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#### Abstract

This article studies the homogenization of hyperbolic-parabolic equations in porous media with tiny holes. We assume that the holes are periodically distributed and that the coefficients of the equations are periodic. Using the multi-scale convergence method, we derive a homogenization result whose limit problem is defined on a fixed domain and is of the same type as the problem with oscillating coefficients.


## 1. Introduction

In porous media with tiny holes, we study the asymptotic behaviour (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ) of the solution to the following problem with rapidly oscillating coefficients:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t^{2}}+\beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)=f \quad \text { in } \Omega^{\varepsilon} \times(0, T), \\
A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nu_{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { on }\left(\partial \Omega^{\varepsilon} \backslash \partial \Omega\right) \times(0, T), \\
u_{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { on }\left(\partial \Omega^{\varepsilon} \cap \partial \Omega\right) \times(0, T)  \tag{1.1}\\
u_{\varepsilon}(x, 0)=u^{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega^{\varepsilon}, \\
\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}(x, 0)=\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) v^{0}(x) \text { in } \Omega^{\varepsilon}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ locally located on one side of its Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega, f \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), u^{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), v^{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega), T>0$ is a fixed real number representing the final time of the process and $\Omega^{\varepsilon}$ is a domain with periodically distributed tiny holes. The coefficients $\rho, \beta$ and the matrix $A$ are periodic. A detailed description of the domain $\Omega^{\varepsilon}$ and precise assumptions on the coefficients are given in the next section.

Equations of the form (1.1) are usually called hyperbolic-parabolic equations (H$P$ equations) and appears when modelling wave processes arising for instance, in heat theory ( $\rho=0$ and $\beta \neq 0$ ), theories of hydrodynamics, electricity, magnetism, light, sound and in elasticity theory ( $\rho \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$ ) (see e.g., 17, 18). It is also well known [2, 20, that equations of the form (1.1) model the process of small longitudinal linear elastic vibration in a thin inhomogeneous rod, in this case,

[^0]$\rho \neq 0$ is the linear density of the rod, $\beta=\beta(y) \neq 0$ the dissipation coefficient, $A$ the Young's modulus, $f$ the distribution of the density of an external force directed along the $\operatorname{rod}$ and $u_{\varepsilon}$ the displacement function.

The homogenization problem for H-P equations was first studied by Bensoussan, Lions and Papanicolau [3] in a fixed domain by means of compactness arguments and Tatar's test function method. Bakhvalov and Panasenko [2] considered the same problem and utilized the formal asymptotic expansion method combined with maximum principles to prove homogenization results.

To the best of our knowledge, Migorski [11] was the first to address the homogenization problem for H-P equations in perforated domains. In a domain perforated with holes of size $\varepsilon$, he considered a $Y$-periodic matrix $A$ and assumed some strong convergence hypotheses on $\rho^{\varepsilon}$ and $\beta^{\varepsilon}$ to prove a homogenization theorem by means of the test function method. Timofte [21] considered the same problem as Migorski but with $\rho^{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon$ and a non-linear source term. Yang and Zhao [23] addressed the same problem as Migorski by means of the periodic unfolding method. It is worth pointing out that none of the just mentioned works falls within the framework of reiterated homogenization and those in perforated domains deal with holes of size $\varepsilon$.

In the situations where $\rho \neq 0$ and $\beta=0$, or $\rho=0$ and $\beta \neq 0$ there are numerous works that are indeed related to the homogenization problem for H-P equations. In this direction we quote [4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 22, and references therein. We also mention that Nnang [16] has studied the deterministic homogenization problem for weakly damped nonlinear H-P equations in a fixed domain with $\rho=1$.

In this work, the matrix $A$ oscillates on two scales and our domain is perforated with tiny holes of size $\varepsilon^{2}$ so that our work falls within the scope of reiterated homogenization. Moreover, we have a time dependent function $\beta^{\varepsilon}$ and we utilised Nguetseng's two scale convergence method [15]. A passage to the limit (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ) yields a macroscopic problem which is of the same type as the $\varepsilon$-problem: an H - P equation.

This article is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with the geometric setting of the problem and detailed assumptions on the data. In Section 3 some estimates and compactness results are proven. In Section 4 , we recall the basics of the multiscale convergence theory and formulate a suitable version of its main compactness theorem to be used in the proof of our main result. We also proved some preliminary convergence results. In the fourth section our main result is formulated and proved.

## 2. Setting of the problem

Let us recall here the setting for the perforated domain $\Omega^{\varepsilon}$ (see e.g., the pioneering work on homogenization of differential equations in perforated domains [4]). Let $Z=(0,1)^{N}$ be the unit cube in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and let $\Theta \subset Z$ be a compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Theta$, a non-empty interior and such that the Lebesgue measure of the set $Z \backslash \Theta$ is different from zero. For $\varepsilon>0$, we set

$$
t^{\varepsilon}=\left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}: \varepsilon^{2}(k+\Theta) \subset \Omega\right\}, \quad \Theta^{\varepsilon}=\cup_{k \in t^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{2}}(k+\Theta)
$$

and we define the porous medium as:

$$
\Omega^{\varepsilon}=\Omega \backslash \Theta^{\varepsilon}
$$

It appears by construction that $t^{\varepsilon}$ is finite since $\Omega$ is bounded. Hence $\Theta^{\varepsilon}$ is closed and $\Omega^{\varepsilon}$ is open. One can observe that $\Omega^{\varepsilon}$ represents the subregion of $\Omega$ obtained
from $\Omega$ by removing a finite number of periodically distributed holes $\left\{\varepsilon^{2}(k+\Theta)\right.$ : $\left.k \in t^{\varepsilon}\right\}$ of size $\varepsilon^{2}$. In the $\varepsilon$-problem (1.1), $\nu_{\varepsilon}$ is the outward unit normal to $\Omega^{\varepsilon}$ on $\partial \Omega^{\varepsilon} \backslash \partial \Omega$. If we set $Z^{*}=Z \backslash \Theta$ and denote by $\chi_{G}$ the characteristic function of the set $G$, the perforated domain $\Omega^{\varepsilon}$ can also be defined by

$$
\Omega^{\varepsilon}=\left\{x \in \Omega: \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)=1\right\} .
$$

Hence

$$
\chi_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}}(x)=\chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \quad(x \in \Omega)
$$

For further needs we introduce the Hilbert space

$$
V_{\varepsilon}=\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right): u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega\right\}
$$

endowed with the gradient norm

$$
\|u\|_{V_{\varepsilon}}=\|\nabla u\|_{\left(L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{N}} \quad\left(u \in V_{\varepsilon}\right) .
$$

We now state the assumptions on the data. The $\varepsilon$-problem (1.1) is constrained as follows:
(A1) Uniform ellipticity. The matrix $A(y, z)=\left(a_{i j}(y, z)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)^{N \times N}$ is real, symmetric and there exists a positive constant $\Lambda>0$ such that

$$
\left\|a_{i j}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq \Lambda \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i, j \leq N
$$

$$
\sum_{i j=1}^{N} a_{i j}(y, z) \zeta_{i} \zeta_{j} \geq \Lambda^{-1}|\zeta|^{2} \text { for a.e. }(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \text { and all } \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

