

MEMORY BOUNDARY FEEDBACK STABILIZATION FOR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS WITH VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS

ABDESSELAM NAWEL, KHALED MELKEMI

Communicated by Jesus Ildefonso Diaz

ABSTRACT. First we consider the boundary stabilization of Schrödinger equations with constant coefficient memory feedback. This is done by using Riemannian geometry methods and the multipliers technique. Then we explore the stabilization limits of Schrödinger equations whose elliptical part has a variable coefficient. We established the exponential decay of solutions using the multipliers techniques. The introduction of dissipative boundary conditions of memory type allowed us to obtain an accurate estimate on the uniform rate of decay of the energy for Schrödinger equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be an open bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with boundary $\Gamma := \partial\Omega$. It is assumed that Γ consists of two parts Γ_0 and Γ_1 such that $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \neq \emptyset$, $\overline{\Gamma_0} \cap \overline{\Gamma_1} = \emptyset$. We consider the mixed problem for Schrödinger equation

$$y_t - \mathbf{i}Ay = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (1.1)$$

$$y(0, x) = y_0(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

$$y = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} = u \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$Ay = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_j} \right),$$

the functions $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ are C^∞ functions in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} \nu_j,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 93D15, 35J10, 35B40, 53C17.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger equation; exponential stabilization; boundary condition of memory type; Riemannian geometry.

©2017 Texas State University.

Submitted February 20, 2017. Published May 11, 2017.

$\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ is the unit normal of Γ pointing toward the exterior of Ω , $\nu_A = A\nu$, and $A = (a_{ij})$ is a matrix function. We assume that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\bar{\xi}_j > \alpha_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2 \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n, \zeta \neq 0, \quad (1.5)$$

for some positive constant α_1 , and

$$u = - \int_0^t k(t-s)y_s(s)ds - by_t.$$

Then we transform the boundary condition in Γ_1 . Suppose that $y_0 = 0$ on Γ_1 , by integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t k(t-s)y_t(s)ds &= [k(t-s)y(s)]_0^t + \int_0^t k'(t-s)y(s)ds \\ &= \int_0^t k'(t-s)y(s)ds + k(0)y(t) - k(t)y_0 \\ &= \int_0^t k'(t-s)y(s)ds + k(0)y(t). \end{aligned}$$

Throughout the paper we assume that

$$u = - \int_0^t k'(t-s)y(s)ds - k(o)y(t) - by_t. \quad (1.6)$$

where $k : \Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^+, L^\infty(\Omega))$ and $b : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. We define the corresponding energy functional by

$$2E(t) := \int_\Omega |\nabla_g y|_g^2 d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_1} k|y|^2 d\Gamma_1 - \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} k'(t-s)|y(t) - y(s)| d\Gamma_1 ds. \quad (1.7)$$

Our goal is to stabilize the system (1.1)–(1.4) and (1.6); to find a suitable feedback $u = F(x, y_t)$ such that the energy (1.7) decays to zero exponentially as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ for every solution y of which $E(0) < +\infty$. The approach adopted uses Riemannian geometry. This method was first introduced to boundary-control problems by Yao [10] for the exactly controllability of wave equations.

The stabilization of partial differential equations has been considered by many authors. The asymptotic behaviour of the wave equation with memory and linear feedbacks with constant coefficients has been studied by Guesmia [4], and by Aassila et al [1] in the nonlinear case. This study has been generalised by Chai & Guo [2] for variable coefficients by using a very different method, namely, the Riemannian geometry method.

On the other hand, the stabilization of the Schrödinger equations has been studied by Machtyngier & Zuazua [9] in the Neumann boundary conditions, and by Cipolatti et al [3] with nonlinear feedbacks. This study has been considered by Lasiecka & Triggiani [8] with constant coefficients acting in the Dirichlet boundary conditions.

The objective of this work, we consider the boundary stabilization for system (1.1)–(1.4) and (1.6) with variable coefficients and memory feedbacks by using multipliers techniques.

Our paper is organized as follows. In subsection 1.1, we introduce some notation and results on Riemannian geometry. Our main results are studied in section 2. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main results.

