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Abstract. In this article, we study the $p$-Laplacian equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta_{p} u=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \\
|\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+a(y)|u|^{p-2} u=|u|^{q-2} u, \quad \text { on } \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}=\mathbb{R}^{N-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $1<p<N, p<q<\bar{p}=\frac{(N-1) p}{N-p}, \Delta_{p}=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$ the p-Laplacian operator, and the positive, finite function $a(y)$ satisfies suitable decay assumptions at infinity. By using the truncation method, we prove the existence of infinitely many solutions.

## 1. Introduction

In this article, we study the existence of infinitely many solutions of the $p$ Laplacian equation in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p} u=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \\
|\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+a(y)|u|^{p-2} u=|u|^{q-2} u, \quad \text { on } \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}=\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $1<p<N, p<q<\bar{p}=\frac{(N-1) p}{N-p}, \Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$ the p-Laplacian operator, and the positive, finite function $a(y)$ satisfies suitable decay assumptions at infinity.

For $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\right)$, define a norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi\|=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla \varphi|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\varphi|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{1 / p} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W$ be the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\right)$ with respect to the above norm. Problem (1.1) has a variational structure, given by the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y-\frac{1}{q} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y, \quad u \in W \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The embedding $W \hookrightarrow L^{s}\left(\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right), p \leq s<\bar{p}$ is continuous, but not compact. Consequently, the functional $I$ does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition. Note

[^0]that the Sobolev space $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)$ is continuously embedded into $W$, but the two spaces $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)$ and $W$ are different.

The weak form of problem (1.1 is as follows. Look for $u \in W$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)|u|^{p-2} u \varphi \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|u|^{q-2} u \varphi \mathrm{~d} y, \quad \forall \varphi \in W . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $u \in W$ is a weak solution if and only if $u$ is a critical point of $I$.
Since the celebrated paper by Brezis and Nirenberg [3], there have been many results for nonlinear problems, involving the lack of compactness. In particular, Devillanova and Solimini [6] considered the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u=u^{2^{*}-2}+\mu u, \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.5}\\
u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}, \mu>0$ and $\Omega$ is an open regular domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$. On the other hand, Cerami, Devillanova and Solimini 4 considered the subcritical equation in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+a(x) u=|u|^{p-2} u, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
u(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Both problems (1.5) and (1.6) have a variational structure, but the Palais-Smale condition is not satisfied by the corresponding functionals. In the case of 1.5 , the lack of compactness is due to the scalings, and in the case 1.6 due to the translation. The authors of [6, 4] found the solutions as limits of solutions of suitable approximated problems in bounded domains with subcritical growth. The fact that one solves the approximated problems under suitable assumptions and with the use of a local Pohožaev identity provides some extra information, which lead to a proof of desired convergence. Finally, to obtain infinitely many solutions, one has to distinguish the limits of the multiple approximated solutions. The estimate on the Morse index plays a role in this last step.

As to problems involving $p$-Laplacian operator, we have no information on the Morse index, therefore the approach of [6, 4] to distinguish the limit of solutions cannot be extended in a straightforward way to problems involving $p$-Laplacian operator with $p \neq 2$.

In this article, we use the truncation method. Following the idea in [10, 9, we first consider the truncated problems depending on a parameter $\lambda$, to which the functionals corresponding satisfy the Palais-Smale condition. Then by a concentration compactness analysis, similar to that in [6, 4], in particular with the use of a local Pohožaev identity, convergence theorem is proved. Our method is different from [6, 4] in the last step, the original problem and the approximated problems share some common solutions, and more and more solutions of the original problem are obtained as the parameter $\lambda$ tends to zero. In this way, we obtain infinitely many solutions of the original problem. Up to our knowledge, there are few results concerning the existence of infinitely many solutions of the boundary value problems in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}$ involving the $p$-Laplacian operator.

To describe the approximated problem, we need to introduce some auxilary functions. Let $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R},[0,1])$ be such that $\psi(t)=1$ for $|t| \leq 1$ and $\psi(t)=0$ for
$|t| \geq 2$, is even and decreasing in $[1,2]$. For $\lambda>0, y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \sim \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, s \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$
\begin{gather*}
b_{\lambda}(y, s)=\psi\left(\lambda\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2} s\right) \\
m_{\lambda}(y, s)=\int_{0}^{s} b_{\lambda}(y, \tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \\
F_{\lambda}(y, s)=\frac{1}{q}|s|^{r}\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|^{q-r}  \tag{1.7}\\
f_{\lambda}(y, s)=\frac{\partial}{\partial s} F_{\lambda}(y, s)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha=\frac{N-p}{p-1}, r \in(p, q)$ is a fixed number. For $\lambda=0$, we understand $m_{0}(y, s) \equiv$ $s, F_{0}(y, s) \equiv \frac{1}{q}|s|^{q}$ and $f_{0}(y, s) \equiv|s|^{q-2} s$. The approximated equation is

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p} u=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}, \\
|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+a(y)|u|^{p-2} u=f_{\lambda}(y, u), \quad \text { on } \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}=\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Problem 1.8 has a variational structure, given by the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y-\frac{1}{q} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} F_{\lambda}(y, u) \mathrm{d} y \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The critical points of $I_{\lambda}$ are weak solutions of 1.8 satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)|u|^{p-2} u \varphi \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} f_{\lambda}(y, u) \varphi \mathrm{d} y \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the function $f_{\lambda}(y, u)$ decays polynomially as $|y| \rightarrow+\infty$ (see Lemma 2.1), therefore the functional $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. On the other hand, if we have a good estimate, namely

$$
|u(y)| \leq \lambda^{-1}\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{-\alpha / 2}, \quad y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}
$$

then, $f_{\lambda}(y, u(y))=|u|^{q-2} u(y), y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}$, and $u$ will be a solution of the original problem.

Now we state the assumptions on the potential function $a$.
(A1) $a \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}, \mathbb{R}\right)$.
(A2) There exist $a_{0}, a_{1}>0$ such that $a_{0} \leq a(y) \leq a_{1}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$.
(A3) There exists $\bar{c}>1$ such that $\frac{\partial a}{\partial r} a(y)=\left(\frac{y}{|y|}, \nabla a\right) \geq 0$ and $|\nabla a(y)| \leq \bar{c} \frac{\partial a}{\partial r} a(y)$, for $y \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1},|y| \geq \bar{c}$.
(A4) $\lim _{|y| \rightarrow+\infty}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial r} a(y)\right|\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}=+\infty, \alpha=\frac{N-p}{p-1}$.
Remark 1.1. By (A4), we have $a(y) \geq c(1+|y|)^{-\alpha+1}$. For asumptions (A2) and (A4) to be consistent, we need to assume $\alpha=\frac{N-p}{p-1}>1$; whence $\alpha>1$, we choose $\beta \in(1, \alpha)$. Then the function $a(y)=2-\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{-\alpha / 2}$ satisfies (A1)-(A4).

