Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2016 (2016), No. 173, pp. 1–11. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu

EXISTENCE OF SOLITONS FOR DISCRETE NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS

HAIPING SHI, YUANBIAO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. By using the Mountain Pass Lemma, we establish sufficient conditions for the existence of solitons for the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The discrete nonlinear Schrödinger (DNLS) equation is one of the most important inherently discrete models. DNLS equations play a crucial role in the modeling of a great variety of phenomena, ranging from solid state and condensed matter physics to biology [7, 8, 9]. For example, they have been successfully applied to the modeling of localized pulse propagation optical fibers and wave guides, to the study of energy relaxation in solids, to the behavior of amorphous material, to the modeling of self-trapping of vibrational energy in proteins or studies related to the denaturation of the DNA double strand [9].

Below \mathbb{N} , \mathcal{F} and \mathbb{R} denote the sets of all natural numbers, integers and real numbers respectively. For a and b in \mathcal{F} , define $\mathcal{F}(a, b) = \{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$ when $a \leq b$. This article concerns the DNLS equation

$$i\psi_n = -\Delta\psi_n + \varepsilon_n\psi_n - f_n(\psi_n), \ n \in F,$$
(1.1)

where $\Delta \psi_n = \psi_{n+1} + \psi_{n-1} - 2\psi_n$ is discrete Laplacian operator, ε_n is real valued for each $n \in F$, $f_n \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $f_n(0) = 0$ and the nonlinearity $f_n(u)$ is gauge invariant, that is,

$$f_n(e^{i\theta}u) = e^{i\theta}f_n(u), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(1.2)

Since solitons are spatially localized time-periodic solutions and decay to zero at infinity. Thus, ψ_n has the form

$$\psi_n = u_n e^{-i\omega t},$$

and

$$\lim_{|n| \to \infty} \psi_n = 0,$$

where ψ_n is real valued for each $n \in F$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ is the temporal frequency. Then (1.1) becomes

$$-\Delta u_n + \varepsilon_n u_n - \omega u_n = f_n(u_n), \quad n \in F,$$
(1.3)

critical point theory.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 39A12, 39A70, 35C08.

Key words and phrases. Existence; soliton; discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation;

^{©2016} Texas State University.

Submitted December 11, 2015. Published July 5, 2016.

H. SHI, Y. ZHANG

and

 $\mathbf{2}$

$$\lim_{|n| \to \infty} u_n = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

holds.

Actually, our methods allow us to consider the following more general equation

$$-\Delta(p_n(\Delta u_{n-1})^{\delta}) + q_n u_n^{\delta} = f_n(u_{n+T}, u_n, u_{n-T}), \ n \in F,$$
(1.5)

with the same boundary condition (1.4). Here, Δ is the forward difference operator $\Delta u_n = u_{n+1} - u_n$, $\Delta^2 u_n = \Delta(\Delta u_n)$, p_n and q_n are real valued for each $n \in F$, $\delta > 0$ is the ratio of odd positive integers, $f_n \in C(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R})$, T is a given nonnegative integer. When $\delta = 1, p_n \equiv 1, q_n \equiv \varepsilon_n - \omega$ and T = 0, we obtain (1.3). Naturally, if we look for solitons of (1.1), we just need to get the solutions of (1.5) satisfying (1.4).

When $f_n(u_{n+T}, u_n, u_{n-T}) = 0$, $n \in F(0)$, (1.5) reduces to the equation

$$\Delta(p_n(\Delta u_{n-1})^{\delta}) + q_n u_n^{\delta} = 0, \qquad (1.6)$$

which has been studied in [16] for results on oscillation, asymptotic behavior and the existence of positive solutions.

In 2008, Cai and Yu [1] obtained some sufficient conditions for the existence of periodic solutions of the nonlinear difference equation

$$\Delta(p_n(\Delta u_{n-1})^{\delta}) + q_n u_n^{\delta} = f_n(u_n), \quad n \in \mathcal{F}.$$
(1.7)

It is well known that critical point theory is an effective approach to study the behavior of differential equations [10, 11, 12, 13, 24, 27]. Only since 2003, critical point theory has been employed to establish sufficient conditions on the existence of periodic solutions for second order difference equations [14, 15]. Along this direction, Ma and Guo [20] (without periodicity assumption) and [21] (with periodicity assumption) applied variational methods to prove the existence of homoclinic orbits for the special form of (1.5) (with $\delta = 1$ and T = 0). Chen and Wang [6] studied the existence infinitely many homoclinic orbits of the following nonlinear difference equation

$$\Delta(p_n(\Delta u_{n-1})^{\delta}) - q_n u_n^{\delta} + f_n(u_n) = 0, \ n \in \mathcal{F},$$

$$(1.8)$$

by using the Symmetric Mountain Pass Lemma.

