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#### Abstract

In this article we study a nonlocal problem involving singular nonlinearity. Based on the variational and perturbation methods, we obtain the existence of two positive solutions for this problem.


## 1. Introduction and statement of main result

In recent years, the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=h(x, u), \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

has received considerable attention, we refer to [2]-[6]. In particular, if $h(x, u)=$ $\lambda u^{3}+\mu u^{-\gamma}(0<\gamma<1)$, in [10], the existence and multiplicity of solutions for problem have been considered for this case by using the variational method and the Nehari manifold. When $h(x, u)=f(x) u^{-\gamma}-\lambda u^{p}$, in 9], we have studied the uniqueness of positive solution via the minima method. In addition, in 5], the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions have been obtained in the cases when $h(x, u)=\lambda u^{-\gamma}+u^{5}$.

In particular, Yin and Liu [17] considered the nonlocal problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\left(a-b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=|u|^{p-2} u, \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

where $2<p<\frac{2 N}{N-2}$. By employing the mountain pass lemma, two nontrivial solutions were obtained.

Recently, in 4], we investigate the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\left(a-b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=f_{\lambda}(x)|u|^{q-2} u, \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

[^0]where $f_{\lambda}$ is possibly sign-changing on $\bar{\Omega}, 1<q<2$. Under the previous assumptions, we obtain two positive solutions via the variational methods.

Based on our previous work [4, 5, 9], we shall give some multiplicity results for the nonlocal problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(a-b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=\frac{\lambda}{u^{\gamma}}, \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{3}, a, b>0$, and $\lambda$ is positive parameter. Now we state our main result.

Theorem 1.1. Assume $a, b>0,0<\gamma<1$, there exists $\lambda_{*}>0$ such that $0<\lambda<$ $\lambda_{*}$, then (1.1) has at least two positive solutions.

## 2. Proof of main theorem

Let $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be the usual Sobolev space equipped with the norm $\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x$, denote by $B_{r}$ (respectively, $\partial B_{r}$ ) the closed ball (respectively, the sphere) of center zero and radius $r$, i.e. $B_{r}=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega):\|u\| \leq r\right\}, \partial B_{r}=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega):\|u\|=r\right\}$ and $C$ be various positive constant. Let $S$ be the best Sobolev constant, i.e.,

$$
S=\inf \left\{\|u\|^{2}: u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|u|^{6} d x=1\right\}
$$

Consider the energy functional $I_{0}: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
I_{0}(u)=\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{\lambda}{1-\gamma} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{1-\gamma} d x
$$

It is well known that the singular term leads to the non-differentiability of the functional $I_{0}$ on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, therefore problem cannot be considered by using critical point theory directly. Now, we consider the perturbed equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(a-b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=\frac{\lambda}{(|u|+\alpha)^{\gamma}}, \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.1}\\
u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha>0$, the functional associated with 2.1) is

$$
I_{\alpha}=\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{\lambda}{1-\gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left[(|u|+\alpha)^{1-\gamma}-\alpha^{1-\gamma}\right] d x
$$

Lemma 2.1. Assume $a, b>0,0<\gamma<1$, then $I_{\alpha}$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition with $c<\frac{a^{2}}{4 b}-D \lambda$, where $D=\frac{1}{1-\gamma} S^{-\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}|\Omega|^{\frac{5+\gamma}{6}}\left(\frac{a+1}{b}\right)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be a nonnegative $\left(I_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right)=I_{\alpha}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right)\right)(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $I_{\alpha}$, i. e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad I_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $(2.2)$ that

$$
b\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{4}=a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{n}}{\left(u_{n}+\alpha\right)^{\gamma}} d x+o(1) \leq a\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1)
$$

so that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{a+1}{b}
$$

which implies that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Therefore, there exist a subsequence (still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ ) and $u_{*} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{*}$ weakly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows easily from the Vitali Convergence Theorem that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{n}}{\left(u_{n}+\alpha\right)^{\gamma}} d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{*}}{\left(u_{*}+\alpha\right)^{\gamma}} d x
$$

