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> AbStract. We consider the perturbed biharmonic equations

$$
\varepsilon^{4} \Delta^{2} u+V(x) u=f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon^{4} \Delta^{2} u+V(x) u=Q(x)|u|^{2^{* *}-2} u+f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

where $\Delta^{2}$ is the biharmonic operator, $N \geq 5,2^{* *}=\frac{2 N}{N-4}$ is the Sobolev critical exponent, $Q(x)$ is a bounded positive function. Under some mild conditions on $V$ and $f$, we show that the above equations have at least one nontrivial solution provided that $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, where the bound $\varepsilon_{0}$ is formulated in terms of $N, V, Q$ and $f$.

## 1. Introduction

We study the perturbed biharmonic equations with subcritical nonlinearity

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon^{4} \Delta^{2} u+V(x) u=f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
u \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

and with critical nonlinearity

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon^{4} \Delta^{2} u+V(x) u=Q(x)|u|^{2^{* *}-2} u+f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
u \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is small, $\Delta^{2}$ is the biharmonic operator, $N \geq 5,2^{* *}=2 N /(N-4)$ denotes the Sobolev critical exponent; $V, Q: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. In this paper, we are interested in the existence of semiclassical solutions for the above equations.

When $\Omega$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=\Delta u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

has been extensively investigated in recent years. This problem arises in the study of traveling waves in suspension bridges (see [5, 12, 16]) and the study of the static deflection of an elastic plate in a fluid. For results on multiple nontrivial and sign

[^0]changing solutions of problem $\sqrt[1.2]{ }$ we refer the readers to [1, 2, 3, 11, 19, 20, 23, 24, 30, 34, 35, 36, 41, 42] and the references therein.

Problems in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ have been considered in several works; see for example [4, 7, 15, 17, 18, 21, 22, 27, 31, 32, 33, 37, 38, 39, 40. To our knowledge, there are only two papers [18, 21] on the singularly perturbation problem. In [18], the authors dealt with the autonomous problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varepsilon^{4} \Delta^{2} u+V(x) u=f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
u \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0, N \geq 5$, and $V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that there exists a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $x_{0} \in \Omega$ with $0<V\left(x_{0}\right)=\inf _{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V<\inf _{\partial \Omega} V$. A family of solutions was proved to exist and to be concentrated at a point in the limit. Motivated by Ding and Lin [8, Wang [21] studied the existence of semiclassical solutions of non-autonomous problem 1.2 under the following assumptions:
(A1) $V \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 0=\min V \leq V(x)$ and there exists $b>0$ such that $\mathcal{V}_{b}:=\{x \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N}: V(x)<b\right\}$ has finite Lebesgue measure;
(A2) $Q \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $0<Q_{1}:=\inf Q \leq \sup Q:=Q_{2}<\infty$;
(A3) $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and there exist constants $p_{0} \in\left(2,2^{* *}\right)>0$ and $c>0$ such that

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq c\left(1+|t|^{p_{0}-1}\right), \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

(A4) $f(x, t)=o(|t|)$, as $|t| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $x$.
(A5) There exist $c_{0}>0$ and $p>2$ such that $F(x, t) \geq c_{0}|t|^{p}$ for all $(x, t)$.
(A6) There exists $2<\mu<2^{* *}$ such that

$$
\mu F(x, t) \leq f(x, t) t \quad \text { for all }(x, t), \text { where } F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s
$$

It is worth pointing out that a crucial technique from [21] is used in the process of proof: for any $(P S)_{c}$ sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ for $I_{\lambda}$ with $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$, where $\lambda=\varepsilon^{-2}$ and

$$
I_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}+\lambda|u|^{2}\right) d x-\frac{\lambda}{2^{* *}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q(x)|u|^{2^{* *}} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x
$$

the author constructed a new sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ such that $I_{\lambda}, I_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ satisfy BL-splits, i.e.,

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c-I_{\lambda}(u), \quad I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

With the aid of this property, the author showed that $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies the $(P S)$-condition at the levels less than $\alpha_{0} \lambda^{1-\frac{N}{4}}$ with some $\alpha_{0}>0$ independent of $\lambda$. Based on such arguments, there are many works devote to semilinear Schröinger equations, to quasilinear Schröinger equations and elliptic system, we refer readers to [6, 9, 10, 26, 28, 29, 43] and the references therein.