In the past decade, the existence of solitons of the DNLS equations has drawn a great deal of interest [17, 18, 22, 23, 25, 26, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. The existence for the periodic DNLS equations with superlinear nonlinearity [22, 23, 25, 26], and with saturable nonlinearity [34, 35] has been studied. And the existence results of solitons of the DNLS equations without periodicity assumptions were established in [17, 18, 31, 32, 33]. As for the existence of the homoclinic orbits of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, we refer to [5, 28, 29, 30].

Our main results are the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that the following hypotheses are satisfied:

- (A1) for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p_n > 0$;
- (A2) for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\underline{q} = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q_n > 0$ and $\lim_{|n| \to +\infty} q_n = +\infty$;
- (A3) there exists a function $F_n(v_1, v_2) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial F_{n-T}(v_2, v_3)}{\partial v_2} + \frac{\partial F_n(v_1, v_2)}{\partial v_2} = f_n(v_1, v_2, v_3),$$

$$\lim_{\beta_1 \to 0} \frac{F_n(v_1, v_2)}{\beta_1^{\delta+1}} = 0 \quad uniformly \ for \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus M, \ \beta_1 = (v_1^{\delta+1} + v_2^{\delta+1})^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}},$$

 $\lim_{\beta_2 \to 0} \frac{f_n(v_1, v_2, v_3)}{\beta_2^{\delta}} = 0 \quad uniformly \ for \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus M, \ \beta_2 = (v_1^{\delta+1} + v_2^{\delta+1} + v_3^{\delta+1})^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}};$

- (A4) for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $F_n(v_1, v_2) = W_n(v_2) H_n(v_1, v_2)$, W, H are continuously differentiable in v_2 and v_1, v_2 respectively. Moreover, there is a bounded set $M \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that $H_n(v_1, v_2) \ge 0$;
- (A5) there is a constant $\mu > \delta + 1$ such that

$$0 < \mu W_n(v_2) \le \frac{\partial W_n(v_2)}{\partial v_2} v_2, \quad \forall (n, v_2) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\});$$

(A6) $H_n(0,0) = 0$ and there is a constant $\varrho \in (\delta + 1, \mu)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial H_n(v_1, v_2)}{\partial v_1}v_1 + \frac{\partial H_n(v_1, v_2)}{\partial v_2}v_2 \le \varrho H_n(v_1, v_2);$$

(A7) there exists a constant c such that

$$H_n(v_1, v_2) \le c(v_1^{\delta+1} + v_2^{\delta+1})^{\frac{\varrho}{\delta+1}} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ v_1^{\delta+1} + v_2^{\delta+1} > 1.$$

Then (1.5) has a nontrivial solution satisfying (1.4).

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that (A1)–(A3), (A5)–(A8), and the following hypothesis are satisfied:

(A4') for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $F_n(v_1, v_2) = W_n(v_2) - H_n(v_1, v_2)$, W, H are continuously differentiable in v_2 and v_1, v_2 respectively;

or

$$\lim_{\beta_1 \to 0} \frac{F_n(v_1, v_2)}{\beta_1^{\delta+1}} = 0 \quad uniformly \ for \ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \beta_1 = (v_1^{\delta+1} + v_2^{\delta+1})^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}}.$$

Then (1.5) has a nontrivial solution satisfying (1.4).

Remark 1.3. Equations similar in structure to (1.5) are discussed by Zhang et al [31, 32] under the assumption that f satisfies:

$$0 < (q-1)f(u)u \le f'(u)u^2, \quad \forall u \ne 0$$

holds for some constant $q \in (2, +\infty)$. This is a stronger condition than the classical Ambrosetti- Rabinowitz superlinear condition, i.e., there exist constants q > 2 and r > 0 such that

$$0 < q \int_0^u f(s) ds \le u f(u), \ \forall |u| \ge r.$$

Thus, our results improves the corresponding results in [31, 32].

