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# MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR CRITICAL ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS WITH FRACTIONAL LAPLACIAN 

GUOWEI LIN, XIONGJUN ZHENG

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AbSTRACT. This article is devoted to the study of the nonlocal fractional equa- } \\
& \text { tion involving critical nonlinearities } \\
& \qquad(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} u=\lambda u+|u|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}-2} u \text { in } \Omega, \\
& \qquad u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega, \\
& \text { where } \Omega \text { is a smooth bounded domain of } \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2 \alpha, \alpha \in(0,2), \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right) \\
& \text { and } 2_{\alpha}^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-\alpha} \text { is critical exponent. We show the existence of at least cat }(\Omega) \\
& \text { nontrivial solutions for this problem. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Introduction

This article concerns the critical elliptic problem with the fractional Laplacian

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} u=\lambda u+|u|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}-2} u \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $N>\alpha, \alpha \in(0,2)$ is fixed and $2_{\alpha}^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-\alpha}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent.

In a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, the operator $(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2}$ can be defined as in (3) 6, as follows. Let $\left\{\left(\lambda_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions of the Laplacian $-\Delta$ in $\Omega$ with zero Dirichlet boundary values on $\partial \Omega$ normalized by $\left\|\varphi_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=1$, i.e.

$$
-\Delta \varphi_{k}=\lambda_{k} \varphi_{k} \quad \text { in } \Omega ; \quad \varphi_{k}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
$$

We define the space $H_{0}^{\alpha / 2}(\Omega)$ by

$$
H_{0}^{\alpha / 2}(\Omega)=\left\{u=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{k} \varphi_{k} \in L^{2}(\Omega): \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{k}^{2} \lambda_{k}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}<\infty\right\}
$$

which is equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{H_{0}^{\alpha / 2}(\Omega)}=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{k}^{2} \lambda_{k}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$
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For $u \in H_{0}^{\alpha / 2}(\Omega)$, the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2}$ is defined by

$$
(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} u=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{k} \lambda_{k}^{\alpha / 2} \varphi_{k}
$$

Problem (1.1) is the Brézis-Nirenberg type problem with the fractional Laplacian. Brézis and Nirenberg [4] considered the existence of positive solutions for problem (1.1) with $\alpha=2$. Such a problem involves the critical Sobolev exponent $2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}$ for $N \geq 3$, and it is well known that the Sobolev embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^{*}}(\Omega)$ is not compact even if $\Omega$ is bounded. Hence, the associated functional of problem (1.1) does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition, and critical point theory cannot be applied directly to find solutions of the problem. However, it is found in [4] that the functional satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition for $c \in\left(0, \frac{1}{N} S^{N / 2}\right)$, where $S$ is the best Sobolev constant and $\frac{1}{N} S^{N / 2}$ is the least level at which the Palais-Smale condition fails. So a positive solution can be found if the mountain pass value corresponding to problem (1.1) is strictly less than $\frac{1}{N} S^{N / 2}$.

Problems with the fractional Laplacian have been extensively studied, see for example [2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13] and the references therein. In particular, the Brézis-Nirenberg type problem was discussed in [12] for the special case $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$, and in [2] for the general case, $0<\alpha<2$, where existence of one positive solution was proved. To use the idea in [4] to prove the existence of one positive solution for the fractional Laplacian, the authors in [2, 12] used the following results in [10] (see also [3]): for any $u \in H_{0}^{\alpha}(\Omega)$, the solution $v \in H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$ of the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(y^{1-\alpha} \nabla v\right)=0, \quad \text { in } \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}=\Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
v=0, \quad \text { on } \partial_{L} \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}=\partial \Omega \times(0, \infty)  \tag{1.2}\\
v=u, \quad \text { on } \Omega \times\{0\},
\end{gather*}
$$

satisfies

$$
-\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} k_{\alpha} y^{1-\alpha} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=(-\Delta)^{\alpha} u
$$