1.1. Notation. The definitions here are standard and classical in the literature, see Hebey [5]. Let $A(x)$ and $G(x)$, respectively, be the coefficient matrix and its inverse

$$A(x) = (a_{ij}(x)), \quad G(x) = [A(x)]^{-1} = (g_{ij}(x)) \tag{1.8}$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, n; x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^n . Let (x_1, \dots, x_n) be the natural coordinate system in \mathbb{R}^n . For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, denote

$$X \cdot Y = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i, \quad |X|_0^2 = X \cdot X, \quad \text{for } X = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \in T_x \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ and $X = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, we denote by

$$\nabla_0 f = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{div}_0(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \alpha_i(x)}{\partial x_i} \tag{1.9}$$

the gradient of f and the divergence of X in the Euclidean metric.

Riemannian metric. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define the inner product and the corresponding norm on the tangent space $T_x \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$g(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle_g = X \cdot G(x)Y = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ij}(x) \alpha_i \beta_j$$

$$|X|_g^2 = \langle X, X \rangle_g \quad \text{for } X = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \in T_x \mathbb{R}^n$$

Then (\mathbb{R}^n, g) is a Riemannian manifold with a Riemannian metric g . Denote the Levi-Cevita connection in metric g by D . Let H be a vector field on (\mathbb{R}^n, g) . The covariant differential DH of H determines a bilinear form on $T_x \mathbb{R}^n \times T_x \mathbb{R}^n$. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by

$$DH(X, Y) = \langle D_X H, Y \rangle_g, \quad \forall X, Y \in T_x \mathbb{R}^n$$

where $D_X H$ is the covariante derivative of H with respect to X . The following lemma provides some useful equalities.

Lemma 1.1 ([10, lemma 2.1]). *Let $f, h \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ and let H, X be a vector field on \mathbb{R}^n . Then using the above notation, we have*

(i)
$$\langle H(x), A(x)X(x) \rangle_g = H(x)X(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{1.10}$$

(ii) *The gradient $\nabla_g f$ of f in the Riemannian metric g is given by*

$$\nabla_g f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = A(x) \nabla_0 f. \tag{1.11}$$

(iii)

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} = (A(x) \nabla_0 y) \cdot \nu = \nabla_g y \cdot \nu. \tag{1.12}$$

$$(iv) \quad \langle \nabla_g f, \nabla_g H \rangle_g = \nabla_g f(h) = \nabla_0 f \cdot A(x) \nabla_0 h. \quad (1.13)$$

$$(v) \quad \langle \nabla_g f, \nabla_g H(f) \rangle = DH(\nabla_g f, \nabla_g f) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_0(|\nabla_g f|_g^2 H)(x) - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_g f|_g^2 \operatorname{div}_0(H) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.14)$$

$$(vi) \quad Ay = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_j}) = - \operatorname{div}_0(A(x) \nabla_0 y) = - \operatorname{div}_0(\nabla_g y), \quad y \in C^2(\Omega). \quad (1.15)$$

2. STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULT

To obtain the boundary stabilization of problem (1.1)–(1.4) and (1.6), we assumed that there exists a vector field H on the Riemannian manifold (\mathbb{R}^n, g) such that:

$$\forall X \in T_x \mathbb{R}^n, \exists a > 0, \quad \langle D_X H, X \rangle_g \geq a|X|_g^2, \quad (2.1)$$

$$H(x) \cdot \nu < 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$H(x) \cdot \nu \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1. \quad (2.3)$$

$k \geq 0$ and $k' \leq 0$, on $\Gamma_0 \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Moreover,

$$\varphi = \inf_{(x,t) \in \Gamma_0 \times \mathbb{R}^+} (-k') \neq 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$k'' \geq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

We have the following result of existence and uniqueness of weak solution to (1.1)–(1.4) and (1.6).

Theorem 2.1. *For all initial data $y_0 \in V = H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) = \{y \in H^1(\Omega); y = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0\}$, problem (1.1)–(1.4) and (1.6) admits a unique global weak solution $y \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, V)$. Furthermore, if $y_0 \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$ and $\frac{\partial y_0}{\partial \nu_A} = -\frac{1}{2} k y(0)$ on Γ_1 , then the solution has the regularity*

$$y \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, V).$$

Proof. Existence of a solution is proved using Galerkin method [6].