(A2) Positivity of $\rho$ and $\beta$. The functions $\rho(z) \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\beta(y, \tau) \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times\right.$ $\mathbb{R}$ ) satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho(z) \geq 0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
\beta(y, \tau) \geq \alpha>0 \quad \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

(A3) Periodicity. Let $Y=(0,1)^{N}, Z=(0,1)^{N}$ and $\mathcal{T}=(0,1)$. We assume that the function $\beta$ is $Y \times \mathcal{T}$-periodic and that for any $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, the function $a_{i j}$ is $Y \times Z$-periodic. We also assume that the function $\rho$ is $Z$-periodic and further satisfy

$$
\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\rho)=\int_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) d z>0
$$

The main result of this article reads as follows (the matrix $\widehat{A}$ appearing therein is defined later).
Theorem 2.1. Assume that hypotheses (A1)-(A3) hold and let $u_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon>0)$ be the unique solution to 1.1. Then as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$
u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)
$$

where $u_{0} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ is the unique solution to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\int_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) d z\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}+\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y} \beta(y, \tau) d y d \tau\right) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t}-\frac{1}{\left|Z^{*}\right|} \operatorname{div}\left(\hat{A} \nabla_{x} u_{0}\right)=f(x, t) \\
\text { in } \Omega \times(0, T), \\
u_{0}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, T), \\
u_{0}(x, 0)=u^{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left(\int_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) d z\right) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t}(x, 0)=\left(\int_{Z^{*}} \sqrt{\rho(z)} d z\right) v^{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

Unless otherwise specified, vector spaces throughout are considered over $\mathbb{R}$, and scalar functions are assumed to take real values. The numerical space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and its open sets are provided with the Lebesgue measure denoted by $d x=d x_{1} \ldots d x_{N}$. The usual gradient operator will be denoted by $\nabla$. Throughout, $C$ denotes a generic constant independent of $\varepsilon$ that can change from one line to the next. We will use the following notation. The centered dot stands for the Euclidean scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ while the absolute value or modulus is denoted by $|\cdot|$.

Let $F\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right),(m \geq 3$ integer $)$ be a given function space and let $U$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. The Lebesgue measure of $U$ is denoted by $|U|$ and the mean value of a function $v$ over $U$ is denoted and defined by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{U}(v)=\frac{1}{|U|} \int_{U} v(x) d x
$$

We denote by $F_{\text {per }}(U)$ the space of functions in $F_{\text {loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ (when it makes sense) that are $U$-periodic, and by $F_{\#}(U)$ the space of those functions $v \in F_{\text {per }}(U)$ with $\int_{U} v(y) d y=0$.

The letter $E$ denotes throughout a family of strictly positive real numbers $(0<$ $\varepsilon<1$ ) admitting 0 as accumulation point while a fundamental sequence is any ordinary sequence of real numbers $0<\varepsilon_{n}<1$, such that $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. The time derivatives $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}$ are sometimes denoted by $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. For $\varepsilon>0$ the functions $x \mapsto \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right), x \mapsto \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right),(x, t) \mapsto \beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ and $x \mapsto A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ are sometimes denoted by $\chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}, \rho^{\varepsilon}, \beta^{\varepsilon}$ and $A^{\varepsilon}$, respectively.

## 3. Estimates and compactness results

We recall that [3, Theorem 1.1] for any $\varepsilon>0$ the evolution problem (1.1) admits a unique solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), \\
u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)\right), \quad \sqrt{\rho^{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)\right), \\
\rho^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right) \\
u_{\varepsilon}(0)=u^{0}, \quad \rho^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)=\sqrt{\rho^{\varepsilon}} v^{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition 3.1. Under hypotheses (A1)-(A3), the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{\varepsilon}\right)} \leq C  \tag{3.1}\\
\left\|\sqrt{\rho^{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)} \leq C  \tag{3.2}\\
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)} \leq C  \tag{3.3}\\
\left\|\rho^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)} \leq C \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant which does not depend on $\varepsilon$.
Proof. We follow [3. Let $t \in[0, T]$. We multiply the first equation of 1.1) by $u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ and integrate over $\Omega^{\varepsilon}$ to get

$$
\int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}}\left[\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+\beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right] d x=\int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} f u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} d x .
$$

Which is also written

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\left[\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\prime} d x+\int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} \beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} f u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} d x
\end{align*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\left[\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\prime} d x & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\left[\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] d x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} \beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x=\left(\beta^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)}
$$

so that, on setting $\int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} A^{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} d x:=\mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)$, the Green formula

$$
-\int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} A^{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} d x
$$

and the following consequence of the symmetry hypothesis on $A$,

$$
-\int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

allow us to rewrite 3.5 as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\rho^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)}+\left(\beta^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\left(f, u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now integrate 3.6 on $[0, t]$ and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t), u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0), u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}(t), u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}(0), u_{\varepsilon}(0)\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\beta^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s), u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)} d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t}\left(f(s), u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the initial conditions, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|\sqrt{\rho^{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}(t), u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\beta^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s), u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon}\left(u^{0}, u^{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|v^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(f(s), u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right)_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the positivity of $\beta$, the boundedness and ellipticity hypotheses on $A$, the Cauchy-Schwartz and Young's inequalities, one readily arrives at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\sqrt{\rho^{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2}+\frac{1}{\Lambda}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{V_{\varepsilon}}^{2}+2 \alpha \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2} d s \\
& \leq \Lambda\left\|\nabla u^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{N}}^{2}+\left\|v^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\alpha \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2} d s+\frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{t}\|f(s)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (3.1)-3.3) as easily seen from

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\sqrt{\rho^{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2}+\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{V_{\varepsilon}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)}^{2} d s  \tag{3.7}\\
& \leq C\left(\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|v^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We use the main equation in 1.1) to deduce that

$$
\left\|\rho^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)}=\left\|-\beta^{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+\operatorname{div}\left(A^{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)+f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)} \leq C
$$

which completes the proof.
Since solutions of 1.1 are defined on $\Omega_{T}^{\varepsilon}=(0, T) \times \Omega^{\varepsilon}$ but not on $\Omega_{T}=$ $(0, T) \times \Omega$, we introduce a family of extension operators so that the sequence of extensions to $\Omega$ of solutions to 1.1 belongs to a fixed space in which we can study its asymptotic behaviour. The following result is a classical extension property (4) 11].

