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#### Abstract

In this article we study a fractional viscoelastic equation of Kirchhoff type with logarithmic nonlinearities. Under suitable conditions we prove the existence of global solutions and the exponential decay of the energy.


## 1. Introduction

We consider the problem of finding $u=u(x, t)$ weak solutions to the nonlinear heat equation of Kirchhoff type with variable exponent of nonlinearity, viscoelastic term and logarithmic source terms, involving the fractional Laplacian,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(1+a|u|^{r(x)-2}\right) u_{t}+M\left(\|u\|_{w_{0}}^{2}\right)(-\Delta)^{s} u-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)(-\Delta)^{s} u(\tau) d \tau \\
\left.=\left(|u|^{\rho-2} u+|u|^{\sigma-2} u\right) \log |u|=: f(u) \quad \text { in } \Omega \times\right] 0, \infty[,  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0 \quad \text { in }\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega\right) \times[0, \infty[, \\
u(x, 0)=u^{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a smooth bounded domain, $\left.M(t)=t^{\alpha-1}+1,0 \leq t 0, s \in\right] 0,1[$, $2<N / s, \alpha>1, g:\left[0, \infty[\rightarrow] 0, \infty\left[\right.\right.$ belongs to $C^{1}([0, \infty[), g(0)>0, l=1-$ $\int_{0}^{\infty} g(\tau) d \tau>0, g^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, \rho, \sigma>2$, and $r$ is a continuous function.

This type of problems without viscoelastic term (that is $g=0$ ), with $r(x)$ constant,$M(t)=1$ and $f$ a polynomial, have been considered by many authors with the standard Laplace operator $(-\triangle)^{s}, s=1$, and can be seen as special case of doubly nonlinear parabolic type equations

$$
(\varphi(u))_{t}-\Delta u=f(u)
$$

which appear in the mathematical modeling of various physical processes such as flows of incompressible turbulent fluids or gases in pipes, processes of filtration in porous media, glaciology, see [2, 3, 13, 35] and the further references therein.

[^0]The questions of solvability and the long time behavior of solutions to the doubly nonlinear nonlocal parabolic equation

$$
(\varphi(u))_{t}-\operatorname{div} \sigma(\nabla u)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \operatorname{div} \sigma(\nabla u(\tau)) d \tau+f(x, t, u)
$$

were studied in [4, 19, 30, 31, 32, 34. This equation arises from the study of heat conduction in materials with memory. On the other hand, many fractional and nonlocal operators are actively studied in recent years. This type of operators arises in a quite natural way in many interesting applications, such as, finance, physics, game theory, Lévy stable diffusion processes, crystal dislocation; see [5, 22, 36] and their references. The first result concerning fractional Kirchhoff problems was obtained by Fiscella and Valdinoci [18]. Pan et al [26] investigated for the first time the existence of global weak solutions for degenerate Kirchhoff-type diffusion problems involving fractional p-Laplacian, by combining the Galerkin method with potential well theory, for the special function $M(t)=t^{\lambda-1}(t \geq 0)$. Mingqi et al 24] proved the existence and blow-up of solutions for a similar equation with more general conditions on $M$ which cover the degenerate case. Recently, logarithmic nonlinearity appears frequently in partial differential equations which describes important physical phenomena, see [12, 14, 20, 23, 37] and the references therein. Ding and Zhou [14] studied the semilinear parabolic problem of Kirchhoff type with logarithmic nonlinearity,

$$
u_{t}-M\left([u]_{s}^{2}\right) \mathcal{L}_{K} u=|u|^{p-2} u \log |u| .
$$

They obtained results of global solutions and of finite time blow-up of solutions, when the initial energy is subcritical and critical, by using the potential well method. In the works mentioned above, there are only a few about global existence and exponential decay rate for doubly nonlinear parabolic equations involving variable exponent, viscoelastic term in the fractional setting, and logarithmic nonlinear terms. Motivated by this, we study global solutions for 1.1) by using Galerkin's method and similar arguments as those in Tartar [33]. Also, we give the exponential decay rate of the energy via the energy perturbation method. It is worth mentioning that we do not use the logarithmic Sobolev inequality to obtain our results.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the preliminaries for our research. In Section 3, by using the Galerkin approximation method we obtain a global solution, and finally, we obtain the exponential decay under certain class of initial data.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some material and assumptions needed in the rest of this paper. We denote $Q=\mathbb{R}^{2 N} \backslash(\mathcal{C} \Omega \times \mathcal{C} \Omega), \mathcal{C} \Omega:=\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega$, and

$$
W=\left\{u: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}:\left.u\right|_{\Omega} \in L^{2}(\Omega), \iint_{Q} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} d x d y<\infty\right\}
$$

where $\left.u\right|_{\Omega}$ represents the restriction to $\Omega$ of function $u(x)$. Also, we define the linear subspace of $W$,

$$
W_{0}=\left\{u \in W: u=0 \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega\right\}
$$