Here are our main results.
Theorem 1.2. Assume $1<p<q<N, \alpha=\frac{N-p}{p-1}>1$. Assume (A1)-(A4). Given $M>0$, there exists $\mu=\mu(M)$ such that if $u \in W$ is a solution of (1.8), $\lambda>0$ and $\|u\| \leq M$, then

$$
u(y) \leq \frac{1}{\mu}\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{-\alpha / 2}, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}
$$

Theorem 1.3. Assume $1<p<q<N, \alpha=\frac{N-p}{p-1}>1$. Assume (A1)-(A4). Then (1.1) has infinitely many solutions.

Throughout this article, we use the following notation: $|\cdot|_{p}$ for the norm in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}\right),\|\cdot\|$ for the norm in $W, \rightarrow$ for the strong convergence, $\rightharpoonup$ for the weak convergence, $B_{R}^{+}=\left\{x\left|x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N},|x|<R\right\}, D_{R}=\left\{y\left|y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}=\mathbb{R}^{N-1},|y|<R\right\}\right.\right.$.

## 2. Uniform bounds

As mentioned in the introduction, we use solutions of the truncated problems as approximate solutions of the original problem. In this section, we prove uniform bounds for the approximate solutions by making a concentration compactness analysis and with the help of local Pohožaev identity.

Let $u_{n} \in W$ be a solution of 1.8 with $\lambda=\lambda_{n} \geq 0, n=1,2, \ldots$ Assume $\|u\| \leq M$. By [13, Theorem 2.1], $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a profile decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=u+\sum_{k \in \Lambda} U_{k}\left(\cdot-y_{n, k}\right)+r_{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u, U_{k}, r_{n} \in W,\left\{y_{n, k}\right\} \subset \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}=\mathbb{R}^{N-1}, k \in \Lambda$ and $\Lambda$ is an index set. It holds that
(1) $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u, u_{n}\left(\cdot+y_{n, k}\right) \rightharpoonup U_{k}$ in $W$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, k \in \Lambda$.
(2) $\left|y_{n, k}\right| \rightarrow+\infty,\left|y_{n, k}-y_{n, l}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, k, l \in \Lambda, k \neq l$.
(3) $|u|_{q}^{q}+\sum_{k \in \Lambda}\left|U_{k}\right|_{q}^{q} \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{n}\right|_{q}^{q}, p<q<\bar{p}$.
(4) $\left|r_{n}\right|_{q} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, p<q<\bar{p}$.

In the following lemma, we list some elementary properties of the auxiliary functions.

Lemma 2.1. For $(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda>0$ the following holds:
(1) $\operatorname{sm}_{\lambda}(y, s) \geq 0,|s| b_{\lambda}(y, s) \leq\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|$.
(2) $\min \left\{|s|, \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{-\alpha / 2}\right\} \leq\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right| \leq \min \left\{|s|, \frac{2}{\lambda}\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{-\alpha / 2}\right\}$ and $m_{\lambda}(y, s)=s$, if $|y| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{-\alpha / 2}$.
(3) $\left|f_{\lambda}(y, s)\right| \leq|s|^{r-1}\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|^{q-r} \leq|s|^{q-1}$.
(4) $\frac{1}{r} s f_{\lambda}(y, s)-F_{\lambda}(y, s)=\frac{q-r}{q r}|s|^{r-1}\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|^{q-r-1} b_{\lambda}(y, s) \geq 0$.
(5) $\nabla_{y} m_{\lambda}(y, s)=-\alpha \frac{y}{1+|y|^{2}}\left(m_{\lambda}(y, s)-s b_{\lambda}(y, s)\right)$
$\nabla_{y} F_{\lambda}(y, s)=-\left(1-\frac{r}{q}\right) \alpha \frac{y}{1+|y|^{2}}|s|^{r}\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|^{q-r-1}\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)-s b_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|$.
Proof. The proof is elementary and straightforward. We prove only (3)-(5). For (3) and (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\lambda}(y, s) & =\frac{\partial F_{\lambda}(y, s)}{\partial s} \\
& =\frac{r}{q}|s|^{r-2} s\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|^{q-r}+\frac{q-r}{q}|s|^{r}\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|^{q-r-2} m_{\lambda}(y, s) b_{\lambda}(y, s),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $0 \leq \frac{s b_{\lambda}(y, s)}{m_{\lambda}(y, s)} \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{\lambda}(y, s)\right| & \leq \frac{r}{q}|s|^{r-1}\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|^{q-r}+\frac{q-r}{q}|s|^{r-1}\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|^{q-r} \\
& =|s|^{r-1}\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|^{q-r} \leq|s|^{q-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{r} s f_{\lambda}(y, s)-F_{\lambda}(y, s) & =\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}\right)|s|^{r} s\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|^{q-r-2} m_{\lambda}(y, s) b_{\lambda}(y, s) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}\right)|s|^{r+1}\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|^{q-r-1} b_{\lambda}(y, s) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

For (5), by the definition of $m_{\lambda}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{y} m_{\lambda}(y, s) & =\int_{0}^{s} \nabla_{y} b_{\lambda}(y, \tau) d \tau \\
& =\int_{0}^{s} \psi^{\prime}\left(\lambda\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2} \tau\right) \cdot \lambda\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \tau \cdot \alpha y d \tau \\
& =\int_{0}^{s} \alpha \frac{y}{1+|y|^{2}} \cdot \tau \mathrm{~d} \psi\left(\lambda\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2} \tau\right) \\
& =\alpha \frac{y}{1+|y|^{2}} s \psi\left(\lambda\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2} s\right)-\int_{0}^{s} \psi\left(\lambda\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} \tau \\
& =-\alpha \frac{y}{1+|y|^{2}}\left(m_{\lambda}(y, s)-s b_{\lambda}(y, s)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{y} F_{\lambda}(y, s) & =\frac{q-r}{q}|s|^{r}\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|^{q-r-2} m_{\lambda}(y, s) \nabla_{y} m_{\lambda}(y, s) \\
& =-\left(1-\frac{r}{q}\right) \alpha \frac{y}{1+|y|^{2}}|s|^{r}\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|^{q-r-1}\left|m_{\lambda}(y, s)-s b_{\lambda}(y, s)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.
In the following few lemmas we study the profile decomposition 2.1. In particular in Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 , we prove that the weak limit functions $u, U_{k}$ satisfy differential inequality and decay polynomially at the infinity.

Lemma 2.2. Let $u \in W$ be a solution of (1.8), $\lambda \geq 0$. Then $v=|u|$ satisfies the differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y) v^{p-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y \leq \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{q-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\varphi \in W$ and $\varphi \geq 0$.
Proof. This lemma is somewhat similar to Kato's inequality setting that if $u \in$ $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ (for instance), then $\Delta|u| \geq \operatorname{sign} u \cdot \Delta u$.