Proposition 3.2. For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a bounded linear operator $P_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
P_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{\varepsilon}\right) ; \quad L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) ; \quad L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{\varepsilon} u=u \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega_{T}^{\varepsilon},  \tag{3.8}\\
P_{\varepsilon} u^{\prime}=\left(P_{\varepsilon} u\right)^{\prime} \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega_{T}^{\varepsilon},  \tag{3.9}\\
\left\|P_{\varepsilon} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)},  \tag{3.10}\\
\left\|P_{\varepsilon} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{\varepsilon}\right)} \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

An immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 is the following estimates that will be useful in the sequel.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and let $u_{\varepsilon}$ be the solution to (1.1). There exists $a$ constant $C>0$ independent of $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C  \tag{3.12}\\
\left\|\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C  \tag{3.13}\\
\left\|\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C \tag{3.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

We are now in a position to formulate our first compactness result.
Theorem 3.4. The sequence $\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is relatively compact in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.
Proof. It is a consequence of proposition 3.3 and a classical embedding result. We define

$$
W=\left\{u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right): u^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)\right\}
$$

and endow it with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W}=\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)} \quad u \in W
$$

It is well known from Aubin-Lions' lemma that the injection $W \Subset L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ is compact. The proof is complete since the sequence $\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in $W$ as seen from (3.12)-(3.14).

## 4. Multiscale convergence and preliminary results

In this section, we recall the definition and main compactness theorem of the multi-scale convergence theory [1, 15]. We also adapt some existing results in this method to our framework. We eventually prove some preliminary convergence results needed in the homogenization process of problem (1.1).

### 4.1. Multiscale convergence method.

Definition 4.1. A sequence $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in E} \subset L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ is said to weakly multi-scale converge towards $u_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}\right)$ (denoted $\left.u_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{w-m s} u_{0}\right)$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$, if as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon}(x, t) \varphi\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) d x d t \\
& \rightarrow \iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}} u_{0}(x, t, y, z, \tau) \varphi(x, t, y, z, \tau) d x d t d y d z d \tau \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}(Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T})\right)$.
A sequence $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in E} \subset L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ is said to strongly multi-scale converge towards $u_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}\right)$ (denoted $\left.u_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{s-m s} u_{0}\right)$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$, if it weakly multi-scale converges to $u_{0}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}\right)$ and further satisfies

$$
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)} \rightarrow\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}\right)} \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

Remark 4.2. (i) Let $u \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}(Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T})\right)$ and define for $\varepsilon \in E$, $u^{\varepsilon}: \Omega_{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
u^{\varepsilon}(x, t)=u\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \quad \text { for }(x, t) \in \Omega_{T}
$$

Then $u^{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{w-m s} u$ and $u^{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{s-m s} u$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We also have $u^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \widetilde{u}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$-weak as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, with

$$
\widetilde{u}(x, t)=\iiint_{Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}} u(\cdot, \cdot, y, z, \tau) d y d z d \tau
$$

(ii) Let $u \in \mathcal{C}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{T} ; L_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T})\right)$ and define $u^{\varepsilon}$ like in (i) above. Then $u^{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{w-m s} u$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
(iii) If $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in E} \subset L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ and $u_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}\right)$ are such that $u_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{w-m s}$ $u_{0}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$, then 4.1 still holds for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{T} ; L_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T})\right)$.
(iv) Since $\chi_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}}(x)=\chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and any $\varepsilon \in E$, we deduce from (ii) above that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \chi_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} \xrightarrow{w-m s} \chi_{Z^{*}}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$.

The following two theorems are the backbone of the multi-scale convergence method [1, 15].

Theorem 4.3. Any bounded sequence in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ admits a weakly multi-scale convergent subsequence.

Theorem 4.4. Let $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in E}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, E being a fundamental sequence. There exist a subsequence still denoted by $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in E}$ and a triplet $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ in the space

$$
L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; L^{2}\left(\mathcal{T} ; H_{\mathrm{per}}^{1}(Y)\right)\right) \times L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; L^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T} ; H_{\mathrm{per}}^{1}(Z)\right)\right)
$$

such that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \text {-weak }  \tag{4.2}\\
\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \xrightarrow{w-m s} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial z_{i}} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \quad(1 \leq i \leq N) . \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 4.5. In theorem 4.4, the functions $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are unique up to additive functions of variables $x, t, \tau$ and $x, t, y, \tau$, respectively. It is therefore crucial to fix the choice of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ in accordance with our needs. To formulate the version of theorem 4.4 we will use, we introduce the space

$$
H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)=\left\{u \in H_{\mathrm{per}}^{1}(Z): \int_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) u(z) d z=0\right\}
$$

and its dense subspace

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\# \rho}^{\infty}\left(Z^{*}\right)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Z): \int_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) u(z) d z=0\right\}
$$

Theorem 4.6. Let $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in E}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, E being a fundamental sequence. There exist a subsequence still denoted by $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in E}$ and a triplet $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ in the space

$$
L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; L^{2}\left(\mathcal{T} ; H_{\#}^{1}(Y)\right)\right) \times L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; L^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)\right)
$$

such that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \text {-weak }  \tag{4.4}\\
\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{i}} \xrightarrow{w-m s} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial z_{i}} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \quad(1 \leq j \leq N) . \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

4.2. Preliminary results. Before formulating some preliminary convergence results needed later, we recall some results on periodic distributions (see e.g., [7, [19). As above, let $L_{\# \rho}^{2}\left(Z^{*}\right)$ denotes the space of functions $u \in L_{\text {per }}^{2}(Z)$ with $\int_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) u(z) d z=0$, and consider the following Gelfand triple

$$
H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right) \subset L_{\# \rho}^{2}\left(Z^{*}\right) \subset\left(H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)^{\prime}
$$

If $u \in L_{\# \rho}^{2}\left(Z^{*}\right)$ and $v \in H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)$, we have $[u, v]=(u, v)$ where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the duality pairing between $\left(H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)^{\prime}$ and $H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)$ while $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the scalar product in $L_{\# \rho}^{2}\left(Z^{*}\right)$. The topological dual of $L^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)$ is $L^{2}(Y \times$ $\left.\mathcal{T} ;\left(H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\# \rho}^{\infty}\left(Z^{*}\right)$ is dense in $L^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)$.
Proposition 4.7. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {per }}^{\prime}(Y \times \mathcal{T} \times Z)$ and assume that $u$ is continuous on $\mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\# \rho}^{\infty}\left(Z^{*}\right)$ endowed with the $L_{\text {per }}^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)$-norm. Then $u \in L_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T} ;\left(H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)$, and further

$$
\langle u, \varphi\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y}[u(y, \tau), \varphi(y, \tau, \cdot)] d y d \tau
$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\# \rho}^{\infty}\left(Z^{*}\right)$, where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between $\mathcal{D}_{\text {per }}^{\prime}(Y \times \mathcal{T} \times Z)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y \times \mathcal{T} \times Z)$.