Suppose y is the unique global weak solution of problem (1.1)–(1.4) and (1.6). The variational formulation of the problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} y_t \bar{v} \, d\Omega &= \mathbf{i} \int_{\Omega} Ay \bar{v} \, d\Omega \\ &= \mathbf{i} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_j} \right) \bar{v} \, d\Omega \\ &= \mathbf{i} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Gamma_1} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} \nu_i \bar{v} \, d\Gamma_1 - \mathbf{i} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial x_j} \, d\Omega \\ &= \mathbf{i} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} \bar{v} \, d\Gamma_1 - \mathbf{i} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial x_j} \, d\Omega \end{aligned}$$

for $v \in V$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} y_t \bar{v} \, d\Omega &= \mathbf{i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(- \int_0^t k'(t-s)y(s)ds - k(0)y(t) - by_t \right) \bar{v} \, d\Gamma_1 \\ &\quad - \mathbf{i} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial x_j} \, d\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following notation: $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$,

$$a(y, v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial x_j} \, d\Omega,$$

$$\beta(t, y, v) = \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(- \int_0^t k'(t-s)y(s)ds - k(0)y(t) \right) \bar{v} \, d\Gamma_1 \quad \beta(0, y, v) = 0.$$

We have

$$\langle y_t, v \rangle + \mathbf{i}a(y, v) + \mathbf{i}\beta(t, y, v) = -\mathbf{i}\langle by_t, v \rangle$$

Uniqueness. Let y and z be two solutions. then $w = y - z$ satisfies

$$w_t - \mathbf{i}Aw = 0 \quad \text{in }]0, +\infty) \times \Omega \quad (2.6)$$

$$w(0, x) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (2.7)$$

$$w = 0 \quad \text{on }]0, +\infty) \times \Gamma_0 \quad (2.8)$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu_A} = - \int_0^t k'(t-s)w(s)ds - k(0)w(t) - bw_t, \quad \text{on }]0, +\infty[\times \Gamma_1. \quad (2.9)$$

Multiplying (2.6) by \bar{w}_t , integrating over Ω . Applying the Green formula, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |w_t|^2 \, d\Omega - \mathbf{i} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Gamma_1} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \nu_i \bar{w}_t \, d\Gamma + \mathbf{i} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \bar{w}_t}{\partial x_j} \, d\Omega = 0.$$

Taking the imaginary part of above equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} \bar{w}_t \, d\Gamma_1 &= \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \nabla_g w \nabla_g \bar{w}_t \, d\Omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{d}{dt} |\nabla_g w|_g^2 \right] \, d\Omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g w(t)|_g^2 \, d\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

For $t = 0$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g w|_g^2 \, d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_1} k|w|^2 \, d\Gamma_1 - \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} k'(t-s)|w(t) - w(s)|^2 \, d\Gamma_1 ds = 0$$

so

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g w|_g^2 \, d\Omega = - \int_{\Gamma_1} k|w|^2 \, d\Gamma_1 + \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} k'(t-s)|w(t) - w(s)|^2 \, d\Gamma_1 ds.$$

We deduce

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g w|_g^2 \, d\Omega \leq 0$$

and so $\nabla_g w = 0$, this with the condition at limit, $w = 0$ □

2.1. Existence of solutions. Let $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a set of functions in V that form an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\Omega)$. Let V_m be the space generated by (e_1, \dots, e_m) , and

$$y^m(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{im}(t) e_i.$$

be a solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\langle y_t^m, v \rangle + \mathbf{i}a(y^m, v) + \mathbf{i}\beta(t, y^m, v) = -\mathbf{i}\langle by_t^m, v \rangle.$$

With $v = y_t^m$, we have

$$\langle y_t^m, y_t^m \rangle + \mathbf{i}a(y^m, y_t^m) + \mathbf{i}\beta(t, y^m, y_t^m) = -\mathbf{i}\langle by_t^m, y_t^m \rangle.$$

