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# UNIFORM ESTIMATE AND STRONG CONVERGENCE OF MINIMIZERS OF A p-ENERGY FUNCTIONAL WITH PENALIZATION 

BEI WANG, YUZE CAI


#### Abstract

This article concerns the asymptotic behavior of minimizers of a $p$-energy functional with penalization as a parameter $\varepsilon$ approaches zero. By establishing $W^{1, p}$ uniform estimates, we obtain $W^{1, p}$ convergence of the minimizer to a p-harmonic map.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a bounded and simply connected domain with smooth boundary $\partial G$, and $B_{1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}<1\right\}$. Denote $S^{1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}=1, x_{3}=0\right\}$ and $S^{2}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}=1\right\}$. Sometimes we write the vector value function $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ as $\left(u^{\prime}, u_{3}\right)$. Let $g=\left(g^{\prime}, 0\right)$ be a smooth map from $\partial G$ into $S^{1}$ satisfying $d=\operatorname{deg}\left(g^{\prime}, \partial G\right) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $d>0$. Consider the energy functional

$$
E_{\varepsilon}(u, G)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{G}|\nabla u|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{p}} \int_{G} u_{3}^{2} d x, \quad p>2
$$

with a small parameter $\varepsilon>0$. From the direct method in the calculus of variations it is easy to see that the functional achieves its minimum in the function class $W_{g}^{1, p}\left(G, S^{2}\right)$. Obviously, the minimizer $u_{\varepsilon}$ on $W_{g}^{1, p}\left(G, S^{2}\right)$ is a weak solution of

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=u|\nabla u|^{p}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}}\left(u u_{3}^{2}-u_{3} e_{3}\right), \quad \text { on } G,
$$

where $e_{3}=(0,0,1)$. Namely, for any $\psi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(G, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right), u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \psi d x=\int_{G} u \psi|\nabla u|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{G} \psi\left(u u_{3}^{2}-u_{3} e_{3}\right) d x . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $u_{3} \geq 0$, otherwise we may consider $\left|u_{3}\right|$ in view of the expression of the functional.

When $p=2$, the functional $E_{\varepsilon}(u, G)$ was introduced in the study of some simplified model of high-energy physics, which controls the statics of planner ferromagnets and antiferromagnets (see [10, 18]). The asymptotic behavior of minimizers of $E_{\varepsilon}(u, G)$ has been considered by Fengbo Hang and Fanghua Lin in [8]. When

[^0]the term $\frac{u_{3}^{2}}{2 \varepsilon^{2}}$ replaced by $\frac{\left(1-|u|^{2}\right)^{2}}{4 \varepsilon^{2}}$ and $S^{2}$ replaced by $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, the problem becomes the simplified model of the Ginzburg-Landau theory for superconductors and was well studied in many papers such as [3, 4, 17, 19]. These works enunciate that the study of minimizers of the functional with some penalization terms is connected tightly with the study of harmonic maps with $S^{1}$-value. When $p>2$, it also shows an enlightenment, namely, the properties (such as the partial regularity, the properties of singularities) of p-harmonic maps can be seen via studying the asymptotic properties of minimizers of some p-energy functional with penalization (cf. [1, 2, 11, 13, 14, 16, 20).

In this article, as in [3, 4, 8, we concern with the asymptotic behavior of minimizers of functional $E_{\varepsilon}(u, G)$ on $W_{g}^{1, p}\left(G, S^{2}\right)$ where $p>2$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 1.1 ([15, Theorem 1.1]). Assume $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a minimizer of $E_{\varepsilon}(u, G)$ on $W_{g}^{1, p}\left(G, S^{2}\right)$. Then all the zeros of $\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|$ are included in finite, disintersected discs $B\left(x_{j}^{\varepsilon}, h \varepsilon\right), j=1,2, \ldots, N_{1}$ where $N_{1}$ and $h>0$ do not depend on $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$.

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, there exists a subsequence $x_{i}^{\varepsilon_{k}}$ of the center $x_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ and $a_{i} \in \bar{G}$ such that $x_{i}^{\varepsilon_{k}} \rightarrow a_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N_{1}$. Perhaps there may be at least two subsequences converging to the same point, we denote by $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N}, N \leq N_{1}$, the collection of distinct points in $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{1}}$. Although the relationship between $N$ and $d$ is unknown, the integer $N$ is independent of $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$. By virtue of Theorem 1.1, we see that all the zeros of $\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|$ converge to $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N}$ as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 . In addition, (2.3) in [15] shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| \geq 1 / 2 \quad \text { on } K \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is an arbitrary compact subset of $G \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{N}\left\{a_{i}\right\}$.
Theorem 1.2 ([15, Theorem 1.2]). Assume $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a minimizer of $E_{\varepsilon}(u, G)$ on $W_{g}^{1, p}\left(G, S^{2}\right)$.K is an arbitrary compact subset of $\bar{G} \backslash \cup_{j=1}^{N}\left\{a_{j}\right\}$. Then there exists a subsequence $u_{\varepsilon_{k}}$ of $u_{\varepsilon}$ such that as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
u_{\varepsilon_{k}} \rightarrow u_{p}=\left(u_{p}^{\prime}, 0\right), \quad \text { weakly in } W^{1, p}\left(K, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

where $u_{p}^{\prime}$ is a map of the least p-energy $\int_{K}|\nabla u|^{p} d x$ in $W^{1, p}\left(K, \partial B_{1}\right)$.
We shall give the uniform $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}$ estimate of $\nabla u_{\varepsilon}$ in $\S 3$. Recalling the case that the parameter $p$ equals to the dimension 2 , we know it is available to estimate the upper bound and the lower bound of $\int\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x$ since we can use the property of conformal transformation of $\int\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x$ (the idea of which can be seen in [4, 7, 8, (9]). In fact, when scaling $x=y \varepsilon$ in $E_{\varepsilon}(u, G)$, there is a coefficient $\varepsilon^{\lambda}$ appearing in the scaled energy functional. when $p=2$, it can be derived that the exponent $\lambda$ of $\varepsilon$ is zero. Therefore, the estimate of the upper bound