Proposition 4.8. Let

$$
V=\left\{u \in L^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right): \rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \tau^{2}} \in L^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T} ;\left(H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

(i) The space $V$ is a reflexive Banach space when endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)}+\left\|\rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \tau^{2}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T} ;\left(H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)} \quad(u \in V)
$$

(ii) It holds that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y}\left[\rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \tau^{2}}, v\right] d y d \tau=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y}\left[u, \rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial \tau^{2}}\right] d y d \tau \quad \text { for all } u, v \in V
$$

We can now formulate the main result of this section.
Theorem 4.9. Let $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in E}$ be the sequence of solution to (1.1), E being a fundamental sequence. There exist a subsequence $E^{\prime}$ of $E$ and a triplet $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ in the space

$$
L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; H_{\#}^{1}(Y)\right) \times L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; L^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)\right)
$$

such that, as $E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
\frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t} \xrightarrow{w-m s} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)  \tag{4.7}\\
\frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial x_{i}} \xrightarrow{w-m s} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial z_{i}} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \quad(1 \leq i \leq N) . \tag{4.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 4.9 requires two preliminary results and is therefore postponed.

Lemma 4.10. Let $E, E^{\prime},\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in E}$ and the triplet $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ be as in Theorem 4.9. It holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon}(x, t) \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \varphi\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) d x d t \\
& =\iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}} u_{2}(x, t, y, z, \tau) \rho(z) \chi_{Z^{*}}(z) \varphi(x, t, y, z, \tau) d x d t d y d z d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{per}}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{per}}^{\infty}(Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{per}}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$ such that

$$
\int_{Z} \chi_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) \varphi(z) d z=0 \quad \text { for all }(x, t, y, \tau) \in \Omega_{T} \times Y \times \mathcal{T}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$ with $\int_{Z} \chi_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) \varphi(z) d z=0$, we deduce from the Fredholm alternative the existence of a unique $w \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes$ $\mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{z} w=\varphi \rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \quad \text { in } Z \\
w(x, t, y, \tau) \in H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right) \quad \text { for all }(x, t, y, \tau) \in \Omega_{T} \times Y \times \mathcal{T} . \tag{4.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

But the restriction to $Z^{*}$ of the function $w$ defined by 4.9 belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{3}\left(Z^{*}\right)$ so that we have

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\nabla_{z} w\right)^{\varepsilon}=\left(\operatorname{div} \nabla_{z} w\right)^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}_{y} \nabla_{z} w\right)^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\Delta_{z} w\right)^{\varepsilon} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{T}^{\varepsilon}
$$

and therefore

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon}(x, t) \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \varphi\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) d x d t
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & -\int_{\Omega_{T}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla_{z} w\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t-\int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div} \nabla_{z} w\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}_{y} \nabla_{z} w\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

As, $E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,4.8$ and (iii) of Remark 4.2 reveal that the first term in the right hand side of this equality converges to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}}\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}+\nabla_{z} u_{2}\right) \cdot \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\nabla_{z} w\right) d x d t d y d z d \tau \\
& =\iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}} u_{2} \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\Delta_{z} w\right) d x d t d y d z d \tau,
\end{aligned}
$$

while the second one converges to zero. As regards the third term, since the test function therein, $\left(\operatorname{div}_{y} \nabla_{z} w\right)^{\varepsilon}$, depends on the $z$ variable, its limit cannot be computed as usual like in [8, Theorem 2.3] even if its mean value over $Y$ is zero. This requires some further investigation. From

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon}=\left(\operatorname{div} \nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}_{y} \nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\operatorname{div}_{z} \nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{T}^{\varepsilon}
$$

and

$$
\left(\operatorname{div}_{z} \nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon}=\left(\operatorname{div}_{y} \nabla_{z} w\right)^{\varepsilon} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{T}^{\varepsilon}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}_{y} \nabla_{z} w\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
= & \varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div} \nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t+\int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\Delta_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& +\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{T}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}_{y} \nabla_{z} w\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& \rightarrow \iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}} u_{0} \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\Delta_{y} w\right) d x d t d y d z d \tau=0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The proof is complete.
Lemma 4.11. Let $E, E^{\prime},\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in E}$ and the triplet $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ be as in Theorem 4.9. It holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon}(x, t) \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \varphi\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) d x d t \\
& =\iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}} u_{1}(x, t, y, z, \tau) \rho(z) \chi_{Z^{*}}(z) \varphi(x, t, y, z, \tau) d x d t d y d z d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$ such that

$$
\int_{Y} \varphi(y) d y=0 \quad \text { for all }(x, t, z, \tau) \in \Omega_{T} \times Z \times \mathcal{T}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$ with $\int_{Y} \varphi(y) d y=0$ and consider $w \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{1}(Z) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{y} w=\varphi \rho \text { in } Y \\
w(x, t, z, \tau) \in \mathcal{C}_{\#}^{\infty}(Y) \text { for all }(x, t, z, \tau) \in \Omega_{T} \times Z \times \mathcal{T} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Recalling that

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon}=\left(\operatorname{div} \nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}_{y} \nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\operatorname{div}_{z} \nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{T}
$$

the following holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon}(x, t) \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \varphi\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) d x d t \\
& =\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon}(x, t) \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\left(\Delta_{y} w\right)\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) d x d t  \tag{4.10}\\
& =-\int_{\Omega_{T}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon}-\int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div} \nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{T}} u_{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{div}_{z} \nabla_{y} w\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

As $\int_{Z} \operatorname{div}_{z}\left(\nabla_{y} w\right) d z=0$ we follow the lines of reasoning in the proof of Lemma 4.10 to compute the limit of the last term in 4.10. We find that as $E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the right-hand side of 4.10 converges to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}} \chi_{Z^{*}}\left[-\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}+\nabla_{z} u_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\nabla_{y} w\right)-u_{0}\left(\operatorname{div} \nabla_{y} w\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-u_{2}\left(\operatorname{div}_{z} \nabla_{y} w\right)\right] d x d t d y d z d \tau \\
& =\iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}} u_{1} \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\Delta_{y} w\right) d x d t d y d z d \tau \\
& =\iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}} u_{1} \chi_{Z^{*}} \varphi \rho d x d t d y d z d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is complete.
Proof of Theorem 4.9. According to Proposition 3.3. Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 4.6 it remains to prove (4.7) and to justify that the function $u_{1}$ in the triplet given by Theorem 4.6 actually belongs to $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; H_{\#}^{1}(Y)\right)$, i.e., $u_{1}$ does not depend on the variable $\tau$. We start with the fact that $u_{1} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; H_{\#}^{1}(Y)\right)$. To prove this, let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\#}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$ and consider the function $\psi^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ defined by

$$
\psi^{\varepsilon}(x, t)=\varepsilon^{3} \psi\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega_{T}
$$

Using $\psi^{\varepsilon}$ as a test function in problem 1.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{T}} \rho^{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \psi^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t^{2}} d x d t+\int_{\Omega_{T}} \beta^{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t} \psi^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega_{T}} A^{\varepsilon} \nabla\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \cdot \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon} \nabla \psi^{\varepsilon} d x d t=\int_{\Omega_{T}} f \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon} \psi^{\varepsilon} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in this equation, the term in the right-hand side and the second and third terms on the left hand side obviously converge to zero, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega_{T}} \rho^{\varepsilon} \chi_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}}\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \psi^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t^{2}} d x d t=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

