

**UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS TO DIRICHLET PROBLEMS  
FOR GENERALIZED LAVRENT'EV-BITSADZE EQUATIONS  
WITH A FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE**

OLESYA KH. MASAEVA

*Communicated by Mokhtar Kirane*

ABSTRACT. In this article we study the uniqueness of the solution of the Dirichlet problem for an equation of Lavrent'ev-Bitsadze type with a fractional derivative. The equation studied becomes the regular Lavrent'ev-Bitsadze equation when the order of the derivative is an integer.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the equation

$$Lu \equiv \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - D_{0y}^\gamma \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0, \quad 0 < \gamma < 1, \quad (1.1)$$

in the domain  $\Omega = \{(x, y) : 0 < x < r, \alpha < y < \beta\}$ ,  $\alpha < 0, \beta > 0$ , where  $D_{0y}^\gamma$  is the Riemann-Liouville differential operator of order  $\gamma$  [8, p. 37].

In [2, 3] the Dirichlet problem for second order partial differential equations with a Caputo derivative has been studied. The equations become the Laplace equation and a vibrating string equation when the order of differentiation in the equation is an integer. The Dirichlet problem for the Lavrent'ev-Bitsadze equation has been studied in [1, 9].

Here with the *abc* method a uniqueness of the solution to the Dirichlet problem is proved for equation (1.1) in the domain  $\Omega$ . Uniqueness conditions for the solution of the problem has been found in terms of the upper limits for the zeros of a Mittag-Leffler type function.

Let us set  $\Omega^- = \Omega \cap \{y < 0\}$ ,  $\Omega^+ = \Omega \cap \{y > 0\}$ . Let the function  $u = u(x, y)$  be such that  $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $u_{xy} \in C(\bar{\Omega}^+)$ ,  $u_{xx}, D_{0y}^\gamma u_y \in C(\Omega^- \cup \Omega^+)$ , satisfying (1.1) at all points  $(x, y) \in \Omega^- \cup \Omega^+$  be a regular solution of equation (1.1) in the domain  $\Omega$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A02, 35A25, 35k10.

*Key words and phrases.* Mittag-Leffler type function; differential equation of fractional order; Dirichlet problem; mixed type equation.

©2017 Texas State University.

Submitted October 26, 2016. Published March 17, 2017.

## 2. DIRICHLET PROBLEM

We try to find a regular solution to (1.1), satisfying the conditions

$$u(0, y) = \psi_0(y), \quad u(r, y) = \psi_r(y), \quad \alpha < y < \beta, \quad (2.1)$$

$$u(x, \alpha) = \varphi_\alpha(x), \quad u(x, \beta) = \varphi_\beta(x), \quad 0 < x < r. \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\psi_0(y)$ ,  $\psi_r(y)$ ,  $\varphi_\alpha(x)$ ,  $\varphi_\beta(x)$  are given functions. We consider the Mittag-Leffler type function

$$E_{\rho, \mu}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\rho k + \mu)}, \quad \rho > 0, \mu \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.3)$$

It is known that this function can have only a finite number of real zeros for all  $\rho < 2$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  [5, p. 372]. Also it is known that the set of real zeros of (2.3) is not empty for  $1 < \rho < 2$ ,  $\mu = \rho$  and  $\mu = 1$ ; see [6].

## 3. UNIQUENESS

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $t_1 = \max\{t \in \mathbb{R} : E_{\nu, \nu}(-t) = 0\}$ ,  $t_2 = \max\{t \in \mathbb{R} : E_{\nu, 1}(-t) = 0\}$ ,  $\nu = \gamma + 1$ ,  $h = \max\{t_1, t_2\}$  and*

$$\frac{\beta^\nu}{r^2} \geq \frac{h}{\pi^2}. \quad (3.1)$$

*Then the homogeneous Dirichlet problem (1.1), (2.1), (2.2) has only the trivial solution.*