Taking the imaginaire part, it results

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y^m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \overline{y_t^m}}{\partial x_j} d\Omega \right) \\ &= - \int_{\Gamma_1} b |y_t^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k'(t-s) y^m(s) \overline{y_t^m}(t) ds d\Gamma_1 \right) \\ & \quad - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k(0) y^m(t) \overline{y_t^m}(t) ds d\Gamma_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int b |y_t^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k'(t-s) y^m(s) \overline{y_t^m}(t) ds d\Gamma_1 \right) \\ & - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k(0) y^m(t) \overline{y_t^m}(t) d\Gamma_1 \right) \\ &= - \int_{\Gamma_1} b |y_t^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} k' |y^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k''(t-s) |y^m(t) - y^m(s)|^2 ds d\Gamma_1 \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\Gamma_1} k |y^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k'(t-s) |y^m(t) - y^m(s)|^2 ds d\Gamma_1 \right], \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y^m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \overline{y_t^m}}{\partial x_j} d\Omega \right) \\ &= - \int b |y_t^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} k' |y^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k''(t-s) |y^m(t) - y^m(s)|^2 ds d\Gamma_1 \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\Gamma_1} k |y^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k'(t-s) |y^m(t) - y^m(s)|^2 ds d\Gamma_1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$- \int_{\Gamma_1} b |y_t^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} k' |y^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k''(t-s) |y^m(t) - y^m(s)|^2 ds d\Gamma_1 < 0,$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y^m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \overline{y_t^m}}{\partial x_j} d\Omega \right) \\ & \leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\Gamma_1} k |y^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k'(t-s) |y^m(t) - y^m(s)|^2 ds d\Gamma_1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y^m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \overline{y_t^m}}{\partial x_j} d\Omega \right) \leq 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y^m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \overline{y_t^m}}{\partial x_j} d\Omega \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y^m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \overline{y_t^m}}{\partial x_j} d\Omega \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g y^m|_g^2 d\Omega \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g y^m(T)|_g^2 d\Omega \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g y^m(0)|_g^2 d\Omega,$$

from where

$$|y^m|_V^2 \leq |y^{0m}|_V^2$$

and $v^m \rightarrow v$ in V , or

$$\langle y_t^m, v^m \rangle + \mathbf{i}a(y^m, v^m) + \mathbf{i}\beta(t, y^m, v^m) = -\mathbf{i} \int_{\Gamma_1} b y_t^m \overline{v^m} d\Gamma_1. \quad (2.10)$$

For $\zeta \in D(]0, T[)$, we put

$$\psi^m = \zeta v^m \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \zeta v;$$

so we have

$$\psi^m \rightarrow \psi \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T, V).$$

Multiplying (2.10) by ζ and integrating over $]0, T[$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle y_t^m, \psi^m \rangle dt + \mathbf{i} \int_0^T a(y^m, \psi^m) dt + \mathbf{i} \int_0^T \beta(t, y^m, \psi^m) dt \\ &= -\mathbf{i} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} b y_t^m \overline{\psi^m} d\Gamma_1 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit,

$$\int_0^T \langle y, \psi_t \rangle dt + \mathbf{i} \int_0^T a(y, \psi) dt + \mathbf{i} \int_0^T \beta(t, y, \psi) dt = -\mathbf{i} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} b y \overline{\psi_t} d\Gamma_1 dt$$

for all $v \in V$ and all $\zeta \in D(]0, T[)$. $y \in C]0, T[, V)$.

Regularity. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle y_{tt}^m, v \rangle + \mathbf{i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(- \int_0^t k''(t-s)y^m(s)ds - k(0)y_t^m(t) \right) \bar{v} d\Gamma_1 \\ & + \mathbf{i} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y_t^m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial x_j} d\Omega - \mathbf{i} \int_{\Gamma_1} b y_{tt}^m v d\Gamma_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $v = y_{tt}^m$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle y_{tt}^m, y_{tt}^m \rangle + \mathbf{i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(- \int_0^t k''(t-s)y^m(s)ds - k(0)y_t^m(t) \right) \overline{y_{tt}^m} d\Gamma_1 \\ & + \mathbf{i} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y_t^m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \overline{y_{tt}^m}}{\partial x_j} d\Omega - \mathbf{i} \int_{\Gamma_1} b y_{tt}^m \overline{y_{tt}^m} d\Gamma_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the imaginary part,