To prove Lemma 2.2, we set $v_{\varepsilon}=\left(u^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-\varepsilon, \varepsilon>0$. Then $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow v$ in $W$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. For $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right), \varphi \geq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{u \nabla u}{\left(u^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla\left(\frac{u}{\left(u^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{\left(u^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla\left(\frac{u}{\left(u^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)|u|^{p-2} u \frac{u}{\left(u^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} f_{\lambda}(y, u) \frac{u}{\left(u^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \leq-\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y) v^{p-1} \frac{v}{\left(v^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{q-1} \frac{v}{\left(v^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we used that $\left|f_{\lambda}(y, s)\right| \leq|s|^{q-1}$. Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in in the above inequality, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain $\left(2.2\right.$ for $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\right)$, $\varphi \geq 0$. By a density argument, 2.2 holds for $\varphi \in W, \varphi \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $u_{n} \in W$ be a solution of (1.8) with $\lambda=\lambda_{n} \geq 0, n=1,2, \ldots$, $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \sim \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. Suppose $\widetilde{u}_{n}=u_{n}\left(\cdot+y_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup U$ in $W$. Then $\widetilde{u}_{n} \rightarrow U$ in $W$ locally (equivalently $\widetilde{u}_{n} \rightarrow U$ in $W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)$ ).

Proof. $\widetilde{u}_{n}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{n} \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a\left(y+y_{n}\right)\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{p-2} \widetilde{u}_{n} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y \\
& =\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} f_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y+y_{n}, \widetilde{u}_{n}\right) \varphi \mathrm{d} y \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\varphi \in W$. Let $R>0, \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}},[0,1]\right)$ such that $\varphi(x)=1$ for $|x| \leq R$, $\varphi(x)=0$ for $|x| \geq 2 R$. Since $\widetilde{u}_{n}$ converges in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{q}\left(\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right), 1 \leq q<\bar{p}$ and in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right), 1 \leq q<\bar{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}-\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}, \nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}-\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right) \varphi \mathrm{d} x \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}-\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}, \nabla \varphi\right)\left(\widetilde{u}_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(a\left(y+y_{n}\right)\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{p-2} \widetilde{u}_{n}-a\left(y+y_{m}\right)\left|\widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{p-2} \widetilde{u}_{m}\right)\left(\widetilde{u}_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{m}\right) \varphi \mathrm{d} y  \tag{2.4}\\
& \quad+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(f_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y+y_{n}, \widetilde{u}_{n}\right)-f_{\lambda_{m}}\left(y+y_{m}, \widetilde{u}_{m}\right)\right)\left(\widetilde{u}_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{m}\right) \varphi \mathrm{d} y \\
& \leq c\left(\int_{B_{2 R}^{+}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}+c\left(\int_{D_{2 R}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{p} d y\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad+c\left(\int_{D_{2 R}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{q} d y\right)^{1 / q} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n, m \rightarrow \infty
\end{align*}
$$

The following elementary inequalities are very useful (see 5). There exists a constant $c_{p, N}$ such that for $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(|\xi|^{p-2} \xi-|\eta|^{p-2} \eta, \xi-\eta\right) \geq c_{p, N}|\xi-\eta|^{p}, \text { if } p \geq 2 \\
\left(|\xi|^{p-2} \xi-|\eta|^{p-2} \eta, \xi-\eta\right) \geq c_{p, N}|\xi-\eta|^{2}\left(|\xi|^{p}+|\eta|^{p}\right)^{-\frac{2-p}{p}}, \quad \text { if } 1<p<2 \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $p \geq 2$, by (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{R}^{+}}\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}-\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x & \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}-\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}, \nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}-\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right) \varphi \mathrm{d} x \\
& \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. For $1<p \leq 2$, by 2.4 and 2.5 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{R}^{+}}\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}-\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq c \int_{B_{R}^{+}}\left|\left(\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}-\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}, \nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}-\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right)\right|^{p / 2} \\
& \quad \times\left(\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq c\left(\int_{B_{R}^{+}}\left(\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}-\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}, \nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}-\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{p / 2} \\
& \quad \times\left(\int_{B_{R}^{+}}\left(\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{p}+\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\
& \leq c\left(\int_{B_{2 R}^{+}}\left(\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}-\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}, \nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}-\nabla \widetilde{u}_{m}\right) \varphi \mathrm{d} x\right)^{p / 2} \\
& \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n, m \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left\{\widetilde{u}_{n}\right\}$ converges locally in $W$ (and in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)$ ).
Lemma 2.4. Let the profile decomposition (2.1) hold for $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$. Then
(1) $v=|u|, V_{k}=\left|U_{k}\right|$ satisfy the differential inequalities

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x+a_{0} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{p-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y \leq \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{q-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y
$$

for $\varphi \in W$ and $\varphi \geq 0$.

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla V_{k}\right|^{p-2} \nabla V_{k} \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x+a_{0} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} V_{k}^{p-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y \leq \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} V_{k}^{q-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y
$$

$$
\text { for } \varphi \in W \text { and } \varphi \geq 0
$$

(2) The index set $\Lambda$ is finite.

Proof. (1) Denote $v_{n}=\left|u_{n}\right|$. By Lemma 2.2, $v_{n}$ satisfies the differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla v_{n} \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x+a_{0} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v_{n}^{p-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y \leq \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v_{n}^{q-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\varphi \in W$ and $\varphi \geq 0$. By Lemma 2.3, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $W$ locally, consequently $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $W$ locally. Take the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.8), we obtain (2.6) for $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right), \varphi \geq 0$. By a density argument, this inequality holds for $\varphi \in W, \varphi \geq 0$. Similarly, we can prove that $V_{k}$ satisfies the inequality (2.7).
(2) By 2.7) and the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$
\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} V_{k}^{q} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{p / q} \leq S_{p, q}^{-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla V_{k}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} V_{k}^{p} \mathrm{~d} y\right) \leq c \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} V_{k}^{q} \mathrm{~d} y
$$

where $S_{p, q}$ is the Sobolev constant for the embedding from $W$ to $L^{q}\left(\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)$ :

$$
S_{p, q}=\inf _{u \in W \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y}{\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{p / q}}
$$

Hence $\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|U_{k}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} V_{k}^{q} \mathrm{~d} y \geq m$ for some $m>0$. By the property (3) of the decomposition 2.1, $\Lambda$ is finite.

Lemma 2.5. Let $v \in W, v \geq 0$ satisfy the differential inequality 2.6,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x+a_{0} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{p-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y \leq \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{q-1} \varphi \mathrm{~d} y
$$

for $\varphi \in W$ and $\varphi \geq 0$. Then there exists a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
v(x) \leq c(1+|x|)^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash B_{R}^{+}}|\nabla v|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leq c R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}, \\
\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash D_{R}} v^{p} d y \leq c R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. The proof is divided into three steps, by using Moser's iteration and the Wolff potential for the $p$-Laplacian equation.

