

## NONUNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS OF INITIAL-VALUE PROBLEMS FOR PARABOLIC $p$ -LAPLACIAN

JIŘÍ BENEDIKT, VLADIMIR E. BOBKOV, PETR GIRG,  
LUKÁŠ KOTRLA, PETER TAKÁČ

ABSTRACT. We construct a positive solution to a quasilinear parabolic problem in a bounded spatial domain with the  $p$ -Laplacian and a nonsmooth reaction function. We obtain nonuniqueness for zero initial data. Our method is based on sub- and supersolutions and the weak comparison principle.

Using the method of sub- and supersolutions we construct a positive solution to a quasilinear parabolic problem with the  $p$ -Laplacian and a reaction function that is non-Lipschitz on a part of the spatial domain. Thereby we obtain nonuniqueness for zero initial data.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of *uniqueness* and *nonuniqueness* of solutions to various types of initial (and boundary) value problems for quasilinear parabolic equations has been an interesting research topic for several decades (see, e.g., Fujita and Watanabe [3] and the references therein, Guedda [4], Ladyzhenskaya and Ural'tseva [6], and Oleinik and Kruzhkov [10]).

In this work we focus on the following problem with the  $p$ -Laplacian and a (partly) nonsmooth reaction function:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta_p u &= q(x)|u|^{\alpha-1}u \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T); \\ u(x, t) &= 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) &= 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Here,  $\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$  denotes the  $p$ -Laplacian for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  is a given number,  $0 < T < \infty$ , and the potential  $q$  satisfies

(Q)  $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $q \geq 0$ , and  $q(x_0) > 0$  for some  $x_0 \in \Omega$ .

We assume that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain with a  $C^{1+\mu}$ -boundary  $\partial\Omega$  where  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ .

In particular, we deal with degenerate (singular) diffusion if  $2 < p < \infty$  ( $1 < p < 2$ , respectively) and the reaction function  $f(x, u) := q(x)|u|^{\alpha-1}u$ . Notice that if  $q(x_0) > 0$  then the function  $u \mapsto f(x_0, u)$  satisfies neither a local Lipschitz nor

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B05, 35B30, 35K15, 35K55, 35K65.

*Key words and phrases.* Quasilinear parabolic equations with  $p$ -Laplacian; nonuniqueness for initial-boundary value problem; sub- and supersolutions; comparison principle.

©2015 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted January 29, 2015. Published February 10, 2015.

an Osgood (see [11]) condition near  $u = 0$  provided  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . The case  $p = 2$  (the Laplace operator) was treated in Fujita and Watanabe [3] by entirely different methods based on the Green's function for the heat equation. An important special case,  $N = 1$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $q(x) \equiv \lambda > 0$  (a constant), was treated in Guedda [4] also by different methods. The main purpose of the present article is to fill in the gap left open for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , and  $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $q \geq 0$ , where  $q$  is not necessarily positive everywhere in  $\Omega$ . Because of this possibly nonuniform positivity of  $q$  over  $\Omega$ , the method used in [4] cannot be applied here. We use a different approach based on sub- and supersolutions and the weak comparison principle. As a trivial consequence of the fact that problem (1.1) possesses a nontrivial nonnegative solution (see our main result, Theorem 1), we conclude that the weak comparison principle does not hold for problem (1.1) considered with nontrivial initial conditions, say, in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

Observe that our assumption (Q) implies that there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $q(x) \geq q_0 \equiv \text{const} > 0$  for all  $x \in B_R(x_0)$  where

$$B_R(x_0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - x_0| < R\} \subset \Omega.$$

Let  $(\lambda_1, \varphi_{1,R})$  denote the first eigenpair for the operator  $-\Delta_p : W_0^{1,p}(B_R(x_0)) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'}(B_R(x_0))$ ; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p \varphi_{1,R} &= \lambda_{1,R} \varphi_{1,R}^{p-1} && \text{in } B_R(x_0); \\ \varphi_{1,R} &= 0 && \text{on } \partial B_R(x_0), \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

and  $\varphi_{1,R} \in W_0^{1,p}(B_R(x_0))$  is normalized by  $\varphi_{1,R}(x_0) = 1$ . Note that this normalization yields  $0 < \varphi_{1,R}(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in B_R(x_0)$ . Moreover, we denote by