However,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \psi^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t^{2}}=\varepsilon^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}+2 \varepsilon \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t \partial \tau}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial \tau^{2}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting 4.12 in 4.11 we realize that

$$
\lim _{E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{T}}\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)(x, t) \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial \tau^{2}}\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) d x d t=0
$$

Using Lemma 4.11, this is equivalent to

$$
\iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}} u_{1}(x, t, y, \tau) \rho(z) \chi_{Z^{*}}(z) \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial \tau^{2}}(x, t, y, \tau) d x d t d y d z d \tau=0
$$

which, by taking $\psi=\psi_{1} \otimes \psi_{2} \otimes \psi_{3}$ with $\psi_{1} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right), \psi_{2} \in \mathcal{C}_{\#}^{\infty}(Y)$ and $\psi_{3} \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$, also writes

$$
\int_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) d z \iint_{\Omega_{T} \times Y} \psi_{1}(x, t) \psi_{2}(y)\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}} u_{1}(x, t, y, \tau) \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{3}}{\partial \tau^{2}}(\tau) d \tau\right) d x d t d y=0 .
$$

The hypothesis $\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\rho)>0$ and the arbitrariness of $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ yields

$$
\int_{0}^{1} u_{1}(x, t, y, \tau) \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{3}}{\partial \tau^{2}}(\tau) d \tau=0 \quad \text { for all } \psi_{3} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{per}}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})
$$

Taking in particular $\psi_{3}(\tau)=e^{-2 i \pi p \tau}(p \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\})$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} u_{1}(x, t, y, \tau) e^{-2 i \pi p \tau} d \tau=0 \quad \text { for all } p \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Fourier series expansion of the periodic function $\tau \mapsto u_{1}(x, t, y, \tau)$ writes

$$
u_{1}(x, t, y, \tau)=\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{p} e^{2 i \pi p \tau} \quad \text { where } C_{p}=\int_{0}^{1} u_{1}(x, t, y, \tau) e^{-2 i \pi p \tau} d \tau
$$

However, 4.13) implies that $C_{p}=0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, so that $u_{1}(x, t, y, \tau)=C_{0}=$ $\int_{0}^{1} u_{1}(x, t, y, \tau) d \tau$. This proves that the function $u_{1}$ is independent of $\tau$.

We now prove 4.7. It follows from (3.13) and Theorem 4.3 that there exists $w \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that, as $E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t} \xrightarrow{w-m s} w \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (4.6) implies that $\frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t}$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ as $E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, while (4.14) implies $\frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t} \xrightarrow{w-m s} \mathcal{M}_{Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}}(w)$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ as $E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ it is sufficient to prove that the function $w$ does not depend on the variables $y, z$ and $\tau$ to conclude that $w=\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$. Firstly, we prove that the function $w$ does not depend on the variable $z$. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right), \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y), \psi \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Z)$ and $\vartheta \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$ and define $w^{\varepsilon}(x, t)=\theta(x, t) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \vartheta\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ for $\varepsilon \in E^{\prime}$ and $(x, t) \in \Omega_{T}$. Passing to the limit as $E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the equality

$$
-\varepsilon^{2}\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t}\right), w^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right), L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}
$$

$$
=\int_{\Omega_{T}} \vartheta\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t}\left[\varepsilon^{2} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{j}} \varphi^{\varepsilon} \psi^{\varepsilon}+\theta \varphi^{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_{j}}\right)^{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon \theta\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_{j}}\right)^{\varepsilon} \psi^{\varepsilon}\right] d x d t
$$

we obtain (keep in mind that 3.13) implies the boundedness in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ of the first term in the duality bracket just above)

$$
0=\int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}} w(x, t, y, z, \tau) \theta(x, t) \varphi(y) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_{j}}(z) \vartheta(\tau) d x d t d y d z d \tau
$$

which by the arbitrariness of $\theta, \varphi$ and $\vartheta$ implies

$$
\int_{Z} w(x, t, y, z, \tau) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_{j}}(z) d z=0 \quad \text { for all }(x, t, y, \tau) \in \Omega_{T} \times Y \times \mathcal{T}
$$

which proves that $w$ does not depend on the variable $z$. Similarly, one easily proves that $w$ does not depend on $y$ by passing to the limit in the following equality (where $w^{\varepsilon}(x, t)=\theta(x, t) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \vartheta\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ for $\varepsilon \in E^{\prime}$ and $\left.(x, t) \in \Omega_{T}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\varepsilon\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\left(\frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t}\right), w^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right), L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)}\right. \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{T}} \vartheta\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t}\left[\varepsilon \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{j}} \varphi^{\varepsilon}+\theta\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_{j}}\right)^{\varepsilon}\right] d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

As for the independence of $u_{1}$ from the variable $\tau$, we have the following equality, where $w^{\varepsilon}(x, t)=\theta(x, t) \vartheta\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ for $\varepsilon \in E^{\prime}$ and $(x, t) \in \Omega_{T}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\varepsilon^{2}\left\langle\rho^{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t^{2}}, w^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right), L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{\varepsilon}\right)} \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{T}} \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t}\left[\varepsilon^{2} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \vartheta^{\varepsilon}+\theta\left(\frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial \tau}\right)^{\varepsilon}\right] d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

which, after a limit passage as $E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (keeping (3.4) in mind) leads to

$$
0=\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\rho) \int_{0}^{1} w(x, t, \tau) \frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial \tau} d \tau \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in \Omega_{T}
$$

However $\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\rho)>0$ and the proof is complete.
Remark 4.12. To capture all the microscopic and mesoscopic behaviours of the phenomenon modelled by problem (1.1), one must take test functions of the form

$$
\psi_{\varepsilon}(x, t)=\psi_{0}(x, t)+\varepsilon \psi_{1}\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)+\varepsilon^{2} \psi_{2}\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)
$$

with $\psi_{0} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right), \psi_{1} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\#}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\psi_{2} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes$ $\mathcal{C}_{\# \rho}^{\infty}\left(Z^{*}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$. Theorem 4.9 informs us that the function $u_{1}$ does not depend on the variable $\tau$ so that in the homogenization process of problem 1.1) we can instead use test functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\varepsilon}(x, t)=\psi_{0}(x, t)+\varepsilon \psi_{1}\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon^{2} \psi_{2}\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{1} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\#}^{\infty}(Y)$ and $\psi_{0}, \psi_{2}$ are as above.