Step 1. Use Moser's iteration to prove that, given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $R_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(y) \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text { if } y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N},|y| \geq R_{0} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $v^{q-p}(y) \leq \frac{1}{2} a_{0}$, if $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N},|y| \geq R_{0}$. We prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v|_{L^{\infty}\left(D_{\frac{1}{2}}(y)\right)} \leq c\left(|v|_{L^{p}\left(B_{1}^{+}(y)\right)}+|v|_{L^{\frac{\bar{y}}{d}}\left(D_{1}(y)\right)}\right), \quad y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\frac{\bar{p}-q+p}{p}>1$. Since $v \in L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\frac{\bar{p}}{d}}\left(\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)$, we have

$$
|v|_{L^{p}\left(B_{\frac{1}{2}}^{+}(y)\right)}+|v|_{L^{\frac{\bar{y}}{a}}\left(D_{\frac{1}{2}}(y)\right)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as }|y| \rightarrow+\infty, \quad y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}
$$

Hence, the estimate (2.9) follows from (2.10).
Now, we prove 2.10 by using Moser's iteration. Let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\overline{R_{+}^{N}}\right), r \geq 1$. Take $v^{p(r-1)+1} \varphi^{p}$ as the test function in 2.6),

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla\left(v^{p(r-1)+1} \varphi^{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{p r} \varphi^{p} \mathrm{~d} y \leq c \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{q-p} v^{p r} \varphi^{p} \mathrm{~d} y
$$

By Hölder inequality we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{r^{p}} \int_{R_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla\left(v^{r} \varphi\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(v^{r} \varphi\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \leq \int_{R_{+}^{N}} v^{p r}|\nabla \varphi|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+c \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{q-p} v^{p r} \varphi^{p} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{p r}|\nabla \varphi|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+c\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{\bar{p}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{q-p}{\bar{p}}}\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(v^{r} \varphi\right)^{\frac{p \bar{p}}{\bar{p}-q+p}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{\bar{p}-q+p}{\bar{p}}}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{p r}|\nabla \varphi|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+c\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(v^{r} \varphi\right)^{\frac{\bar{p}}{d}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{p d / \bar{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(v^{r} \varphi\right)^{p^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{p / p^{*}}+\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(v^{r} \varphi\right)^{\bar{p}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{p / \bar{p}} \\
& \leq c \int_{R_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla\left(v^{r} \varphi\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{2.12}\\
& \leq c r^{p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{p r}|\nabla \varphi|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(v^{r} \varphi\right)^{\frac{\bar{p}}{d}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{d / \bar{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

Now choose $y_{0} \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}$, assume that the support of the function $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\right)$ is contained in $B_{2}\left(y_{0}\right)=\left\{x\left|x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},\left|x-y_{0}\right|<2\right\}\right.$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(v^{r} \varphi\right)^{p d} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{p d r}} \leq\left(c \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(v^{r} \varphi\right)^{p^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*} r}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p d=\bar{p}-q+p<p^{*}$. By 2.12 and 2.13, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max \left\{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(v^{r} \varphi\right)^{p d} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{p d r}},\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(v^{r} \varphi\right)^{\bar{p}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{p} r}}\right\} \\
& \leq(c r)^{1 / r} \max \left\{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{p r}|\nabla \varphi|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{p r}},\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(v^{r} \varphi\right)^{\frac{\bar{p}}{d}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{d / \bar{p}}\right\} . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{n}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \\
B_{s_{n}}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}:\left|x-y_{0}\right|<s_{n}\right\} \\
D_{s_{n}}=\left\{y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}:\left|y-y_{0}\right|<s_{n}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\varphi=\varphi_{n}$ be such that $\varphi_{n}=1$ for $x \in B_{s_{n+1}}^{+} ; \varphi_{n}=0$ for $x \notin B_{s_{n}}^{+}$and $\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}}$, $r=r_{n}=d^{n}$. Then by 2.14,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max \left\{\left(\int_{B_{s_{n+1}}^{+}} v^{r_{n+1} p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{r_{n+1} p}},\left(\int_{D_{s_{n+1}}} v^{r_{n+1} \frac{\bar{p}}{d}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{d}{r_{n+1} \bar{p}}}\right\} \\
& \leq\left(c 2^{n} d^{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{d_{n}}} \max \left\{\left(\int_{B_{s_{n}}^{+}} v^{r_{n} p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{r_{n} p}},\left(\int_{D_{s_{n}}} v^{r_{n} \frac{\bar{p}}{d}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{d}{r_{n} \bar{p}}}\right\}  \tag{2.15}\\
& \leq \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(c 2^{n} d_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{d_{n}}} \max \left\{\left(\int_{B_{1}^{+}} v^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p},\left(\int_{D_{1}} v^{\frac{\bar{p}}{d}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{d / \bar{p}}\right\} \\
& =c \max \left\{\left(\int_{B_{1}^{+}} v^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p},\left(\int_{D_{1}} v^{\frac{\bar{p}}{d}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{d / \bar{p}}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in 2.15, we obtain the desired estimate.
Step 2. Using the Wolff potential for the $p$-Laplacian operator in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}$ we prove that there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
V(x) \leq c(1+|x|)^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}, \quad x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}
$$

Let $R_{0}$ be as defined in Step 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{q-p}(y) \leq \frac{1}{2} a_{0}, \quad \text { for } y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N},|y| \geq R_{0} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $K>0$ large enough such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \geq\left|a(y) v^{p-1}-v^{q-1}\right|+v^{p-1} \quad \text { for } y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N},|y| \leq R_{0} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $w \in W$ be the solution of the $p$-Laplacian equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p} w=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \\
|\nabla w|^{p-2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial n}=g, \quad \text { on } \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \tag{2.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g \geq 0, g(y)=0$ if $|y| \geq R_{0}, g(y)=K$ if $|y|<R_{0}$. For $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N},|y| \geq R_{0}$, by the choice of $K$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(a(y) v^{p-1}-v^{q-1}\right)+g\right)(v-w)_{+} & =\left(\left(a(y) v^{p-1}-v^{q-1}\right)+K\right)(v-w)_{+} \\
& \geq v^{p-1}(v-w)_{+} \geq(v-w)_{+}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N},|y| \geq R_{0}$, by the choice of $R_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(a(y) v^{p-1}-v^{q-1}\right)+g\right)(v-w)_{+} & =\left(a(y) v^{p-1}-v^{q-1}\right)(v-w)_{+} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} a_{0} v^{p-1}(v-w)_{+} \geq \frac{1}{2} a_{0}(v-w)_{+}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v-|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w, \nabla(v-w)_{+}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(\left(a(y) v^{p-1}-v^{q-1}\right)+g\right)(v-w)_{+} \mathrm{d} y \\
\geq & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v-|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w, \nabla(v-w)_{+}\right) \mathrm{d} x+c \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}(v-w)_{+}^{p} \mathrm{~d} y
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x) \leq w(x), \quad \text { for } x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x) \leq c(1+|x|)^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}, \quad \text { for } x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $W$ is bounded, we need only to prove 2.20 for $|x| \geq 2 R_{0}$. By the Wolff potential for the $p$-Laplacian operator in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}$ 12, 8, Corollary 4.13],