Inspired by 21, 13, we consider problems (1.1) and (1.2). The main ingredients of our work are two aspects. On the one hand, our aim is to weaken the above conditions to generalize and improve the result in [21; on the other hand, we will develop a more direct and simpler approach. The novel approach not only makes such an extension possible but also lead to some better results. For example, it enable us to give an explicit upper bound for the parameter $\varepsilon$.

To state our results, we make the following assumptions which are considerably weaker than the ones in the previous work:
(A7) $F(x, t) \geq 0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}|F(x, t)| /|t|^{2}=\infty$ uniformly in $x$, and there exist $a_{0}>0, T_{0}>0$ and $q \in\left(2,2^{* *}\right)$ such that

$$
F(x, t) \geq a_{0}|t|^{q}, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times\left[-T_{0}, T_{0}\right]
$$

$$
t^{-2} h^{6-N} \int_{|x| \leq h} F\left(\lambda^{-1 / 4} x, t / h\right) d x \geq \frac{\left(4 N^{2}+2\right) S_{N}}{N\left(1-2^{-N}\right)^{2}}, \quad \forall h \geq 1, \lambda \geq 1, t \geq h T_{0}
$$

where and in the sequel, $S_{N}=\operatorname{meas}\left(B_{1}(0)\right)=\frac{2 \pi N / 2}{N \Gamma(N / 2)}$;
(A8) $\mathcal{F}(x, t):=\frac{1}{2} t f(x, t)-F(x, t) \geq 0$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$, and there exist $R_{0}>0, a_{1}>0$ and $\kappa>\max \left\{1, \frac{N}{4}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
t f(x, t) \leq \frac{b}{3}|t|^{2}, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R},|t| \leq R_{0} \\
|f(x, t)|^{\kappa} \leq a_{1}|t|^{\kappa} \mathcal{F}(x, t), \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R},|t| \geq R_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

(A9) $t f(x, t) \geq 2 F(x, t)$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ and there exist $a_{*}>0, T_{1}>0$ and $q \in\left(2,2^{* *}\right)$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{2^{* *}} Q(x)|t|^{2^{* *}}+F(x, t) \geq a_{*}|t|^{q}, \quad \text { for }(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times\left[-T_{1}, T_{1}\right]
$$

In light of (A3)-(A4), there exist $R_{*}>0$ and $a_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(x)|t|^{2^{* *}}+t f(x, t) \leq \frac{b}{3}|t|^{2}, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R},|t| \leq R_{*}  \tag{1.3}\\
t f(x, t) \leq a_{2} Q(x)|t|^{2^{* *}}, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R},|t| \geq R_{*} \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 1.1. It is easy to check that the conditions (A7), (A8) and (A9) are weaker than (A5) and (A6). It is well known that many nonlinearities such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, t)=t \ln (1+|t|) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

do not satisfy (A6). A crucial role that (A6) plays is to ensure the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequences.

Now we only show that $f(x, t)$ satisfies (A7) and (A8). Indeed, by a straightforward computation,

$$
\begin{gathered}
F(x, t)=\frac{t^{2}-1}{2} \ln (1+|t|)+\frac{1}{4}|t|(2-|t|) \\
\mathcal{F}(x, t)=\frac{1}{2} t f(x, t)-F(x, t)=\frac{1}{2} \ln (1+|t|)+\frac{1}{4}|t|(|t|-2)
\end{gathered}
$$

Observe that, letting $h \geq 1, t \geq h T_{0}$ for some $T_{0} \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{-2} h^{6-N} \int_{|x| \leq h} F\left(\lambda^{-1 / 4} x, t / h\right) d x \\
& =t^{-2} h^{6-N} \int_{|x| \leq h}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{t}{h}\right)^{2}-1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{t}{h}\right)+\frac{\frac{t}{h}\left(2-\frac{t}{h}\right)}{4}\right] d x \\
& =\frac{1}{N} S_{N} h^{N} t^{-2} h^{6-N}\left[\frac{t^{2}-h^{2}}{2 h^{2}} \ln \left(1+\frac{t}{h}\right)+\frac{t(2 h-t)}{4 h^{2}}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2 N} S_{N} h^{4}\left[\left(1-\left(\frac{h}{t}\right)^{2}\right) \ln \left(1+\frac{t}{h}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2 h}{t}-1\right)\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 N} S_{N}\left[\left(1-T_{0}^{-2}\right) \ln \left(1+T_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{T_{0}}-\frac{1}{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\geq \frac{1}{2 N} S_{N}\left[\frac{3}{4} \ln \left(1+T_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right]
$$