where we use $(x, y)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}, y\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)=\left\{w \in L^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right): w=0 \text { on } \partial_{L} \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}, \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}|\nabla w|^{2} d x d y<\infty\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the nonlocal problem (1.1) can be reformulated as the local problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(y^{1-\alpha} \nabla w\right)=0, \quad \text { in } \mathcal{C}_{\Omega} \\
v=0, \quad \text { on } \partial_{L} \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}  \tag{1.4}\\
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} y^{1-\alpha} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}=|w(x, 0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}-2} w(x, 0)+\lambda w(x, 0), \quad \text { on } \Omega \times\{0\},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is the outward normal derivative of $\partial \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}$. Hence, critical points of the functional

$$
\begin{align*}
J(w)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}|\nabla w|^{2} d x d y-\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \int_{\Omega \times\{0\}}|w(x, 0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x \\
& -\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega \times\{0\}}|w(x, 0)|^{2} d x \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

defined on $H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$ correspond to solutions of 1.4, and the trace $u=\operatorname{tr} w$ of $w$ is a solution of (1.1). A critical point of the functional $J(u)$ at the mountain pass level was found in [2, 12]. On the other hand, it can be shown by using the Pohozaev type identity that the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2} u=|u|^{p-1} u \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

has no nontrivial solution if $p+1 \geq \frac{2 N}{N-\alpha}$ and $\Omega$ is star-shaped, see for example [3] and 12 .

It is well-known that if $\Omega$ has a rich topology, 1.1 with $\alpha=2, \lambda=0$ has a solution, see [1, 8, 14] etc. In this paper, we assume $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$, where $\lambda_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2}$. We investigate the existence of multiple solutions of problem (1.1). Let $A$ be a closed subset of a topology space $X$. The category of $A$ is the least integer $n$ such that there exist $n$ closed subsets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ of $X$ satisfying $A=\cup_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}$ and $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are contractible in $X$. Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. If $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, N \geq 4,0<\alpha<2$ and $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$, problem (1.4) has at least $\operatorname{cat}_{\Omega}(\Omega)$ nontrivial solutions. Equivalently, (1.1) possesses at least $\operatorname{cat}_{\Omega}(\Omega)$ positive solutions.

We say that $w \in H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$ is a solution to 1.4 if for every function $\varphi \in$ $H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}\langle\nabla w, \nabla \varphi\rangle d x d y=\int_{\Omega}\left(\lambda w+w^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-\alpha}}\right) \varphi d x . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will find solutions of $J$ at energy levels below a value related to the best Sobolev constant $S_{\alpha, N}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\alpha, N}=\inf _{w \in H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right), w \neq 0} \frac{k_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}|\nabla w|^{2} d x d y}{\left(\int_{\Omega}|w(x, 0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x\right)^{2 /\left(2_{\alpha}^{*}\right)}} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is not achieved in any bounded domain and is indeed achieved in the case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}$. We know from [2] that the trace $u_{\epsilon}(x)=w_{\epsilon}(x, 0)$ of the family of minimizers $w_{\epsilon}$ of $S_{\alpha, N}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=u_{\epsilon}(x)=\frac{\epsilon^{\frac{N-\alpha}{2}}}{\left(|x|^{2}+\epsilon^{2}\right)^{\frac{N-\alpha}{2}}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\epsilon>0$. Using this property, we are able to find critical values of $J$ in a right range.

In section 2, we prove the $(P S)_{c}$ condition and the main result is shown in section 3.

## 2. Palais-Smale condition

In this section, we show that the functional $J(w)$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}$ condition for $c$ in certain interval. By a $(P S)_{c}$ condition for the functional $J(w)$ we mean that a sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\} \subset H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$ such that $J\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, J^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ contains a convergent subsequence.