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{1,R}(x) := \begin{cases} \varphi_{1,R}(x) & \text{for } x \in B_R(x_0); \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus B_R(x_0), \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

the natural zero extension of  $\varphi_{1,R}$  from  $B_R(x_0)$  to the whole of  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Our main theorem is the following nonuniqueness result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $0 < \alpha < \min\{1, p - 1\}$  and (Q) are satisfied. Then there exists  $T > 0$  small enough, such that problem (1.1) possesses (besides the trivial solution  $u \equiv 0$ ) a nontrivial, nonnegative weak solution*

$$u \in C([0, T] \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p((0, T) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega))$$

which is bounded below by a subsolution  $\underline{u} : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  of type

$$\underline{u}(x, t) = \theta(t) \tilde{\varphi}_{1,R}(x)^\beta \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

where  $\theta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a strictly increasing, continuously differentiable function with  $\theta(0) = 0$ , and  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$  is a suitable number.

In contrast with this nonuniqueness result, several uniqueness results have been established in [2].

**Remark 1.2.** Assume that  $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  satisfies  $0 \leq q(x) \leq \lambda_1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , where  $\lambda_1$  stands for the principal eigenvalue of  $-\Delta_p$  with zero Dirichlet boundary conditions on  $\Omega$ . Then the condition  $\alpha < p - 1$  is essential for obtaining our nonuniqueness

result. Namely, if  $\alpha = p - 1$  then  $u \equiv 0$  is the unique weak solution of (1.1). The uniqueness follows directly from the following standard energy estimate:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u(x, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} q(x) |u|^p dx \leq \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx.$$

By the variational characterization of  $\lambda_1$  (Poincaré's inequality in Lindqvist [8]), we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u(x, t)|^2 dx \leq - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx + \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \leq 0,$$

which implies  $u(x, t) \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ , thanks to  $u(x, 0) \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

A weaker result than our Theorem 1.1 has recently been published in Merchán, Montoro, and Peral [9, Theorem 2.2, p. 248]. There, a very strong uniform positivity condition on the potential  $q$  is assumed,  $q_0 = \inf_{\Omega} q > 0$ . This means that it suffices to treat the constant case  $q(x) \equiv q_0 = \text{const} > 0$  and then use the resulting solution as a subsolution for the general case  $q(x) \geq q_0 = \text{const} > 0$ . In contrast, our Theorem 1.1 above does not assume  $q_0 > 0$ ; we assume only  $q \geq 0$  and  $q \not\equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Nevertheless, our proof of this result, especially our construction of a nonzero subsolution, is simpler than in [9].

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Note that  $\tilde{\varphi}_{1,R}$  defined in (1.3) is continuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}_{1,R}^{\beta}$  is continuously differentiable for any constant  $\beta > 1$ . We need to establish a few additional properties of  $\varphi_{1,R}(x) \equiv \varphi_{1,R}(|x - x_0|) = \varphi_{1,R}(r)$ , with  $r = |x - x_0|$  and the usual harmless abuse of notation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$  then*

$$-\Delta_p(\varphi_{1,R}^{\beta}) = \beta^{p-1} \varphi_{1,R}^{(p-1)(\beta-1)-1} [\lambda_{1,R} \varphi_{1,R}^p - (p-1)(\beta-1) |\nabla \varphi_{1,R}|^p] \quad (2.1)$$