Set $w_{n}=u_{n}-u_{*}$, then $\left\|w_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Otherwise, there exists a subsequence (still denoted by $w_{n}$ ) such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{n}\right\|=l>0$. From 2.2, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a-b l^{2}-b\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{*}, \nabla \phi\right) d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi}{\left(u_{*}+\alpha\right)^{\gamma}} d x=0, \quad \forall \phi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the test function $\phi=u_{*}$ in 2.3, it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a-b l^{2}-b\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{*}}{\left(u_{*}+\alpha\right)^{\gamma}} d x=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\left\langle I_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it holds

$$
a\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}+a\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}-b\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{4}-2 b\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}-b\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{4}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{*}}{\left(u_{*}+\alpha\right)^{\gamma}} d x=o(1)
$$

From this and 2.4 , it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}-b\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{4}-b\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}=o(1) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
l^{2}=\frac{a}{b}-\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}, \quad l>0
$$

Note that the subadditivity of $t^{1-\gamma}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
(|v|+\alpha)^{1-\gamma}-\alpha^{1-\gamma} \leq|v|^{1-\gamma} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On one hand, recall that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{a}{b}$, then using (2.4) and (2.6), it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha}\left(u_{*}\right) & =\frac{a}{2}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}-\frac{b}{4}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{4}-\frac{\lambda}{1-\gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(u_{*}+\alpha\right)^{1-\gamma}-\alpha^{1-\gamma}\right] d x \\
& \geq \frac{a}{4}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4} l^{2}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{1-\gamma} S^{-\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}|\Omega|^{\frac{5+\gamma}{6}}\left(\frac{a+1}{b}\right)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} \\
& =\frac{a}{4}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4} l^{2}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}-D \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
D=\frac{1}{1-\gamma} S^{-\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}|\Omega|^{\frac{5+\gamma}{6}}\left(\frac{a+1}{b}\right)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}
$$

On the other hand, from (2.2) and (2.5), it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha}\left(u_{*}\right) & =I_{\alpha}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{a}{2}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{4}+\frac{b}{2}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}+o(1) \\
& <\frac{a^{2}}{4 b}-D \lambda-\frac{a}{4}\left(\frac{a}{b}-\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}\right)+\frac{b}{4} l^{2}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{a}{4}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4} l^{2}\left\|u_{*}\right\|^{2}-D \lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, $l=0$, it implies that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{*}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.2. Assume $a, b>0$, there exist $\Lambda_{0}>0$ and $\rho>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \Lambda_{0}\right)$, it holds

$$
\left.I_{\alpha}\right|_{u \in \overline{\partial B_{\rho}}}>0, \quad \inf _{u \in \overline{B_{\rho}}} I_{\alpha}(u)<0
$$

Proof. By Hölder's inequality and 2.6, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha}(u) & =\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{\lambda}{1-\gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left[(|u|+\alpha)^{1-\gamma}-\alpha^{1-\gamma}\right] d x \\
& \geq\|u\|^{1-\gamma}\left(\frac{a}{2}\|u\|^{1+\gamma}-\frac{b}{4}\|u\|^{3+\gamma}-\frac{\lambda}{1-\gamma}|\Omega|^{\frac{5+\gamma}{6}} S^{-\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

set $h(t)=\frac{a}{2} t^{1+\gamma}-\frac{b}{4} t^{3+\gamma}$, we see that there exists a constant $\rho=\sqrt{\frac{2 a(1+\gamma)}{b(3+\gamma)}}$ such that $\max _{t>0} h(t)=h(\rho)>0$. Let

$$
\Lambda_{0}=\frac{(1-\gamma) S^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}}{2|\Omega|^{\frac{5+\gamma}{6}}} h(\rho)
$$

