y=-Y\right.$, closed, $\left.\gamma(Y) \leq m-j\right\}, \quad j \geq 2$.
From [1] and 15], we know that $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{j}$ satisfying the following properties:
(1') $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{j} \neq \emptyset$ for all $j \geq 2$.
(2') $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{j+1} \subset \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j}$ for all $j \geq 2$.
(3') if $\sigma \in \underset{\sim}{C}\left(H^{1}(\Omega), H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ is odd and $\sigma=i d$ on $\partial B_{R} \cap E_{m}$, then $\sigma(A) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j}$ if $A \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j}$.
(4') if $A \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j}, Z=-Z$, closed, and $\gamma(Z) \leq s<j$ and $j-s \geq 2$, then $\overline{A \backslash Z} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j-s}$.
For $j \geq 2$, we define

$$
\widetilde{c}_{j}:=\inf _{A \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j}} \sup _{u \in A \cap S} I(u) .
$$

If $A \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j}$ with $j \geq 2$, then $A \cap \partial B_{\rho} \cap\left(E_{1}\right)^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 4.4, $\partial B_{\rho} \cap\left(E_{1}\right)^{\perp} \subset S$. Thus, for $j \geq 2$, and $A \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j}, A \cap S \neq \emptyset$, we conclude that

$$
\tilde{c}_{j} \geq \inf _{\partial B_{\rho} \cap\left(E_{1}\right)^{\perp}} I(u)>-\infty
$$

Then from the definition of $\widetilde{c}_{j}$ and (2') we have $-\infty<\widetilde{c}_{2} \leq \widetilde{c}_{3} \leq \cdots \leq \widetilde{c}_{j} \leq \cdots<\infty$. We claim that if $c:=\widetilde{c}_{j}=\cdots=\widetilde{c}_{j+k}$ for some $2 \leq j \leq j+k$ with $k \geq 0$, then $\gamma\left(K_{c} \cap S\right) \geq k+1$. Before we prove this claim, we first show that $\widetilde{c}_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. The constant $\widetilde{c}_{j}$ is independent of the choice of $R(m)$ as long as $R(m)$ is chosen to satisfy Lemma 4.5 for which $m \geq j$.

The above lemma is well known, see for instance [12, Lemma 4.9]. And we can choose $R(m)$ such that $R(m) \rightarrow \infty$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$. This part follows by [10]. Let $W_{m}:=\left\{\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} t_{i} w_{i}: \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} t_{i}^{2}<\infty\right\}$ and $w_{m}$ is the eigenfunction of the Neumann Laplacian equation:

$$
-\Delta w=\mu w \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
$$

$W_{j}$ is a closed linear subspace of $H^{1}(\Omega)$ whose codimension is equal to $j-1$, we have:

$$
h\left(\overline{B_{R} \cap E_{m} \backslash Y}\right) \cap \partial B_{r} \cap W_{j} \neq \emptyset,
$$

for $h \in G_{m}, \gamma(Y) \leq m-j$, and $0<r<R\left(\right.$ since that $\gamma\left(h\left(\overline{B_{R} \cap E_{m} \backslash Y}\right)\right) \geq j$, and the codimension of $W_{j}$ is $j-1$. This implies

$$
\sup _{u \in B_{R} \cap E_{m} \backslash Y} I(h(u)) \geq \inf \left\{I(u): u \in \partial B_{r} \cap W_{j}\right\},
$$

for $h \in G_{m}, \gamma(Y) \leq m-j$, taking the infimum of both sides over $h \in G_{m}$, we have

$$
\widetilde{c}_{j} \geq \inf \left\{I(u): u \in \partial B_{r} \cap W_{j}\right\}
$$

for $0<r<R$. Next we can have $\inf \left\{I(u): u \in \partial B_{r} \cap W_{j}\right\}$ diverges to $\infty$, the rest of the proof is similarly with [10, Lemma 5.14], we omit it here.

Now we give the proof the claim. Denote $K_{c} \cap S$ by $K_{c}^{2}$. If the claim is false, $\gamma\left(K_{c} \cap S\right) \leq k$, because of $\widetilde{c_{j}} \rightarrow \infty$, we can assume that $0 \notin K_{c}$ and $K_{c}^{2}=K_{c} \cap S$ is compact, there exists $N$ such that $K_{c}^{2} \subset \operatorname{int}(N)$ and $\gamma(N)=\gamma\left(K_{c}^{2}\right)$. Then by Lemma 4.1, there exists an $\epsilon_{0}>0$ such that for $0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{0}$, there exists an $\eta \in C\left([0,1] \times H^{1}(\Omega), H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ satisfying (1)-(7) of Lemma 4.1. Then

$$
\eta\left(1, I^{c+\epsilon} \cup W \backslash N\right) \subset\left(I^{c-\epsilon} \cup W\right)
$$

Choose $A \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j+k}$ such that

$$
\sup _{A \cap S} I(u) \leq c+\epsilon
$$

Then by (4') above $\overline{A \backslash N} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j}$ hence $\eta(1, \overline{A \backslash N}) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j}$. Then

$$
c \leq \sup _{\eta(1, \overline{A \backslash N})} I(u) \leq \sup _{\left(I^{c-\epsilon} \cup W\right) \cap S} \leq c-\epsilon,
$$

contradiction. Hence $\gamma\left(K_{c} \cap S\right) \geq k+1$. Now we finish the proof.
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