Define on the space $H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$ the functionals

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi(w)=\int_{\Omega}\left(w^{+}(x, 0)\right)^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x \\
\varphi_{\lambda}(w)=k_{\alpha} \int_{C_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}|\nabla w|^{2} d x d y-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|w(x, 0)|^{2} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

We may verify as in [14] that on the manifold

$$
V=\left\{w \in H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right): \psi(w)=1\right\}
$$

$\psi^{\prime}(w) \neq 0$ for every $w \in V$. Hence, the tangent space of $V$ at $v$ is given by

$$
T_{v} V:=\left\{w \in H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right):\left\langle\psi^{\prime}(v), w\right\rangle=0\right\}
$$

and the norm of the derivative of $\varphi_{\lambda}(w)$ at $v$ restricted to $V$ is defined by

$$
\left\|\varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(v)\right\|_{*}=\sup _{w \in T_{v} V,\|w\|=1}\left|\left\langle\varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(v), w\right\rangle\right| .
$$

It is well known that

$$
\left\|\varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w)\right\|_{*}=\min _{\mu \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w)-\mu \psi^{\prime}(w)\right\|
$$

A critical point $v \in V$ of $\varphi_{\lambda}$ is a point such that $\left\|\varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(v)\right\|_{*}=0$.
Since $\lambda_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha / 2}$, it can be characterized as

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{w \in H_{0, L}^{1}\left(C_{\Omega}\right), w \neq 0} \frac{k_{\alpha} \int_{C_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}|\nabla w|^{2} d x d y}{\int_{\Omega}|w(x, 0)|^{2} d x} .
$$

If $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$, we see that

$$
\|w\|_{1}:=\left(k_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}|\nabla w|^{2} d x d y-\lambda \int_{\Omega} w^{2}(x, 0) d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

is an equivalent norm on $H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$.
Lemma 2.1. Any sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$ such that

$$
d:=\sup _{n} J\left(v_{n}\right)<C^{*}:=\frac{\alpha}{2 N} S_{\alpha, N}^{\frac{N}{\alpha}}, \quad J^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } H_{0, L}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)
$$

contains a convergent subsequence.
Proof. It is easy to show from the assumptions that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d+1+\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{1} & \geq J\left(v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}\right)\left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} k_{\alpha} y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} d x d y-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} d x\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}\right)\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{1}$ is bounded. We may assume that

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{n}(x, y) \rightharpoonup v(x, y) \quad \text { in } H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right) \\
v_{n}(x, 0) \rightarrow v(x, 0) \quad \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega) \\
v_{n}(x, 0) \rightarrow v(x, 0) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, for every $\varphi \in H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$,

$$
\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), \varphi\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle J^{\prime}(v), \varphi\right\rangle=0
$$

as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. We also have that $J(v) \geq 0$. By Brézis-Lieb's lemma,

$$
J(v)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{n}-v\right)_{+}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x=J\left(v_{n}\right)+o(1)=C+o(1) .
$$

Since $\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{1}^{2}-2_{\alpha}^{*} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{n}-v\right)_{+}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x \\
& =\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{1}^{2}-\|v\|_{1}^{2}-2_{\alpha}^{*} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(v_{n}\right)_{+}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}-v_{+}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}\right) d x+o(1) \\
& =-\|v\|_{1}^{2}+2_{\alpha}^{*} \int_{\Omega} v_{+}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x \\
& =-\left\langle J^{\prime}(v), v\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, there exist a constant $b$ such that

$$
\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{1}^{2} \rightarrow b, \quad 2_{\alpha}^{*} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{n}\right)_{+}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x \rightarrow b, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

It follows by $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} k_{\alpha} y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla\left(v_{n}-v\right)\right|^{2} d x d y \rightarrow b
$$

The trace inequality

$$
\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} k_{\alpha} y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla\left(v_{n}-v\right)\right|^{2} d x d y \geq S_{\alpha, N}\left\|\left(v_{n}-v\right)(x, 0)\right\|_{L^{2 *}(\Omega)}^{2}
$$

implies $b \geq S_{\alpha, N} b^{\frac{2}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}}$. Hence, either $b=0$ or $b \geq S_{\alpha, N}^{\frac{N}{\alpha}}$.
If $b=0$, then $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$, and the proof is complete. If $b \geq S_{\alpha, N}^{\frac{N}{\alpha}}$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{*} & =\frac{\alpha}{2 N} S_{\alpha, N}^{\alpha / N} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}\right) b \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}\right)\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{1}^{2}+o(1) \\
& \leq J(v)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{1}^{2}+o(1) \\
& =J(v)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left(v_{n}-v\right)_{+}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}+o(1) \\
& =C \leq d<C^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Alternatively, we have the following result.
Lemma 2.2. Every sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\} \in V$ satisfying $\varphi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow c<S_{\alpha, N}$ and $\left\|\varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|_{*} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, contains a convergent subsequence.