$$
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, G\right) \leq C_{1} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}+C
$$

and the lower bound

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{G \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{d} B\left(a_{i}, h \varepsilon\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \geq C_{2} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}-C
$$

can be obtained, where $C_{1}=C_{2}=\pi d$ (cf. [8, §4]). The uniform estimate is deduced at once. When $p>2$, the property of conformal transformation of $\int\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x$ is invalid. Therefore, $\lambda \neq 0$. It is impossible to derive such results as the case $p=2$
if the idea of estimating the upper and the lower bounds of $\int\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x$ is adopted. In fact, the upper bound

$$
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, G\right) \leq C_{3} \varepsilon^{2-p}+C
$$

and the lower bound

$$
\frac{1}{p} \int_{G \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{N} B\left(a_{i}, h \varepsilon\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{p} d x \geq C_{4} \varepsilon^{2-p}-C
$$

are also obtained. However, the relationship between $C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ is not clear except that $C_{4}$ may be smaller. In [15], a comparison method was used to obtain a uniform estimate where the average functions come into plays.

Here, we use the iteration technique introduced in [12] to obtain the uniform $L^{p}$ estimate of $\nabla u_{\varepsilon}$. In fact, the term $\int_{K}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x$ of the functional $E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, K\right)$ can be divided into three terms, $\left.\int_{K}|\nabla| u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{p} d x, \int_{K}\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{p} d x$ and $\int_{K}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{p}\left|\nabla \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}\right|^{p} d x$. We will prove that $\int_{K}|\nabla| u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}| |^{p} d x+\int_{K}\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{K} u_{\varepsilon 3}^{2} d x$ may be bounded by $O\left(\varepsilon^{\lambda}\right)$ with $\lambda>0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Using this estimate we will prove

$$
\int_{K}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C+O\left(\varepsilon^{\lambda}\right)
$$

Based on the Theorem 1.2 , we will prove in $\S 3$ that the p-harmonic map $u_{p}$ is a map of least p-energy $\int_{K}|\overline{\nabla u}|^{p} d x$, and the convergence is also in strong $W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p}$ sense.

Theorem 1.3. Assume $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a minimizer of $E_{\varepsilon}(u, G)$ on $W_{g}^{1, p}\left(G, S^{2}\right)$. $K$ is an arbitrary compact subset of $\bar{G} \backslash \cup_{j=1}^{N}\left\{a_{j}\right\}$. Then there exists a subsequence $u_{\varepsilon_{k}}$ of $u_{\varepsilon}$ such that as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
u_{\varepsilon_{k}} \rightarrow u_{p}=\left(u_{p}^{\prime}, 0\right), \quad \text { in } \quad W^{1, p}\left(K, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

where $u_{p}^{\prime}$ is the map in Theorem 1.2.

## 2. Uniform estimate

The following inverse Hölder inequality will be applied later.
Proposition 2.1. Assume that $p>1$, and $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a minimizer of $E_{\varepsilon}(u, G)$ on $W_{g}^{1, p}\left(G, S^{2}\right)$. Then there exist constants $t, R_{0} \in(0,1 / 2)$ and $C>0$ which is independent of $\varepsilon$, such that for any $B_{R} \subset G\left(2 R<R_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
\left(\int_{B_{R}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \leq C\left(\int_{B_{2 R}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+1\right)^{p / 2} d x\right)^{1 / p}, \quad \forall q \in[p, p+2 t)
$$

The above proposition is a corollary from [6. Theorem 4.1], with a rescaling.
Theorem 2.2. Let $R>0$ be a small constant such that $B(x, 2 R) \Subset G \backslash \cup_{j=1}^{N}\left\{a_{j}\right\}$. There exist constant $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $C_{j}>0$, and $R_{j}=2 R-\frac{j R}{[p]+1}$ such that for $j=2,3, \ldots,[p]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B_{j}\right) \leq C_{j} \varepsilon^{j-p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right), B_{j}=B\left(x, R_{j}\right)$, and $[p]$ is the integer part of $p$.
For $j=2$, the inequality $(2.1)$ is follows from [15, Proposition 2.1]. Suppose that (2.1) holds for all $j \leq m$. Then we have, in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B_{m}\right) \leq C_{m} \varepsilon^{m-p} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m=[p]$, then we are done. Suppose $m<[p]$, we want to prove 2.1) for $j=m+1$.

Applying (1.2) we have $\frac{1}{2} \leq\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(y)\right| \leq 1$, for all $y \in B(x, 2 R)$. Using the integral mean value theorem we know that there exists $r \in\left[R_{m+1 / 2}, R_{m}\right]$ such that

$$
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B_{m} \backslash B_{m+1 / 2}\right)=C_{0}(r) \int_{\partial B(x, r)}\left[\frac{1}{p}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p}+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{p}} u_{\varepsilon 3}^{2}\right] d \xi
$$

and applying $\sqrt{2.2}$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B(x, r)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d \xi+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{\partial B(x, r)} u_{\varepsilon 3}^{2} d \xi \leq C_{0}^{-1}(r) C_{m} \varepsilon^{m-p} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $B=B(x, r)$, and introduce two propositions.
Proposition 2.3. If $\rho_{1}$ is a minimizer of the functional

$$
E(\rho, B)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{B}\left(|\nabla \rho|^{2}+1\right)^{p / 2} d x+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B}(1-\rho)^{2} d x
$$