Consequently, $\left.I_{\alpha}\right|_{\|u\|=\rho} \geq \frac{h(\rho)}{2} \rho^{1-\gamma}$ for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \Lambda_{0}\right)$. Moreover, for $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$ it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{I_{\alpha}(t u)}{t} & =-\frac{\lambda}{1-\gamma} \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[(t|u|+\alpha)^{1-\gamma}-\alpha^{1-\gamma}\right] d x \\
& =-\frac{\lambda}{1-\gamma} \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(1-\gamma) \xi^{-\gamma} t|u|}{t} d x \quad(\alpha<\xi<t|u|+\alpha) \\
& =-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|}{\alpha^{\gamma}} d x \quad\left(\text { as } t \rightarrow 0^{+}, \xi \rightarrow \alpha\right) \\
& <0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus there exists $u$ small enough such that $I_{\alpha}(u)<0$.

$$
m=\inf _{u \in \overline{B_{\rho}}} I_{\alpha}(u)<0<\inf _{u \in \overline{\partial B_{\rho}}} I_{\alpha}(u)
$$

Lemma 2.3. Assume $a, b>0,0<\lambda<\Lambda_{0}$. Then problem (2.1) has a positive solution $u_{\alpha} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, enjoying $I_{\alpha}\left(u_{\alpha}\right)<0$.
Proof. By Lemmas 2.1] and 2.2, similarly to the paper [6], we can prove that problem (2.1) has a nonzero nonnegative solution $u_{\alpha} \in \overline{B_{\rho}} \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $I_{\alpha}\left(u_{\alpha}\right)=$ $m<0$. Note that $u_{\alpha} \in \overline{B_{\rho}}$, it holds

$$
\left\|u_{\alpha}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{2 a(1+\gamma)}{b(3+\gamma)}<\frac{a}{b}
$$

which implies that $a-b\left\|u_{\alpha}\right\|^{2}>0$. Therefore, by using the strong maximum principle, we obtain $u_{\alpha}>0$ in $\Omega$. The proof is complete.

Remark 2.4. Assume $\left(U_{1 / n}\right)$ is a positive solution of 2.1 , then for every $K \Subset \Omega$, there are $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
U_{1 / n}(x) \geq \delta, \quad \forall x \in K \text { and } n \geq n_{0}
$$

Indeed, consider $\Psi_{n} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ a weak solution of the problem

$$
-\Delta \Psi_{n}=\frac{\lambda}{a\left(\left|\Psi_{n}\right|+1\right)^{\gamma}}, \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

$$
\Psi_{n}=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega .
$$

It is easy to prove that $\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$ is a bounded sequence in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, thus there is $\Psi \in$ $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that for some subsequence, still denoted with the same symbol,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{n} & \rightharpoonup \Psi \quad \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \\
\Psi_{n}(x) & \rightarrow \Psi(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting

$$
h_{n}(x)=\frac{\lambda}{a\left(\left|\Psi_{n}(x)\right|+1\right)^{\gamma}},
$$

we see that $\left(h_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and so, it is bounded in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then, for some subsequence, we also have

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{n}(x) \rightarrow h(x)=\frac{\lambda}{a(|\Psi(x)|+1)^{\gamma}} \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega, \\
h_{n} \rightharpoonup h \quad \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The above information yield

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta \Psi=\frac{\lambda}{a(|\Psi|+1)^{\gamma}}, \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\Psi=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

from where it follows that $\Psi \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\Psi(x)>0$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Moreover, the elliptic regularity gives

$$
\Psi_{n} \rightarrow \Psi \quad \text { in } C(\bar{\Omega}) .
$$

Thereby, fixed a compact set $K \subset \Omega$, there are $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\Psi_{n}(x) \geq \delta, \quad \forall x \in K \text { and } n \geq n_{0}
$$

On the other hand, let $U_{1 / n}$ be a positive solution of (2.1), we know that

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta U_{1 / n} \geq=-\Delta \Psi_{n}, \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
U_{1 / n}=\Psi_{n}=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

and so, by maximum principle,

$$
U_{1 / n}(x) \geq \Psi_{n}(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega \text { and all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

As a byproduct of above arguments, for each compact set $K \subset \Omega$, there are $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
U_{1 / n}(x) \geq \delta, \quad \forall x \in K \text { and all } n \geq n_{0} .
$$

Now, we show that the functional $I_{\alpha}$ satisfies the mountain-pass lemma.
Lemma 2.5. The functional $I_{\alpha}$ satisfies the following conditions for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \Lambda_{0}\right)$
(i) $I_{\alpha}(u)>0$ if $\|u\|=\rho$;
(ii) There exists $\zeta \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $I_{\alpha}(\zeta)<0$.