This implies that

$$
t^{-2} h^{6-N} \int_{|x| \leq h} F\left(\lambda^{-1 / 4} x, t / h\right) d x \geq \frac{\left(4 N^{2}+2\right) S_{N}}{N\left(1-2^{-N}\right)^{2}}, \quad \forall h \geq 1, t \geq h T_{0}
$$

for suitable large $T_{0}$. When $t \in\left[-T_{0}, T_{0}\right]$, it is easy to see that there exist $\theta>0$ such that

$$
\theta|t| \leq \ln (1+|t|) \leq e^{-1}|t|
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x, t) & =\frac{t^{2}-1}{2} \ln (1+|t|)+\frac{1}{4}|t|(2-|t|) \\
& \geq \frac{\theta}{2}|t|^{3}-\frac{1}{2}|t|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{e^{-1}}{2}\right)|t|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, there exist $a_{0}>0$ and $q \in\left(2,2^{* *}\right)$ such that

$$
F(x, t) \geq a_{0}|t|^{q}, \quad t \in\left[-T_{0}, T_{0}\right]
$$

From the above fact, we deduce that 1.5 satisfies (A7). On the other hand, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(x, t) & =\frac{1}{2} t f(x, t)-F(x, t)=\frac{1}{2} \ln (1+|t|)+\frac{1}{4}|t|(|t|-2) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}|t|-\frac{1}{4}|t|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}|t|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}|t|=0
\end{aligned}
$$

By a straightforward computation, there exist $R_{0}>0, a_{1}>0$ and $\kappa>\max \left\{1, \frac{N}{4}\right\}$ such that

$$
t f(x, t)=t^{2} \ln (1+|t|) \leq \frac{b}{3}|t|^{2}, \quad|t| \leq R_{0}
$$

and
$\left|\frac{f(x, t)}{t}\right|^{\kappa}=(\ln (1+|t|))^{\kappa} \leq a_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} \ln (1+|t|)+\frac{1}{4}|t|(|t|-2)\right)=a_{1} \mathcal{F}(x, t), \quad|t| \geq R_{0}$.
This shows that (1.5) satisfies (A8).
The main results of this article are the following theorems.
Theorem 1.2. Assume that (A1), (A3), (A4), (A7), (A8) are satisfied. Then there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$, such that for $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, equation 1.1. has a solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<\Phi_{\varepsilon^{-4}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{b^{(4 \kappa-4) / 4}}{3^{\kappa} a_{1}\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}} \varepsilon^{N-4} \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{F}\left(x, u_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq \frac{b^{(4 \kappa-4) / 4}}{3^{\kappa} a_{1}\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}} \varepsilon^{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1.3. Assume that (A1)-(A4), (A9) are satisfied. Then there exists $\varepsilon_{*}>$ 0 , such that for $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{*}$, equation (1.2) has a solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<\Phi_{\varepsilon^{-4}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{Q_{2}}{\left[3\left(1+a_{2}\right) Q_{2}\right]^{N / 4} N\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}} \varepsilon^{N-4} \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{F}\left(x, u_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{2}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q(x)\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2^{* *}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{Q_{2}}{\left[3\left(1+a_{2}\right) Q_{2}\right]^{N / 4} N\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}} \varepsilon^{N}
\end{gathered}
$$

Next, instead of handling (1.1) and $\sqrt{1.2}$ directly, but handle the equivalent problems. Let $\lambda=\varepsilon^{-4}$, then equations 1.1) and 1.2 are equivalent to the following equations respectively

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} u+\lambda V(x) u=\lambda f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
u \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} u+\lambda V(x) u=\lambda Q(x)|u|^{2^{* *}-2} u+\lambda f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.7}\\
u \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad u(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are equivalent to the following theorems.
Theorem 1.4. Assume that (A1), (A3), (A4), (A7), (A8) are satisfied. Then there exists $\lambda_{0}>1$, such that for $\lambda \geq \lambda_{0}$, equation 1.6 has a solution $u_{\lambda}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<\Phi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \leq \frac{b^{(4 \kappa-4) / 4}}{3^{\kappa} a_{1}\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}} \lambda^{1-N / 4} \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{F}\left(x, u_{\lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq \frac{b^{(4 \kappa-4) / 4}}{3^{\kappa} a_{1}\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}} \lambda^{-N / 4}
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 1.5. Assume that (A1)-(A4), (A9) are satisfied. Then there exists $\lambda_{*}>$ 1 , such that for $\lambda \geq \lambda_{*}$, equation (1.7) has a solution $u_{\lambda}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<\Phi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \leq \frac{Q_{2}}{\left[3\left(1+a_{2}\right) Q_{2}\right]^{N / 4} N\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}} \lambda^{1-N / 4} \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{F}\left(x, u_{\lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{2}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q(x)\left|u_{\lambda}\right|^{2^{* *}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{Q_{2}}{\left[3\left(1+a_{2}\right) Q_{2}\right]^{N / 4} N\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}} \lambda^{-N / 4}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the next section, we provide some preliminaries and then prove these theorems.