on $W_{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}^{1, p}\left(B, \mathbb{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}\right)$. Then $E\left(\rho_{1}, B\right) \leq C \varepsilon^{m-p+1}$.
Proof. Obviously, the minimizer $\rho_{1}$ exists and satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(v^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla \rho\right) & =\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}}(1-\rho) \quad \text { on } B,  \tag{2.4}\\
\left.\rho\right|_{\partial B} & =\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v=|\nabla \rho|^{2}+1$. Since $1 / 2 \leq\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$, it follows from the maximum principle that on $\bar{B}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \leq \rho_{1} \leq 1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying 2.2 and noting $\left(1-\left|u^{\prime}\right|\right)^{2} \leq u_{3}^{2}$, we see easily that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\rho_{1}, B\right) \leq E\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|, B\right) \leq C E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B\right) \leq C \varepsilon^{m-p} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (2.4) by $\partial_{\nu} \rho$, where $\rho$ denotes $\rho_{1}$, and integrating over $B$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{\partial B} v^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{\nu} \rho\right)^{2} d \xi+\int_{B} v^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla \rho \nabla\left(\partial_{\nu} \rho\right) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B}(1-\rho)\left(\partial_{\nu} \rho\right) d x \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu$ denotes the unit outside norm vector on $\partial B$. Using (2.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{B} v^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla\left(\partial_{\nu} \rho\right) d x\right| & \leq C \int_{B} v^{(p-2) / 2}|\nabla \rho|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{p}\left|\int_{B} \nu \cdot \nabla\left(v^{p / 2}\right) d x\right| \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{m-p}+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial B} v^{p / 2} d \xi \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.3), (2.5) and (2.7) we also have

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B}(1-\rho)\left(\partial_{\nu} \rho\right) d x\right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{p}}\left|\int_{B}(1-\rho)^{2} d i v \nu d x-\int_{\partial B}(1-\rho)^{2} d \xi\right| \leq C \varepsilon^{m-p}
$$

Substituting this result and 2.9 into 2.8 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\partial B} v^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{\nu} \rho\right)^{2} d \xi\right| \leq C \varepsilon^{m-p}+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial B} v^{p / 2} d \xi \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying 2.3, 2.5, 2.10 and the Young inequality, we obtain that for any $\delta \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial B} v^{p / 2} d \xi= & \int_{\partial B} v^{(p-2) / 2}\left[1+\left(\partial_{\nu} \rho\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{\tau} \rho\right)^{2}\right] d \xi \\
\leq & \int_{\partial B} v^{(p-2) / 2} d \xi+\int_{\partial B} v^{(p-2) / 2}\left(\partial_{\nu} \rho\right)^{2} d \xi \\
& +\left(\int_{\partial B} v^{p / 2} d \xi\right)^{(p-2) / p}\left(\int_{\partial B}\left(\tau \cdot \nabla\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right)^{p} d \xi\right)^{2 / p} \\
\leq & C(\delta) \varepsilon^{m-p}+\left(\frac{1}{p}+2 \delta\right) \int_{\partial B} v^{p / 2} d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau$ denotes the unit tangent vector on $\partial B$. Therefore, it follows by choosing $\delta>0$ sufficiently small that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B} v^{p / 2} d \xi \leq C \varepsilon^{m-p} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We multiply both sides of 2.4 by $(1-\rho)$ and integrate over $B$. Then

$$
\int_{B} v^{(p-2) / 2}|\nabla \rho|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B}(1-\rho)^{2} d x=-\int_{\partial B} v^{(p-2) / 2}(\nu \cdot \nabla \rho)(1-\rho) d \xi
$$

whose left hand side is proportional to $E\left(\rho_{1}, B\right)$. Thus

$$
E\left(\rho_{1}, B\right) \leq C\left|\int_{\partial B} v^{(p-2) / 2}(\nu \cdot \nabla \rho)(1-\rho) d \xi\right|
$$

Applying Holder's inequality and (2.3), 2.5), 2.6) and 2.11, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(\rho_{1}, B\right) & \leq C\left|\int_{\partial B} v^{p / 2} d \xi\right|^{(p-1) / p}\left|\int_{\partial B}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)^{2} d \xi\right|^{1 / p}  \tag{2.12}\\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(p-1) / p}\left|\int_{\partial B} u_{\varepsilon 3}^{2} d \xi\right|^{1 / p} \leq C \varepsilon^{m-p+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is complete.
Proposition 2.4. Denote $h=\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|$. Then there is $t \in(0,1 / 2)$ such that for any $\delta \in(0,1 / 2)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p} \int_{B}|\nabla h|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{m-p+1}+\delta \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x+C\left(\int_{B(x, 2 r)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x+1\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
& \quad \times\left[\int_{B}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x\right]^{t /(p+t)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $U=\left(\sqrt{2 \rho_{1}-\rho_{1}^{2}} w, 1-\rho_{1}\right)$ on $B ; U=u_{\varepsilon}$ on $G \backslash B$, where $w=w_{\varepsilon}=\frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}$. Then $U \in W_{g}^{1, p}\left(G, S^{2}\right)$. Since $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a minimizer of $E_{\varepsilon}(u, G)$, we have

$$
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, G\right) \leq E_{\varepsilon}(U, G)=E_{\varepsilon}(U, B)+E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, G \backslash B\right),
$$

which means $E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B\right) \leq E_{\varepsilon}(U, B)$. Using 2.12 it is not difficult to see that for any $\delta>0$,

$$
\int_{B}\left|\nabla \rho_{1}\right|^{2}|\nabla w|^{p-2} d x \leq\left(\int_{B}\left|\nabla \rho_{1}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{2 / p}\left(\int_{B}|\nabla w|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}
$$

$$
\leq \delta \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x+C \varepsilon^{m+1-p}
$$