Proof. Since

$$
\left\|\varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|_{*}=\min _{\mu \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)-\mu \psi^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|,
$$

there exists a sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left\|\varphi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)-\alpha_{n} \psi^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$. It follows that for every $h \in H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\alpha} \int_{C_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha} \nabla w_{n} \nabla h d x d y-\lambda \int_{\Omega} w_{n} h d x-\mu_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(w_{n}^{+}\right)^{2_{\alpha}^{*}-1} h d x \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{n}=\frac{\alpha_{n} 2_{\alpha}^{*}}{2}$. Choosing $h=w_{n}$ in (2.1) and using the fact $\psi\left(w_{n}^{+}\right)=1$, we obtain

$$
\varphi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right)-\mu_{n}=k_{\alpha} \int_{C_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}-\mu_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(w_{n}^{+}\right)^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Whence by $\varphi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \mu_{n} \rightarrow c$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Setting $v_{n}:=\mu_{n^{\frac{N-\alpha}{2 \alpha}}} w_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(v_{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \mu_{n}^{\frac{N-\alpha}{\alpha}}\left(\int_{C_{\Omega}} k_{\alpha} y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2} d x d y-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} d x\right)-\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \mu_{n}^{\frac{N}{\alpha}} \int_{\Omega}\left(w_{n}^{+}\right)^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \mu_{n}^{\frac{N-\alpha}{\alpha}} \varphi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right)-\frac{N-\alpha}{2 N} \mu_{n}^{\frac{N}{\alpha}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
J\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{2 N} c^{\frac{N}{\alpha}}<\frac{\alpha}{2 N} S^{\frac{N}{\alpha}}
$$

In the same way, for every $h \in H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$, by 2.1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle J^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), h\right\rangle \\
& =\mu_{n}^{\frac{N-\alpha}{2 \alpha}}\left(k_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha} \nabla w_{n} \nabla h d x d y-\lambda \int_{\Omega} w_{n} h-\mu_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(w_{n}^{+}\right)^{2_{\alpha}^{*}-1} h d x\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, the assertion follows by Lemma 2.1.
Let us define

$$
Q_{\lambda}=\inf _{w \in V} \varphi_{\lambda}(w)=\inf _{w \in V}\left\{k_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}|\nabla w|^{2} d x d y-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|w(x, 0)|^{2} d x\right\}
$$

Denote by $\eta_{0}(t) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$a cut-off function, which is non-increasing and satisfies

$$
\eta_{0}(t)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text { if } t \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

Assume $0 \in \Omega$, for fixed $\rho>0$ small enough such that $\bar{B}_{\rho} \subseteq C_{\Omega}$, we define the function $\eta(x, y)=\eta_{\rho}(x, y)=\eta_{0}\left(\frac{|(x, y)|}{\rho}\right)$. Then $\eta w_{\epsilon} \in H_{0, L}^{1}\left(C_{\Omega}\right)$. It is standard to establish the following estimates, see [2] for details.
Lemma 2.3. The family $\left\{\eta w_{\epsilon}\right\} \subset H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$ and its trace on $y=0$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\eta w_{\epsilon}\right\|^{2}=\left\|w_{\epsilon}\right\|^{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{N-\alpha}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N>2 \alpha$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\eta w_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}=C \epsilon^{\alpha}+O\left(\epsilon^{N-\alpha}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N=2 \alpha$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\eta w_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}=C \epsilon^{\alpha} \log \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)+O\left(\epsilon^{\alpha}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\epsilon>0$ small enough and some $C>0$.