## 2. Proof of the main Results

To prove our results, first, we introduce the working space

$$
E=\left\{u \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x<+\infty\right\}
$$

and the associated norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[|\Delta u|^{2}+\lambda V(x)|u|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad u \in E
$$

By using (A1) and the Sobolev inequality, we can demonstrate that there exists a constant $\gamma_{0}>0$ independent of $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq \gamma_{0}\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in E, \lambda \geq 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space for $\lambda \geq 1$. Furthermore, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{s} \leq \gamma_{s}\|u\|_{H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq \gamma_{s} \gamma_{0}\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in E, \lambda \geq 1,2 \leq s \leq 2^{* *} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where and in the sequel, by $\|\cdot\|_{s}$ we denote the usual norm in space $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}+\lambda V(x)|u|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\lambda}(u)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}+\lambda V(x)|u|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{\lambda}{2^{* *}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q(x)|u|^{2^{* *}} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{2.4}\\
& -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) \mathrm{d} x
\end{align*}
$$

It is well known that $\Phi_{\lambda}$ and $\Psi_{\lambda}$ are of $C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(\Delta u \Delta v+\lambda V(x) u v) \mathrm{d} x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x, u) v \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \forall u, v \in E \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(\Delta u \Delta v+\lambda V(x) u v) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[Q(x)|u|^{2^{* *}-2} u+f(x, u)\right] v \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \forall u, v \in E \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that, since $(q-2) N-4 q<0$, we can let $h_{0} \geq 1$ and $h_{*} \geq 1$ be such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{(q-2) S_{N}}{2 N q\left(q a_{0}\right)^{2 /(q-2)}}\left\{\frac{4 N^{3}+2}{(N+4)\left(1-2^{-N}\right)^{2}}\right\}^{q /(q-2)} h_{0}^{[(q-2) N-4 q] /(q-2)}  \tag{2.7}\\
& =\frac{b^{(4 \kappa-N) / 4}}{3^{\kappa} a_{1}\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{(q-2) S_{N}}{2 q N\left(q a_{*}\right)^{2 /(q-2)}}\left\{\frac{4 N^{3}+2(N+4)}{(N+4)\left(1-2^{-N}\right)^{2}}\right\}^{q /(q-2)} h_{*}^{[(q-2) N-4 q] /(q-2)}  \tag{2.8}\\
& =\frac{Q_{2}}{\left[3\left(1+a_{2}\right) Q_{2}\right]^{N / 4} N\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be such that $V\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. From now on, we assume without loss of generality that $x_{0}=0$, that is $V(0)=0$, then we can choose $\lambda_{0}>1$ and $\lambda_{*}>1$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{\lambda^{1 / 4}|x| \leq 2 h_{0}}|V(x)| \leq h_{0}^{-4}, \quad \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_{0}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \sup _{\lambda^{1 / 4}|x| \leq 2 h_{*}}|V(x)| \leq h_{*}^{-4}, \quad \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_{*} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.2 1.5. Subsection 2.1 considers the subcritical cases Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 , while Subsection 2.2 considers the critical cases Theorems 1.3 and 1.5
2.1. Subcritical case. In view of the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|$, we can re-write $\Phi_{\lambda}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall u \in E . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\vartheta(x):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{h_{0}}, & |x| \leq h_{0}  \tag{2.12}\\ \frac{h_{0}^{N-1}}{1-2^{-N}}\left[|x|^{-N}-\left(2 h_{0}\right)^{-N}\right], & h_{0}<|x| \leq 2 h_{0} \\ 0, & |x|>2 h_{0}\end{cases}
$$