By using 2.6 and the mean value theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B}\left(\frac{\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)^{2}}{2 \rho_{1}-\rho_{1}^{2}}\left|\nabla \rho_{1}\right|^{2}+\left(2 \rho_{1}-\rho_{1}^{2}\right)|\nabla w|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d x-\int_{B}\left(\left(2 \rho_{1}-\rho_{1}^{2}\right)|\nabla w|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d x \\
& \leq C \int_{B}\left(\left|\nabla \rho_{1}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla \rho_{1}\right|^{2}|\nabla w|^{p-2}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and noting $2 \rho-\rho^{2}-1=-(1-\rho)^{2} \leq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B\right) \leq & E_{\varepsilon}(U, B) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{p} \int_{B}\left(\left(2 \rho_{1}-\rho_{1}^{2}\right)|\nabla w|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d x+C \int_{B}\left(\left|\nabla \rho_{1}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla \rho_{1}\right|^{2}|\nabla w|^{p-2}\right) d x \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B}\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)^{2} d x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{p} \int_{B}|\nabla w|^{p} d x+\delta \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x+C \varepsilon^{m+1-p}+C E\left(\rho_{1}, B\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this result and 2.12 , we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B\right) \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{B}|\nabla w|^{p} d x+C \varepsilon^{m+1-p}+\delta \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Jensen's inequality and 2.14 , we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p} \int_{B}|\nabla h|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{B}\left(h^{p}-1\right)|\nabla w|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{p} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B\right)-\frac{1}{p} \int_{B}|\nabla w|^{p} d x  \tag{2.15}\\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{m-p+1}+\delta \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Since $h \geq 1 / 2$ and Proposition 2.1. there exists a $t \in(0,1 / 2)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p} \int_{B}\left(1-h^{p}\right)\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq \frac{2^{p}}{p} \int_{B}\left(1-h^{p}\right)\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p+t} d x\right)^{p /(p+t)}\left(\int_{B}\left(1-h^{p}\right)^{(p+t) / t} d x\right)^{t /(p+t)}  \tag{2.16}\\
& \leq C\left(\int_{B(x, 2 r)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x+1\right)\left(\int_{B}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x\right)^{t /(p+t)}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with 2.15 we complete the proof.

## Proof of Theorem 2.2,

Step 1. Since $\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| \geq 1 / 2$, there exists $\phi \in W^{1, p}(B(x, 3 R),[0,2 \pi))$ such that $w=$ $\frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}=(\cos \phi, \sin \phi)$. Obviously, $|\nabla w|^{2}=|\nabla \phi|^{2}$. Substituting this into 1.1) with the test function $(\psi, 0)$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B(x, 3 R)}|\nabla u|^{p-2}(w \nabla h+h \nabla w) \nabla \psi d x \\
& =\int_{B(x, 3 R)} h w|\nabla u|^{p} \psi d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B(x, 3 R)} h w \psi\left(1-h^{2}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(G, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Let $e^{i \phi}=\cos \phi+i \sin \phi$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{3 R}(x)} h e^{i \phi}|\nabla u|^{p} \psi d x+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B_{3 R}(x)} h \psi e^{i \phi}\left(1-h^{2}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{B_{3 R}(x)}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\left(e^{i \phi} \nabla h+h i e^{i \phi} \nabla \phi\right) \nabla \psi d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $\psi=e^{-i \phi} \zeta$, where $\zeta \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(B(x, 3 R), \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B(x, 3 R)} h\left(1-h^{2}\right) \zeta d x  \tag{2.17}\\
& =\int_{B(x, 3 R)}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\left(\nabla h \nabla \zeta+h\left(|\nabla \phi|^{2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \zeta\right) d x \\
& \quad 0=\int_{B(x, 3 R)}|\nabla u|^{p-2}(h \nabla \phi \nabla \zeta-\zeta \nabla h \nabla \phi) d x \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\zeta=h \xi$ in 2.18, where $\xi \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(B(x, 3 R), \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{B(x, 3 R)}|\nabla u|^{p-2} h^{2} \nabla \phi \nabla \xi d x \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $\rho$ is an arbitrary constant in $(0,3 R / 2)$. Let $\zeta \in W_{0}^{1, p}(B(x, 2 \rho),[0,1])$, and $\zeta=1$ on $B(x, \rho)$. Taking $\xi=\phi \zeta^{2}$ in 2.19) and using the Young inequality, for any $\eta \in(0,1)$ we obtain

$$
\int_{B(x, 2 \rho)}|\nabla u|^{p-2} h^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} \zeta^{2} d x \leq C \int_{B(x, 2 \rho)}|\nabla u|^{p-2} h^{2}\left(\eta|\nabla \phi|^{2} \zeta^{2}+C(\eta)\right) d x
$$

Choosing $\eta$ sufficiently small and noticing $\zeta=1$ on $B(x, \rho)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B(x, \rho)}|\nabla u|^{p-2} h^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x \leq C\left(\int_{B(x, 2 \rho)}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{1-2 / p} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying 2.20 with $\rho=r$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p} \leq & \int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\left(h^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2}+|\nabla h|^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{2}\right) d x \\
\leq & C\left(\int_{B(x, 2 r)}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{1-2 / p} \\
& +\left(\int_{B}\left(|\nabla h|^{p}+\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{p}\right) d x\right)^{2 / p}\left(\int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{(p-2) / p}  \tag{2.21}\\
\leq & C\left(\int_{B(x, 2 r)}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{1-2 / p}+\delta \int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p} d x \\
& +C(\delta) \int_{B}\left(|\nabla h|^{p}+\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{p}\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting 2.13 into 2.21 and choosing $\delta>0$ sufficiently small we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p} d x \leq & C\left(\int_{B(x, 2 r)}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{1-2 / p}+C \varepsilon^{m-p+1}  \tag{2.22}\\
& +C\left(\int_{B(x, 2 r)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x+1\right)\left[\int_{B}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x\right]^{t /(p+t)}
\end{align*}
$$

From $\sqrt{2.2}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p} d x \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{m-p}\right)^{1-2 / p}+C \varepsilon^{m-p+1}+C \varepsilon^{m-p+\frac{m t}{p+t}}=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. When $m \leq p / 2$, then $m+1-p \leq(m-p)(1-2 / p)$. Therefore $I_{1} \leq I_{2}$. Let $k_{0} \in N$ be the minimum with the property $m+1 \leq\left(1+\frac{t}{p+t}\right)^{k_{0}} m$.