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(x) & \leq c \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{t^{N-p}} \int_{B_{t}(x) \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} g \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{1 / p} \frac{1}{t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =c \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{t^{N-p}} \int_{B_{t}(x) \cap \operatorname{supp} g} g \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{t} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{supp} g=D_{R_{0}}=\left\{y\left|y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N},|y| \leq R_{0}\right\}\right.$. If $|x| \geq 2 R_{0}$ and $t<\frac{1}{2}|x|$, then $B_{t}(x) \cap D_{R_{0}}=\emptyset$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(x) & \leq c \int_{\frac{1}{2}|x|}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{t^{N-p}} \int_{B_{t}(x) \cap D_{R_{0}}} g \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq c \int_{\frac{1}{2}|x|}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{t^{N-p}} \int_{D_{R_{0}}} g \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =c|x|^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}, \quad \text { for }|x| \geq 2 R .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we obtain 2.20 for some $c>0$.

Step 3. We prove that there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash B_{R}^{+}}|\nabla v|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leq c R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash D_{R}} v^{p} \mathrm{~d} y \leq c R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}
$$

Choose $\varphi \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N},[0,1]\right)$ such that $\varphi(x)=0,|x| \leq \frac{1}{2} R, \varphi(x)=1,|x| \geq R$, $|\nabla \varphi| \leq 4 / R$. Take $v \varphi^{p}$ as test function in (2.6).

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla\left(v \varphi^{p}\right) \mathrm{d} x+a_{0} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{p} \varphi^{p} \mathrm{~d} y \leq \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{q} \varphi^{p} \mathrm{~d} y
$$

Assume $R \geq 2 R_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash B_{R}^{+}}|\nabla v|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+a_{0} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash D_{R}} v^{p} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{p} \varphi^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+a_{0} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{p} \varphi^{p} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \quad-p \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v v \cdot \varphi^{p-1} \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{q} \varphi^{p} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{p} \varphi^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+c \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{p}|\nabla \varphi|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{2} a_{0} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{p} \varphi^{p} \mathrm{~d} y,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash B_{R}^{+}}|\nabla v|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash D_{R}} v^{p} \mathrm{~d} y & \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} v^{p}|\nabla \varphi|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq c R^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash B_{\frac{1}{2} R}^{+}} v^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq c R^{-p}\left(R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}\right)^{p} R^{N}=c R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.
Remark 2.6. Let $v_{n} \in W, v_{n} \geq 0$ and satisfy the differential inequality $\sqrt{2.6}$, $n=$ $1,2, \ldots$ Suppose $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{q}\left(\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)$ and $L^{r}\left(R^{N-1} \times(0,2)\right)$ for some $r \in\left(p, p^{*}\right)$, then by checking the proof of Lemma 2.5, $v_{n}$ is uniformly bounded.

Lemma 2.7. Let $u_{n} \in W$ be a solution of the Problem (1.8) with $\lambda=\lambda_{n}>0, n=$ $1,2, \ldots$. Assume $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W$ and the profile decomposition (2.1) holds. Then there exists a positive constant $c$, independent of $n$, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \leq c\left(1+d_{n}(x)\right)^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \\
\int_{\Omega_{R}^{(n)}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leq c \bar{R}, \\
\int_{\sum_{R}^{(n)}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leq c R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
d_{n}(x)=\min \left\{|x|,\left|x-y_{n, k}\right|, k \in \Lambda\right\}, \\
\Omega_{R}^{(n)}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}: d_{n}(x)>R\right\}=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash\left(\overline{B_{R}^{+}} \cup \cup_{k \in \Lambda} \overline{B_{R}^{+}}\left(y_{n, k}\right)\right)  \tag{2.21}\\
\Sigma_{R}^{(n)}=\left\{y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}: d_{n}(y)>R\right\}=\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash\left(\overline{D_{R}} \cup \cup_{k \in \Lambda} \overline{D_{R}}\left(y_{n, k}\right)\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.5, and is divided into three steps.
Step 1. Given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $R_{0}>0$, independent of $n$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{n}(y)\right| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text { if } y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}, d_{n}(x) \geq R_{0} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\left|u_{n}(y)\right|^{q-p} \leq \frac{1}{2} a_{0}$ for $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}, d_{n}(x) \geq R_{0}$.
As in Step 1 of the proof of Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\left|u_{n}\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(D_{\frac{1}{2}}(y)\right)} \leq c\left(\left|u_{n}\right|_{L^{p}\left(B_{1}^{+}(y)\right)}+\left|u_{n}\right|_{L^{\bar{d}}\left(D_{1}(y)\right)}\right), \quad y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}
$$

By Lemma 2.5 and the property (4) of the profile decomposition (2.1), it holds for $p<r<\bar{p}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Sigma_{R}^{(n)}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{r} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \leq c \int_{\Sigma_{R}^{(n)}}|u|^{r} \mathrm{~d} y+c \sum_{k \in \Lambda} \int_{\Sigma_{R}^{(n)}}\left|U_{k}\left(\cdot-y_{n, k}\right)\right|^{r} \mathrm{~d} y+c \int_{\Sigma_{R}^{(n)}}\left|r_{n}\right|^{r} \mathrm{~d} y  \tag{2.23}\\
& \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash B_{R}^{+}}|u|^{r} \mathrm{~d} y+c \sum_{k \in \Lambda} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash D_{R}}\left|U_{k}\right|^{r} \mathrm{~d} y+c \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|r_{n}\right|^{r} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \leq c R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}+o_{n}(1)=o_{k}(1)+o_{n}(1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the space $W$ is continuously embedded into $W^{1, p}\left(R^{N-1} \times(0,2)\right)$. Let $D$ be the translation group

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\left\{g: \mid g u(\cdot)=u(\cdot-y), y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}=\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times\{0\}\right\} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The embedding from $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times(0,2)\right)$ into $L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times(0,2)\right), p<r<p^{*}$, is cocompact with respect to the group $D$. So we may assume $r_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times\right.$ $(0,2)), p<r<p^{*}$. In parallel to (2.23), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Sigma_{R}^{(n)} \times(0,2)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{r} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq c \int_{\Sigma_{R}^{(n)} \times(0,2)}|u|^{r} \mathrm{~d} x+c \sum_{k \in \Lambda} \int_{\Sigma_{R}^{(n)} \times(0,2)}\left|u_{n}\left(\cdot-y_{n, k}\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{2.25}\\
& \quad+c \int_{\Sigma_{R}^{(n)} \times(0,2)}\left|r_{n}\right|^{r} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& =o_{R}(1)+o_{n}(1) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $y \in \Sigma_{R}^{(n)}, B_{1}^{+}(y) \subset \Sigma_{R-1}^{(n)} \times(0,2), D_{1}(y) \subset \Sigma_{R-1}^{(n)}$. The estimate 2.22 follows from (2.23), (2.21) and 2.25).
Step 2. There exists $C>0$, independent of $n$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \leq C\left(1+d_{n}(x)\right)^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}, \quad x \in \overline{R_{+}^{N}} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $R_{0}$ be as defined in Step 1 ,

$$
\left|u_{n}(y)\right|^{q-p} \leq \frac{1}{2} a_{0}, \quad \text { for } y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}, \quad d_{n}(y) \geq R_{0}
$$