As it is well known, critical point theory is a powerful tool to deal with the homoclinic solutions of differential equations [10, 11, 12, 13] and is used to study homoclinic solutions of discrete systems in recent years [2, 3, 4, 6, 20, 21, 34]. Our aim in this article is to obtain the existence results of solitons for the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations by using the Mountain Pass Lemma. The main idea is to transfer the problem of solutions in E (defined in Section 2) of (1.5) into that of critical points of the corresponding functional. The motivation for the present work stems from the recent papers [3, 6, 11].

2. Preliminaries

In order to apply the critical point theory, we establish the variational framework corresponding to (1.5) and give some lemmas which will be of fundamental importance in proving our main results. We start by some basic notation.

Let S be the vector space of all real sequences of the form

$$u = (\dots, u_{-n}, \dots, u_{-1}, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots) = \{u_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty},$$

namely

$$S = \{\{u_n\} : u_n \in \mathbb{R}, \ n \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Define

$$E = \left\{ u \in S : \sum_{n = -\infty}^{+\infty} \left[p_n (\Delta u_{n-1})^{\delta + 1} + q_n u_n^{\delta + 1} \right] < +\infty \right\}.$$

The space is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[p_n (\Delta u_{n-1})^{\delta} \Delta v_{n-1} + q_n u_n^{\delta} v_n \right], \quad \forall u, v \in E,$$
(2.1)

and the corresponding norm

$$||u|| = \left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[p_n (\Delta u_{n-1})^{\delta+1} + q_n u_n^{\delta+1} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}}, \quad \forall u \in E.$$
 (2.2)

On the other hand, we define the space of real sequences,

$$l^{s} = \left\{ u \in S : \|u\|_{s} = \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |u_{n}|^{s}\right)^{1/s} < +\infty \right\}, \quad 1 \le s < +\infty,$$

with $||u||_{\infty} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |u_n|$ when $s = +\infty$.

For all $u \in E$, define the functional J on E as follows:

$$J(u) := \frac{1}{\delta + 1} \sum_{n = -\infty}^{+\infty} \left[p_n (\Delta u_{n-1})^{\delta + 1} + q_n u_n^{\delta + 1} \right] - \sum_{n = -\infty}^{+\infty} F_n(u_{n+T}, u_n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\delta + 1} \| u \|^{\delta + 1} - \sum_{n = -\infty}^{+\infty} F_n(u_{n+T}, u_n).$$
 (2.3)

Standard arguments show that the functional J is a well-defined C^1 functional on E and (1.5) is easily recognized as the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation for J. Thus, to find nontrivial solutions to (1.5) satisfying (1.4), we need only to look for nonzero critical points of J in E.

For the derivative of J we have the following formula,

$$\langle J'(u), v \rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[p_n (\Delta u_{n-1})^{\delta} \Delta v_{n-1} + q_n u_n^{\delta} v_n - f_n (u_{n+T}, u_n, u_{n-T}) v_n \right], \quad (2.4)$$

for all $u, v \in E$.

Let *E* be a real Banach space, $J \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$, i.e., *J* is a continuously Fréchetdifferentiable functional defined on *E*. *J* is said to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition ((PS) condition for short) if any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ for which $\{J(u_n)\}$ is bounded and $J'(u_n) \to 0 \ (n \to \infty)$ possesses a convergent subsequence in *E*.

Let B_{ρ} denote the open ball in E about 0 of radius ρ and let ∂B_{ρ} denote its boundary.

Lemma 2.1 (Mountain Pass Lemma [27]). Let E be a real Banach space and $J \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the (PS) condition. If J(0) = 0 and

- (1) there exist constants ρ , $\alpha > 0$ such that $J|_{\partial B_{\rho}} \ge \alpha$, and
- (2) there exists $e \in E \setminus B_{\rho}$ such that $J(e) \leq 0$.

Then J possesses a critical value $c \geq \alpha$ given by

$$c = \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{s \in [0,1]} J(g(s)),$$
(2.5)

where

$$\Gamma = \{ g \in C([0,1], E) | g(0) = 0, \ g(1) = e \}.$$
(2.6)

Lemma 2.2. For $u \in E$,

$$\underline{q}\|u\|_{\infty}^{\delta+1} \leq \underline{q}\|u\|_{\delta+1}^{\delta+1} \leq \|u\|^{\delta+1}.$$
(2.7)

Proof. Since $u \in E$, it follows that $\lim_{|n|\to\infty} |u_n| = 0$. Hence, there exists $n^* \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$||u||_{\infty} = |u_{n^*}| = \max_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |u_n|.$$

By (A2) and (2.2), we have

$$\|u\|^{\delta+1} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[p_n (\Delta u_{n-1})^{\delta+1} + q_n u_n^{\delta+1} \right] \ge \underline{q} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u_n^{\delta+1} \ge \underline{q} \|u\|_{\infty}^{\delta+1}.$$

of is complete.