Then $\vartheta \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, moreover,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\Delta \vartheta\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Delta \vartheta(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{4 N^{2} S_{N} h_{0}^{N-6}}{(N+4)\left(1-2^{-N}\right)^{2}}  \tag{2.13}\\
\|\vartheta\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\vartheta(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{2 S_{N} h_{0}^{N-2}}{\left(1-2^{-N}\right)^{2} N} \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $e_{\lambda}(x)=\vartheta\left(\lambda^{1 / 4} x\right)$. Then we can prove the following lemma which is very important.
Lemma 2.1. Suppose that (A1), (A3), (A4), (A7) are satisfied. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\Phi_{\lambda}\left(s e_{\lambda}\right): s \geq 0\right\} \leq \frac{b^{(4 \kappa-N) / 4}}{3^{\kappa} a_{1}\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}} \lambda^{1-N / 4}, \quad \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_{0} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (A7), 2.3), 2.7, 2.9, 2.12, 2.13) and 2.14, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{\lambda}\left(s e_{\lambda}\right) \\
&= \frac{s^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\Delta e_{\lambda}\right|^{2}+\lambda V(x)\left|e_{\lambda}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(x, s e_{\lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
&= \lambda^{1-N / 4}\left[\frac{s^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\Delta \vartheta|^{2}+V\left(\lambda^{-1 / 4} x\right)|\vartheta|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(\lambda^{-1 / 4} x, s \vartheta\right) \mathrm{d} x\right] \\
& \leq \lambda^{1-N / 4}\left[\frac{s^{2}}{2}\left(\|\Delta \vartheta\|_{2}^{2}+\|\vartheta\|_{2}^{2} \sup _{|x| \leq 2 h_{0}}\left|V\left(\lambda^{-1 / 4} x\right)\right|\right)\right.  \tag{2.16}\\
&\left.-\int_{|x| \leq h_{0}} F\left(\lambda^{-1 / 4} x, s / h_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right] \\
& \leq \lambda^{1-N / 4}\left[\frac{s^{2}}{2}\left(\|\Delta \vartheta\|_{2}^{2}+h_{0}^{-4}\|\vartheta\|_{2}^{2}\right)-\int_{|x| \leq h_{0}} F\left(\lambda^{-1 / 4} x, s / h_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for all $s \geq 0$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{s^{2}}{2}\left(\|\Delta \vartheta\|_{2}^{2}+h_{0}^{-4}\|\vartheta\|_{2}^{2}\right)-\int_{|x| \leq h_{0}} F\left(\lambda^{-1 / 4} x, s / h_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{s^{2}}{2}\left[\|\Delta \vartheta\|_{2}^{2}+h_{0}^{-4}\|\vartheta\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{\left(4 N^{2}+2\right) S_{N}}{N\left(1-2^{-N}\right)^{2}} h_{0}^{N-6}\right] \leq 0 \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $s \geq h_{0} T_{0}$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_{0}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{s^{2}}{2}\left(\|\Delta \vartheta\|_{2}^{2}+h_{0}^{-4}\|\vartheta\|_{2}^{2}\right)-\int_{|x| \leq h_{0}} F\left(\lambda^{-1 / 4} x, s / h_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{s^{2}}{2}\left(\|\Delta \vartheta\|_{2}^{2}+h_{0}^{-4}\|\vartheta\|_{2}^{2}\right)-\frac{a_{0} S_{N}}{N} s^{q} h_{0}^{N-q} \\
& \leq \frac{(q-2)\left(\|\Delta \vartheta\|_{2}^{2}+h_{0}^{-4}\|\vartheta\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{q /(q-2)}}{2 q\left(\frac{q a_{0} S_{N}}{N} h_{0}^{N-q}\right)^{2 /(q-2)}}  \tag{2.18}\\
& \leq \frac{(q-2) S_{N}}{2 N q\left(q a_{0}\right)^{2 /(q-2)}}\left\{\frac{4 N^{3}+2}{(N+4)\left(1-2^{-N}\right)^{2}}\right\}^{q /(q-2)} h_{0}^{[(q-2) N-4 q] /(q-2)} \\
& =\frac{b^{(4 \kappa-N) / 4}}{3^{\kappa} a_{1}\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}}, \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq h_{0} T_{0}, \lambda \geq \lambda_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the conclusion of Lemma 2.1 follows from 2.16, 2.17) and 2.18).

Applying the mountain-pass lemma without the (PS) condition, by standard arguments, we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that (A1), (A3), (A4), (A7) are satisfied. Then there exist a constant $c_{\lambda} \in\left(0, \sup _{s \geq 0} \Phi_{\lambda}\left(s e_{\lambda}\right)\right]$ and a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\lambda}, \quad\left\|\Phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{E^{*}}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that (A1), (A3), (A4), (A7), (A8) are satisfied. Then any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying 2.19 is bounded in $E$.