Lemma 2.4. Assume $N \geq 2 \alpha, 0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\lambda}=\inf _{w \in V} \varphi_{\lambda}(w)<S_{\alpha, N} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there exists $u \in V$ such that $\varphi_{\lambda}(u)=Q_{\lambda}$.
Proof. We first show that 2.5 holds if $N \geq 2 \alpha$ and $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$. Since

$$
\int_{|x|>\frac{\rho}{2}}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x=\int_{\left\{|x| \geq \frac{\rho}{2}\right\}} \frac{\epsilon^{N}}{\left(|x|^{2}+\epsilon^{2}\right)^{N}} d x \leq \frac{N 2^{N}}{\rho^{N}} \epsilon^{N},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\eta u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x & \geq \int_{\left\{|x| \leq \frac{\rho}{2}\right\}}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x=\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2^{*}}-\int_{\left\{|x| \geq \frac{\rho}{2}\right\}}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x \\
& \geq\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2^{*}}+O\left(\epsilon^{N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.3 , for $N>2 \alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{k_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla\left(\eta w_{\epsilon}\right)\right|^{2} d x d y-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|\eta u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} d x}{\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\eta u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2 *} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}}} \\
& \leq \frac{k_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla w_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} d x d y-\lambda C \epsilon^{\alpha}+O\left(\epsilon^{N-\alpha}\right)}{\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{N}\right)} \\
& \leq S_{\alpha, N}-\frac{\lambda C \epsilon^{\alpha}}{\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2 *}(\Omega)}^{2}}+O\left(\epsilon^{N-\alpha}\right)<S_{\alpha, N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for $N=2 \alpha$, we find for $\epsilon$ small enough such that

$$
Q_{\lambda} \leq S_{\alpha, N}-\frac{\lambda C \epsilon^{\alpha} \log \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)}{\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2}}+O\left(\epsilon^{\alpha}\right)<S_{\alpha, N}
$$

Consequently, inequality (2.5) holds.
Next, we show that $Q_{\lambda}$ is achieved if $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$. Obviously, $Q_{\lambda}>0$. Now, let $\left\{w_{n}\right\} \subset H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$ be a minimizing sequence of $Q_{\lambda}>0$ such that $w_{n} \geq 0$ and $\left\|w_{n}(x, 0)\right\|_{L^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(\Omega)}=1$. The boundedness of $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ implies that

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{n}(x, y) \rightharpoonup w(x, y) \quad \text { in } H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right), \\
w_{n}(x, 0) \rightarrow w(x, 0) \quad \text { in } L^{q}(\Omega), \\
w_{n}(x, 0) \rightarrow w(x, 0) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $1 \leq q \leq 2_{\alpha}^{*}$. Since

$$
\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|w_{n}-w\right\|^{2}+\|w\|^{2}+o(1)
$$

by the Brezis-Lieb Lemma,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lambda\left\|w_{n}(x, 0)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \\
& =\left\|w_{n}-w\right\|^{2}+\|w\|^{2}-\lambda\left\|w_{n}(x, 0)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+o(1) \\
& \geq S_{\alpha, N}\left\|w_{n}(x, 0)-w(x, 0)\right\|_{L^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2}+Q_{\lambda}\|w(x, 0)\|_{L^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2}+o(1) \\
& \geq\left(S_{\alpha, N}-Q_{\lambda}\right)\left\|w_{n}(x, 0)-w(x, 0)\right\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2^{*}}+Q_{\lambda}\left\|w_{n}(x, 0)\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2^{*}}+o(1) \\
& =\left(S_{\alpha, N}-Q_{\lambda}\right)\left\|w_{n}(x, 0)-w(x, 0)\right\|_{L^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2^{*}}+Q_{\lambda}+o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
o(1)+Q_{\lambda} \geq\left(S_{\alpha, N}-Q_{\lambda}\right)\left\|w_{n}(x, 0)-w(x, 0)\right\|_{L^{2 *}(\Omega)}^{2^{*}}+Q_{\lambda}+o(1)
$$

The $S_{\alpha, N}>Q_{\lambda}$ implies $w_{n}(x, 0) \rightarrow w(x, 0)$ in $L^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(\Omega)$ and $\|w(x, 0)\|_{L^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(\Omega)}=1$. This yields

$$
Q_{\lambda} \leq\|w\|^{2}-\lambda\|w(x, 0)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lambda\left\|w_{n}(x, 0)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right) \leq Q_{\lambda}
$$

that is, $w$ is a minimizer for $Q_{\lambda}$.