*Proof.* First, we consider equation (1.1) in  $\Omega^-$ . According to the definition of the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative in  $\Omega^-$  equation (1.1) can be written as

$$Lu = u_{xx} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} u = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Let

$$\omega^-(y) = (y - \alpha)^\gamma E_{\gamma+1, \gamma+1}(\lambda_n(y - \alpha)^{\gamma+1}), \quad \lambda_n = \left(\frac{\pi n}{r}\right)^2,$$

be the solution to the Cauchy problem

$$D_{\alpha y}^\gamma \frac{dw^-(y)}{dy} + \lambda_n w^-(y) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \alpha} D_{\alpha y}^{\gamma-1} \frac{dw^-(y)}{dy} = 1, \quad w^-(\alpha) = 0.$$

We multiply (3.2) by  $v(x, y) = \omega^-(y) \sin(\sqrt{\lambda_n} x)$  and rewrite it as

$$vLu = vu_{xx} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} u = (vu_x - uv_x)_x + uv_{xx} + (vD_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_y)_y - v_y D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_y.$$

Then we consider the integral

$$\int_\varepsilon^{r-\varepsilon} \int_{\alpha+\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon} vLu \, dx \, dy$$

$$= \int_{\alpha+\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon} (vu_x - uv_x) \Big|_{x=\varepsilon}^{x=r-\varepsilon} dy + \int_\varepsilon^{r-\varepsilon} \int_{\alpha+\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon} uv_{xx} \, dx \, dy \quad (3.3)$$

$$+ \int_\varepsilon^{r-\varepsilon} [vD_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_y] \Big|_{y=\alpha+\varepsilon}^{y=-\varepsilon} dx - \int_\varepsilon^{r-\varepsilon} \int_{\alpha+\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon} v_y D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_y \, dx \, dy,$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Since  $u(x, y) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ , we have  $D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ . Therefore, in (3.3) we can make  $\varepsilon$  tend to zero

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\alpha}^0 [v(r, y)u_x(r, y) - u(r, y)v_x(r, y)]dy \\ &\quad - \int_{\alpha}^0 [v(0, y)u_x(0, y) - u(0, y)v_x(0, y)]dy + \int_0^r \int_{\alpha}^0 uv_{xx} dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_0^r \left( v(x, 0)[D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y]_{y=0} - v(x, \alpha)[D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y]_{y=\alpha} \right) dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^r \int_{\alpha}^0 v_y D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y dx dy. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Since  $v|_{\{x=0\} \cup \{x=r\} \cup \{y=\alpha\}} = 0$  and  $u|_{\{x=0\} \cup \{x=r\}} = 0$  from (3.4) we obtain

$$0 = \int_0^r \int_{\alpha}^0 uv_{xx} dx dy + \int_0^r v(x, 0)[D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y]_{y=0} dx - \int_0^r \int_{\alpha}^0 v_y D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y dx dy.$$

Applying here the formula of fractional integration by parts [8, p. 34],

$$\int_c^d h(t)D_{dt}^{\delta}g(t)dt = \int_c^d g(t)D_{ct}^{\delta}h(t)dt, \quad \delta \leq 0, \tag{3.5}$$

we can obtain

$$0 = \int_0^r \int_{\alpha}^0 uv_{xx} dx dy + \int_0^r v(x, 0)[D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y]_{y=0} dx - \int_0^r \int_{\alpha}^0 u_y D_{\alpha y}^{\gamma-1}v_y dx dy. \tag{3.6}$$

We substitute the expression

$$u_y D_{\alpha y}^{\gamma-1}v_y = (u D_{\alpha y}^{\gamma-1}v_y)_y - u \frac{\partial}{\partial y} D_{\alpha y}^{\gamma-1}v_y$$

in (3.6), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^r \int_{\alpha}^0 u(v_{xx} + D_{\alpha y}^{\gamma}v_y) dx dy + \int_0^r v(x, 0)[D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y]_{y=0} dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^r u(x, 0)[D_{\alpha y}^{\gamma-1}v_y]_{y=0} dx + \int_0^r u(x, \alpha)[D_{\alpha y}^{\gamma-1}v_y]_{y=\alpha} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as  $u(x, \alpha) = 0$  and  $v_{xx} + D_{\alpha y}^{\gamma}v_y = 0$ , we have

$$\int_0^r v(x, 0)[D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y]_{y=0} dx - \int_0^r u(x, 0)[D_{\alpha y}^{\gamma-1}v_y]_{y=0} dx = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