*holds pointwise a.e. in  $B_R(x_0)$ . In particular, for  $\beta \geq 1$  we have*

$$\frac{-\Delta_p(\varphi_{1,R}^{\beta})}{\varphi_{1,R}^{\beta}} \leq C \equiv \text{const} < \infty \quad \text{pointwise a.e. in } B_R(x_0). \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* Any function  $u: B_R(x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is radially symmetric around  $x_0$  can be written as  $u(x) = u(r)$  where  $r = |x - x_0|$ . Using this notation we obtain, by formal differentiation,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p u(|x - x_0|) &= \text{div} \left( |u'(r)|^{p-2} u'(r) \frac{x - x_0}{r} \right) \\ &= \left( |u'(r)|^{p-2} u'(r) \right)' + \frac{N-1}{r} |u'(r)|^{p-2} u'(r). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

It is well-known that the first eigenfunction  $\varphi_{1,R}$  is radially symmetric around  $x_0$ , positive, and  $C^2$  in  $\overline{B_R}(x_0) \setminus \{x_0\}$ , see e.g. [1]. Therefore, we get a.e. in  $B_R(x_0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta_p(\varphi_{1,R}^{\beta}(r)) \\ &= \left( \beta^{p-1} \varphi_{1,R}^{(p-1)(\beta-1)} |\varphi'_{1,R}|^{p-2} \varphi'_{1,R} \right)' \\ &\quad + \frac{N-1}{r} \beta^{p-1} \varphi_{1,R}^{(p-1)(\beta-1)} |\varphi'_{1,R}|^{p-2} \varphi'_{1,R} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \beta^{p-1} \left\{ (p-1)(\beta-1) \varphi_{1,R}^{(p-1)(\beta-1)-1} |\varphi'_{1,R}|^p \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \varphi_{1,R}^{(p-1)(\beta-1)} \left( |\varphi'_{1,R}|^{p-2} \varphi'_{1,R} \right)' + \frac{N-1}{r} \varphi_{1,R}^{(p-1)(\beta-1)} |\varphi'_{1,R}|^{p-2} \varphi'_{1,R} \right\} \\
&= \beta^{p-1} \varphi_{1,R}^{(p-1)(\beta-1)-1} \left\{ (p-1)(\beta-1) |\varphi'_{1,R}|^p - \lambda_{1,R} \varphi_{1,R}^p \right\} \\
&= \beta^{p-1} \varphi_{1,R}^{(p-1)\beta} \left\{ (p-1)(\beta-1) \frac{|\varphi'_{1,R}|^p}{\varphi_{1,R}^p} - \lambda_{1,R} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$-\Delta_p(\varphi_{1,R}^\beta) \leq \beta^{p-1} \lambda_{1,R} \varphi_{1,R}^{(p-1)\beta}$$

for  $\beta \geq 1$ . For  $p \geq 2$  this yields

$$\frac{-\Delta_p(\varphi_{1,R}^\beta)}{\varphi_{1,R}^\beta} \leq \beta^{p-1} \lambda_{1,R} \varphi_{1,R}^{(p-2)\beta} \leq \beta^{p-1} \lambda_{1,R},$$

thanks to our normalization  $0 < \varphi_{1,R} \leq 1$ . On the other hand, for  $1 < p < 2$ ,

$$\frac{-\Delta_p(\varphi_{1,R}^\beta)}{\varphi_{1,R}^\beta} = \beta^{p-1} \varphi_{1,R}^{(p-2)\beta} \left\{ \lambda_{1,R} - (p-1)(\beta-1) \varphi_{1,R}^{-p} |\varphi'_{1,R}|^p \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $\varphi_{1,R}$  is radially decreasing and satisfies the Hopf maximum principle on the boundary of  $B_R(x_0)$ , we can choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varphi'_{1,R}(r) < \varphi'_{1,R}(R)/2 < 0$  for all  $r \in (R - \varepsilon, R)$ .

