

LYAPUNOV-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR FRACTIONAL BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS

MOHAMED JLELI, BESSEM SAMET

ABSTRACT. In this article, we establish some Lyapunov-type inequalities for fractional boundary-value problems under Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions. As applications, we obtain intervals where linear combinations of certain Mittag-Leffler functions have no real zeros. We deduce also nonexistence results for some fractional boundary-value problems.

1. INTRODUCTION

The well-known Lyapunov result [9] states that if a nontrivial solution to the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}u''(t) + q(t)u(t) &= 0, \quad a < t < b, \\ u(a) = u(b) &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

exists, where $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds > \frac{4}{b-a}.$$

This result found many practical applications in differential and difference equations (oscillation theory, disconjugacy, eigenvalue problems, etc.); see [1, 2, 11, 13, 14, 15] and references therein.

The search for Lyapunov-type inequalities in which the starting differential equation is constructed via fractional differential operators has begun very recently. The first work in this direction is due to Ferreira [4], where he derived a Lyapunov-type inequality for differential equations depending on the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative; that is, for the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}({}_a D^\alpha u)(t) + q(t)u(t) &= 0, \quad a < t < b, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \\ u(a) = u(b) &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 4A08, 34A40, 26D10, 33E12.

Key words and phrases. Lyapunov's inequality; Caputo's fractional derivative; Sturm-Liouville boundary condition.

©2015 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted January 2, 2015. Published April 10, 2015.

where ${}_a D^\alpha$ denotes the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α . Precisely, the author proved that if the above problem has a nontrivial solution, then

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds > \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{4}{b-a}\right)^{\alpha-1}.$$

Clearly, if we let $\alpha = 2$ in the above inequality, one obtains Lyapunov's standard inequality. In [5], a Lyapunov-type inequality was obtained by the same author for the Caputo fractional boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}({}_a^C D^\alpha u)(t) + q(t)u(t) &= 0, & a < t < b, & 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \\ u(a) &= u(b) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where ${}_a^C D^\alpha$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order α . In this work, Ferreira proved that if the above problem has a nontrivial solution, then

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds > \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\alpha^\alpha}{[(\alpha-1)(b-a)]^{\alpha-1}}.$$

For other works on Lyapunov-type inequalities for fractional boundary-value problems we refer the reader to [6, 7].

Motivated by the above works, we consider a Caputo fractional differential equation with Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions. More precisely, we consider the fractional boundary-value problem

$$({}_a^C D^\alpha u)(t) + q(t)u(t) = 0, \quad a < t < b, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \quad (1.1)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$pu(a) - ru'(a) = u(b) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $p > 0$, $r \geq 0$ and $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. We distinguish two cases: the case $\frac{r}{p} > \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$ and the case $0 \leq \frac{r}{p} \leq \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$. For each case, a Lyapunov-type inequality is derived. The obtained results recover several existing inequalities from the literature. As applications, we obtain intervals where linear combinations of certain Mittag-Leffler functions have no real zeros. We deduce also nonexistence results for some fractional boundary-value problems.

Before presenting our main results, let us start by recalling the concepts of the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \geq 0$. For more details, we refer to [8].

Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and let f be a real function defined on a certain interval $[a, b]$. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order α is defined by

$$({}_a I^0 f)(t) = f(t)$$

and

$$({}_a I^\alpha f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad \alpha > 0, t \in [a, b].$$

The Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \geq 0$ is defined by

$$({}_a^C D^0 f)(t) = f(t)$$

and

$$({}_a^C D^\alpha f)(t) = ({}_a I^{m-\alpha} D^m f)(t), \quad \alpha > 0,$$

where m is the smallest integer greater or equal to α .

2. MAIN RESULTS

2.1. Integral representation of the solution. We start by writing (1.1)-(1.2) in its equivalent integral form.

Lemma 2.1. $u \in C[a, b]$ is a solution to (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if u is a solution to the integral equation

$$u(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s)q(s)u(s) ds, \quad t \in [a, b],$$

where G , the Green function associated to (1.1)-(1.2), is given by

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \begin{cases} \frac{(\frac{r}{p} + t - a)(b - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\gamma} - (t - s)^{\alpha - 1}, & a \leq s \leq t \leq b, \\ \frac{(\frac{r}{p} + t - a)\gamma(b - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\gamma}, & a \leq t \leq s \leq b, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{r}{p} + b - a$.