## 3. Proof of main theorem

Taking into account the concentration-compactness principle in [11, we may derive the following result, its proof can be found in [2].
Lemma 3.1. Suppose $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w$ in $H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$, and the sequence $\left\{y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2}\right\}$ is tight, i.e. for any $\eta>0$ there exists $\rho_{0}>0$ such that for all $n$,

$$
\int_{\left\{y>\rho_{0}\right\}} \int_{\Omega} y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2} d x d y<\eta
$$

Let $u_{n}=t_{r} w_{n}$ and $u=t_{r} w$ and let $\mu, \nu$ be two non negative measures such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2} \rightarrow \mu \quad \text { and } \quad\left|u_{n}\right|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \rightarrow \nu \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense of measures as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, there exist an at most countable set $I$ and points $x_{i} \in \Omega$ with $i \in I$ such that
(1) $\nu=|u|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}+\Sigma_{k \in I} \nu_{k} \delta_{x_{k}}, \nu_{k}>0$,
(2) $\mu=y^{1-\alpha}|\nabla w|^{2}+\Sigma_{k \in I} \mu_{k} \delta_{x_{k}}, \mu_{k}>0$,
(3) $\mu_{k} \geq S_{\alpha, N} \nu_{k}^{\frac{2}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}}$.

On the manifold $V$, we define the mapping $\beta: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ by

$$
\beta(w):=\int_{\Omega} x\left(w^{+}(x, 0)\right)^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x
$$

which has the following properties.
Lemma 3.2. Let $\left\{w_{n}\right\} \subset V$ be a sequence such that

$$
\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)}^{2}=\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} k_{\alpha} y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2} d x d y \rightarrow S_{\alpha, N}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\operatorname{dist}\left(\beta\left(w_{n}\right), \Omega\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Suppose by contradiction that $\operatorname{dist}\left(\beta\left(w_{n}\right), \Omega\right) \nrightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We may verify that $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is tight. By Lemma 3.1, there exist sequences $\left\{\mu_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{\nu_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{\alpha, N}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}=k_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}|\nabla w|^{2} d x d y+\Sigma_{k \in I} \mu_{k},  \tag{3.2}\\
1=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x+\Sigma_{k \in I} \nu_{k} . \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

By the Sobolev inequality and Lemma 3.1 , from 3.2 we deduce that

$$
S_{\alpha, N}=\|w\|_{H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)}^{2}+\Sigma_{k \in I} \mu_{k} \geq S_{\alpha, N}\|u\|_{L^{2_{\alpha}^{*}(\Omega)}}^{2}+S_{\alpha, N}\left(\Sigma_{k \in I} \nu_{k}\right)^{\frac{2}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left(\Sigma_{k \in I} \nu_{k}\right)^{\frac{2}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}} \leq 1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.3) and (3.4) imply either $\Sigma_{k \in I} \nu_{k}=0$ or $\|u\|_{L^{2_{\alpha}^{*}(\Omega)}}^{2^{*}}=0$.
If $\Sigma_{k \in I} \nu_{k}=0$, that is $\|u\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2 *}}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(\Omega)=1$, the lower semi-continuity of norms yields

$$
S_{\alpha, N} \geq\|w\|_{H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)}^{2}=\frac{k_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}|\nabla w|^{2} d x d y}{\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}}}
$$