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y_t^m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \overline{y_{tt}^m}}{\partial x_j} d\Omega \right) \\ & = - \int_{\Gamma_1} b |y_{tt}^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k'(t-s)y_t^m(s) \overline{y_{tt}^m}(t) ds d\Gamma_1 \right) \\ & \quad - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k(0)y_t^m(t) \overline{y_{tt}^m}(t) ds d\Gamma_1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Gamma_1} b |y_{tt}^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k''(t-s)y^m(s) \overline{y_{tt}^m}(t) ds d\Gamma_1 \right) \\ & - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k(0)y_t^m(t) \overline{y_{tt}^m}(t) d\Gamma_1 \right) \\ & = - \int_{\Gamma_1} b |y_{tt}^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} k'' |y_t^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k'''(t-s) |y_t^m(t) + y^m(s)|^2 ds d\Gamma_1 \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[- \int_{\Gamma_1} k' |y_t^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k''(t-s) |y_t^m(t) + y^m(s)|^2 ds d\Gamma_1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$- \int_{\Gamma_1} b |y_{tt}^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} k'' |y_t^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k'''(t-s) |y_t^m(t) + y^m(s)|^2 ds d\Gamma_1 \leq 0;$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y_t^m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \overline{y_{tt}^m}}{\partial x_j} d\Omega \right) \\ & \leq - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(- \int_{\Gamma_1} k' |y_t^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k''(t-s) |y_t^m(t) + y^m(s)|^2 ds d\Gamma_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y_t^m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \overline{y_{tt}^m}}{\partial x_j} d\Omega \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g y_t^m|_g^2 d\Omega \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g y_t^m|_g^2 d\Omega \right) \\ & \leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(- \int_{\Gamma_1} k' |y_t^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k''(t-s) |y_t^m(t) + y^m(s)|^2 ds d\Gamma_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[- \int_{\Gamma_1} k' |y_t^m|^2 d\Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^t k''(t-s) |y_t^m(t) + y^m(s)|^2 ds d\Gamma_1 \right] & \leq 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g y_t^m|_g^2 d\Omega \right) & \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g y_t^m(T)|_g^2 d\Omega & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g y_t^m(0)|_g^2 d\Omega, \\ |y_0^m|_V^2 & \leq |y_0^{0m}|_V^2 \end{aligned}$$

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume (1.5)–(1.7) and (2.1)–(2.5), and that the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} y_t - \mathbf{i}Ay &= 0 & \text{on } \Omega \\ y &= 0 & \text{in } \Sigma_0 \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} &= 0 & \text{in } \Sigma \end{aligned}$$

has $y = 0$ as the unique solution. Then for all given initial data $y_0 \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$, there exist two positive constants M and ω such that

$$E(t) \leq M e^{-\omega t} E(0), \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

For simplicity, we assume that y is a weak solution. By a classical density argument, Theorem 2.2 still holds for a weak solution.

Lemma 3.1. *The energy defined by (1.7) is decreasing and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} E(T) - E(0) &= - \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |y_t|^2 d\Gamma_1 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} k' |y|^2 d\Gamma_1 dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} k''(t-s) |y(t) - y(s)|^2 d\Gamma_1 ds dt, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $0 < T < \infty$.

Proof. Differentiating the energy $E(\cdot)$ defined by (1.7) and using Green's second theorem, we have