Proof. The general solution to (1.1) is

$$u(t) = c_0 + c_1(t - a) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} q(s)u(s) ds,$$

where c_0 and c_1 are real constants. Taking the derivative of $u(t)$, we obtain

$$u'(t) = c_1 - \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 2} q(s)u(s) ds.$$

Using the boundary condition $pu(a) - ru'(a) = 0$, we obtain

$$pc_0 - rc_1 = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

The boundary condition $u(b) = 0$ gives us

$$c_0 + c_1(b - a) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^b (b - s)^{\alpha - 1} q(s)u(s) ds = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Then (2.1) and (2.2) yield

$$c_0 = \frac{r}{p}c_1 = \frac{r}{p\gamma\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^b (b - s)^{\alpha - 1} q(s)u(s) ds.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \frac{r}{p\gamma\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^b (b - s)^{\alpha - 1} q(s)u(s) ds + \frac{(t - a)}{\gamma\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^b (b - s)^{\alpha - 1} q(s)u(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} q(s)u(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

2.2. Green function estimates. Let

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t, s) &= \frac{(\frac{r}{p} + t - a)(b - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\gamma} - (t - s)^{\alpha - 1}, \quad a \leq s \leq t \leq b, \\ g_2(t, s) &= \frac{(\frac{r}{p} + t - a)\gamma(b - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\gamma}, \quad a \leq t \leq s \leq b. \end{aligned}$$

We distinguish two cases.

Case $\frac{r}{p} > \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that*

$$\frac{r}{p} > \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}.$$

Then

$$0 \leq G(t, s) \leq G(s, s), \quad (t, s) \in [a, b] \times [a, b],$$

$$\max_{a \leq s \leq b} G(s, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\frac{r}{p}(b-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(\frac{r}{p} + b - a)}.$$

Proof. Obviously, the function g_2 satisfies the following inequalities:

$$0 \leq g_2(t, s) \leq g_2(s, s), \quad a \leq t \leq s \leq b.$$

Now, let us compute the derivative of $g_2(s, s)$ on (a, b) . After some simplifications, we obtain

$$(g_2(s, s))' = \frac{(b-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\gamma} \left(-\alpha s + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{r}{p} - a \right) + b \right).$$

Then $(g_2(s, s))'$ has a unique zero, attained at the point

$$s^* = \frac{b + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{r}{p} - a \right)}{\alpha}.$$

It is easy to see that $(g_2(s, s))' > 0$ on $(-\infty, s^*)$ and $(g_2(s, s))' < 0$ on (s^*, b) . On the other hand, from the condition $\frac{r}{p} > \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$, we obtain easily that $s^* < a$. By continuity of g_2 , we deduce that

$$\max_{a \leq s \leq b} g_2(s, s) = g_2(a, a) = \frac{\frac{r}{p}(b-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(\frac{r}{p} + b - a)}.$$

Thus

$$0 \leq g_2(t, s) \leq \frac{\frac{r}{p}(b-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(\frac{r}{p} + b - a)}, \quad a \leq t \leq s \leq b.$$

Now, we turn our attention to the function $g_1(t, s)$. Let $s \in [a, b)$ be fixed. Differentiating $g_1(t, s)$ with respect to t , we obtain

$$\partial_t g_1(t, s) = \frac{(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\gamma} - (\alpha-1)(t-s)^{\alpha-2}, \quad s < t.$$

It follows from the above equality that $\partial_t g_1(t, s) = 0$ if and only if

$$t = t^* = s + \left[\frac{(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\gamma(\alpha-1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}},$$

provided $t^* \leq b$, i.e. as long as $a \leq s \leq b - (\alpha-1)\gamma$. However, from the condition $\frac{r}{p} > \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$, we observe easily that $b - (\alpha-1)\gamma < a$. Then we deduce that $s > b - (\alpha-1)\gamma$, i.e. $t^* > b$. In this case, $\partial_t g_1(t, s) < 0$, i.e. $g_1(\cdot, s)$ is strictly decreasing and, since $g_1(b, s) = 0$, we conclude that

$$0 \leq g_1(t, s) \leq g_1(s, s) = g_2(s, s) \leq g_2(a, a) \leq \frac{\frac{r}{p}(b-a)^{\alpha-1}}{(\frac{r}{p} + b - a)} \quad a \leq s \leq t \leq b,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Case $0 \leq \frac{r}{p} \leq \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that*

$$0 \leq \frac{r}{p} \leq \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}.$$