In the following we shall improve the exponent $m-p+\frac{t}{p+t} m$ of $\varepsilon$ in $I_{3}$ to $m-p+1$. Assume $\zeta \in C_{0}^{\infty}(B(x, 2 R),[0,1])$ satisfying $\zeta=1$ on $B_{m+1 / 2}$ and $|\nabla \zeta| \leq C$. Taking the test function as $h \zeta(1-h)$ in 2.17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B} h^{2}\left(1-h^{2}\right) \zeta(1-h) d x+\int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p-2}|\nabla h|^{2} h \zeta d x+\int_{B} h^{2}|\nabla u|^{p}(1-h) \zeta d x \\
& \leq \int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla h \nabla \zeta h(1-h) d x+\int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p} \zeta(1-h) \leq C \int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting $\zeta=1$ on $B_{m+1 / 2}$, applying $h \geq 1 / 2$ and 2.22 , we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B_{m+1 / 2}}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B} h^{2}\left(1-h^{2}\right)(1-h) \zeta d x \leq C\left(1+\varepsilon^{m-p+\frac{t}{p+t} m}\right)
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{m+1 / 2}}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{m\left(1+\frac{t}{p+t}\right)}, \quad \varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, similar to the derivation of 2.14 , for $B_{m+1 / 2}$ we still conclude that for any $\delta>0$,

$$
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B_{m+1 / 2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{B_{m+1 / 2}}|\nabla w|^{p} d x+C \varepsilon^{m-p+1}+\delta \int_{B_{m+1 / 2}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x
$$

Therefore, 2.15 can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p} \int_{B_{m+1 / 2}}|\nabla h|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{B_{m+1 / 2}}\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B_{m+1 / 2}}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{m-p+1}+\frac{1}{p} \int_{B_{m+1 / 2}}\left(1-h^{p}\right)|\nabla w|^{p} d x+\delta \int_{B_{m+1 / 2}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate the second term of the right hand side of 2.25 , we apply 2.23 and (2.24) to obtain

$$
\frac{1}{p} \int_{B_{m+1 / 2}}\left(1-h^{p}\right)|\nabla w|^{p} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{\left(m+\frac{t}{p+t} m\right) \frac{t}{p+t}+m+\frac{t}{p+t} m-p}=C \varepsilon^{m\left(1+\frac{t}{p+t}\right)^{2}-p}
$$

by the same way as for (2.16). Substituting this into (2.25) yields

$$
\frac{1}{p} \int_{B_{m+1 / 2}}\left(|\nabla h|^{p}+\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{p}\right) d x \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{m-p+1}+\varepsilon^{m\left(1+\frac{t}{p+t}\right)^{2}-p}\right)+\delta \int_{B_{m+1 / 2}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x
$$

Using this instead of 2.13 and by the same argument of Step 1 we can improve (2.23) as

$$
\int_{B_{m+1 / 2}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C+C\left(\varepsilon^{m-p+1}+\varepsilon^{m\left(1+\frac{t}{p+t}\right)^{2}-p}\right) \leq C \varepsilon^{m\left(1+\frac{t}{p+t}\right)^{2}-p}
$$

Now, we use this inequality replacing (2.23) to discuss, thus 2.24) can be written as

$$
\int_{B_{m+3 / 4}}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{m\left(1+\frac{t}{p+t}\right)^{2}}, \quad \varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right) .
$$

As a result, it is also follows that, as the derivation of 2.16) and 2.23,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{p} \int_{B_{m+3 / 4}}\left(1-h^{p}\right)|\nabla w|^{p} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{m\left(1+\frac{t}{p+t}\right)^{3}-p} \\
\int_{B_{m+3 / 4}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C+C\left(\varepsilon^{m-p+1}+\varepsilon^{m\left(1+\frac{t}{p+t}\right)^{3}-p}\right) \leq C \varepsilon^{m\left(1+\frac{t}{p+t}\right)^{3}-p}
\end{gathered}
$$

If we do in this way, and noting the definition of $k_{0}$, we can derive by $k_{0}$ steps that

$$
\int_{B_{m+1-1 / 2^{k_{0}-1}}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C+C\left(\varepsilon^{m-p+1}+\varepsilon^{m\left(1+\frac{t}{p+t}\right)^{k_{0}}-p}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\int_{B_{m+1}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq \int_{B_{m+1-1 / 2^{k_{0}-1}}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{m-p+1}+1\right)
$$

This is 2.2 for $j=m+1$.
Step 3. When $m>p / 2,(m-p)(1-2 / p)<m+1-p$. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer such that $(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{k} \leq m+1-p<(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{k+1}$. Now, $I_{1} \geq I_{2}$ in (2.23). Thus,

$$
\int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p} d x \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{m-p}\right)^{1-2 / p}+C \varepsilon^{m-p+\frac{m t}{(p+t)}}
$$

Similar to Step 2, we may improve the exponent $m-p+\frac{m t}{p+t}$ of $\varepsilon$ in $I_{3}$ to ( $m-$ $p)(1-2 / p)$ since we may find $k_{0}>0$ such that $m\left(1+\frac{t}{p+t}\right)^{k_{0}}-p>(m-p)(1-2 / p)$.

Namely, there is a constant $r_{1} \in\left(R_{m+1}, r\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{B\left(x, r_{1}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)}
$$

Therefore, as the derivation of 2.24 ,

$$
\int_{B\left(x, 2 r_{1} / 3\right)}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)+p}
$$

Substituting these into 2.22 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B\left(x, r_{1} / 2\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{m+1-p}+C\left[\int_{B(x, r)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x\right]^{1-2 / p} \\
& \quad+C\left(\int_{B(x, r)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x+1\right)\left[\int_{B(x, r)}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x\right]^{\frac{t}{p+t}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{m+1-p}+C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{2}}+C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)+[(m-p)(1-2 / p)+p] \frac{t}{p+t}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting $(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{2}<m+1-p$, we can see that

$$
\int_{B\left(x, r_{1} / 2\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{2}}+C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)+[(m-p)(1-2 / p)+p] \frac{t}{p+t}}
$$

Using the idea of Step 2 , we can improve the exponent $(m-p)(1-2 / p)+[(m-p)(1-$ $2 / p)+p] \frac{t}{p+t}$ of $\varepsilon$ to $(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{2}$. Namely, there is a constant $r_{2} \in\left(R_{m+1}, r_{1} / 2\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{B\left(x, r_{2}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{2}}
$$