Proof. To prove the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$. Then $\left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$. If

$$
\delta:=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{B(y, 1)}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=0
$$

then by Lions' concentration compactness principle [14] or [25, Lemma 1.21], $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $2<s<2^{* *}$. Hence, from (A1), (A8) and the Hölder inequality it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \leq R_{0}}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right) v_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda b}{3} \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \leq R_{0}}\left|u_{n}\right|\left|v_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda b}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \mathcal{V}_{b}}\left|u_{n}\right|\left|v_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x+\frac{\lambda b}{3} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{b}}\left|u_{n}\right|\left|v_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda b}{3}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \mathcal{V}_{b}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \mathcal{V}_{b}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{2.20}\\
& \quad+\frac{\lambda b\left[\operatorname{meas}\left(\mathcal{V}_{b}\right)\right]^{1 /(N+1)}}{3}\left(\int_{\mathcal{V}_{b}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2(N+1) / N} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{N / 2(N+1)} \\
& \quad \times\left(\int_{\mathcal{V}_{b}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2(N+1) / N} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{N / 2(N+1)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\frac{\lambda b\left[\operatorname{meas}\left(\mathcal{V}_{b}\right)\right]^{1 /(N+1)}}{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2(N+1) / N}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{2(N+1) / N} \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{3}+o(1)\right]\left\|u_{n}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

From 2.3), 2.5 and 2.19, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\lambda}+o(1)=\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{F}\left(x, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\kappa^{\prime}=\kappa /(\kappa-1)$, then $2<2 \kappa^{\prime}<2^{* *}$. By (A8), 2.21) and the Hölder inequality, one obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{0}} \frac{\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right) v_{n}\right|}{\| u_{n} \mid} \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\lambda \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{0}} \frac{\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|}{\left|u_{n}\right|}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \lambda\left(\int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{0}}\left(\frac{\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|}{\left|u_{n}\right|}\right)^{\kappa} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / \kappa}\left(\int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{0}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2 \kappa^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / \kappa^{\prime}}  \tag{2.22}\\
& \leq \lambda\left(a_{1} \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{0}} \mathcal{F}\left(x, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / \kappa}\left(\int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{0}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2 \kappa^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / \kappa^{\prime}} \\
& \leq \lambda^{1-1 / \kappa}\left[a_{1} c_{\lambda}+o(1)\right]^{1 / \kappa}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{2 \kappa^{\prime}}^{2}=o(1)
\end{align*}
$$

Combining 2.20 with 2.21 and using 2.11 and 2.19, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
1+o(1) & \leq \frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle\Phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}=\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right) v_{n}\right|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\lambda \int_{\left|u_{n}\right|<R_{0}} \frac{\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right) v_{n}\right|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \mathrm{d} x+\lambda \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{0}} \frac{\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right) v_{n}\right|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \mathrm{d} x  \tag{2.23}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{3}+o(1)
\end{align*}
$$

This contradiction shows that $\delta>0$.
Going to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume the existence of $k_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}\left(k_{n}\right)}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} d x>\frac{\delta}{2}$. Let $w_{n}(x)=v_{n}\left(x+k_{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} d x>\frac{\delta}{2} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define $\tilde{u}_{n}(x)=u_{n}\left(x+k_{n}\right)$, then $\tilde{u}_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|=w_{n}$ and $\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w$ in $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $2 \leq s<2^{* *}$ and $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Obviously, (2.24) implies that $w \neq 0$. For a.e. $x \in\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: w(z) \neq 0\right\}$, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\tilde{u}_{n}(x)\right|=\infty$. Hence, it follows from 2.11, 2.19), (A7) and Fatou's lemma that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{\lambda}+o(1)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F\left(x+k_{n}, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)}{\left|\tilde{u}_{n}\right|^{2}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F\left(x+k_{n}, \tilde{u}_{n}\right)}{\left|\tilde{u}_{n}\right|^{2}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=-\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction shows that $\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded.
Proof of Theorem 1.4. Applying Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, we deduce that there exists a bounded sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying 2.20 with

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\lambda} \leq \frac{b^{(4 \kappa-N) / 4}}{3^{\kappa} a_{1}\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}} \lambda^{1-N / 4}, \quad \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_{0} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{\lambda}$ in $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ and $\Phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Next, we prove that $u_{\lambda} \neq 0$.