Then

$$\Gamma(\alpha)|G(t, s)| \leq \max\{\mathcal{A}(\alpha, r/p), \mathcal{B}(\alpha, r/p)\}, \quad (t, s) \in [a, b] \times [a, b],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\alpha, r/p) &= \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha-1}}{\left(\frac{r}{p} + b - a\right)} \left(\left(\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha-1}}{\left(\frac{r}{p} + b - a\right)(\alpha-1)^{\alpha-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}} (2-\alpha) - \frac{r}{p} \right), \\ \mathcal{B}(\alpha, r/p) &= \left(\frac{r}{p} + b - a\right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{(\alpha-1)^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Following the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$0 \leq g_2(t, s) \leq g_2(s, s), \quad a \leq t \leq s \leq b$$

and $(g_2(s, s))'$ has a unique zero, attained at the point

$$s^* = \frac{b + (1-\alpha)\left(\frac{r}{p} - a\right)}{\alpha}.$$

Under the condition $0 \leq \frac{r}{p} \leq \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$, it is easy to observe that $s^* \in [a, b]$. Moreover, $(g_2(s, s))' > 0$ on $(-\infty, s^*)$ and $(g_2(s, s))' < 0$ on (s^*, b) . Then

$$\max_{a \leq s \leq b} g_2(s, s) = g_2(s^*, s^*) = \mathcal{B}(\alpha, r/p).$$

Thus we have

$$0 \leq g_2(t, s) \leq \mathcal{B}(\alpha, r/p), \quad a \leq t \leq s \leq b.$$

Following the proof of Lemma 2.2, for a fixed $s \in [a, b]$, $\partial_t g_1(t, s) = 0$ if and only if

$$t = t^* = s + \left[\frac{(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\gamma(\alpha-1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}},$$

provided $t^* \leq b$, i.e. as long as $a \leq s \leq b - (\alpha-1)\gamma$. So, if $s > b - (\alpha-1)\gamma$ (i.e. $\partial_t g_1(t, s)$ has no zeros), then $\partial_t g_1(t, s) < 0$, i.e. $g_1(\cdot, s)$ is strictly decreasing and, since $g_1(b, s) = 0$, we obtain

$$\max_{s \leq t \leq b} g_1(t, s) = g_1(s, s) = g_2(s, s), \quad s \in (b - (\alpha-1)\gamma, b).$$

It is easy to check that

$$s^* \in (b - (\alpha-1)\gamma, b).$$

Thus we have

$$0 \leq g_1(t, s) \leq g_2(s^*, s^*) = \mathcal{B}(\alpha, r/p), \quad b - (\alpha-1)\gamma < s \leq t \leq b.$$

Now, we have to check the case when $a \leq s \leq b - (\alpha-1)\gamma$; i.e., $t^* \leq b$. It is easy to see that $\partial_t g_1(t, s) < 0$ for $t < t^*$ and that $\partial_t g_1(t, s) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t^*$. This together with the fact that $g_1(b, s) = 0$ implies that $g_1(t^*, s) \leq 0$ and, therefore, we only have to show that

$$|g_1(t^*, s)| \leq \max\{\mathcal{A}(\alpha, r/p), \mathcal{B}(\alpha, r/p)\}, \quad s \in [a, b - (\alpha-1)\gamma].$$

After some simplifications, we obtain

$$|g_1(t^*, s)| = \frac{(b-s)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)^2}{\alpha-2}}(2-\alpha)}{\gamma^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha-2}}(\alpha-1)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha-2}}} - \frac{(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\gamma} \left(s - a + \frac{r}{p}\right).$$

Let us define the function

$$h(s) = \frac{(b-s)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)^2}{\alpha-2}}(2-\alpha)}{\gamma^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha-2}}(\alpha-1)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha-2}}} - \frac{(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\gamma} \left(s - a + \frac{r}{p}\right), \quad s \in [a, b - (\alpha-1)\gamma].$$