The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that (A5) holds. Then for each $(n, u) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$, $s^{-\mu}W_n(su)$ is nondecreasing on $(0, +\infty)$.

The proof of the above lemma is routine and so we omit it.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that (A1)–(A8) are satisfied. Then J satisfies the (PS) condition.

Proof. Let $\{u^{(k)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset E$ be such that $\{J(u^{(k)})\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and $J'(u^{(k)})\to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Then there is a positive constant K such that

$$|J(u^{(k)})| \le K$$
, $||J'(u^{(k)})||_{E^*} \le \rho K$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus, by (2.3), (A5) and (A6), we have

$$\begin{split} &(\delta+1)K + (\delta+1)K \|u^{(k)}\| \\ &\geq (\delta+1)J(u^{(k)}) - \frac{(\delta+1)}{\varrho} \langle J'(u^{(k)}), u^{(k)} \rangle \\ &= \frac{\varrho - (\delta+1)}{\varrho} \|u^{(k)}\|^{\delta+1} - (\delta+1) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[W_n(u^{(k)}_n) - \frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{\partial W_n(u^{(k)}_n)}{\partial v_2} u^{(k)}_n \right] \\ &+ (\delta+1) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} H_n(u^{(k)}_{n+T}, u^{(k)}_n) \\ &- \frac{(\delta+1)}{\varrho} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{\partial H_n(u^{(k)}_{n+T}, u^{(k)}_n)}{\partial v_1} u^{(k)}_{n+T} + \frac{\partial H_n(u^{(k)}_{n+T}, u^{(k)}_n)}{\partial v_2} u^{(k)}_n \right] \end{split}$$

$$\geq \frac{\varrho - (\delta + 1)}{\varrho} \| u^{(k)} \|^{\delta + 1}.$$

Since $\rho > \delta + 1$, it is not difficult to know that $\{u^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in E, i.e., there exists a constant $K_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|u^{(k)}\| \le K_1, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$(2.8)$$

So passing to a subsequence if necessary, it can be assumed that $u^{(k)} \rightharpoonup u^{(0)}$ in E. For any given number $\varepsilon > 0$, by (A3), we can choose $\zeta > 0$ such that

$$|f_n(u_{n+T}, u_n, u_{n-T})| \le \varepsilon (u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_n^{\delta+1} + u_{n-T}^{\delta+1})^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus M, \ u \in \mathbb{R},$$
(2.9)

where $(u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_n^{\delta+1} + u_{n-T}^{\delta+1})^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}} \leq \zeta$. By (A2), we can also choose a positive integer $D > \max\{\max\{|n| : n \in M\}, T\}$ such that

$$q_n \ge \frac{K_1^{\delta+1}}{\zeta^{\delta+1}}, \ |n| \ge D.$$
 (2.10)

By (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain

$$(u_n^{(k)})^{\delta+1} = \frac{1}{q_n} q_n (u_n^{(k)})^{\delta+1} \le \frac{\zeta^{\delta+1}}{K_1^{\delta+1}} \|u^{(k)}\|^{\delta+1} \le \zeta^{\delta+1}, \quad |n| \ge D.$$
(2.11)

Since $u^{(k)} \rightharpoonup u^{(0)}$ in E, it is easy to verify that $u_n^{(k)}$ converges to $u_n^{(0)}$ pointwise for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$; that is,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} u_n^{(k)} = u_n^{(0)}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(2.12)

Combining with (2.11), we have

$$(u_n^{(0)})^{\delta+1} \le \zeta^{\delta+1}, \quad |n| \ge D.$$
 (2.13)

It follows from (2.12) and the continuity of $f_n(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ on v_1, v_2, v_3 that there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{n=-D}^{D} \left| f_n \left(u_{n+T}^{(k)}, u_n^{(k)}, u_{n-T}^{(k)} \right) - f_n \left(u_{n+T}^{(0)}, u_n^{(0)}, u_{n-T}^{(0)} \right) \right| < \varepsilon, \quad k \ge k_0.$$
 (2.14)