Suppose that for some $l \leq k-1$,

$$
\int_{B\left(x, r_{l-1}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{l}}
$$

holds, where $R_{m+1}<r_{l+1}<r_{l} / 2$ for $l=2,3, \cdots, k-1$. Therefore, as the derivation of 2.24 ,

$$
\int_{B\left(x, r_{l-1}\right)}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{l}+p}
$$

Substituting these inequalities into 2.22 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B\left(x, r_{l}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{m+1-p}+C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{l+1}}+C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{l}+\left[(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{l}+p\right] \frac{t}{p+t}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{l+1}}+C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{l}+\left[(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{l}+p\right] \frac{t}{p+t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to Step 2, we may improve again the exponent $(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{l}+[(m-$ $\left.p)(1-2 / p)^{l}+p\right] \frac{t}{p+t}$ of $\varepsilon$ to $(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{l+1}$. Namely, it can be seen that

$$
\int_{B\left(x, r_{l}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{l+1}}
$$

From this result it follows that for $l=k-1$,

$$
\int_{B\left(x, r_{k-1}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} \leq C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{k}}
$$

Therefore, as the derivation of (2.24,

$$
\int_{B\left(x, r_{l-1}\right)}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{k}+p}
$$

Combining these with 2.22 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B\left(x, \frac{r_{k-1}^{2}}{2}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{m+1-p}+C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{k+1}}+C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{k}+\left[(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{k}+p\right] \frac{t}{p+t}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{m+1-p}+C \varepsilon^{(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{k}+\left[(m-p)(1-2 / p)^{k}+p\right] \frac{t}{p+t}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in Step 2 and noting the definition of $k$, we may also improve the exponent of $\varepsilon$ to $m+1-p$ finally. Namely, we have

$$
\int_{B\left(x, r_{k-1} / 2\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} \leq C \varepsilon^{m+1-p}
$$

This is $(2.2)$ for $j=m+1$ and proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete.
Theorem 2.5. For an arbitrary compact subset $K$ of $G \backslash\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\}$. There exists a constant $C>0$ which does not depend on $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ such that $E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, K\right) \leq$ $C$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B(x, R)\right) \leq C$, where $B(x, R)$ is the disc in $G \backslash\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N}\right\}$. Theorem 2.2 shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B_{[p]}\right) \leq C \varepsilon^{[p]-p} . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this and the integral mean value theorem, there exists a constant $r \in$ $\left[R_{[p]+1 / 2}, R_{[p]}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B(x, r)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d \xi+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{\partial B(x, r)} u_{\varepsilon 3}^{2} d \xi \leq C(r) \varepsilon^{[p]-p} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the functional

$$
E(\rho, B)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{B}\left(|\nabla \rho|^{2}+1\right)^{p / 2} d x+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B}(1-\rho)^{2} d x
$$

where $B=B(x, r)$. It is easy to prove that the minimizer $\rho_{2}$ of $E(\rho, B)$ on $W_{\left|u_{s}^{\prime}\right|}^{1, p}\left(B, \mathbb{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}\right)$ exists. Similar to the proof of proposition 2.3 by 2.26 and 2.27) we can derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\rho_{2}, B\right) \leq C \varepsilon^{[p]-p+1} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this it follows that for any $\delta>0$,

$$
\int_{B}\left|\nabla \rho_{2}\right|^{2}|\nabla w|^{p-2} d x \leq \delta \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x+C \varepsilon^{[p]+1-p}
$$

Since $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a minimizer of $E_{\varepsilon}(u, G)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B\right) \leq & E_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(\rho_{2} w, \sqrt{1-\rho_{2}^{2}}\right), B\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{p} \int_{B}\left(\rho_{2}^{2}|\nabla w|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} d x+C \int_{B}\left(\left|\nabla \rho_{2}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla \rho_{2}\right|^{2}|\nabla w|^{p-2}\right) d x  \tag{2.29}\\
& +\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B}\left(1-\rho_{2}^{2}\right)^{2} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B\right) \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{B}|\nabla w|^{p} d x+C \varepsilon^{[p]+1-p}+\delta \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x
$$

Combining this with Jensen's inequality yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p} \int_{B}|\nabla h|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B\right)-\frac{1}{p} \int_{B}|\nabla w|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{B}\left(1-h^{p}\right)|\nabla w|^{p} d x  \tag{2.30}\\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{[p]+1-p}+\delta \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{B}\left(1-h^{p}\right)|\nabla w|^{p} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate the third term of the right hand side, we proceed in the same way of the proof of Proposition 2.4 and use $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{[p]-p}$ which is implied by (2.26). As a result, there exists $t \in(0,1 / 2)$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{p} \int_{B}\left(1-h^{p}\right)|\nabla w|^{p} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{[p]+[p] t /(p+t)-p}
$$

Substituting this into 2.30 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{p} \int_{B}\left(|\nabla h|^{p}+\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{p}\right) d x+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B}\left(1-h^{2}\right)^{2} d x \\
& \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{[p]+1-p}+\varepsilon^{[p]+\frac{[p] t}{p+t}-p}\right)+\delta \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

This and 2.21 imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{[p]-p+1}+C \varepsilon^{[p]-p+\frac{t}{p+t} m}+C \varepsilon^{([p]-p)(1-2 / p)}+C \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

as long as we choose $\delta>0$ sufficiently small. Discussing in the same way to Step 2 and Step 3, we may improve the exponent of $\varepsilon$ in the second and the third terms of the right hand side of (2.31) step by step such that the improved exponent is not smaller than $[p]-p+1$, thus for some $B_{[p]+1} \subset B$, there exists $C$ independent of $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ with $\varepsilon_{0}$ sufficiently small such that

$$
\int_{B_{[p]+1}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C+C \varepsilon^{[p]+1-p} \leq C
$$