Choose $K>0$ large enough such that

$$
k \geq\left(a(y)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}\right)-\left|f_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right)\right|+\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1}, \quad \text { for } y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}, d_{n}(y) \leq R_{0}
$$

Let $w_{n} \in W$ be the solution of the $p$-Laplacian equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta_{p} w_{n}=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \\
\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial w_{n}}{\partial n}=g_{n}, \quad \text { on } \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \tag{2.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g_{n} \geq 0, g_{n}(y)=0$ if $d_{n}(y) \geq R_{0}, g_{n}(y)=R$ if $d_{n}(y)<R_{0}$. For $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}$, $d_{n}(y) \geq R_{0}$, by the choice of $K$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a(y)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}-f_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right)+g_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)_{+} \\
& \geq\left(a(y)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}-f_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right)+K\right)\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)_{+} \\
& \geq\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1}\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)_{+} \geq\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)_{+}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}, d_{n}(y) \geq R_{0}$, by the choice of $R_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a(y)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}-f_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right)+g\right)\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)_{+} \\
& =\left(a(y)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}-f_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right)\right)\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)_{+} \\
& \geq\left(a(y)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1}-\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-1}\right)\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)_{+} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} a_{0}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1}\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)_{+} \geq \frac{1}{2} a_{0}\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)_{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & -\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(\Delta_{p} u_{n}-\Delta_{p} u_{m}\right)\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)_{+} \mathrm{d} x \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n}, \nabla\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)_{+}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(a(y)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}-f_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right)\right)\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)_{+} \mathrm{d} y \\
\geq & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla w_{n}, \nabla\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)_{+}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +c \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(u_{n}-w_{n}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} y
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(x) \leq w_{n}(x), \quad \text { for } x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we have $-u_{n}(x) \leq w_{n}(x)$ for $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}$.
We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}(x) \leq c\left(1+d_{n}(x)\right)^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}, \quad \text { for } x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w_{n}$ is uniformly bounded, we need only to prove 2.29 for $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}, d_{n}(x) \geq$ $2 R_{0}$. Again by the Wolff potential for the $p$-Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}$, we have

$$
w_{n}(x) \leq c \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{t^{N-p}} \int_{B_{t}(x) \cap \operatorname{supp} g_{n}} g_{n} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{t} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

where $\operatorname{supp} g=\bar{D}_{R_{0}} \cup_{k \in \Lambda} \bar{D}_{R_{0}}\left(y_{n, k}\right)=\left\{y \mid y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}, d_{n}(y) \leq R_{0}\right\}$.

If $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}, \quad d_{n}(x) \geq 2 R_{0}$ and $t \leq \frac{1}{2} d_{n}(x)$, then $B_{t}(x) \cap \operatorname{supp} g=\emptyset$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{n}(x) & \leq c \int_{\frac{1}{2} d_{n}(x)}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{t^{N-p}} \int_{B_{t}(x) \cap \operatorname{supp} g_{n}} g_{n} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq c \int_{\frac{1}{2} d_{n}(x)}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{t^{N-p}} \int_{\operatorname{supp} g_{n}} g_{n} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{t} \mathrm{~d} t=c d_{n}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $d_{n}(x) \geq 2 R_{0}$. Consequently, we obtain 2.29 for some $c>0$.
Step 3. There exists $c>0$, independent of $n$, such that

$$
\int_{\Omega_{R}^{(n)}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leq c R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}, \quad \int_{B_{R}^{+} \backslash B_{\frac{1}{2} R}^{+}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y \leq c R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}
$$

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.5 Choose $\varphi_{n} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N},[0,1]\right)$ such that $\varphi_{n}(x)=0$, if $d_{n}(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} R, \varphi_{n}(x)=1$, if $d_{n}(x) \geq R,\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right| \leq \frac{4}{R}$. Testing equation (1.8) by $u_{n} \varphi_{n}^{p}$ with $\lambda=\lambda_{n}$, and assuming $R \geq 2 R_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_{R}^{(n)}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Sigma_{R}^{(n)}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x & \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq c R^{-p} \int_{\Omega_{\frac{1}{2} R}^{(n)} \backslash \Omega_{R}^{(n)}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq c R^{-p}\left(R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}\right)^{p} R^{N}=c R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We follow the idea in [6] to derive a local Pohožaev type identity with a form as in 4], which is much closer to our case.

Lemma 2.8. Let $u \in W$ be a solution of Problem 1.8 , $t \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}$ and $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\right)$. Then the following Pohožaev type identity holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}(t, \nabla a)|u|^{p} \varphi d y-\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)\left(t, \nabla_{y} F_{\lambda}(y, u) \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p}(t, \nabla \varphi) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p-2}(t, \nabla u)(\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{2.30}\\
& \quad-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)|u|^{p}(t, \nabla \varphi) \mathrm{d} y+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} F_{\lambda}(y, u)(t, \nabla \varphi) d y .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Taking $(t, \nabla u) \varphi$ as the test function in equation 1.10 and integrating by parts, we obtain the identity.

Assume that $u_{n} \in W$ is a solution of the problem with $\lambda=\lambda_{n} \geq 0$, $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq M, n=1,2, \ldots$. Assume that the profile decomposition 2.1 for the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ holds.

$$
u_{n}=u+\sum_{k \in \Lambda} U_{k}\left(\cdot-y_{n, k}\right)+r_{n}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\left|y_{n, 1}\right|=\min \left\{\left|y_{n, k}\right|, k \in \Lambda\right\}$. Denote $y_{n}=$ $y_{n, 1}$. According to [4], we can construct a sequence of cones $C_{n}$, having vertex $\frac{1}{2} y_{n}$ and generated by the semiball $B_{R_{n}}^{+}\left(y_{n}\right)$ as follows:

$$
C_{n}^{+}=\left\{w \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}: w=\frac{1}{2} y_{n}+\lambda\left(x-\frac{1}{2} y_{n}\right), x \in B_{R_{n}}^{+}\left(y_{n}\right), \lambda \geq 0\right\}
$$

where $R_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\frac{\hat{r}}{k_{0}} \cdot \frac{\left|y_{n}\right|}{2}=r_{n} \leq R_{n} \leq R_{0} r_{n}=\hat{r} \frac{\left|y_{n}\right|}{2}, \quad \hat{r}=\frac{1}{5(\bar{c}+1)}
$$