On the other hand, it follows from (A3), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.11) and (2.13) that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{|n|\geq D} \left| f_n \left(u_{n+T}^{(k)}, u_n^{(k)}, u_{n-T}^{(k)} \right) - f_n \left(u_{n+T}^{(0)}, u_n^{(0)}, u_{n-T}^{(0)} \right) \right| |u_n^{(k)} - u_n^{(0)}| \\ &\leq \sum_{|n|\geq D} \left[\left| f_n \left(u_{n+T}^{(k)}, u_n^{(k)}, u_{n-T}^{(k)} \right) \right| + \left| f_n \left(u_{n+T}^{(0)}, u_n^{(0)}, u_{n-T}^{(0)} \right) \right| \right] (|u_n^{(k)}| + |u_n^{(0)}|) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{|n|\geq D} \left\{ \left[(u_{n+T}^{(k)})^{\delta+1} + (u_n^{(k)})^{\delta+1} + (u_{n-T}^{(k)})^{\delta+1} \right]^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}} \right\} (|u_n^{(k)}| + |u_n^{(0)}|) \\ &+ \left[(u_{n+T}^{(0)})^{\delta+1} + (u_n^{(0)})^{\delta+1} + (u_{n-T}^{(0)})^{\delta+1} \right]^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}} \right\} (|u_n^{(k)}| + |u_n^{(0)}|) \\ &\leq 3\varepsilon \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[|u_n^{(k)}|^{\delta} + |u_n^{(0)}|^{\delta} \right] (|u_n^{(k)}| + |u_n^{(0)}|) \\ &\leq 6\varepsilon \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[(u_n^{(k)})^{\delta+1} + (u_n^{(0)})^{\delta+1} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{6\varepsilon}{q} (K_1^{\delta+1} + \| u^{(0)} \|^{\delta+1}). \end{split}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| f_n \left(u_{n+T}^{(k)}, u_n^{(k)}, u_{n-T}^{(k)} \right) - f_n \left(u_{n+T}^{(0)}, u_n^{(0)}, u_{n-T}^{(0)} \right) \right| \to 0, \quad k \to \infty.$$
 (2.16)

It follows from (2.2), (2.4) and (2.7) that

$$\langle J'(u^{(k)}) - J'(u^{(0)}), u^{(k)} - u^{(0)} \rangle$$

= $||u^{(k)} - u^{(0)}||^{\delta+1}$
- $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[f_n(u^{(k)}_{n+T}, u^{(k)}_n, u^{(k)}_{n-T}) - f_n(u^{(0)}_{n+T}, u^{(0)}_n, u^{(0)}_{n-T}) \right] (u^{(k)} - u^{(0)}).$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \|u^{(k)} - u^{(0)}\|^{\delta+1} \\ &\leq \langle J'(u^{(k)}) - J'(u^{(0)}), u^{(k)} - u^{(0)} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[f_n(u^{(k)}_{n+T}, u^{(k)}_n, u^{(k)}_{n-T}) - f_n(u^{(0)}_{n+T}, u^{(0)}_n, u^{(0)}_{n-T}) \right] (u^{(k)} - u^{(0)}). \end{split}$$

Since $\langle J'(u^{(k)}) - J'(u^{(0)}), u^{(k)} - u^{(0)} \rangle \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, we have $u^{(k)} \to u^{(0)}$ in E. The proof is complete.

3. Proofs of theorems

In this section, we shall obtain the existence of a nontrivial solution of (1.5) satisfying (1.4) by using the critical point method.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We shall prove the existence of a nontrivial solution to (1.5) satisfying (1.4). It is clear that J(0) = 0. We have already known that $J \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$

and J satisfies the (PS) condition. Hence, it suffices to prove that J satisfies the conditions for the (PS) condition. By (A3), there exists $\eta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$|F_n(u_{n+T}, u_n)| \le \frac{1}{4(\delta+1)} (u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_n^{\delta+1}), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus M, \ (u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_n^{\delta+1})^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}} \le \eta.$$
(3.1)

 Set

$$G = \sup\{W_n(v_2) | v_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \ v_2^{\delta+1} = 1\},$$
(3.2)

and

$$\theta = \min\big\{[\frac{\underline{q}}{8(\delta+1)(G+1)}]^{\mu-(\delta+1)},\eta\big\}.$$