Proof. Conclusion (i) follows from Lemma 2.2. To prove (ii), let $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$ and $t>0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha}(t u) & \leq \frac{a t^{2}}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{b t^{4}}{4}\|u\|^{4}-\frac{\lambda t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left[(|u|+\alpha)^{1-\gamma}-\alpha^{1-\gamma}\right] d x \\
& \rightarrow-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore we can easily find $\zeta \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $\|\zeta\|>\rho$, such that $I_{\alpha}(\zeta)<0$. The proof is complete.

Now, it is well known that the function

$$
w_{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{\left(3 \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\left(\varepsilon^{2}+|x|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \varepsilon>0
$$

satisfies

$$
-\Delta w_{\varepsilon}=w_{\varepsilon}^{5} \quad \text { ]textin } \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

Let $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be a cut-off function such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1,|\nabla \eta| \leq C$ and $\eta(x)=1$ for $|x|<R$ and $\eta(x)=0$ for $|x|>2 R$, we set $u_{\varepsilon}(x)=\eta(x) w_{\varepsilon}(x)$. Then

$$
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}=S^{\frac{3}{2}}+O(\varepsilon), \quad\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|_{6}^{6}=S^{\frac{3}{2}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.6. Assume $a, b>0$ and $0<\gamma<1$. Then

$$
\sup _{t \geq 0} I_{\alpha}\left(u_{\alpha}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right)<\frac{a^{2}}{4 b}-D \lambda .
$$

Proof. As $u_{\alpha}$ is a positive solution of 2.1), for each $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, it holds

$$
\left(a-b\left\|u_{\alpha}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{\alpha}, \nabla \varphi\right) d x=\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi}{\left(u_{\alpha}+\alpha\right)^{\gamma}} d x
$$

In particular, it holds

$$
\left(a-b\left\|u_{\alpha}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{\alpha}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x=\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{\left(u_{\alpha}+\alpha\right)^{\gamma}} d x
$$

Recalling that $a-b\left\|u_{\alpha}\right\|^{2}>0$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{\alpha}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x \geq 0
$$

As $I_{\alpha}\left(u_{\alpha}\right)<0$, by Remark 2.4 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\alpha}\left(u_{\alpha}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right)= & \frac{a}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha}\right\|^{2}+a t \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{\alpha}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x+\frac{a t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{b}{4}\left\|u_{\alpha}\right\|^{4} \\
& -\frac{b t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}-b t\left\|u_{\alpha}\right\|^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{\alpha}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x-\frac{b t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2} \\
& -b t^{2}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{\alpha}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x\right)^{2}-b t^{3}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{\alpha}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x \\
& -\frac{\lambda}{1-\gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(u_{\alpha}+t u_{\varepsilon}+\alpha\right)^{1-\gamma}-\alpha^{1-\gamma}\right] d x \\
\leq & I_{\alpha}\left(u_{\alpha}\right)+\frac{a t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{b t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}-\frac{b t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{\lambda}{1-\gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(u_{\alpha}+t u_{\varepsilon}+\alpha\right)^{1-\gamma}-\left(u_{\alpha}+\alpha\right)^{1-\gamma}\right] d x \\
& +\lambda t \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{\left(u_{\alpha}+\alpha\right)^{\gamma}} d x \\
\leq & \frac{a t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{b t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}-\frac{b t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+\delta \lambda t \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
g(t)=\frac{a t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{b t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}-\frac{b t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\alpha}\right\|^{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+\delta \lambda t \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon} d x
$$

It is similar to the paper [6] that there exist $t_{\varepsilon}>0$ and positive constants $t_{1}, t_{2}$ independent of $\varepsilon, \lambda$, such that $\sup _{t \geq 0} g(t)=g\left(t_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $0<t_{1} \leq t_{\varepsilon} \leq t_{2}<\infty$.