## 5. Homogenization process

In this section, we pass to the limit in the limit in the variational formulation of problem 1.1) and formulate the microscopic problem, the mesoscopic problem and the macroscopic problem, successively.
5.1. Global limit problem for 1.1). The setting being that of Theorem 4.9, let $\psi_{0} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right), \psi_{1} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\#}^{\infty}(Y)$ and $\psi_{2} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\# \rho}^{\infty}\left(Z^{*}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$, and consider for any $\varepsilon \in E$, the function $\psi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ defined as in 4.15. We aim at passing to the limit (as $E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ) in the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{T}} \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t^{2}} d x d t \\
& +\int_{\Omega_{T}} \beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t} \psi_{\varepsilon}(x, t) d x d t  \tag{5.1}\\
& +\int_{\Omega_{T}} \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \nabla\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{T}} f(x, t) \psi_{\varepsilon}(x, t) \chi_{Z^{*}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

We will consider each term of (5.1) separately. We start with the first term in the left hand side and denote it by $L_{1}$. Recalling that

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{\varepsilon}+2\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{2}}{\partial t \partial \tau}\right)^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}\right)^{\varepsilon} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{T}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1} \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{T}} \rho^{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{0}}{\partial t^{2}} d x d t+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{T}} \rho^{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{1}}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
&+\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega_{T}} \rho^{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t+2 \int_{\Omega_{T}} \rho^{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{2}}{\partial t \partial \tau}\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t  \tag{5.2}\\
&+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{T}} \rho^{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon}\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)(x, t)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}\right)^{\varepsilon} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0,4.6$ and (iii) of Remark 4.2, imply that the second and third terms in the right hand side of 5.2 converge to zero and the fourth term converges to

$$
2 \iiint_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z} \rho(z) \chi_{Z^{*}}(z) u_{0}(x, t)\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial t}\right) d \tau\right) d x d t d y d z=0
$$

while, using the weak-strong convergence theorem in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$, we realize that the first term converges to

$$
\left(\int_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) d z\right)\left(\int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}} \psi_{0}(x, t) d x d t\right)
$$

As for the last term, Lemma 4.10 applies and yields the following limit

$$
\int_{\Omega_{T}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y}\left[u_{2}, \rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}\right] d y d \tau\right) d x d t
$$

Hence, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, L_{1}$ converges to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) d z\right)\left(\int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}} \psi_{0}(x, t) d x d t\right) \\
& +\int_{\Omega_{T}}\left(\iint_{Y \times \mathcal{T}}\left[u_{2} \rho \chi_{Z^{*}}, \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}\right] d \tau d y\right) d x d t \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering now the second term in the left hand side of (5.1) which we denote by $L_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{2} \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{T}} \beta^{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t} \psi_{0}(x, t) d x d t+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{T}} \beta^{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t} \psi_{1}\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) d x d t  \tag{5.4}\\
&+\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\Omega_{T}} \beta^{\varepsilon} \chi_{Z^{*}}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial\left(P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t} \psi_{2}\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

The second and third terms in (5.4) tend to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. As the first term is concerned, 4.7) and item (iii) of Remark 4.2 help to obtain the limit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}} \beta(y, \tau) \chi_{Z^{*}}(z) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t} \psi_{0} d x d t d y d z d \tau \\
& =\left|Z^{*}\right|\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y} \beta(y, \tau) d y d \tau\right)\left(\int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t} \psi_{0} d x d t\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, it is known that the third term in the left hand side of (5.1) converges to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z \times \mathcal{T}} A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}+\nabla_{z} u_{2}\right) \cdot \chi_{Z^{*}}(z)\left(\nabla_{x} \psi_{0}+\nabla_{y} \psi_{1}\right.  \tag{5.5}\\
& \left.+\nabla_{z} \psi_{2}\right) d x d t d y d z d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

while its right-hand side tends to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Z^{*}\right| \int_{\Omega_{T}} f(x, t) \psi_{0}(x, t) d x d t \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To formulate our global limit problem, we need to prove that $u_{2}(x, t) \in V$ for almost all $(x, t) \in \Omega_{T}$, such that we can rewrite the duality bracket in (5.3) using the formula in Proposition 4.8, viz.,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y}\left[u_{2}, \rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}\right] d y d \tau=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y}\left[\rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}, \psi_{2}\right] d y d \tau \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega_{T}
$$

Proposition 5.1. The function $u_{2} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; L_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)\right)$ defined by Theorem 4.9 satisfies

$$
u_{2}(x, t) \in V \quad \text { for almost all }(x, t) \in \Omega_{T} .
$$

Proof. After the passage to the limit in (5.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) d z\right) \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}} \psi_{0} d x d t+\int_{\Omega_{T}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y}\left[u_{2}, \rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}\right] d y d \tau\right) d x d t \\
& +\left|Z^{*}\right|\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y} \beta(y, \tau) d y d \tau\right) \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t} \psi_{0} d x d t \\
& +\iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T}} A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}+\nabla_{z} u_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\nabla_{x} \psi_{0}+\nabla_{y} \psi_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\nabla_{z} \psi_{2}\right) d x d t d y d z d \tau \\
& =\left|Z^{*}\right| \int_{\Omega_{T}} f(x, t) \psi_{0}(x, t) d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\left(\psi_{0}, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \times\left(\mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C} \#(Y)\right) \times\left(\mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{C}_{\# \rho}^{\infty}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)$. Taking in this equation $\psi_{0}=\psi_{1}=0$ and $\psi_{2}=\varphi \otimes \phi$ where $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y \times \mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\# \rho}^{\infty}\left(Z^{*}\right)$, and using the arbitrariness of $\varphi$, we obtain almost everywhere in $\Omega_{T}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y \times \mathcal{T}}\left[u_{2}, \rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \tau^{2}}\right] d y d \tau \\
& =-\iiint_{Y \times Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T}} A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}+\nabla_{z} u_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\nabla_{z} \phi\right) d y d z d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(x, t) \in \Omega_{T}$ and consider the linear functional

$$
\phi \mapsto-\iiint_{Y \times Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T}} A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}+\nabla_{z} u_{2}\right)\left(\nabla_{z} \phi\right) d y d z d \tau
$$

It is easy to see that the boundedness of the matrix $A$ implies that the above linear functional is continuous on $\mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y \times \mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\# \rho}^{\infty}\left(Z^{*}\right)$ for the $L_{\text {per }}^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T}, H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)$ norm. Proposition 4.7 applies and gives $\rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}} \in L_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T},\left(H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)$ almost everywhere in $\Omega_{T}$. This completes the proof.