Now, we differentiate h in the interior of $[a, b - (\alpha-1)\gamma]$. We obtain

$$h'(s) = \frac{(b-s)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)^2}{\alpha-2}-1}}{(\alpha-1)^{\frac{3-\alpha}{\alpha-2}}\gamma^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha-2}}} + \frac{(\alpha-1)(s - a + \frac{r}{p})(b-s)^{\alpha-2}}{\gamma} - \frac{(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\gamma}.$$

It is clear that h' is an increasing function in $[a, b - (\alpha-1)\gamma]$. Then we have

$$h'(s) \leq h'(b - (\alpha-1)\gamma).$$

On the other hand, after some simplifications, we obtain

$$h'(b - (\alpha-1)\gamma) = 0,$$

which yields $h'(s) \leq 0$. Therefore,

$$\max_{a \leq s \leq b - (\alpha-1)\gamma} h(s) = h(a) = \mathcal{A}(\alpha, r/p),$$

which concludes the proof. \square

2.3. Lyapunov-type inequalities. We are ready to state and prove our main results.

Theorem 2.4. *If there exists a nontrivial continuous solution of the fractional boundary-value problem*

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^C D^\alpha u)(t) + q(t)u(t) &= 0, \quad a < t < b, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ pu(a) - ru'(a) &= u(b) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $p > 0$, $\frac{r}{p} > \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$ and $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds \geq \left(1 + \frac{p}{r}(b-a)\right) \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{(b-a)^{\alpha-1}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Let $X = C[a, b]$ be the Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|y\|_\infty = \max\{|y(t)| : a \leq t \leq b\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$u(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s)q(s)u(s) ds, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

We obtain

$$|u(t)| \leq \|u\|_\infty \max_{a \leq t, s \leq b} |G(t, s)| \int_a^b |q(s)| ds.$$

Now, Lemma 2.2 yields

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq \|u\|_\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\frac{r}{p}(b-a)^{\alpha-1}}{\left(\frac{r}{p} + b - a\right)} \int_a^b |q(s)| ds,$$

from which the inequality (2.3) follows. \square

Similarly, using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.5. *If there exists a nontrivial continuous solution of the fractional boundary-value problem*

$$\begin{aligned}({}_a^C D^\alpha u)(t) + q(t)u(t) &= 0, \quad a < t < b, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ pu(a) - ru'(a) &= u(b) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $p > 0$, $0 \leq \frac{r}{p} \leq \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$ and $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds \geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\max\{\mathcal{A}(\alpha, r/p), \mathcal{B}(\alpha, r/p)\}}. \quad (2.4)$$

2.4. Particular cases.

Case $r = 0$. In the case $r = 0$, from Theorem 2.5, taking $r = 0$ in (2.4), we obtain

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds \geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\max\{\mathcal{A}(\alpha, 0), \mathcal{B}(\alpha, 0)\}}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(\alpha, 0) &= \frac{2 - \alpha}{(\alpha - 1)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha-2}}} (b - a)^{\alpha-1}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\alpha, 0) &= \frac{(\alpha - 1)^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha^\alpha} (b - a)^{\alpha-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality (see [5])

$$\frac{2 - \alpha}{(\alpha - 1)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha-2}}} \leq \frac{(\alpha - 1)^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha^\alpha}, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2,$$

we deduce that

$$\max\{\mathcal{A}(\alpha, 0), \mathcal{B}(\alpha, 0)\} = \mathcal{B}(\alpha, 0).$$

Thus we obtain the following result (see [5, Theorem 1]).