Note that $\int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon} d x \leq O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$, by Remark 2.4. there exists positive constant $c>0$ (independent of $\lambda$ ) such that $\left\|u_{\alpha}\right\|^{2} \geq c$. Then, it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{t \geq 0} I_{\alpha}\left(u_{\alpha}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right) & \leq \sup _{t \geq 0} g(t) \\
& \leq \sup _{t \geq 0}\left\{\frac{a t^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}-\frac{b t^{4}}{4}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{4}\right\}-c\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+\lambda O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{a^{2}}{4 b}+c_{1} \varepsilon^{1 / 2}-c_{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad(0<\lambda<1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$. Let $\varepsilon=\lambda^{2}$, when $0<\lambda<\Lambda_{1} \triangleq \frac{c_{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}}{c_{1}+D}$, it holds

$$
c_{1} \lambda-c_{2} S^{\frac{3}{2}}<c_{1} \lambda-\left(c_{1}+D\right) \lambda=-D \lambda
$$

Consequently, $\sup _{t \geq 0} I_{\alpha}\left(u_{\alpha}+t u_{\varepsilon}\right)<\frac{a^{2}}{4 b}-D \lambda$. The proof is complete.
Lemma 2.7. Assume $a, b>0$ and $\lambda>0$ is sufficiently small, problem 2.1 admits a solution $v_{\alpha}$ with $I_{\alpha}\left(v_{\alpha}\right)>0$.
Proof. Set $\lambda^{*}=\min \left\{\Lambda_{0}, \Lambda_{1}, \frac{a^{2}}{4 b D}, 1\right\}$. Then applying the mountain-pass lemma [3], there exists a sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c>\frac{h(\rho)}{2} \rho^{1-\gamma}, \quad \text { and } \quad I_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\alpha}(\gamma(t)) \\
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right): \gamma(0)=u_{\alpha}, \gamma(1)=\zeta\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.6. $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ has a convergent subsequence, say $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$, we may assume that $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\alpha}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, from (2.7), it holds

$$
I_{\alpha}\left(v_{\alpha}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\alpha}\left(v_{n}\right)=c>\frac{h(\rho)}{2} \rho^{1-\gamma}>0
$$

this implies that $v_{\alpha} \not \equiv 0$. Furthermore, from the continuity of $I_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, we obtain that $v_{\alpha}$ is a nonzero nonnegative solution of (2.1). The proof is complete.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\left(U_{1 / n}\right)$ be a solution of (2.1), then we can prove that $\left(U_{1 / n}\right)$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then up to a subsequence, there exists $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
U_{1 / n} \rightharpoonup u \text { weakly in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \quad U_{1 / n}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \text { a.e. in } \Omega \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

By Remark 2.4 and similar to [5], for each $\phi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a-b \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|U_{1 / n}\right\|^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}(\nabla u, \nabla \phi) d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi}{u^{\gamma}} d x=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $U_{1 / n}=u_{\alpha}$, by Lemma 2.1. Lemma 2.3 and 2.8 , we conclude that $U_{1 / n} \rightarrow u$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, and $u$ is a positive solution of (1.1) with $I_{0}(u)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{1 / n}\left(U_{1 / n}\right)<0$.

If $U_{1 / n}=v_{a}$, combining Lemma 2.1. Lemma 2.6 and 2.8), we also deduce that $U_{1 / n} \rightarrow u$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, and $u$ is a positive solution of (1.1) with $I_{0}(u)=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{1 / n}\left(U_{1 / n}\right)>0$. Therefore problem 1.1) has at least two different positive solutions. The proof is complete.
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