The proof is complete.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Step 1. Suppose $B\left(x_{0}, 2 \sigma\right) \subset\left[G \backslash \cup_{j=1}^{N}\left\{a_{j}\right\}\right]$, where the constant $\sigma$ may be sufficiently small but independent of $\varepsilon$. Since theorem 2.5 implies $E_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, B\left(x_{0}, 2 \sigma\right) \backslash\right.$ $\left.B\left(x_{0}, \sigma\right)\right) \leq C$, there is a constant $r \in(\sigma, 2 \sigma)$ such that

$$
\int_{\partial B\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d \xi+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{\partial B\left(x_{0}, r\right)} u_{\varepsilon 3}^{2} d \xi \leq C(r)
$$

Thus, we can find a subsequence $u_{\varepsilon_{k}}$ of $u_{\varepsilon}$ such that $u_{\varepsilon_{k}} \rightarrow u_{p}=\left(u_{p}^{\prime}, 0\right)$ in $C\left(\partial B\left(x_{0}, r\right), \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, where $u_{p}^{\prime}$ is the $S^{1}$-valued harmonic map, which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{\varepsilon_{k}}^{\prime}}{\left|u_{\varepsilon_{k}}^{\prime}\right|} \rightarrow u_{p}^{\prime}, \quad \text { in } \quad C\left(\partial B\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. Denote $B=B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$. It is easy to see the existence of the solution $w_{\varepsilon}$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{\int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p} d x: u \in W_{\frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}}^{1, p}\left(B, \partial B_{1}\right)\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.5 and $\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| \geq 1 / 2$ on $B$ imply $2^{-p} \int_{B}\left|\nabla \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}\right|^{p} d x \leq \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq \int_{B}\left|\nabla \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}\right|^{p} d x \leq C \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this and (2.28) it follows that $\int_{B}\left|\nabla \rho_{2}\right|^{2}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{2([p]+1-p) / p}$, where $\rho_{2}$ is the minimizer of $E(\rho, B)$ on $W_{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}^{1, p}\left(B, \mathbb{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}\right)$. Substituting this result into 2.29) and using 2.28, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \leq C \varepsilon^{2([p]+1-p) / p}+\int_{B}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. Let $w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}$ be a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{\int_{B}\left(|\nabla w|^{2}+\tau\right)^{p / 2} d x: w \in W_{\substack{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \\\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}}^{1, p}\left(B, \partial B_{1}\right)\right\}, \quad \tau \in(0,1) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}$ also solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(v_{\varepsilon}^{\tau(p-2) / 2} \nabla w\right)=w|\nabla w|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{\tau(p-2) / 2}, \quad v_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}=|\nabla w|^{2}+\tau \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noticing $\frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|} \in W_{\substack{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}| \\ | u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \mid}}^{1, p}\left(B, \partial B_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}\right|^{p} d x & \leq \int_{B}\left(\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}\right|^{2}+\tau\right)^{p / 2} d x \\
& \leq \int_{B}\left(\left|\nabla \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}\right|^{2}+\tau\right)^{p / 2} d x  \tag{3.7}\\
& \leq \int_{B}\left(\left|\nabla \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}\right|^{2}+1\right)^{p / 2} d x \leq C
\end{align*}
$$

by using (3.3), where $C$ is a constant which is independent of $\varepsilon, \tau$. Then there exist $w^{*} \in W_{\frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \mid}{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}}^{1, p}\left(B, \partial B_{1}\right)$ and a subsequence of $w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}$ denoted still by itself such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}=w^{*} \quad \text { weakly in } W^{1, p}\left(B, R^{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting the weak lower semi-continuity of $\int_{B}|\nabla w|^{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}\left|\nabla w^{*}\right|^{p} d x \leq \liminf _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}\right|^{p} d x \leq \limsup _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}\right|^{p} d x \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that $w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}$ solves 3.5 implies

$$
\limsup _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left(\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}\right|^{2}+\tau\right)^{p / 2} d x \leq \lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left(\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\tau\right)^{p / 2} d x=\int_{B}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x
$$

where $w_{\varepsilon}$ is a solution of (3.2). This and (3.9) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}\left|\nabla w^{*}\right|^{p} d x \leq \liminf _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}\right|^{p} d x \leq \limsup _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}\right|^{p} d x \leq \int_{B}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w^{*} \in W_{\substack{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \\\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}}^{1, p}\left(B, \partial B_{1}\right)$, we know $w^{*}$ also solves 3.2 , namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x=\int_{B}\left|\nabla w^{*}\right|^{p} d x \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with 3.10 yields $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}\right|^{p} d x=\int_{B}\left|\nabla w^{*}\right|^{p} d x$, which and (3.8) imply that as $\tau \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \rightarrow \nabla w^{*} \quad \text { in } L^{p}\left(B, R^{2}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4. By the same argument as in Step 3, we obtain the following conclusion: Let $u^{\tau}$ be a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{\int_{B}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\tau\right)^{p / 2} d x: u \in W_{u_{p}^{\prime}}^{1, p}\left(B, \partial B_{1}\right)\right\}, \quad \tau \in(0,1) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u^{\tau}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}\left|\nabla u^{\tau}\right|^{p} d x \leq C \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is which is independent of $\tau$, and $u^{\tau}$ solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left[\left(v^{\tau}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla u\right]=u|\nabla u|^{2} v^{(p-2) / 2}, \quad v^{\tau}=|\nabla u|^{2}+\tau \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\tau \rightarrow 0$, there exists a subsequence of $u^{\tau}$ denoted by itself such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla u^{\tau} \rightarrow \nabla u^{*} \quad \text { in } L^{p}\left(B, R^{2}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{*}$ is a minimizer of $\int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p} d x$ in $W_{u_{p}^{\prime}}^{1, p}\left(B, \partial B_{1}\right)$. It is well-known that $u^{*}$ is a map of the least p-energy, and also a p-harmonic map.
Step 5. From [5, Lemma 1, Page 65], we can write