Corollary 2.6. *If there exists a nontrivial continuous solution of the fractional boundary-value problem*

$$\begin{aligned}({}_a^C D^\alpha u)(t) + q(t)u(t) &= 0, \quad a < t < b, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ u(a) &= u(b) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds \geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\alpha^\alpha}{[(\alpha - 1)(b - a)]^{\alpha-1}}.$$

Case $\frac{r}{p} = \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$ with $\alpha \simeq 2$. In the case $\frac{r}{p} = \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$, from Theorem 2.5, taking $\frac{r}{p} = \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$ in (2.4), we obtain

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds \geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\max\{\mathcal{A}(\alpha, \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}), \mathcal{B}(\alpha, \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1})\}}.$$

An easy computation gives us

$$\mathcal{A}(\alpha, \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}) = \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha} \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}}} - 1 \right),$$

$$\mathcal{B}\left(\alpha, \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}\right) = \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha}.$$

Thus we have

$$\mathcal{A}\left(\alpha, \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}\right) - \mathcal{B}\left(\alpha, \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}\right) = \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha} \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}}} - 2\right).$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 2^-} \frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}}} = +\infty.$$

Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$2 - \delta < \alpha < 2 \Rightarrow \frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}}} > 2.$$

Thus for $2 - \delta < \alpha < 2$, we have

$$\max \left\{ \mathcal{A}\left(\alpha, \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}\right), \mathcal{B}\left(\alpha, \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}\right) \right\} = \mathcal{A}\left(\alpha, \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}\right).$$

Hence we have the following result.

Corollary 2.7. *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if there exists a nontrivial continuous solution of the fractional boundary-value problem*

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^C_a D^\alpha u)(t) + q(t)u(t) &= 0, \quad a < t < b, \quad 2 - \delta < \alpha < 2, \\ pu(a) - ru'(a) &= u(b) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{r}{p} = \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$ and $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds \geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \alpha^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha-2}}}{(b-a)^{\alpha-1} (2-\alpha - \alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}})}.$$

Case $p \simeq 0$. Letting $p \rightarrow 0^+$ in the inequality (2.3), from Theorem 2.4 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.8. *If there exists a nontrivial continuous solution of the fractional boundary-value problem*

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^C_a D^\alpha u)(t) + q(t)u(t) &= 0, \quad a < t < b, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ u'(a) &= u(b) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds \geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{(b-a)^{\alpha-1}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Taking $\alpha = 2$ in the inequality (2.5), we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.9. *If there exists a nontrivial continuous solution of the boundary-value problem*

$$\begin{aligned} u''(t) + q(t)u(t) &= 0, \quad a < t < b, \\ u'(a) &= u(b) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds \geq \frac{1}{b-a}.$$

3. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we present some applications of our main results.

3.1. Real zeros of certain Mittag-Leffler functions. Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ be fixed. The complex function

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha + \beta)}, \quad \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, z \in \mathbb{C}$$

is analytic in the whole complex plane; it will be referred to [10, 12] as the Mittag-Leffler function with parameters (α, β) .

Next, using the above Lyapunov-type inequalities, we give intervals where linear combinations of some Mittag-Leffler functions have no real zeros.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 < \alpha < 2$. The Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha, 1}(x)$ has no real zeros for*

$$x \in (-\Gamma(\alpha), 0].$$

Proof. Let $(a, b) = (0, 1)$, and consider the fractional Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^C_0 D^\alpha u)(t) + \lambda u(t) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u'(0) &= u(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By [3], we know that the eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ of the above problem satisfy

$$\lambda > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda) = 0.$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions are

$$u(t) = A E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda t^\alpha), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

By Corollary 2.8, if a real eigenvalue λ exists; i.e., $E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda) = 0$, then $\lambda \geq \Gamma(\alpha)$, which concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 < \alpha < 2$, $p > 0$, $\frac{r}{p} > \frac{1}{\alpha-1}$. The linear combination of Mittag-Leffler functions given by*

$$pE_{\alpha, 2}(x) + qrE_{\alpha, 1}(x)$$

has no real zeros for

$$x \in \left(-\left(1 + \frac{p}{r}\right)\Gamma(\alpha), 0\right].$$

Proof. Let $(a, b) = (0, 1)$, and consider the following fractional Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^C_0 D^\alpha u)(t) + \lambda u(t) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ pu(0) - ru'(0) &= u(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By [3], we know that the eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ of the above problem satisfies

$$\lambda > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad pE_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda) + qrE_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda) = 0.$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions are

$$u(t) = A \left(E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda t^\alpha) + \frac{p}{r} t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda t^\alpha) \right), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

By Theorem 2.4, if a real eigenvalue λ exists, then $\lambda \geq \left(1 + \frac{p}{r}\right)\Gamma(\alpha)$, which concludes the proof. \square

3.2. Applications to fractional boundary-value problems. In this section, we apply the results on the Liapunov-type inequalities obtained previously to study the nonexistence of solutions for certain fractional boundary-value problems. Consider the fractional boundary-value problem

$$({}_0^C D^\alpha u)(t) + q(t)u(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 3/2 < \alpha < 2, \quad (3.1)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$u(0) - 2u'(0) = u(1) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. We have the following result.