In  $\Omega^+$  we have

$$Lu = u_{xx} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} u. \tag{3.8}$$

Denote by  $\omega^+(y) = (\beta - y)^{\gamma} E_{\gamma+1, \gamma+1}(-\lambda_n(\beta - y)^{\gamma+1})$  the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\beta y}^{\gamma} \frac{dw^+(y)}{dy} - \lambda_n w^+(y) &= 0, \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow \beta} D_{\beta y}^{\gamma-1} \frac{dw^+(y)}{dy} &= -1, \quad w^+(\beta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (3.8) by  $v(x, y) = \omega^+(y) \sin(\sqrt{\lambda_n}x)$ , we obtain

$$vLu = (vu_x - uv_x)_x + uv_{xx} - (vD_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y)_y + v_yD_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\varepsilon}^{r-\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\beta-\varepsilon} vLu \, dx \, dy \\ &= \int_{\varepsilon}^{\beta-\varepsilon} (vu_x - uv_x)|_{x=\varepsilon}^{x=r-\varepsilon} dy + \int_{\varepsilon}^{r-\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\beta-\varepsilon} uv_{xx} \, dx \, dy \\ & \quad - \int_{\varepsilon}^{r-\varepsilon} (vD_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y)|_{y=\varepsilon}^{y=\beta-\varepsilon} dx + \int_{\varepsilon}^{r-\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\beta-\varepsilon} v_yD_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y \, dx \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Making  $\varepsilon$  tend to zero, then in view of  $u(0, y) = u(r, y) = 0$ ,  $v(0, y) = v(r, y) = 0$ ,  $v(x, \beta) = 0$ , and formula (3.5), we have

$$0 = \int_0^r \int_0^{\beta} uv_{xx} \, dx \, dy + \int_0^r v(x, 0)[D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y]_{y=0} dx + \int_0^r \int_0^{\beta} u_yD_{\beta y}^{\gamma-1}v_y \, dx \, dy. \quad (3.9)$$

Taking into account the equality  $u_yD_{\beta y}^{\gamma-1}v_y = (uD_{\beta y}^{\gamma-1}v_y)_y - u\frac{\partial}{\partial y}D_{\beta y}^{\gamma-1}v_y$ , and using (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^r \int_0^{\beta} uv_{xx} \, dx \, dy + \int_0^r v(x, 0)[D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y]_{y=0} dx + \int_0^r u(x, \beta)[D_{\beta y}^{\gamma-1}v_y]_{y=\beta} dx \\ & \quad - \int_0^r u(x, 0)[D_{\beta y}^{\gamma-1}v_y]_{y=0} dx - \int_0^r \int_0^{\beta} u\frac{\partial}{\partial y}D_{\beta y}^{\gamma-1}v_y \, dx \, dy = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $D_{\beta y}^{\gamma}v_y = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y}D_{\beta y}^{\gamma-1}v_y$ ,  $u(x, \beta) = 0$ , which lead us to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^r \int_0^{\beta} u(v_{xx} + D_{\beta y}^{\gamma}v_y) \, dx \, dy + \int_0^r v(x, 0)[D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y]_{y=0} dx \\ & \quad - \int_0^r u(x, 0)[D_{\beta y}^{\gamma-1}v_y]_{y=0} dx = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Note that  $v_{xx} + D_{\beta y}^{\gamma}v_y = 0$ . Therefore, using (3.10), we obtain

$$\int_0^r v(x, 0)[D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y]_{y=0} dx - \int_0^r u(x, 0)[D_{\beta y}^{\gamma-1}v_y]_{y=0} dx = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Considering that the function  $u(x, y)$  satisfies the conditions

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^-} u(x, y) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} u(x, y), \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^-} D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y,$$

using (3.7) and (3.11), one finds the values of the functions  $u(x, 0)$  and  $[D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y]_{y=0}$ .