Arguing by contradiction, suppose that $u_{\lambda}=0$, i.e. $u_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $E$, and so $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 2 \leq s<2^{* *}$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Since $\mathcal{V}_{b}$ is a set of finite measure and $u_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $E$, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \mathcal{V}_{b}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathcal{V}_{b}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{1}{\lambda b}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1) . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $s \in\left(2,2^{* *}\right)$, from $\left.(2.2), 2.26\right)$ and the Hölder inequality it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{s}^{s} & \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2\left(2^{* *}-s\right) /\left(2^{* *}-2\right)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2^{* *}}^{2^{* *}(s-2) /\left(2^{* *}-2\right)}  \tag{2.27}\\
& \leq\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{2^{* *}(s-2) /\left(2^{* *}-2\right)}(\lambda b)^{-\left(2^{* *}-s\right) /\left(2^{* *}-2\right)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{s}+o(1)
\end{align*}
$$

According to (F4) and 2.26), one can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \leq R_{0}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{\lambda b}{3} \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \leq R_{0}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{1}{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.3), 2.5 and 2.19, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{F}\left(x, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x=c_{\lambda}+o(1) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (A8), 2.25, 2.27) with $s=2 \kappa /(\kappa-1)$ and 2.29, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{0}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \lambda\left(\int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{0}}\left(\frac{\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|}{\left|u_{n}\right|}\right)^{\kappa} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / \kappa}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{s}^{2} \\
& \leq a_{1}^{1 / \kappa}\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{2 \cdot 2^{* *}(s-2) / s\left(2^{* *}-2\right)} \lambda^{1-1 / \kappa}(\lambda b)^{-2\left(2^{* *}-s\right) / s\left(2^{* *}-2\right)} c_{\lambda}^{1 / \kappa}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1) \\
& \leq a_{1}^{1 / \kappa}\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2 \kappa} \lambda^{1-1 / \kappa} c_{\lambda}^{1 / \kappa}(\lambda b)^{-(4 \kappa-N) / 4 \kappa}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1)  \tag{2.30}\\
& =\frac{a_{1}^{1 / \kappa}\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2 \kappa}}{b^{(4 \kappa-N) / 4 \kappa}}\left[\lambda^{(N-4) / 4} c_{\lambda}\right]^{1 / \kappa}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1),
\end{align*}
$$

which, together with (2.5), 2.19) and 2.28, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
o(1)=\left\langle\Phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \frac{1}{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1) ; \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

this results in the fact that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, from (A3), 2.11) and 2.19 it follows that

$$
0<c_{\lambda}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\Phi_{\lambda}(0)=0
$$

This contradiction shows $u_{\lambda} \neq 0$. By a standard argument, we easily certify that $\Phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=0$ and $\Phi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \leq c_{\lambda}$. Then $u_{\lambda}$ is a nontrivial solution of (1.7), moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\lambda} \geq \Phi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=\Phi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right), u_{\lambda}\right\rangle=\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{F}\left(x, u_{\lambda}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Theorem 1.2 is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.4
2.2. Critical case. In view of the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|$, we can re-write $\Psi_{\lambda}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2^{* *}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q(x)|u|^{2^{* *}} \mathrm{~d} x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall u \in E . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $e_{\lambda}^{*}(x)=\vartheta^{*}\left(\lambda^{1 / 4} x\right)$, where

$$
\vartheta^{*}(x):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{h_{*}}, & |x| \leq h_{*}  \tag{2.34}\\ \frac{h_{*}^{N-1}}{1-2^{-N}}\left[|x|^{-N}-\left(2 h_{*}\right)^{-N}\right], & h_{*}<|x| \leq 2 h_{*}, \\ 0, & |x|>2 h_{*}\end{cases}
$$

Then we can prove the following lemma in the same way as the proof of Lemma 2.1 .

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that (A1), (A3), (A4), (A9) are satisfied. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\Psi_{\lambda}\left(s e_{\lambda}^{*}\right): s \geq 0\right\} \leq \frac{Q_{2}}{\left[3\left(1+a_{2}\right) Q_{2}\right]^{N / 4} N\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \lambda^{1-N / 4}, \quad \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_{*} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the mountain-pass lemma without the (PS) condition, by standard arguments, we can also prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. Suppose that (A1), (A3), (A4), (A9) are satisfied. Then there exist a constant $c_{\lambda} \in\left(0, \sup _{s \geq 0} \Psi_{\lambda}\left(s e_{\lambda}^{*}\right)\right]$ and a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\lambda}, \quad\left\|\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{E^{*}}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that (A1), (A3), (A4), (A9)t are satisfied. Then any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying 2.36 is bounded in $E$.