Hence, (2.4) implies (2.2) for  $R - \varepsilon \leq r < R$  provided  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough, such that

$$\lambda_{1,R} - (p-1)(\beta-1) \varphi_{1,R}^{-p} |\varphi'_{1,R}|^p \leq 0 \quad \text{for } R - \varepsilon \leq r < R.$$

At the same time, the ratio  $-\Delta_p(\varphi_{1,R}^\beta)/\varphi_{1,R}^\beta$  is bounded for  $0 < r \leq R - \varepsilon$ . Thus, estimate (2.2) holds a.e. in  $B_R(x_0)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Assume that  $0 < \alpha < \min\{1, p-1\}$  and (Q) are satisfied. Given any fixed number  $S \in (0, \infty)$ , we define*

$$\underline{u}(x, t) := \theta(t) \tilde{\varphi}_{1,R}(x)^\beta \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, S],$$

where  $\beta > 1$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}_{1,R}$  is given by (1.3), and  $\theta : [0, S] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is the positive solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt}(t) = \frac{q_0}{2} \theta^\alpha(t) \quad \text{for } t \in (0, S); \quad \theta(0) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

such that  $0 < \theta(t) < \infty$  for every  $t \in (0, S)$ . Then  $\underline{u} : \Omega \times (0, S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a subsolution of problem (1.1) in a smaller domain  $\Omega \times (0, \underline{\sigma})$ , i.e., for  $t \in (0, \underline{\sigma})$  only, where  $\underline{\sigma} \in (0, S)$  is small enough.

*Proof.* We will show that the following inequality holds

$$\frac{\partial \underline{u}}{\partial t} - \Delta_p \underline{u} \leq q(x) |\underline{u}|^{\alpha-1} \underline{u}.$$

Using  $0 < \alpha < \min\{1, p-1\}$ , equation (2.5), and the continuity of  $\theta : [0, S] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , we get

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} \leq -C\theta(t)^{p-1} + q_0\theta(t)^\alpha \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \underline{\sigma}], \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\underline{\sigma} \in (0, S)$  is small enough, such that  $\theta(t)^{p-1-\alpha} \leq q_0/(2C)$  holds for all  $t \in [0, \underline{\sigma}]$ .

Inserting the inequality

$$\varphi_{1,R}^{-\beta} \Delta_p(\varphi_{1,R}^\beta) \geq -C \equiv \text{const}$$

in  $\Omega$  from Lemma 2.1, inequality (2.2), into (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\theta}{dt} &\leq \varphi_{1,R}^{-\beta} \Delta_p(\varphi_{1,R}^\beta) \theta(t)^{p-1} + q_0 \theta(t)^\alpha \\ &\leq \varphi_{1,R}^{-\beta} \Delta_p(\varphi_{1,R}^\beta) \theta(t)^{p-1} + q_0 \varphi_{1,R}^{(\alpha-1)\beta} \theta(t)^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the normalization  $0 < \varphi_{1,R} \leq 1$  in  $B_R(x_0)$  combined with  $(\alpha - 1)\beta < 0$ . Finally, multiplying by  $\varphi_{1,R}^\beta$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\theta}{dt} \varphi_{1,R}^\beta &\leq \Delta_p(\varphi_{1,R}^\beta) \theta(t)^{p-1} + q_0 \theta(t)^\alpha \varphi_{1,R}^\alpha \\ &\leq \Delta_p(\varphi_{1,R}^\beta) \theta(t)^{p-1} + q(x) \theta(t)^\alpha \varphi_{1,R}^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality, combined with our definition of the function  $\tilde{\varphi}_{1,R}$ , guarantees that  $\underline{u}(x, t) = \theta(t) \tilde{\varphi}_{1,R}(x)$  is a subsolution to problem (1.1).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* First, let us observe that  $\bar{u}(x, t) = \|q\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} t$  is a supersolution of (1.1) for  $0 < t \leq 1$ . Indeed, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} - \Delta_p \bar{u} = \|q\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \geq q(x) \left( \|q\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} t \right)^\alpha = q(x) |\bar{u}|^{\alpha-1} \bar{u}$$

holds for  $0 < t \leq 1$ , since  $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $q \geq 0$ , and  $\|q\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \Omega} q(x)$ .