Now let us consider the system of the algebraic equations

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega^-(0)u_{\gamma} - [D_{\alpha y}^{\gamma-1}\frac{d}{dy}\omega^-]_{y=0}u_0 = 0, \\ & -[D_{\beta y}^{\gamma-1}\frac{d}{dy}\omega^+]_{y=0}u_0 + \omega^+(0)u_{\gamma} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$u_0 = \int_0^r u(x, 0) \sin(\sqrt{\lambda_n}x) dx, \quad u_{\gamma} = \int_0^r [D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y]_{y=0} \sin(\sqrt{\lambda_n}x) dx.$$

From the definition of the Riemann-Liouville fractional integro-differentiation, it follows that

$$-\frac{d}{dy}w^+ = D_{\beta y}^1 w^+, \quad \frac{d}{dy}w^- = D_{\alpha y}^1 w^-.$$

Using the formula of fractional integro-differentiation for the Mittag-Leffler type function,

$$D_{st}^\delta |t - s|^{\mu-1} E_{\rho, \mu}(\lambda|t - s|^\rho) = |t - s|^{\mu-\delta-1} E_{\rho, \mu-\delta}(\lambda|t - s|^\rho), \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R},$$

$\mu > 0$ , if  $\delta \notin \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $\delta \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then we find that

$$D_{\beta y}^{\gamma-1} \frac{d}{dy} \omega^+ = -E_{\gamma+1,1}(-\lambda_n(\beta - y)^{\gamma+1}),$$

$$D_{\alpha y}^{\gamma-1} \frac{d}{dy} \omega^- = E_{\gamma+1,1}(\lambda_n(y - \alpha)^{\gamma+1}).$$

Then the determinant of (3.12) has the form

$$\Delta = \beta^\gamma E_{\gamma+1,\gamma+1}(-\lambda_n \beta^{\gamma+1}) E_{\gamma+1,1}(\lambda_n |\alpha|^{\gamma+1}) + |\alpha|^\gamma E_{\gamma+1,\gamma+1}(\lambda_n |\alpha|^{\gamma+1}) E_{\gamma+1,1}(-\lambda_n \beta^{\gamma+1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let us show that  $\Delta \neq 0$ . Since

$$E_{\gamma+1,1}(\lambda_n |\alpha|^{\gamma+1}) > 0, \quad E_{\gamma+1,\gamma+1}(\lambda_n |\alpha|^{\gamma+1}) > 0,$$

the existence of roots of the equation  $\Delta = 0$  depends on

$$E_{\gamma+1,\gamma+1}(-\lambda_n \beta^{\gamma+1}), \quad E_{\gamma+1,1}(-\lambda_n \beta^{\gamma+1}).$$

Next, we use the asymptotic expansion (2.3) at  $\rho \in (1, 2)$ . As  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ , [5, p. 219], the following formula holds

$$E_{\rho, \mu}(z) = 1/\rho z^{(1-\mu)/\rho} e^{z^{1/\rho}} - \sum_{k=1}^m z^{-k} / \Gamma(\mu - \rho k) + O(|z|^{-m-1}), \quad (3.13)$$

for  $|\arg z| \leq \pi$ . When  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z \rightarrow -\infty$ ,

$$E_{\rho, \mu}(z) = - \sum_{k=1}^m z^{-k} / \Gamma(\mu - \rho k) + O(|z|^{-m-1}). \quad (3.14)$$

From (3.13), we obtain the expansions

$$E_{\gamma+1,1}(\lambda_n |\alpha|^{\gamma+1}) = \frac{1}{\gamma+1} e^{\lambda_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} |\alpha|} + O(\lambda_n^{-1}),$$

$$E_{\gamma+1,\gamma+1}(\lambda_n |\alpha|^{\gamma+1}) = \frac{1}{\gamma+1} \lambda_n^{-\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} |\alpha|^{-\gamma} e^{\lambda_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} |\alpha|} + O(\lambda_n^{-2}).$$

By (3.14) at  $m = 2$  and  $m = 1$  we have the representations

$$E_{\gamma+1,\gamma+1}(-\lambda_n \beta^{\gamma+1}) = -\frac{\beta^{-2\gamma-2}}{\lambda_n^2 \Gamma(-\gamma-1)} + O(\lambda_n^{-3}),$$

$$E_{\gamma+1,1}(-\lambda_n \beta^{\gamma+1}) = \frac{\beta^{-\gamma-1}}{\lambda_n \Gamma(-\gamma)} + O(\lambda_n^{-2}).$$