The passage to the limit in (5.1) as $E^{\prime} \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ proved the following result.
Proposition 5.2. The triplet $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ defined by Theorem 4.9 is a solution to the variational problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; H_{\#}^{1}(Y)\right) \times L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T} ; L^{2}\left(Y \times \mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \quad\left(\int_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) d z\right) \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}} \psi_{0} d x d t+\int_{\Omega_{T}}\left(\iint_{Y \times \mathcal{T}}\left[\rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}, \psi_{2}\right] d y d \tau\right) d x d t \\
& \quad+\left|Z^{*}\right|\left(\iint_{Y \times \mathcal{T}} \beta(y, \tau) d y d \tau\right) \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t} \psi_{0} d x d t \\
& \quad+\iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T}} A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}+\nabla_{z} u_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\nabla_{x} \psi_{0}+\nabla_{y} \psi_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\nabla_{z} \psi_{2}\right) d x d t d y d z d \tau \\
& =\left|Z^{*}\right| \int_{\Omega_{T}} f(x, t) \psi_{0}(x, t) d x d t \\
& \quad \text { for all }\left(\psi_{0}, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \times\left(\mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\#}^{\infty}(Y)\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\# \rho}^{\infty}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The variational problem (5.7), sometimes called global limit problem, is equivalent to the following system of three problems:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{T}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y}\left[\rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}, \psi_{2}\right] d y d \tau\right) d x d t \\
& +\iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T}} A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}+\nabla_{z} u_{2}\right)  \tag{5.8}\\
& \cdot\left(\nabla_{z} \psi_{2}\right) d x d t d y d z d \tau=0 \\
& \text { for all } \psi_{2} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{per}}^{\infty}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{per}}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\# \rho}^{\infty}\left(Z^{*}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T}} A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}+\nabla_{z} u_{2}\right)  \tag{5.9}\\
& \cdot\left(\nabla_{z} \psi_{1}\right) d x d t d y d z d \tau=0 \quad \text { for all } \psi_{1} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\#}^{\infty}(Y)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) d z\right) \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}} \psi_{0} d x d t \\
& +\left|Z^{*}\right|\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y} \beta(y, \tau) d y d \tau\right) \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t} \psi_{0} d x d t \\
& +\iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T}} A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}+\nabla_{z} u_{2}\right)  \tag{5.10}\\
& \cdot\left(\nabla_{x} \psi_{0}\right) d x d t d y d z d \tau \\
& =\left|Z^{*}\right| \int_{\Omega_{T}} f(x, t) \psi_{0}(x, t) d x d t \quad \text { for all } \psi_{0} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We are now in a position to derive equations describing the microscopic, the mesoscopic and the macroscopic behaviours of the phenomenon modelled by (1.1). We start at the microscopic scale.
5.2. Microscopic problem. Taking in (5.8), $\psi_{2}=\varphi \otimes \theta \otimes \phi$, with $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$, $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(Y)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{\# \rho}^{\infty}\left(Z^{*}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$ we obtain by the arbitrariness of $\varphi$ and $\theta$ and for almost all $(x, t, y) \in \Omega_{T} \times Y$,

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}, \phi\right] d \tau=-\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Z^{*}} A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}+\nabla_{z} u_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\nabla_{z} \phi\right) d z d \tau
$$

Therefore, for almost all $(x, t, y) \in \Omega_{T} \times Y$, the function $u_{2}(x, t, y)$ solves the variational problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{2}(x, t, y) \in L_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right), \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}, v\right] d \tau+\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Z^{*}} A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{z} u_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\nabla_{z} v\right) d z d \tau  \tag{5.11}\\
=-\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Z^{*}} A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\nabla_{z} v\right) d z d \tau \\
\text { for all } v \in L_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, the variational problem (5.11) admits a solution uniquely defined on $Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T}$ since, if $v_{1}, v_{2} \in L_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)$ are two solutions, then $v=v_{1}-v_{2} \in$ $L_{\text {per }}^{2}\left(\mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right)$ will be solution of the linear homogeneous equation

$$
\rho(z) \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial \tau^{2}}+\operatorname{div}_{z}\left(A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{z} v\right)\right)=0 \quad \text { in } Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T}
$$

with zero Cauchy data, we therefore we deduce that $v=0$ in $Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T}$ and uniqueness follows.

As customary, let $y \in Y$ be fixed and let $\chi_{i}(y)(1 \leq i \leq N)$ be the unique solution to the microscopic problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi_{i}(y) \in L_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho \chi_{Z^{*}} \frac{\partial^{2} \chi_{i}}{\partial \tau^{2}}, \phi\right] d \tau+\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Z^{*}} A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{z} \chi_{i}\right) \cdot\left(\nabla_{z} \phi\right) d z d \tau \\
& =-\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Z^{*}} \sum_{1=1}^{N} a_{i k}(y, z) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_{k}} d z d \tau  \tag{5.12}\\
& \quad \text { for all } \phi \in L_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{T} ; H_{\# \rho}^{1}\left(Z^{*}\right)\right) \quad(i \in\{1, \cdots, N\})
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying the $i^{t h}$ equation of 5.12 by $\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y_{i}}$ and then summing the resulting equations over $i=1, \cdots, N$, it appears that the function $(x, t, y, z, \tau) \mapsto \chi(y, z, \tau)$. $\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}(x, t)+\nabla_{y} u_{1}(x, t, y)\right)$ is a solution to 5.11). Hence, setting $\chi=\left(\chi_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ it holds almost everywhere in $\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(x, t, y, z, \tau)=\chi(y, z, \tau) \cdot\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}(x, t)+\nabla_{y} u_{1}(x, t, y)\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On putting

$$
\left(\nabla_{z} \chi\right)_{i j}=\frac{\partial \chi_{i}}{\partial z_{j}} \quad(1 \leq i, j \leq N)
$$

From 5.13 we can deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{z} u_{2}=\nabla_{z} \chi \cdot\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}\right) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.3. Mesoscopic problem. Taking $\psi_{1}=\varphi \otimes \phi \otimes \theta$ with $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right), \theta \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {per }}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{\#}^{\infty}(Y)$ in (5.9) and using (5.14) and the arbitrariness of $\varphi$ and $\theta$, we realise that for almost every $(x, t) \in \Omega_{T}$, the function $u_{1}(x, t)$ is the unique solution to the following variational problem (where $I$ denotes the $N \times N$ identity matrix)

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}(x, t) \in H_{\#}^{1}(Y), \\
& \int_{Y}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Z^{*}} A\left(I+\nabla_{z} \chi\right) d z d \tau\right) \nabla_{y} u_{1} \cdot \nabla_{y} \phi d y  \tag{5.15}\\
& =-\int_{Y}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Z^{*}} A\left(I+\nabla_{z} \chi\right) d z d \tau\right) \nabla_{x} u_{0} \cdot \nabla_{y} \phi d y \quad \text { for all } \phi \in H_{\#}^{1}(Y) .
\end{align*}
$$

To abbreviate notation, we put

$$
\tilde{A}(y)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Z^{*}} A(y, z)\left(I+\nabla_{z} \chi\right) d z d \tau \quad(y \in Y)
$$

and recall that there exists a unique $\theta=\left(\theta_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq N} \in\left(H_{\#}^{1}(Y)\right)^{N}$ solution to the mesoscopic problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta_{i} \in H_{\#}^{1}(Y) \\
\int_{Y} \tilde{A} \nabla_{y} \theta_{i} \cdot \nabla_{y} v d y=-\int_{Y} \sum_{k} \tilde{a}_{i k} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_{k}} d y  \tag{5.16}\\
\text { for all } v \in H_{\#}^{1}(Y) \quad(i=1, \cdots, N)
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to check that the function $(x, t, y) \mapsto \theta(y) \cdot \nabla_{x} u_{0}(x, t)$ is also a solution to (5.15) so that by the uniqueness of the solution to 5.15 we have

$$
u_{1}(x, t, y)=\theta(y) \cdot \nabla_{x} u_{0}(x, t) \quad \text { for a.e. }(x, t, y) \in \Omega_{T} \times Y .
$$