$$2E'(t) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla_g \overline{y_t} \nabla_g y d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \nabla_g \overline{y} \nabla_g y_t d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_1} k' |y|^2 d\Gamma_1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Gamma_1} k y_t y \, d\Gamma_1 - \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} k''(t-s) |y(t) - y(s)|^2 \, d\Gamma_1 \, ds \\
& - \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} k'(t-s) \frac{d}{dt} (y(t) - y(s)) \overline{(y(t) - y(s))} \, ds \, d\Gamma_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
E'(t) & = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_g y_t, \nabla_g \bar{y} \rangle_g \, d\Omega + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} k' |y|^2 \, d\Gamma_1 + \\
& + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Gamma_1} k \bar{y}_t y \, d\Gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} k''(t-s) |y(t) - y(s)|^2 \, d\Gamma_1 \, ds \\
& - \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} k'(t-s) \bar{y}_t(t) (y(t) - y(s)) \, d\Gamma_1 \, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
E'(t) & = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} \bar{y}_t \, d\Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} k' |y|^2 \, d\Gamma_1 \\
& + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Gamma_1} k \bar{y}_t y \, d\Gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} k''(t-s) |y(t) - y(s)|^2 \, d\Gamma_1 \, ds \\
& - \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} k'(t-s) \bar{y}_t(t) (y(t) - y(s)) \, d\Gamma_1 \, ds
\end{aligned}$$

By the boundary condition, we have $\operatorname{Re} \int_{\Gamma_1} y \bar{y}_t (k - k(0) - \int_0^t k'(t-s) \, ds) \, d\Gamma_1 = 0$. Then we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
E'(t) & = - \int_{\Gamma_1} b |y_t|^2 \, d\Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} k' |y|^2 \, d\Gamma_1 \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} k''(t-s) |y(t) - y(s)|^2 \, d\Gamma_1 \, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. For all $0 \leq S < T < \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} \right)^2 \frac{H \cdot \nu}{|\nu_A(x)|_g^2} \, d\Gamma_1 \, ds - \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |\nabla_g y|_g^2 H \nu \, d\Gamma_1 \, ds \\
& + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} \right) H(\bar{y}) \, d\Gamma_1 \, ds + \operatorname{Im} \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} y \bar{y}_t H \nu \, d\Gamma_1 \, ds \\
& = 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} DH(\nabla_g y, \nabla_g y) \, d\Omega \, ds - \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g y|_g^2 \operatorname{div} H \, d\Omega \, ds \\
& + \operatorname{Im} \int_{\Omega} y_t H(y) \, d\Omega \Big|_S^T + \operatorname{Im} \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} \bar{y}_t y \operatorname{div} H \, d\Omega \, ds
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We multiply (1.1) by $H \cdot \nabla \bar{y}$ and integrate over $]S, T[\times \Omega$, to obtain

$$\int_S^T \int_{\Omega} y_t H \cdot \nabla \bar{y} \, d\Omega \, ds - \mathbf{i} \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} A y H \cdot \nabla \bar{y} \, d\Omega \, ds = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} y_t H \cdot \nabla \bar{y} \, d\Omega \, ds \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} y H \cdot \nabla \bar{y} \, d\Omega \Big|_S^T - \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} y H \cdot \nabla \bar{y}_t \, d\Omega \, ds \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} y H \cdot \nabla \bar{y} \, d\Omega \Big|_S^T - \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma} y \bar{y}_t H \cdot \nu \, d\Gamma \, ds + \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} \bar{y}_t \operatorname{div}(y \cdot H) \, d\Omega \, ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Substituting (3.2) in (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} y H \cdot \nabla \bar{y} \, d\Omega \Big|_S^T - \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma} y \bar{y}_t H \cdot \nu \, d\Gamma \, ds \\
 & - \mathbf{i} \left[\int_S^T \int_{\Omega} (A \bar{y} H \cdot \nabla y + A y H \cdot \nabla \bar{y}) \, d\Omega \, ds \right] + \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} \bar{y}_t y \operatorname{div} H \, d\Omega \, ds = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} A y H \cdot \nabla \bar{y} \, d\Omega \, ds \\
 &= \operatorname{Im} \int_{\Omega} y H \cdot \nabla \bar{y} \, d\Omega \Big|_S^T - \operatorname{Im} \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma} y \bar{y}_t H \cdot \nu \, d\Gamma \, ds \\
 & \quad + \operatorname{Im} \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} \bar{y}_t y \operatorname{div} H \, d\Omega \, ds
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Using (1.14), we rewrite the integral on the left-hand side of (3.3) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} A y H \cdot \nabla \bar{y} \, d\Omega \, ds \\
 &= \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} H(y) \, d\Gamma \, ds - \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} D H(\nabla_g y, \nabla_g \bar{y}) \, d\Omega \, ds \\
 & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla_g y|^2 \cdot H) \, d\Omega \, ds \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g y|_g^2 \operatorname{div} H \, d\Omega \, ds
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Recalling the boundary condition (1.2), on Γ we have

$$y = y_t = 0, \quad |\nabla_g y|_g^2 = \frac{1}{|\nu_A(x)|_g^2} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} \right)^2, \quad H(y) = \frac{H \cdot \nu}{|\nu_A(x)|_g^2} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A} \right). \tag{3.5}$$