While by the Sobolev trace inequality,

$$
S_{\alpha, N} \leq \frac{k_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}|\nabla w|^{2} d x d y}{\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}}}
$$

it then implies that $S_{\alpha, N}$ is achieved, which is a contradiction to the fact that $S_{\alpha, N}$ is not achieved unless $\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}=\mathbb{R}_{+}{ }^{N+1}$. Thus, $\|u\|_{L^{2}{ }_{\alpha}^{2}}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(\Omega) \neq 1$. Consequently, $\Sigma_{k \in I} \nu_{k}=1$ and $u=0$. Furthermore, by the uniqueness of the extension of $u$, we have $w=0$. Now, it is standard to show that $\nu$ is concentrated at a single $x_{0}$ of $\bar{\Omega}$. So we have

$$
\beta\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} x d \nu(x)=x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}
$$

this is a contradiction.
Since $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, we choose $r>0$ small enough so that

$$
\left.\Omega_{r}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}: \operatorname{dist}(x, \Omega)\right)<r\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{r}^{-}=\{x \in \Omega: \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)>r\}
$$

are homotopically equivalent to $\Omega$. Moreover we assume that the ball $B_{r}(0) \subset \Omega$, and then $\mathcal{C}_{B_{r}(0)}:=B_{r}(0) \times(0,+\infty) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\Omega}$. We define

$$
V_{0}:=\left\{w \in H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{B_{r}(0)}\right): \int_{\mathcal{C}_{B_{r}(0)}} w_{+}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(x, 0) d x=1\right\} \subset V
$$

as well as

$$
Q_{0}=\inf _{w \in V_{0}} \varphi_{\lambda}(w)
$$

Denote by $\varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}}:=\left\{w \in V: \varphi_{\lambda}(w)<Q_{0}\right\}$ the level set below $Q_{0}$. We may verify as in Lemma 3.2 that $Q_{0}<S_{\alpha, N}$.

Lemma 3.3. There exists a $\lambda^{*}, 0<\lambda^{*}<\lambda_{1}$ such that for $0<\lambda<\lambda^{*}$, if $w \in \varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}}$, then $\beta(w) \in \Omega_{r}^{+}$.

Proof. By Hölder's inequality, for every $w \in V$,

$$
\int_{\Omega}|w(x, 0)|^{2} d x \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|w(x, 0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{2}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}}|\Omega|^{\alpha / N}=|\Omega|^{\alpha / N}
$$

Let $\lambda^{*}=\frac{\epsilon}{|\Omega|^{\alpha / N}}$. If $0<\lambda<\lambda^{*}$ and $w \in \varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}}$, we have

$$
\|w\|^{2} \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega}|w(x, 0)|^{2} d x+Q_{0} \leq \lambda^{*}|\Omega|^{\alpha / N}+S_{\alpha, N}=S_{\alpha, N}+\epsilon
$$

Therefore, we conclude by Lemma 3.2 that $\beta(w) \in \Omega_{r}^{+}$.

Now, we establish the relation of category between the domain $\Omega$ and the level set $\varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}}$.

Lemma 3.4. If $N \geq 2 \alpha$ and $0<\lambda<\lambda^{*}$, then we have cat $\varphi_{\lambda^{Q_{0}}} \varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}} \geq \operatorname{cat}_{\Omega}(\Omega)$.
Proof. Let $w_{0} \in H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{B(0, r)}\right)$ be a minimizer of $Q_{0}$. Hence, we may assume that $w_{0}>0$ is cylinder symmetric and $\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{L^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}\left(B_{r}(0)\right)}=1$,

$$
Q_{0}=\int_{\mathcal{C}_{B_{r}(0)}} k_{\alpha} y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla w_{0}\right|^{2} d x d y-\lambda \int_{B_{r}(0)}\left|w_{0}(x, 0)\right|^{2} d x
$$

For $z \in \Omega_{r}^{-}$, we define $\gamma: \Omega_{r}^{-} \rightarrow \varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}}$ by

$$
\gamma(z)= \begin{cases}w_{0}(x-z, y), & (x, y) \in B_{r}(z) \times(0,+\infty) \\ 0, & (x, y) \notin B_{r}(z) \times(0,+\infty)\end{cases}
$$

Since $w_{0}(x, 0)$ is a radial function,

$$
\left.\beta \circ \gamma(z)=\int_{B_{r}(z)} x\left(w_{0}\right)_{+}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(x-z, 0)\right) d x=\int_{B_{r}(0)} x\left(w_{0}\right)_{+}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}(x, 0) d x+z=z
$$