Proof. To prove the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$. Then $\left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$. In view of (A2) and (A4), we can choose $R_{\lambda} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.|Q(x)| t\right|^{2^{* *}-2} t+f(x, t)\left|\leq \frac{1}{3 \lambda\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{2}}\right| t\left|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|t| \leq R_{\lambda}\right. \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (2.2), 2.37) and the Hölder inequality, it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\lambda}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \leq R_{\lambda}}\left|\left[Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}-2}+f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right] v_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{3\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \leq R_{\lambda}}\left|u_{n} \| v_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x  \tag{2.38}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{3\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq \frac{1}{3}
\end{align*}
$$

From (A2), (A9), 2.6, 2.33 and 2.36, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{\lambda}+o(1) & =\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{2}{N} Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}}+\mathcal{F}\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& \geq \frac{2 \lambda Q_{1}}{N} \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{\lambda}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Sing (A3), (A2), 2.39) and the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\lambda}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{\lambda}}\left|\left[Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}-2} u_{n}+f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right] v_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda C_{\lambda} Q_{2}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{\lambda}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}-1}\left|v_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x  \tag{2.40}\\
& \leq \frac{\lambda C_{\lambda} Q_{2}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{2^{* *}}\left(\int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{\lambda}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\left(2^{* *}-1\right) / 2^{* *}}=o(1),
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{\lambda}$ is a constant depend on $\lambda$. Combining 2.38 with 2.40 and using 2.6 and 2.36), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+o(1)= & \frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} \\
= & \frac{\lambda}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}-2} u_{n}+f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right] v_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \frac{\lambda}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \int_{\left|u_{n}\right|<R_{\lambda}}\left|\left[Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}-2} u_{n}+f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right] v_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\frac{\lambda}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq R_{\lambda}}\left|\left[Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}-2} u_{n}+f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right] v_{n}\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{3}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$.
Proof of Theorem 1.5. Applying Lemmas 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6, we deduce that there exists a bounded sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying (2.36) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\lambda} \leq \frac{Q_{2}}{\left[3\left(1+a_{2}\right) Q_{2}\right]^{N / 4} N\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{N / 2}} \lambda^{1-N / 4}, \quad \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_{*} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Going to a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{\lambda}$ in $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ and $\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Next, we prove that $u_{\lambda} \neq 0$.

Arguing by contradiction, suppose that $u_{\lambda}=0$, i.e. $u_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $E$, and so $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 2 \leq s<2^{* *}$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Since $\mathcal{V}_{b}$ is a set of finite measure and $u_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \mathcal{V}_{b}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathcal{V}_{b}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{1}{\lambda b}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1) \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, together with 1.3 , yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \leq R_{*}}\left[Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}}+f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda b}{3} \int_{\left|u_{n}\right| \leq R_{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{1}{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1) \tag{2.43}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.6), 2.33) and 2.36), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle & =\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\frac{2}{N} Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}}+\mathcal{F}\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x  \tag{2.44}\\
& =c_{\lambda}+o(1)
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.2), (1.4, 2.41, 2.44) and the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \int_{\left|u_{n}\right|>R_{*}}\left[Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}}+f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq\left(1+a_{2}\right) \lambda \int_{\left|u_{n}\right|>R_{*}} Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq\left(1+a_{2}\right) \lambda\left(Q_{2}\right)^{2 / 2^{* *}}\left(\int_{\left|u_{n}\right|>R_{*}} Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{4 / N}\left(\int_{\left|u_{n}\right|>R_{*}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{2 / 2^{* *}} \\
& =\left(1+a_{2}\right)\left(\lambda Q_{2}\right)^{2 / 2^{* *}}\left(\int_{\left|u_{n}\right|>R_{*}} Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{4 / N}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2^{* *}}^{2}  \tag{2.45}\\
& =\left(1+a_{2}\right) Q_{2}\left(\gamma_{2^{* *}} \gamma_{0}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{N}{Q_{2}}\right)^{4 / N}\left(\lambda^{\frac{N-4}{4}} c_{\lambda}\right)^{4 / N}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1)
\end{align*}
$$

which, together with 2.6 and 2.43 , yields

$$
\begin{align*}
o(1) & =\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{* *}}+f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right] \mathrm{d} x  \tag{2.46}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1) ;
\end{align*}
$$

this results in the fact that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. The rest proof is the same as one of Theorem 1.4 .

Note that Theorem 1.3 is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.5
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