Thus using this equation and (1.3), we find that this simplifies the sought-after identity. \square

Completion of the proof of theorem 2.1. Set $C_0 = (\alpha_1 \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \frac{|H|^2}{|H \cdot \nu|})^2$, $C_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |\operatorname{div} H|$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_2 &= \frac{\alpha_1}{2\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |\nabla_g(\operatorname{div} H)| + \frac{1}{4} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |\operatorname{div} H| + \frac{\varepsilon \alpha_1}{2} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H(x)|, \\
 C_3 &= 2 \left[\frac{|k(0)|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_1)}}{\varepsilon \delta f} + |h|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_1)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

where $h(x) = \frac{k(0)}{\delta(1+ek'(0))}$, $x \in \Gamma_1$. From assumptions (2.1), (2.2) (2.3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2a \int_S^T \int_\Omega |\nabla_g y|_g^2 d\Omega ds \\
& \leq - \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |\nabla_g y|_g^2 H \cdot \nu d\Gamma_1 ds + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A}\right) H(\bar{y}) d\Gamma_1 ds \\
& \quad + \operatorname{Im} \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} y \bar{y}_t H \cdot \nu d\Gamma_1 ds + \int_S^T \int_\Omega |\nabla_g y|_g^2 \operatorname{div} H d\Omega ds \\
& \quad - \operatorname{Im} \int_\Omega y H(y) d\Omega \Big|_S^T - \operatorname{Im} \int_S^T \int_\Omega \bar{y}_t y \operatorname{div} H dQ
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Also for an arbitrary positive constant ε we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |\nabla_g y|_g^2 H \cdot \nu d\Gamma_1 ds + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A}\right) H(\bar{y}) d\Gamma_1 ds \\
& \leq C_0 \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A}\right)^2 d\Gamma_1 ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \operatorname{Im} \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} y \bar{y}_t H \cdot \nu d\Gamma_1 ds - \operatorname{Im} \int_\Omega y H(y) d\Omega \Big|_S^T \right| \\
& \leq \frac{\sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H|}{2\alpha_1} \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |y|^2 d\Gamma_1 ds \\
& \quad + 2\alpha_1 \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H| \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |y_t|^2 d\Gamma_1 ds + \varepsilon \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H(x)| E(S) \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{\varepsilon\alpha_1}{2} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H(x)|\right) |y|_{C([S,T],L^2(\Omega))}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_S^T \int_\Omega |\nabla_g y|_g^2 \operatorname{div} H d\Omega ds - \operatorname{Im} \int_S^T \int_\Omega \bar{y}_t y \operatorname{div} H d\Omega ds \right| \\
& \leq C_1 \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A}\right)^2 d\Gamma_1 ds + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_S^T \int_\Omega |\nabla_g \bar{y}|_g^2 d\Omega ds \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{2\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |\nabla_g(\operatorname{div} H)| + \frac{1}{4} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |\operatorname{div} H|\right) \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |y|^2 d\Gamma_1 ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\Sigma_1} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A}\right)^2 d\Sigma_1 \right| & \leq 3 \left[\int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(-\int_0^t k'(t-s)y(s)ds\right)^2 d\Gamma_1 ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} k(0)|y|^2 d\Gamma_1 ds + \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |y_t|^2 d\Gamma_1 ds \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Now using a compactness-uniqueness argument in the style of [7], we deduce

$$|y|_{C([S,T],L^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |y_t|^2 d\Gamma_1 ds \tag{3.11}$$