Second, we show now that  $\underline{u} \leq \bar{u}$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $t > 0$  sufficiently small, say,  $0 < t \leq \bar{\sigma}$ . Evidently,

$$\underline{u}(x, t) = \theta(t) \tilde{\varphi}_1(x)^\beta = c_1 t^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \tilde{\varphi}_1(x)^\beta \leq c_1 t^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \leq \bar{u}(x, t) = \|q\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} t$$

for  $0 < t \leq \bar{\sigma}$ , where  $\bar{\sigma}$  satisfies

$$\bar{\sigma}^\alpha \leq \|q\|_\infty / c_1^{1-\alpha}.$$

Now it remains to show the existence of weak solution  $u$  for (1.1), such that

$$\underline{u} \leq u \leq \bar{u} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \quad \text{where } T := \min\{\underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}\} > 0.$$

Let us define a sequence of functions  $u_n: \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  recursively for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , such that  $u_n$  is the unique weak solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} - \Delta_p u_n &= q(x) |u_{n-1}|^{\alpha-1} u_{n-1}, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u_n(x, 0) &= 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u_n(x, t) &= 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

with  $u_0 = \underline{u}$ . By a weak solution of (2.7), we mean a Lebesgue-measurable function  $u_n: \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies

$$u_n \in C([0, T] \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p((0, T) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$$

and the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} u_n(x, t) \phi(x, t) \, dx - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} u_n(x, s) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(x, s) \, dx \, ds \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n(x, s)|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_n(x, s), \nabla \phi(x, s) \rangle \, dx \, ds \\ & = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} q(x) |u_{n-1}(x, s)|^{\alpha-1} u_{n-1}(x, s) \phi(x, s) \, dx \, ds \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

for every  $t \in (0, T)$  and every test function

$$\phi \in C([0, T] \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p((0, T) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,p'}((0, T) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)).$$

The questions of existence and uniqueness of weak solutions of problems of type (2.7) obtained by monotone iterations have been discussed in [12, Appendix A, §A.1]. Let us deduce from the fact that  $u_0 = \underline{u}$  is a subsolution of (1.1) the inequalities  $u_{n-1} \leq u_n$  in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$  for every  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . The proof is by induction on  $n$ . The first inequality,  $u_0 \leq u_1$  in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ , holds by the Weak Comparison Principle (see [12, Lemma 4.9, p. 618]) and the fact that  $u_0 = \underline{u}$  is a subsolution of (1.1). Now assume that  $u_{n-1} \leq u_n$  in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have

$$\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} - \Delta_p u_n = |u_{n-1}|^{\alpha-1} u_{n-1} \leq |u_n|^{\alpha-1} u_n = \frac{\partial u_{n+1}}{\partial t} - \Delta_p u_{n+1}$$

in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$  and consequently  $u_n \leq u_{n+1}$  in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$  again, by [12, Lemma 4.9, p. 618]. Therefore, monotonicity holds:  $\underline{u} = u_0 \leq u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq \bar{u}$  in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ . The comparison with the supersolution  $\bar{u}$  is deduced again from the Weak Comparison Principle. Hence,  $u_n$  is uniformly bounded in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$  by  $\underline{u} \leq u \leq \bar{u}$ . A global regularity result from [7, Theorem 0.1, p. 552] (cf. [12, Lemma 4.6, p. 617]) guarantees  $u_n \in C^{1+\gamma, \frac{1+\gamma}{2}}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T])$  uniformly for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  is independent of  $n$ . We follow the notations and definitions of Hölder spaces of functions on  $\Omega \times [0, T]$  from [5, Chpt. 1, p. 7]. Thus, by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem,  $\{u_n\}$  is relatively compact in  $C^{1,0}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T])$ . Hence, the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  possesses a subsequence which converges to  $u \in C^{1,0}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T])$ . Therefore, in the weak formulation of (2.8) we may pass to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , thus verifying that the limit function  $u$  is a weak solution of (1.1) in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ , such that  $\underline{u} \leq u \leq \bar{u}$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** All authors were partially supported by a joint exchange program between the Czech Republic and Germany: By the Ministry of Education, Youth, and Sports of the Czech Republic under the grant No. 7AMB14DE005 (exchange program “MOBILITY”) and by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research of Germany under grant No. 57063847 (D.A.A.D. Program “PPP”).