and $\bar{c}$ is the constant in the definition (A4), $\Lambda=\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{0}\right\}$.
The cone $C_{n}^{+}$has the following property, let $\partial C_{n}^{+}$be the boundary of $C_{n}^{+}$in $\overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial C_{n}^{+} \cap\left\{B_{\frac{1}{2} r_{n}}^{+} \cup \cup_{k \in \Lambda} B_{\frac{1}{2} r_{n}}^{+}\left(y_{n, k}\right)\right\}=\emptyset \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we apply the Pohožaev type identity 2.31. Take $u=u_{n}, t=t_{n}=\frac{y_{n}}{\left|y_{n}\right|}$ and $\varphi=\chi \varphi_{R}$, where $\chi, \varphi_{R} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\chi(x)=0$ for $x \notin C_{n}^{+}, \chi(x)=1$ for $x \in C_{n}^{+}$and $\operatorname{dist}\left(x, \partial C_{n}^{+}\right) \geq 1, \varphi_{R}(x)=1$ for $|x| \leq R, \varphi_{R}(x)=0$ for $|x| \geq 2 R$. Let $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(t_{n}, \nabla u\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \chi d y-\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(t_{n}, \nabla_{y} F_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right) \chi\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& =-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}\left(t_{n}, \nabla \chi\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2}\left(t_{n}, \nabla u_{n}\right)\left(\nabla u_{n}, \nabla \chi\right) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{2.32}\\
& \quad-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\left(t_{n}, \nabla \chi\right) \mathrm{d} y+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} F_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right)\left(t_{n}, \nabla \chi\right) \mathrm{d} y .
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.31) and the definition of $\chi$, the support of $\nabla \chi$ is contained in the set $\Omega_{R}^{(n)} \cup \Sigma_{R}^{(n)}$ with $R=\frac{1}{2} r_{n}-1$. By Lemma 2.7 , the right-hand side of 2.32 decays polynomially. More precisely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}\left(t_{n}, \nabla \chi\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2}\left(t_{n}, \nabla u_{n}\right)\left(\nabla u_{n}, \nabla \chi\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\left(t_{n}, \nabla \chi\right) \mathrm{d} y+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} F_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right)(t, \nabla \chi) d y  \tag{2.33}\\
& \leq c\left(\int_{\Omega_{R}^{(n)}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\sum_{R}^{(n)}}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}+\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} y\right) \\
& \leq c R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \leq c r_{n}^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \leq c\left|y_{n}\right|^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate the left-hand side of (2.32), we use some estimates from (4). By [4, Lemma 4.2],

$$
\left(t_{n}, y\right) \geq 0, \quad\left\langle t_{n}, \nabla a(y)\right\rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} a(y) \quad \text { for } y \in \overline{C_{n}^{+}} \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}
$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.1(5),

$$
\left(t_{n}, \nabla_{y} F_{\lambda}\left(y, u_{n}\right)\right)=-\left|\nabla_{y} F_{\lambda}\left(y, u_{n}\right)\left(t_{n}, \frac{y}{|y|}\right)\right| \leq 0, \quad \text { for } y \in \overline{C_{n}^{+}} \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}
$$

Hence, the left-hand side of 2.33 can be as estimated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(t_{n}, \nabla a\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y-\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(t_{n}, \nabla_{y} F_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right)\right) \chi \mathrm{d} y \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} a(y)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \chi d y  \tag{2.34}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 p} \inf _{D_{L}\left(y_{n}\right)} \frac{\partial a}{\partial r} \int_{D_{L}\left(y_{n}\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{L}\left(y_{n}\right) \subset \Sigma_{R}^{(n)} \subset \overline{C_{n}^{+}}, L$ is a large number such that

$$
\int_{D_{L}}\left|U_{1}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y=m>0
$$

Since $\tilde{u}_{n}=u_{n}\left(\cdot-y_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup U_{1}$ in $W$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{L}\left(y_{n}\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{D_{L}}\left|\tilde{u}_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y \rightarrow \int_{D_{L}}\left|U_{1}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y=m \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By 2.34, 2.35, the left-hand side of 2.33,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(t_{n}, \nabla a\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \chi \mathrm{~d} y-\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(t_{n}, \nabla_{y} F_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right)\right) \chi \mathrm{d} y  \tag{2.36}\\
& \geq \frac{m}{4 p} \inf _{D_{L}\left(y_{n}\right)} \frac{\partial a}{\partial r}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally by 2.33, 2.36,

$$
\frac{1}{4 p} \inf _{D_{L}\left(y_{n}\right)} \frac{\partial a}{\partial r} \leq c\left|y_{n}\right|^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}
$$

which contradicts (A4). Thus $\Lambda=\emptyset$, and by the profile decomposition 2.1 $u_{n}=u+r_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{q}\left(\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)$. As mentioned before, the space $W$ is continuously embedded into $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times(0,2)\right)$, and in turn $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times[0,2]\right)$ is embedded into $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times[0,2]\right), p<s<p^{*}$, compactly with respect to the translation group $D$. We also have $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times[0,2]\right), p<s<p^{*}$. Namely we have the following proposition.
Proposition 2.9. Let $u_{n} \in W$ be a solution of (1.8) with $\lambda=\lambda_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$. Assume $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq M, u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W$. Then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{s}\left(\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right), p<s \leq \bar{p}$ and in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times(0,2)\right), p<s<p^{*}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. We use an indirect argument. Let $u_{n} \in W$ be a solution of Problem (1.8) with $\lambda=\lambda_{n} \geq 0,\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq M, n=1,2, \ldots$, but it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} \frac{1}{n}(1+|y|)^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}\left|u_{n}(y)\right|>1 \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 2.9, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right), p<s<\bar{p}$ and in $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times(0,2)\right)$, $p<s<p^{*}$, the index set $\Lambda$ in the profile decomposition for the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is empty. Hence $d_{n}(x)=\min \left\{|x|,\left|x-y_{n, k}\right|, k \in \Lambda\right\}=|x|$, and by Lemma 2.7, there exists $c>0$, independent of $n$, such that

$$
\left|u_{n}(y)\right| \leq c(1+|y|)^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}, \quad y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}
$$

we arrive at a contradiction.

Corollary 2.10. Let $u_{n} \in W$ be a solution of 1.8 with $\lambda=\lambda_{n} \geq 0, n=1,2, \ldots$. Assume $I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq M$, then there exists a constant $c>0$ independent of $n$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{n}(y)\right| \leq c(1+|y|)^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \quad \text { for } y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, up to a subsequence, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges in $W$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.1(4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M \geq & I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)=I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{r}\left\langle D I_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{r+1}\left|m_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right)\right|^{q-r-1} b_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W$. By Theorem 1.2, 2.38) holds. Moreover, by Proposition 2.9, up to a subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges in $L^{q}\left(\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m}, \nabla u_{n}-\nabla u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}-\left|u_{m}\right|^{p-2} u_{m}\right)\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& =\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(f_{\lambda_{n}}\left(y, u_{n}\right)-f_{\lambda_{m}}\left(y, u_{m}\right)\right)\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \leq c \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-1}+\left|u_{m}\right|^{q-1}\right)\left|u_{n}-u_{m}\right| \mathrm{d} y \\
& \leq c\left|u_{n}-u_{m}\right|_{L^{q}\left(\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n, m \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

The sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges in $W$.