Inserting (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.11) in (3.6), leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2a \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g y|_g^2 d\Omega ds \\
& \leq 3(C_0 + C_1) \left[\int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(- \int_0^t k'(t-s)y(s)ds \right)^2 d\Gamma_1 ds \right] \\
& \quad + 3(C_0 + C_1) \left[\int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} k(0)|y|^2 d\Gamma_1 ds + \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |by_t|^2 d\Gamma_1 ds \right] \\
& \quad + C_2 \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |y|^2 d\Gamma_1 ds + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_g \bar{y}|_g^2 d\Omega ds \\
& \quad + \varepsilon \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H(x)| E(S) + 2\alpha_1 \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H| \int_S^T \int_{\Gamma_1} |y_t|^2 d\Gamma_1 ds \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{\varepsilon\alpha_1}{2} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H(x)| \right) |y|_{C([S,T],L^2(\Omega))}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Choosing ε so that $4a - \varepsilon > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (4a - \varepsilon) \int_S^T E(t) dt \\
& \leq [3C_3(C_0 + C_1) + \varepsilon \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H(x)|] E(S) + 2\alpha_1 \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H| \frac{1}{\sup_{x \in \bar{\Gamma}_1} |b|} E(S) \\
& \quad + [3(C_0 + C_1)|k(0)|_{L^\infty}^2 + C_2] \frac{1}{\varphi} E(S) \\
& \quad + [3(C_0 + C_1) + \frac{\varepsilon\alpha_1}{2} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H(x)|] \sup_{x \in \bar{\Gamma}_1} |b| E(S),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_S^T E(t) dt \leq CE(S), \\
& C = \frac{1}{(4a - \varepsilon)} [3C_3(C_0 + C_1) + \varepsilon \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H(x)| + 2\alpha_1 \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H| \frac{1}{\sup_{x \in \bar{\Gamma}_1} |b|} \\
& \quad + [3(C_0 + C_1) + \frac{\varepsilon\alpha_1}{2} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |H(x)|] \sup_{x \in \bar{\Gamma}_1} |b| \\
& \quad + [3(C_0 + C_1)|k(0)|_{L^\infty}^2 + C_2] \frac{1}{\varphi}].
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $T \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain for every $S \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\int_S^{+\infty} E(t) dt \leq CE(S).$$

The desired conclusion follows now from Komornik [6, Theorem 8.1].

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank to Prof. S. E. Rebiai for support received about the regularity questions for the Schrodinger equation.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aassila, M. M. Cavalcanti, J. A. Soriano; *Asymptotic stability and energy decay rate for solution of wave equation with memory in a star shaped domain*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 38 (2000), 1581-1602.
- [2] S. Chai, Y. Guo; *Boundary stabilization of wave equations with variable coefficients and memory*, Differential and Integral Equations, 17 (2004), 669-980.
- [3] R. Cipolotti, E. Machtyngier, San Perdo Siqueira; *Nonlinear boundary feedback stabilization for Schrödinger equations*, Differential and Integral Equations, 9 (1996), 137-148.
- [4] A. Guesmia; *Thèse de doctorat (Chapitre 8)*, IRMA, Strasbourg, (2000).
- [5] E. Hebey; *Introduction à l'analyse non linéaire sur les variétés*, Editeur Diderot, Paris, 1997.
- [6] V. Komornik; *Exact controlability and stabilization. The multiplier method*, Masson, Paris, 1994.
- [7] I. Lasiecka, R. Triggiani; *Optimal regularity, exact controllability and uniform stabilization of Schrödinger equations with Dirichlet control*, Differential and Integral Equations, 5 (1992), 521-535.
- [8] J. L. Lions; *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, Paris, 1969.
- [9] E. Machtyngier, E. Zuazua; *Stabilization of Schrödinger equation*, Portugaliae Mathematica, 51 (1994), 243-255.
- [10] P. F. Yao; *Observability inequality for exact controllability of wave equations with variable coefficients*, SIAM J. Control Optim, 37 (1999), 1568-1599.

ABDESSELAM NAWEL

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LAGHOUAT, ALGERIA

E-mail address: nawelabedess@gmail.com

KHALED MELKEMI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BATNA II, ALGERIA

E-mail address: k.melkemi@univ-batna2.dz