If $||u|| = \underline{q}^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}}\theta := \rho$, then by Lemma 2.3, $|u_n| \leq \theta \leq \eta < 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (A3), (3.1), (3.2) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\sum_{n \in M} W_n(u_n) \leq \sum_{n \in M, \ u_n \neq 0} W_n(\frac{u_n}{|u_n|}) |u_n|^{\mu}$$

$$\leq G \sum_{n \in M} |u_n|^{\mu}$$

$$\leq G \theta^{\mu - (\delta + 1)} \sum_{n \in M} u_n^{\delta + 1}$$

$$\leq \frac{G \theta^{\mu - (\delta + 1)}}{\underline{q}} \sum_{n \in M} q_n u_n^{\delta + 1}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{8(\delta + 1)} \sum_{n \in M} q_n u_n^{\delta + 1}.$$
(3.3)

Set $\alpha = \frac{1}{2(\delta+1)} \theta^{\delta+1}$. Hence, from (2.3), (3.1), (3.2), (A2)–(A4), we have

$$J(u) \geq \frac{1}{\delta+1} \|u\|^{\delta+1} - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus M} F_n(u_{n+T}, u_n) - \sum_{n \in M} F_n(u_{n+T}, u_n)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\delta+1} \|u\|^{\delta+1} - \frac{1}{8(\delta+1)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus M} (u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_n^{\delta+1}) - \sum_{n \in M} W_n(u_n)$$

$$+ \sum_{n \in M} H_n(u_{n+T}, u_n)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\delta+1} \|u\|^{\delta+1} - \frac{1}{4(\delta+1)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus M} q_n u_n^{\delta+1} - \frac{1}{4(\delta+1)} \sum_{n \in M} q_n u_n^{\delta+1}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\delta+1} \|u\|^{\delta+1} - \frac{1}{4(\delta+1)} \|u\|^{\delta+1} - \frac{1}{4(\delta+1)} \|u\|^{\delta+1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(\delta+1)} \|u\|^{\delta+1} = \alpha.$$

(3.4)

This inequality shows that $||u|| = \rho$ implies that $J(u) \ge \alpha$, i.e., J satisfies assumption (1) in Lemma 2.1.

Next we shall verify the condition (2). Take $\tau \in E$ such that

$$|\tau_n| = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |n| \le 1, \\ 0, & \text{for } |n| \ge 2, \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

and $|\tau_n| \leq 1$ for $|n| \in (1,2)$. For any $u \in E$, it follows from (2.7) and (A7) that

$$\sum_{n=-2}^{2} H_{n}(u_{n+T}, u_{n})$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(-2,2), \ u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_{n}^{\delta+1} > 1} H_{n}(u_{n+T}, u_{n}) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(-2,2), \ u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_{n}^{\delta+1} \leq 1} H_{n}(u_{n+T}, u_{n})$$

$$\leq c \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(-2,2), \ u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_{n}^{\delta+1} > 1} (u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_{n}^{\delta+1})^{\frac{\varrho}{\delta+1}}$$

$$+ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(-2,2), \ u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_{n}^{\delta+1} \leq 1} H_{n}(u_{n+T}, u_{n})$$

$$\leq 2c\underline{q}^{-\frac{\varrho}{\delta+1}} \|u\|^{\varrho} + K_{2},$$
(3.6)

where

$$K_2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(-2,2), \ u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_n^{\delta+1} \le 1} H_n(u_{n+T}, u_n).$$

For $\sigma > 1$, by Lemma 2.4 and (3.5), we have

$$\sum_{n=-1}^{1} W_n(\sigma u_n) \ge \sigma^{\mu} \sum_{n=-1}^{1} W_n(u_n) = K_3 \sigma^{\mu},$$
(3.7)

where $K_3 = \sum_{n=-1}^{1} W_n(u_n) > 0$. By (2.3), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), for $\sigma > 1$, we have

$$J(\sigma\tau) = \frac{1}{\delta+1} \|\sigma\tau\|^{\delta+1} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} [H_n(\sigma\tau_{n+T}, \sigma\tau_n) - W_n(\sigma\tau_n)]$$

$$\leq \frac{\sigma^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} \|\tau\|^{\delta+1} + \sum_{n=-2}^{2} H_n(\sigma\tau_{n+T}, \sigma\tau_n) - \sum_{n=-1}^{1} W_n(\sigma\tau_n) \qquad (3.8)$$

$$\leq \frac{\sigma^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} \|\tau\|^{\delta+1} + 2c\underline{q}^{-\frac{\varrho}{\delta+1}} \|u\|^{\varrho} + K_2 - K_3 \sigma^{\mu}.$$