## 3. Existence of infinitely many solutions

In this section, we prove the existence of infinitely many solutions of the original problem 1.1). First we construct a sequence of critical values of the truncated functionals $I_{\lambda}, \lambda>0$, by the symmetric mountain pass lemma due to Ambosetti and Rabinowitz [1].

Lemma 3.1. The functional $I_{\lambda}, \lambda>0$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W$ be a Palais-Smale sequence of $I_{\lambda}$. By Lemma 2.1(4), hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{r}\left\langle D I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{r+1}\left|m_{\lambda}\left(y, u_{n}\right)\right|^{q-r-1} b_{\lambda}\left(y, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} y  \tag{3.1}\\
& \quad \geq \\
& \quad\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W$. Assume $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W, u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{s}\left(\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}\right)$, $p \leq s<\bar{p}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m}, \nabla u_{n}-\nabla u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}-\left|u_{m}\right|^{p-2} u_{m}\right)\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& =\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(f_{\lambda}\left(y, u_{n}\right)-f_{\lambda}\left(y, u_{m}\right)\right)\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \leq \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\left(1+|y|^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}\right)\right)^{q-r}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{r-1}+\left|u_{m}\right|^{r-1}\right)\left|u_{n}-u_{m}\right| \mathrm{d} y  \tag{3.2}\\
& \leq c R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}(p-r)} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash D_{R}}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{r}+\left|u_{m}\right|^{r}\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \quad+C_{R}\left(\int_{D_{R}}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{r}+\left|u_{m}\right|^{r}\right) \mathrm{d} y\right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}}\left(\int_{D_{R}}\left|u_{n}-u_{m}\right|^{r} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / r} \\
& \leq c R^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}(p-r)}+C_{R}\left|u_{n}-u_{m}\right|_{L^{r}\left(D_{r}\right)} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n, m \rightarrow \infty
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.2) and the elementary inequalities 2.5, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, hence a convergent sequence in $W$.

Now we define a sequence of critical values of $I_{\lambda}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}(\lambda)=\inf _{A \in \Gamma_{k}} \sup _{u \in A} I_{\lambda}(u), \quad \lambda>0, k=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{k}=\left\{A \subset W: A \text { is compact },-A=A, \gamma\left(A \cap \sigma^{-1}\left(S_{\rho}\right)\right) \geq k, \forall \sigma \in G\right\}, \\
G=\left\{\sigma \in C(W, W): \sigma(-u)=-\sigma(u), \forall u \in W ; \sigma(u)=u, \text { if } I_{1}(u)<0\right\}, \\
S_{\rho}=\{u \in W:\|u\|=\rho\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\rho>0$ is a fixed number to be chosen as follows. For $u \in S_{\rho}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}(u) & =\frac{1}{p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} a(y)|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} y\right)-\frac{1}{q} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \geq c_{0} \rho^{p}-c_{1} \rho^{q} \geq \frac{1}{2} c_{0} \rho^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $c_{1} \rho^{q-p} \leq \frac{1}{2} c_{0}$ and $I(u)=\frac{1}{2} c_{0} \rho^{p}$ for $u \in S_{\rho}$. The following proposition is known, see [1, 2, 11].

Proposition 3.2. Assume $0<\lambda \leq 1$. Then
(1) $c_{k}(\lambda)>0, k=1,2, \ldots$ are critical values of $I_{\lambda}$.
(2) If $c_{k}(\lambda)=c_{k+1}(\lambda)=\cdots=c_{k+m-1}(\lambda)=c$, then $\gamma\left(K_{c}\left(I_{\lambda}\right)\right) \geq m$, where $K_{c}\left(I_{\lambda}\right)=\left\{u \mid u \in W, D I_{\lambda}(u)=0, I_{\lambda}(u)=c\right\}$.
(3) Assume $p=2$. Then there exists $u \in W$ such that $I_{\lambda}(u)=c_{k}(\lambda), D I_{\lambda}(u)=$ 0 and $m^{*}(u) \geq k$, where $m^{*}(\cdot)$ is the augmented Morse index.

Given $k \in N$, by Corollary 2.10, there exists $\mu_{k}>0$ such that if $0<\lambda \leq 1$, $u \in W, D I_{\lambda}(u)=0, I_{\lambda}(u)=c_{k}(\lambda) \leq \alpha_{k}:=c_{k}(1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(y)| \leq \frac{1}{\mu_{k}}\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{N-p}{2(p-1)}}, \quad y \in \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $0<\lambda_{k}<\min \left\{1, \mu_{k}\right\}$. Let $u_{1}(\lambda), \ldots, u_{k}(\lambda)$ be the solutions of (1.8) with $\lambda=\lambda_{k}$, corresponding to the critical values $c_{1}\left(\lambda_{k}\right) \leq \cdots \leq c_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)$. Since $I_{\lambda}$ is increasing in $\lambda$, we have $c_{1}\left(\lambda_{k}\right) \leq \cdots \leq c_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}\right) \leq \alpha_{k}, u_{1}\left(\lambda_{k}\right), \ldots, u_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)$ satisfy the estimate (3.4), hence they are solutions of the original problem (1.1). Now $k$ is arbitrary, we obtain infinitely many solutions of Problem (1.1).

Remark 3.3. We have proved that Problem (1.1) has infinite many solutions. We can prove a little more, namely claim the functional $I$ has an infinitely sequence of critical values.

We use an indirect argument. Assume $I$ has only a finite number of critical values $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}$. Denote $K=\{u \mid u \in W, D I(u)=0\}$. Then by Corollary 2.10 , $K$ is compact. Assume $\gamma(K)=m<+\infty$. For $0<\lambda<1$, the functional $I_{\lambda}$ has critical values $c_{1}(\lambda) \leq c_{2}(\lambda) \leq \cdots \leq c_{k m+1}(\lambda)$. If $\lambda$ is sufficiently small, they will be critical values of $I$. We claim $c_{1}(\lambda)<c_{m+1}(\lambda)<\cdots<c_{k m+1}(\lambda)$. Otherwise suppose, say $c=c_{1}(\lambda)=c_{m+1}(\lambda)$. By Proposition 3.2, $\gamma\left(K_{c}\right) \geq m+1$, where $K_{c}=\left\{u \mid u \in W, D I_{\lambda}(u)=0, I_{\lambda}(u)=c\right\} \subset K$, which is a contradiction. We obtain $k+1$ different critical values of $I$. Since $k$ is arbitrary, $I$ has a infinite sequence of critical values.

For $p=2$, by the information on the Morse index, one can prove that $I$ has an unbounded sequence of critical values(see [6, 4]).
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