On setting

$$
\left(\nabla_{y} \theta\right)_{i j}=\frac{\partial \theta_{i}}{\partial y_{j}} \quad(1 \leq i, j \leq N)
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{y} u_{1}(x, t, y)=\nabla_{y} \theta(y) \cdot \nabla_{x} u_{0}(x, t) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega_{T} \times Y \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.4. Macroscopic problem. As far as 5.10 is concerned, we use 5.14 and (5.17) to write

$$
\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}+\nabla_{z} u_{2}=\left(I+\nabla_{z} \chi\right)\left(I+\nabla_{y} \theta\right) \nabla_{x} u_{0} \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T}
$$

where the functions $\chi$ and $\theta$ are the solutions to problems (5.12) and (5.16), respectively. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iiint \int_{\Omega_{T} \times Y \times Z^{*} \times \mathcal{T}} A(y, z)\left(\nabla_{x} u_{0}+\nabla_{y} u_{1}+\nabla_{z} u_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\nabla_{x} \psi_{0}\right) d x d t d y d z d \tau \\
& =\iint_{\Omega_{T} \times Y}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Z^{*}} A(y, z)\left(I+\nabla_{z} \chi\right) d z d \tau\right)\left(I+\nabla_{y} \theta\right) \nabla_{x} u_{0} \cdot \nabla_{x} \psi_{0} d x d t d y \\
& =\iint_{\Omega_{T} \times Y} \tilde{A}(y)\left(I+\nabla_{y} \theta\right) \nabla_{x} u_{0} \cdot \nabla_{x} \psi_{0} d x d t d y  \tag{5.18}\\
& =\int_{\Omega_{T}}\left(\int_{Y} \tilde{A}(y)\left(I+\nabla_{y} \theta\right) d y\right) \nabla_{x} u_{0} \cdot \nabla_{x} \psi_{0} d x d t \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{T}} \hat{A} \nabla_{x} u_{0} \cdot \nabla_{x} \psi_{0} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{A}=\int_{Y} \tilde{A}\left(I+\nabla_{y} \theta\right) d y$. With this notation, variational problem 5.10 implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{Z^{*}} \rho(z) d z\right) \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}} \psi_{0} d x d t+\left|Z^{*}\right|\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{Y} \beta(y, \tau) d y d \tau\right) \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t} \phi d x d t \\
& -\int_{\Omega_{T}} \operatorname{div}\left(\hat{A} \nabla_{x} u_{0}\right) \psi_{0} d x d t \\
& =\left|Z^{*}\right| \int_{\Omega_{T}} f(x, t) \psi_{0}(x, t) d x d t \text { for all } \psi_{0} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

which is nothing but the weak formulation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\rho) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}+\mathcal{M}_{Y \times \mathcal{T}}(\beta) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t}-\frac{1}{\left|Z^{*}\right|} \operatorname{div}\left(\hat{A} \nabla u_{0}\right)=f(x, t) \quad \text { in } \Omega_{T} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are almost done with the proof of the following theorem which is the main result of this article.

Theorem 5.3. Assume that hypotheses (A1)-(A3) hold and let $u_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon>0)$ be the unique solution to (1.1). Let $u_{0}$ be the function defined by Theorem 4.9 and solution to the variational problem 5.10. Then as $0<\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right) \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{0} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ with $\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T: L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, is the unique solution to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\rho) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}+\mathcal{M}_{Y \times \mathcal{T}}(\beta) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t}-\frac{1}{\left|Z^{*}\right|} \operatorname{div}\left(\hat{A} \nabla_{x} u_{0}\right)=f(x, t) \\
\text { in } \Omega \times(0, T) \\
u_{0}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, T)  \tag{5.22}\\
u_{0}(x, 0)=u^{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\rho) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t}(x, 0)=\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\sqrt{\rho}) v^{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. The arbitrariness of the fundamental sequence $E$ in the limit passage in this section and the uniqueness of the solution to $(5.22)$ prove that we have 5.21 ) for the whole generalised sequence $\varepsilon>0$. Hence, it remains to justify the initial conditions appearing in the macroscopic problem 5.22 . We start by justifying that $u_{0}(x, 0)=$ $u^{0}(x)$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$. This is obvious since $u_{0}, P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ $(\varepsilon>0)$ and $P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{0}$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{T}\right)$ with $P_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}(x, 0)=u^{0}(x)$.

Next, we justify the initial condition satisfied by $\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t}(x, 0)$. We consider a function $\phi \otimes \varphi$ where $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}([0, T])$ with $\varphi(T)=0$ and $\varphi(0)=1$. After multiplying the main equation in (1.1) by $\phi(x) \varphi(t)$, we integrate over $\Omega_{T}^{\varepsilon}$ and perform an integration by parts with respect to the variable $t$ in the integral containing the term $\frac{\partial^{2} u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t^{2}} \varphi$ (with the initial condition $\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}(x, 0)=\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) v^{0}(x)$ in mind), we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{\Omega^{\varepsilon}} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) v^{0}(x) \phi(x) d x-\int_{\Omega_{T}^{\varepsilon}} \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \phi(x) \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} \varphi^{\prime}(t) d x d t \\
& +\int_{\Omega_{T}^{\varepsilon}} \beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} \phi(x) \varphi(t) d x d t+\int_{\Omega_{T}^{\varepsilon}} A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \varphi(t) \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \phi(x) d x d t \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{T}^{\varepsilon}} f(x, t) \phi(x) \varphi(t) d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

When $0<\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, using the same arguments as in the derivation of the global limit problem, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\sqrt{\rho}) \int_{\Omega} v^{0}(x) \phi(x) d x-\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\rho) \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t} \varphi^{\prime}(t) \phi(x) d x d t \\
& \quad+\mathcal{M}_{Y \times \mathcal{T}}(\beta) \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t} \varphi(t) \phi(x) d x d t-\frac{1}{\left|Z^{*}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{T}} \operatorname{div}\left(\hat{A} \nabla u_{0}\right) \phi(x) \varphi(t) d x d t \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{T}} f(x, t) \phi(x) \varphi(t) d x d t \tag{5.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Keeping $\sqrt{5.22}$ in mind, an integration by parts with respect to the variable $t$ in the second term of 5.23 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\rho) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t}(x, 0)-\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\sqrt{\rho}) v^{0}(x)\right) \phi(x) d x=0 \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\rho) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t}(x, 0)=\mathcal{M}_{Z^{*}}(\sqrt{\rho}) v^{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

The proof is complete.
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