Taking into account  $\Gamma(-\gamma) < 0$ ,  $\Gamma(-\gamma-1) > 0$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{\gamma+1,\gamma+1}(-\lambda_n \beta^{\gamma+1}) E_{\gamma+1,1}(\lambda_n |\alpha|^{\gamma+1}) = -\infty,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{\gamma+1,\gamma+1}(\lambda_n |\alpha|^{\gamma+1}) E_{\gamma+1,1}(-\lambda_n \beta^{\gamma+1}) = -\infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\gamma+1,\gamma+1}(-\lambda_n\beta^{\gamma+1})E_{\gamma+1,1}(\lambda_n|\alpha|^{\gamma+1}) &< 0, \quad \lambda_n\beta^{\gamma+1} > t_1, \\ E_{\gamma+1,\gamma+1}(\lambda_n|\alpha|^{\gamma+1})E_{\gamma+1,1}(-\lambda_n\beta^{\gamma+1}) &< 0, \quad \lambda_n\beta^{\gamma+1} > t_2. \end{aligned}$$

Next by (3.1), for  $\lambda_n\beta^{\gamma+1} \geq h$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have  $\Delta < 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus, from (3.12), it follows that

$$u_0 = 0, \quad u_\gamma = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Since the functions  $\{\sin(\frac{\pi n}{r}x)\}$  form a dense system, using (3.15) we conclude that

$$[D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y]_{y=0} = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in (0, r). \quad (3.16)$$

With this result we prove that  $\Omega^-u = 0$ . We have

$$uLu = (uu_x)_x - u_x^2 + (uD_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y)_y - u_y D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega^-.$$

We consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\varepsilon^{r-\varepsilon} \int_{\alpha+\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon} uLu \, dx \, dy \\ &= - \int_\varepsilon^{r-\varepsilon} \int_{\alpha+\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon} (u_x^2 + u_y D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y) \, dx \, dy + \int_{\alpha+\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon} (uu_x) \Big|_{x=\varepsilon}^{x=r-\varepsilon} \, dy \\ &\quad + \int_\varepsilon^{r-\varepsilon} (uD_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y) \Big|_{y=\alpha+\varepsilon}^{y=-\varepsilon} \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

As  $Lu = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &- \int_\varepsilon^{r-\varepsilon} \int_{\alpha+\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon} (u_x^2 + u_y D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y) \, dx \, dy + \int_{\alpha+\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon} (uu_x) \Big|_{x=\varepsilon}^{x=r-\varepsilon} \, dy \\ &\quad + \int_\varepsilon^{r-\varepsilon} (uD_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y) \Big|_{y=\alpha+\varepsilon}^{y=-\varepsilon} \, dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Making  $\varepsilon$  tend to zero we get

$$\int_0^r \int_\alpha^0 (u_x^2 + u_y D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y) \, dx \, dy = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Since the fractional integral operator is positive [4],

$$\int_0^r \int_\alpha^0 u_y D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y \, dx \, dy \geq 0,$$

then from (3.17) it follows that  $u_x = 0$ ,  $u_y = 0$ . So,  $u = \text{const}$  in  $\Omega^-$ . Namely, due to  $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ , we can obtain  $u(x, y) = 0$  for all  $(x, y) \in \Omega^-$ .

In  $\Omega^+$ , we have

$$D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y \cdot Lu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u_x D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y) - u_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y - 2^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (D_{0y}^{\gamma-1}u_y)^2. \quad (3.18)$$

Integrating (3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\beta u_x(r, y) D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_y(r, y) dy - \int_0^\beta u_x(0, y) D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_y(0, y) dy \\ & - \int_0^r \int_0^\beta u_x D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_{yx} dx dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r (D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_y)^2 \Big|_{y=\beta} dx \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r (D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_y)^2 \Big|_{y=0} dx = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Since  $u(0, y) = u(r, y) = 0$ , we have  $D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_y(r, y) = D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_y(0, y) = 0$ . So from (3.19) it follows that

$$- \int_0^r \int_0^\beta u_x D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_{yx} dx dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r (D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_y)^2 \Big|_{y=\beta} dx = 0. \tag{3.20}$$