Since $\mu > \rho > \delta + 1$ and $K_3 > 0$, (3.8) implies that there exists $\sigma_0 > 1$ such that $\sigma_0 \tau > \rho$ and $J(\sigma_0 \tau) < 0$. Set $e = \sigma_0 \tau$. Then $e \in E$, $||e|| = ||\sigma_0 \tau|| > \rho$ and $J(e) = J(\sigma_0 \tau) < 0$. By Lemma 2.1, J possesses a critical value $d \ge \alpha$ given by

$$d = \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{s \in [0,1]} J(g(s)),$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{g \in C([0,1], E) | g(0) = 0, g(1) = e\}.$$

Hence, there exists $u^* \in E$ such that

$$J(u^*) = d, \quad J'(u^*) = 0.$$

Then function u^* is a desired solution of (1.5) satisfying (1.4). Since d > 0, u^* is a nontrivial solution. The desired results follow.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In the proof of Theorem 1.1, the condition that $H_n(v_1, v_2) \ge 0$ for $(n, v_1, v_2) \in M \times \mathbb{R}^2$, $\beta_1 = (v_1^{\delta+1} + v_2^{\delta+1})^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}}$ in (A4) is only used in the proof

of hypothesis (1) of Lemma 2.1. Thus, we only prove hypothesis (1) of Lemma 2.1 still hold replacing (A4) by (A4'). By (A4'), we have

$$|F_n(u_{n+T}, u_n)| \le \frac{1}{4(\delta+1)} (u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_n^{\delta+1}), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ (u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_n^{\delta+1})^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}} \le \eta.$$
(3.9)

If $||u|| = \underline{q}^{\frac{1}{\delta+1}}\eta := \rho$, then by Lemma 2.3, $|u_n| \leq \eta$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $\alpha = \frac{1}{2(\delta+1)}\eta^{\delta+1}$. Hence, from (2.3) and (3.9), we have

$$J(u) \geq \frac{1}{\delta+1} \|u\|^{\delta+1} - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} F_n(u_{n+T}, u_n)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\delta+1} \|u\|^{\delta+1} - \frac{1}{4(\delta+1)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} (u_{n+T}^{\delta+1} + u_n^{\delta+1})$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\delta+1} \|u\|^{\delta+1} - \frac{1}{2(\delta+1)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} q_n u_n^{\delta+1}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\delta+1} \|u\|^{\delta+1} - \frac{1}{2(\delta+1)} \|u\|^{\delta+1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(\delta+1)} \|u\|^{\delta+1} = \alpha.$$

(3.10)

This inequality shows that $||u|| = \rho$ implies that $J(u) \ge \alpha$, i.e., J satisfies assumption (1) of Lemma 2.1. The proof is complete.

References

- X. C. Cai, J. S. Yu; Existence theorems of periodic solutions for second-order nonlinear difference equations, Adv. Difference Equ., 2008 (2008), 1-11.
- [2] Chen, X. H. Tang; Existence of infinitely many homoclinic orbits for fourth-order difference systems containing both advance and retardation, Appl. Math. Comput., 217(9) (2011), 4408-4415.
- [3] Chen, X. H. Tang; Existence and multiplicity of homoclinic orbits for 2nth-order nonlinear difference equations containing both many advances and retardations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 381(2) (2011), 485-505.
- [4] P. Chen, X. Tang; Existence of homoclinic solutions for some second-order discrete Hamiltonian systems, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 19(4) (2013), 633-648.
- P. Chen, C. Tian; Infinitely many solutions for Schrödinger-Maxwell equations with indefinite sign subquadratic potentials, Appl. Math. Comput., 226(1) (2014), 492-502.
- [6] P. Chen, Z. M. Wang; Infinitely many homoclinic solutions for a class of nonlinear difference equations, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., (47) (2012), 1-18.
- [7] D. N. Christodoulides, F. Lederer, Y. Silberberg; Discretizing light behaviour in linear and nonlinear waveguide lattices, Nature, 424 (2003), 817-823.
- [8] S. Flach, A. Gorbach; Discrete breakers-Advances in theory and applications, Phys. Rep., 467(1-3) (2008), 1-116.
- J. W. Fleischer, M. Segev, N. K. Efremidis, D. N. Christodoulides; Observation of twodimensional discrete solitons in optically induced nonlinear photonic lattices, Nature, 422 (2003), 147-150.
- [10] C. J. Guo, D. O'Regan, C. J. Wang; The existence of homoclinic orbits for a class of first order superquadratic Hamiltonian systems, Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys., 61 (2014), 83-102.
- [11] C. J. Guo, D. O'Regan, C. J. Wang, R. P. Agarwal; Existence of homoclinic orbits of superquadratic second-order Hamiltonian systems, Z. Anal. Anwend., 34(1) (2015), 27-41.