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}=\left(\cos \phi_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}, \sin \phi_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}\right), & u^{\tau}=\left(\cos \psi^{\tau}, \sin \psi^{\tau}\right) \\
w_{\varepsilon}=\left(\cos \phi_{\varepsilon}^{*}, \sin \phi_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right), & u^{*}=\left(\cos \psi^{*}, \sin \psi^{*}\right) \\
\left.\frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|}\right|_{\partial B}=\left(\cos \phi_{\varepsilon}, \sin \phi_{\varepsilon}\right), & \left.u_{p}^{\prime}\right|_{\partial B}=(\cos \psi, \sin \psi)
\end{array}
$$

where $\phi_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}, \psi^{\tau}, \phi_{\varepsilon}^{*}, \psi^{*}$ belong to $W^{1, p}(B, R), \phi^{*}, \psi$ belong to $W^{1, p}(\partial B, R)$, and they are all single-valued functions since their degrees around $\partial B$ are zero. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\phi_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}\right|_{\partial B}=\phi_{\varepsilon},\left.\quad \psi^{\tau}\right|_{\partial B}=\psi \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}\right|=\left|\nabla \phi_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}\right|,\left|\nabla u^{\tau}\right|=\left|\nabla \psi^{\tau}\right| . \quad\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|=\left|\nabla \phi_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right|,\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|=\left|\nabla \psi^{*}\right|$. Moreover, by (3.6) and (3.15), we obtain that both $\phi_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}$ and $\psi^{\tau}$ satisfy $-\operatorname{div}\left[\left(|\nabla \Phi|^{2}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\tau)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla \Phi\right]=0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left[\left(\left|\nabla \phi_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}\right|^{2}+\tau\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla \phi_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}-\left(\left|\nabla \psi^{\tau}\right|^{2}+\tau\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla \psi^{\tau}\right]=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of 3.18 by $\phi_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}-\psi^{\tau}$ and integrating over $B$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{\partial B}\left(v_{\varepsilon}^{\tau(p-2) / 2} \phi_{\nu}-v^{(p-2) / 2} \psi_{\nu}\right)(\phi-\psi) d \xi  \tag{3.19}\\
& +\int_{B}\left(v_{\varepsilon}^{\tau(p-2) / 2} \nabla \phi-v^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla \psi\right) \nabla(\phi-\psi) d x=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu$ denotes the unit outside-norm vector of $\partial B$.
Let $w=w_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}$ be a solution of (3.5). Integrating both sides of 3.6) over $B$, we have

$$
-\int_{\partial B} v_{\varepsilon}^{\tau(p-2) / 2} w_{\nu} d \xi=\int_{B} w|\nabla w|^{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{\tau(p-2) / 2} d x
$$

this and (3.7) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\partial B} v_{\varepsilon}^{\tau(p-2) / 2} \phi_{\nu} d \xi\right|=\left|\int_{\partial B} v_{\varepsilon}^{\tau(p-2) / 2} w_{\nu} d \xi\right| \leq \int_{B} v_{\varepsilon}^{\tau p / 2} d x \leq C \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

An analogous discussion shows that for the solution $u=u^{\tau}$ of 3.13 which is equipped with 3.14 , we may also obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\partial B} v^{(p-2) / 2} \psi_{\nu} d \xi\right|=\left|\int_{\partial B} v^{(p-2) / 2} u_{\nu} d \xi\right| \leq \int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p} d x \leq C \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.17) with (3.19- 3.21, we derive

$$
\int_{B}\left(v_{\varepsilon}^{\tau(p-2) / 2} \nabla \phi-v^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla \psi\right) \nabla(\phi-\psi) d x \leq C \sup _{\partial B}\left|\phi_{\varepsilon}^{\tau}-\psi^{\tau}\right|=C \sup _{\partial B}\left|\phi_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right|,
$$

where $C$ is independent of $\varepsilon, \tau$. Letting $\tau \rightarrow 0$ and applying (3.12) and (3.16) we obtain

$$
\left|\int_{B}\left(\left|\nabla \phi_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right|^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla \phi_{\varepsilon}^{*}-\left|\nabla \psi^{*}\right|^{(p-2) / 2} \nabla \psi^{*}\right) \nabla\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}^{*}-\psi^{*}\right) d x\right| \leq C \sup _{\partial B}\left|\phi_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right|,
$$

which implies $\int_{B}\left|\nabla \phi_{\varepsilon}^{*}-\nabla \psi^{*}\right|^{p} d x \leq C \sup _{\partial B}\left|\phi_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right|$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using (3.1), we obtain $\int_{B}\left|\nabla \phi_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right|^{p} d x \rightarrow \int_{B}\left|\nabla \psi^{*}\right|^{p} d x$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x \rightarrow \int_{B}\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{p} d x \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 6. Since $\int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p} d x$ is weak lower semi-continuous, from Theorem 1.2 we deduce $\int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{p}\right|^{p} d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon_{k}}\right|^{p} d x$. Combining this result with (3.4), (3.11) and (3.22), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{p}\right|^{p} d x & \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon_{k}}\right|^{p} d x \leq \limsup _{\varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon_{k}}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq \lim _{\varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p} d x=\int_{B}\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling the definition of $u^{*}$ in Step 4, and noticing $u_{p}^{\prime} \in W_{u_{p}^{\prime}}^{1, p}\left(B, \partial B_{1}\right)$, we know that $u_{p}^{\prime}$ is also a minimizer of $\int_{B}|\nabla u|^{p}$, and

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0} \int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon_{k}}\right|^{p} d x=\int_{B}\left|\nabla u_{p}\right|^{p} d x=\int_{B}\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{p} d x
$$

This result and Theorem 1.2 imply $\nabla u_{\varepsilon_{k}} \rightarrow \nabla u_{p}$ in $L^{p}\left(B, R^{3}\right)$. when $\varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0$. Combining this with the fact $u_{\varepsilon_{k}} \rightarrow u_{p}$ in $L^{p}\left(B, R^{3}\right)$, which is implied by Theorem 1.2 , we obtain

$$
u_{\varepsilon_{k}} \rightarrow u_{p}, \quad \text { in } W^{1, p}\left(B, R^{3}\right)
$$

as $\varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0$. Then it is not difficult to complete the proof of this theorem.
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