We have

$$D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_{yx} = D_{0y}^\gamma u_x - \frac{y^{-\gamma}}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} u_x(x, 0) = D_{0y}^\gamma u_x.$$

Substituting the above formula in (3.20), we obtain

$$\int_0^r \int_0^\beta u_x D_{0y}^\gamma u_x dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r (D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_y)^2 \Big|_{y=\beta} dx = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

Assume  $f = D_{0y}^\gamma u_x$ . Then

$$u_x = D_{0y}^{-\gamma} f + \frac{y^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_x. \tag{3.22}$$

From [7], we know that  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_x = \Gamma(\gamma) \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{1-\gamma} u_x(x, y)$ . Therefore, as  $u_x(x, 0) = 0$ , then  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_x = 0$ . So from (3.22), it follows that  $u_x = D_{0y}^{-\gamma} f$ . Thereby,

$$\int_0^r \int_0^\beta u_x D_{0y}^\gamma u_x dx dy = \int_0^r \int_0^\beta f D_{0y}^{-\gamma} f dx dy \geq 0,$$

accordingly, (3.21) makes possible the conclusion  $u_x = 0$ , i.e.  $u = u(y)$ . Then according to (1.1), we have

$$D_{0y}^\gamma u_y = 0.$$

Applying the operator  $D_{0y}^{-\gamma}$  to both sides of this equation, we have

$$D_{0y}^{-\gamma} D_{0y}^\gamma u_y = u_y - \frac{y^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} D_{0y}^{\gamma-1} u_y = 0.$$

Considering the first formula of (3.16), we have  $u_y = 0$ . Consequently,  $u(x, y) = \text{const}$ . As  $u$  from the class  $C(\bar{\Omega}^+)$  and  $u|_{\partial\Omega^+} = 0$ , then  $u(x, y) = 0 \forall (x, y) \in \Omega^+$ . Thus,  $u(x, y) \equiv 0$  for all points  $(x, y) \in \Omega$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions which improved this article.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Cannon, J. R.; *A Dirichlet problem for an equation of mixed type with a discontinuous coefficient*. Ann. de Math. pura ed Appl. 1963, vol. 61, no 1. pp. 371–377. doi: 10.1007/BF02410656.
- [2] Masaeva O. Kh.; *Dirichlet problem for the generalized Laplace equation with the Caputo derivative*. Differential equations. 2012, vol. 48, no 3. pp. 449–454. doi: 10.1134/S0012266112030184.
- [3] Masaeva O. Kh.; *Dirichlet problem for a nonlocal wave equation*. Differential equations. 2013, vol. 49, no 12. pp. 1518–1523. doi: 10.1134/S0012266113120069.
- [4] Nakhushiev, A. M.; *On the positivity of continuous and discrete differentiation and integration operators that are very important in fractional calculus and in the theory of equations of mixed type*. Differential Equations. 1998, vol. 34, no 1. p. 103–112.
- [5] Popov, A. Yu.; Sedletskii, A. M.; *Distribution of roots of Mittag-Leffler functions*. Journal of Mathematical Sciences. 2013, vol. 190, no. 2, pp. 209–409. doi: 10.1007/s10958-013-1255-3.
- [6] Pskhu, A. V.; *On the real zeros of functions of Mittag-Leffler type*. Mathematical Notes, 2005, 77:4, 546–552. doi:10.1007/s11006-005-0054-7.
- [7] Pskhu, A. V.; *Solution of a boundary value problem for a fractional partial differential equation*. Differential Equations. 2003. vol. 39, no 8. pp. 1150–1158. doi: 10.1023/B:DIEQ.0000011289.79263.02.
- [8] Samko, S. G.; Kilbas, A. A.; Marichev, O. I.; *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers. Switzerland, 1993.
- [9] Soldatov, A. P.; *On Dirichlet-type problems for the Lavrent'ev-Bitsadze equation*. Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics. 2012, vol. 278. no 1. pp. 233–240. doi: 10.1134/S0081543812060223.

OLESYA KH. MASAeva

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND AUTOMATION, NALCHIK, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* olesya.masaeva@yandex.ru