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that*

$$\int_0^1 |q(s)| ds < \frac{3}{2}\Gamma(\alpha). \quad (3.3)$$

Then (3.1)-(3.2) has no nontrivial solution.

Proof. Assume the contrary, i.e. (3.1)-(3.2) has a nontrivial solution $u(t)$. By Theorem 2.4 with $(p, r) = (1, 2)$, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 |q(s)| ds \geq \frac{3}{2}\Gamma(\alpha),$$

which contradicts assumption (3.3). \square

Consider now the fractional boundary-value problem

$$({}_0^C D^\alpha u)(t) + q(t)u(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \quad (3.4)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$2u(0) - u'(0) = u(1) = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

where $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. We have the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that*

$$\int_0^1 |q(s)| ds < \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\max\{\mathcal{A}(\alpha, 1/2), \mathcal{B}(\alpha, 1/2)\}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Then (3.4)-(3.5) has no nontrivial solution.

Proof. Assume the contrary; i.e., (3.4)-(3.5) has a nontrivial solution $u(t)$. By Theorem 2.5 with $(p, r) = (2, 1)$, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 |q(s)| ds \geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\max\{\mathcal{A}(\alpha, 1/2), \mathcal{B}(\alpha, 1/2)\}},$$

which contradicts assumption (3.6). \square

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for the funding of this research through the Research Group Project No. RGP-VPP-237.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Çakmak; *Lyapunov-type integral inequalities for certain higher order differential equations*, Appl. Math. Comput. 216 (2010), 368–373.
- [2] ; S. Clark, D.B. Hinton, *A Liapunov inequality for linear Hamiltonian systems*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 1 (1998), 201–209.
- [3] J. S. Duan, Z. Wang, Y. L. Liu, X. Qiu; *Eigenvalue problems for fractional ordinary differential equations*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 46 (2013), 46–53.
- [4] R. A. C. Ferreira; *A Lyapunov-type inequality for a fractional boundary-value problem*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 16 (4) (2013), 978–984.
- [5] R. A. C. Ferreira; *On a Lyapunov-type inequality and the zeros of a certain Mittag-Leffler function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 412 (2) (2014), 1058–1063.
- [6] M. Jleli, B. Samet; *Lyapunov-type inequalities for a fractional differential equation with mixed boundary conditions*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 18 (2) (2015), 443–451.
- [7] M. Jleli, R. Lakhdar, B. Samet; *A Lyapunov-type inequality for a fractional differential equation under a Robin boundary condition*, Journal of Function Spaces. 501 (2014): 468536.
- [8] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo; *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 204 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
- [9] A. M. Liapunov; *Problème général de la stabilité du mouvement*, Annals of Mathematics Studies. 2 (1907), 203–407.
- [10] F. Mainardi; *Fractional relaxation-oscillation and fractional diffusion-wave phenomena*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 7 (9) (1996), 1461–1477.
- [11] N. Parhi, S. Panigrahi; *Lyapunov-type inequality for higher order differential equations*, Math. Slovaca. 52 (2002), 31–46.
- [12] I. Podlubny; *Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 198 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, San Diego, Calif, USA, 1999.
- [13] X. Yang; *On Lyapunov-type inequality for certain higher-order differential equations*, Appl. Math. Comput. 134 (2-3) (2003), 307–317.
- [14] X. Yang, K. Lo; *Lyapunov-type inequality for a class of even-order differential equations*, Appl. Math. Comput. 215 (11) (2010), 3884–3890.
- [15] Q.-M. Zhang, X. H. Tang; *Lyapunov inequalities and stability for discrete linear Hamiltonian systems*, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2) (2011), 574–582.

MOHAMED JLELI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 2455, RIYADH 11451, SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: jleli@ksu.edu.sa

BESSEM SAMET

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 2455, RIYADH 11451, SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: bsamet@ksu.edu.sa