The research of Peter Takáč was partially supported also by the German Research Society (D.F.G.), grant No. TA 213 / 15-1 and the research of Vladimir E. Bobkov was supported by the German Research Society (D.F.G.), grant No. TA 213 / 16-1 (doctoral fellow).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bhattacharya, T.; *Radial symmetry of the first eigenfunction for the  $p$ -Laplacian in the ball*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), no. 1, 169–174.

- [2] Bobkov, V. E.; Takáč, P.; *A Strong Maximum Principle for parabolic equations with the  $p$ -Laplacian*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **419** (2014), no. 1, 218–230.
- [3] Fujita, H.; Watanabe, S.; *On the uniqueness and non-uniqueness of solutions of initial value problems for some quasi-linear parabolic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **21** (1968), 631–652.
- [4] Guedda, M.; *The one-dimensional parabolic equations associated to the  $p$ -Laplacian operator*, Analele Științifice Univ. Iași, **45**(1) (1999), 1–14.
- [5] Ladyzhenskaya, O. A., Solonnikov, V. A., Ural'tseva, N. N.; *Linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type*, Izdat. "Nauka", Moscow (1967), 736 pp.; Translated from the Russian by S. Smith. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1968 xi+648 pp.
- [6] Ladyzhenskaya, O. A., Ural'tseva, N. N.; *A boundary-value problem for linear and quasi-linear parabolic equations. I*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **26** (1962), 5–52.
- [7] Lieberman, G. M.; *Boundary and initial regularity for solutions of degenerate parabolic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **20** (1993), no. 5, 551–569.
- [8] Lindqvist, P.; *On the equation  $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = 0$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), no. 1, 157–164.
- [9] Merchán, S.; Montoro, L.; Peral, I.; *Optimal reaction exponent for some qualitative properties of solutions to the  $p$ -heat equation*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **14** (2015), no. 1, 245–268.
- [10] Oleinik, O. A., Kruzhkov, S. N.; *Quasi-linear parabolic second-order equations with several independent variables*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **16** (1961), 115–155.
- [11] Osgood, W. F.; *Beweis der Existenz einer Lösung der Differentialgleichung  $\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y)$  ohne Hinzunahme der Cauchy-Lipschitz'schen Bedingung*, (German) Monatsh. Math. Phys. **9** (1898), no. 1, 331–345.
- [12] Pádal, J. F., Takáč, P., Tello, L.; *An antimaximum principle for a degenerate parabolic problem*, Adv. Differential Equations **15** (2010), no. 7–8, 601–648.

JIŘÍ BENEDIKT

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND NTIS, FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF WEST BOHEMIA, UNIVERZITNÍ 22, CZ-306 14 PLZEŇ, CZECH REPUBLIC  
*E-mail address:* benedikt@kma.zcu.cz

VLADIMIR E. BOBKOV

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT ROSTOCK, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* vladimir.bobkov@uni-rostock.de

PETR GIRG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND NTIS, FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF WEST BOHEMIA, UNIVERZITNÍ 22, CZ-306 14 PLZEŇ, CZECH REPUBLIC  
*E-mail address:* pgirg@kma.zcu.cz

LUKÁŠ KOTRLA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND NTIS, FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF WEST BOHEMIA, UNIVERZITNÍ 22, CZ-306 14 PLZEŇ, CZECH REPUBLIC  
*E-mail address:* kotrla@ntis.zcu.cz

PETER TAKÁČ

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT ROSTOCK, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* peter.takac@uni-rostock.de