- [12] C. J. Guo, D. O'Regan, Y. T. Xu, R. P. Agarwal; Existence of homoclinic orbits of a class of second order differential difference equations, Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. B Appl. Algorithms, 20(6) (2013), 675-690.
- [13] C. J. Guo, D. O'Regan, Y. T. Xu, R. P. Agarwal; Homoclinic orbits for a singular secondorder neutral differential equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 366(2) (2010), 550-560.
- [14] Z. M. Guo, J. S. Yu; Existence of periodic and subharmonic solutions for second-order superlinear difference equations, Sci. China Math., 46(4) (2003), 506-515.
- [15] Z. M. Guo, J. S. Yu; The existence of periodic and subharmonic solutions of subquadratic second order difference equations, J. London Math. Soc., 68(2) (2003), 419-430.
- [16] X. Z. He; Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of second order nonlinear difference equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 175(2) (1993), 482-498.
- [17] M. H. Huang, Z. Zhou; Standing wave solutions for the discrete coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations with unbounded potentials, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2013 (2013), 1-6.
- [18] M. H. Huang, Z. Zhou; On the existence of ground state solutions of the periodic discrete coupled nonlinear Schrödinger lattice, J. Appl. Math., 2013 (2013), 1-8.
- [19] Y. S. Kivshar, G. P. Agrawal; Optical Solitons: From Fibers to Photonic Crystals, Academic Press: San Diego, 2003.
- [20] M. J. Ma, Z. M. Guo; Homoclinic orbits for second order self-adjoint difference equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 323(1) (2006), 513-521.
- [21] M. J. Ma, Z. M. Guo; Homoclinic orbits and subharmonics for nonlinear second order difference equations, Nonlinear Anal., 67(6) (2007), 1737-1745.
- [22] A. Mai, Z. Zhou; Discrete solitons for periodic discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Appl. Math. Comput., 222(1) (2013), 34-41.
- [23] A. Mai, Z. Zhou; Ground state solutions for the periodic discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations with superlinear nonlinearities, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2013 (2013), 1-11.
- [24] J. Mawhin, M. Willem; Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems, Springer: New York, 1989.
- [25] A. Pankov; Gap solitons in periodic discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Nonlinearity, 19(1) (2006), 27-41.
- [26] A. Pankov; Gap solitons in periodic discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations II: A generalized Nehari manifold approach, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 19(2) (2007), 419-430.
- [27] P. H. Rabinowitz; Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI: New York, 1986.
- [28] X. H. Tang; Non-Nehari manifold method for asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equations, Sci. China Math., 58(4) (2015), 715-728.
- [29] X. H. Tang; New conditions on nonlinearity for a periodic Schrödinger equation having zero as spectrum, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 413(1) (2014), 392-410.
- [30] X. H. Tang; Infinitely many solutions for semilinear Schrödinger equations with signchanging potential and nonlinearity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 401(1) (2013), 407-415.
- [31] G. P. Zhang; Breather solutions of the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations with unbounded potentials, J. Math. Phys., 50 (2009), 013505.
- [32] G. P. Zhang, F. S. Liu; Existence of breather solutions of the DNLS equations with unbounded potentials, Nonlinear Anal., 71(12) (2009), 786-792.
- [33] Z. Zhou, D. F. Ma; Multiplicity results of breathers for the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations with unbounded potentials, Sci. China Math., 58(4) (2015), 781-790.
- [34] Z. Zhou, J. S. Yu; On the existence of homoclinic solutions of a class of discrete nonlinear periodic systems, J. Differential Equations, 249(5) (2010), 1199-1212.
- [35] Z. Zhou, J. S. Yu, Y. M. Chen; On the existence of gap solitons in a periodic discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation with saturable nonlinearity, Nonlinearity, 23(7) (2010), 1727-1740.

Haiping Shi

Modern Business and Management Department, Guangdong Construction Polytechnic, Guangzhou 510440, China

E-mail address: shp7971@163.com

Yuanbiao Zhang

PACKAGING ENGINEERING INSTITUTE, JINAN UNIVERSITY, ZHUHAI 519070, CHINA *E-mail address*: abiaoa@163.com