Hence, $\beta \circ \gamma=i d$.
Assume that $\varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}}=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \cdots \cup A_{n}$, where $A_{j}, j=1,2 \ldots n$, is closed and contractible in $\varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}}$, i.e. there exists $h_{j} \in C\left([0,1] \times A_{j}, \varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}}\right)$ such that, for every $u$, $v \in A_{j}$,

$$
h_{j}(0, u)=u, \quad h_{j}(1, u)=h_{j}(1, v)
$$

Let $B_{j}:=\gamma^{-1}\left(A_{j}\right), 1 \leq j \leq n$. The sets $B_{j}$ are closed and $\Omega_{r}^{-}=B_{1} \cup B_{2} \cdots \cup B_{n}$. By Lemma 3.3. we know $\beta\left(h_{j}(t, \gamma(x))\right) \in \Omega_{r}^{+}$. Using the deformation $g_{j}(t, x)=$ $\beta\left(h_{j}(t, \gamma(x))\right)$, we see that $B_{j}$ is contractible in $\Omega_{r}^{+}$. Indeed, for every $x, y \in B_{j}$, there exist $\gamma(x), \gamma(y) \in A_{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{j}(0, x)=\beta\left(h_{j}(0, \gamma(x))\right)=\beta(\gamma(x)) & =x \\
g_{j}(1, x)=\beta\left(h_{j}(1, \gamma(x))\right)=\beta\left(h_{j}(1, \gamma(y))\right) & =g_{j}(1, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\operatorname{cat}_{\varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}}} \varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}} \geq \operatorname{cat}_{\Omega_{r}^{+}}\left(\Omega_{r}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{cat}_{\Omega}(\Omega)$.
Lemma 3.5. If $\left.\varphi_{\lambda}\right|_{V}$ is bounded from below and satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition for any

$$
c \in\left[\inf _{w \in V} \varphi_{\lambda}, Q_{0}\right]
$$

then $\left.\varphi_{\lambda}\right|_{V}$ has a minimum and level set $\varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}}$ contains at least cat $\varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}} \varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}}$ critical points of $\left.\varphi_{\lambda}\right|_{V}$.

The proof of the above lemma can be found in [14].
Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 3.5. for $0<\lambda<\lambda^{*}$, the level set $\varphi_{\lambda}^{Q_{0}}$ contains at least $m:=\operatorname{cat}_{\varphi_{\lambda}}^{Q_{0}} \varphi_{\lambda}^{0}$ critical points $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}$ of $\left.\varphi_{\lambda}\right|_{V}$.

For $j=1,2, \ldots, m$, there exist $\mu_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for $h \in H_{0, L}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}\right)$,

$$
k_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha} \nabla w_{j} \nabla h d x d y-\lambda \int_{\Omega} w h d x-\mu_{j} \int_{\Omega}\left(w_{j}^{+}\right)^{2_{\alpha}^{*}-1} h d x=0
$$

Choosing $h=w_{j}^{-}$, we have

$$
0=k_{\alpha} \int_{C_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla w_{j}^{-}\right|^{2} d x d y-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|w_{j}^{-}\right|^{2} d x
$$

Since $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$, it implies $w_{j}^{-}=0$ and

$$
k_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Omega}} y^{1-\alpha}\left|\nabla w_{j}\right|^{2} d x d y-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|w_{j}\right|^{2} d x-\mu_{j} \int_{\Omega}\left(w_{j}^{+}\right)^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} d x=0
$$

Therefore, $\mu_{j}=\varphi_{\lambda}\left(w_{j}\right)$ and $v_{j}:=\mu_{j}^{\frac{N-\alpha}{2 \alpha}} w_{j}$ is a positive solution of $1.4, \operatorname{tr}_{\Omega}\left(v_{j}\right)$ is a solution of 1.1. By Lemma 3.4, problems (1.4) and 1.1 have at least $\operatorname{cat}_{\Omega}(\Omega)$ positive solutions.
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