The linear space $W$ is endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W}:=\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left(\iint_{Q} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} d x d y\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

It is easily seen that $\|\cdot\|_{W}$ is a norm on $W$ and $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \subseteq W_{0}$. The functional

$$
\|u\|_{W_{0}}=\left(\iint_{Q} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} d x d y\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

is a equivalent norm on $W_{0}=\left\{u \in W: u(x)=0\right.$ a.e. in $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega\right\}$ which is a closed linear subspace of $W$. Furthermore $\left(W_{0},\|\cdot\|_{W_{0}}\right)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{W_{0}}=\iint_{Q} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2 s}} d x d y
$$

Now we review the main embedding results for the space $W_{0}$.
Lemma 2.1 ([27, 28, 29]). The embedding $W_{0} \hookrightarrow L^{r}(\Omega)$ is continuous for any $r \in\left[1,2_{s}^{*}\right]$, and compact for any $r \in\left[1,2_{s}^{*}[\right.$.

Lemma 2.2 ([25, Lemma 2.1]). Let $N \geq 1,0<s<1, p>1, q \geq 1, \tau>0$ and $0<\theta<1$ be such that $\frac{1}{\tau}=\theta\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{s}{N}\right)+\frac{1-\theta}{q}$. Then

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq\|u\|_{W^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\theta}\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{1-\theta}, \quad \forall u \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

Now, we recall some background concerning the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces. We refer the reader to [15, 16, 17] for details. Set

$$
C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\{p(x): p(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega}), p(x)>1, \text { for all } x \in \bar{\Omega}\}
$$

For $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ we define

$$
p^{+}=\max \{p(x): x \in \bar{\Omega}\}, \quad p^{-}=\min \{p(x) ; x \in \bar{\Omega}\}
$$

and the space

$$
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u: u \text { is a measurable real-valued function, } \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

with

$$
\|u\|_{p(x)} \equiv\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

which is a Banach space [21. We also define the space

$$
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega):|\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)}=\|u(x)\|_{p(x)}+\|\nabla u(x)\|_{p(x)}
$$

We denote by $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. Of course the norm $\|u\|=\|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}$ is an equivalent norm in $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 2.3 ([16]). (i) The conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)}=1 . \text { For any } u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \text { and } v \in L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega), \text { we have } \\
& \int_{\Omega}|u v| d x \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime-}}\right)\|u\|_{p(x)}\|v\|_{p^{\prime}(x)} \leq 2\|u\|_{p(x)}\|v\|_{p^{\prime}(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If $p_{1}(x), p_{2}(x) \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $p_{1}(x) \leq p_{2}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then $L^{p_{2}(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{p_{1}(x)}(\Omega)$ and the embedding is continuous.
Proposition $2.4([16])$. Set $\rho(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} d x$, then for $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\left(u_{k}\right) \subset W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, we have
(1) $\|u\|<1$ (resp. $=1 ;>1$ ) if and only if $\rho(u)<1$ (resp. $=1 ;>1$ );
(2) for $u \neq 0,\|u\|=\lambda$ if and only if $\rho(u / \lambda)=1$;
(3) if $\|u\|>1$, then $\|u\|^{p^{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq\|u\|^{p^{+}}$;
(4) if $\|u\|<1$, then $\|u\|^{p^{+}} \leq \rho(u) \leq\|u\|^{p^{-}}$;
(5) $\left\|u_{k}\right\| \rightarrow 0($ resp. $\rightarrow \infty)$ if and only if $\rho\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ (resp. $\rightarrow \infty$ ).

For $x \in \Omega$, let us define

$$
p^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)} & \text { if } p(x)<N \\ \infty & \text { if } p(x) \geq N\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 2.5 ([17]). If $q \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $q(x) \leq p^{*}(x)\left(q(x)<p^{*}(x)\right)$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then there is a continuous (compact) embedding $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $2<r<\rho<2_{s}^{*}$.For each $\epsilon>0$, there exists a positive constant $C_{\epsilon}$ such that

$$
\|v\|_{\rho}^{\rho} \leq \epsilon\|v\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+C_{\epsilon}\|v\|_{r}^{k r},
$$

for all $v \in W_{0} \cap L^{r}(\Omega)$ where

$$
k=\frac{2 \rho(1-\theta)}{r(2-\rho \theta)}, \quad \theta=\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{\rho}\right)\left(\frac{s}{N}-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1} .
$$

The above lemma immediately follows from Lemma 2.2 and Young's inequality.
Lemma 2.7 ([21, Theorem 1, pag 23]). Suppose that $r \in L_{+}^{\infty}(\Omega), r^{-} \geq 2, w \in$ $L^{r(x)}(\Omega \times] 0, T[)$ and

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(|w|^{r(x)-2} w\right) \in L^{r^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega \times] 0, T[)
$$

Then, for any $s, \tau \in[0, T]$ with $s<\tau$ we have formula of integration by parts,
$\int_{s}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} w\left(\frac{1}{r(x)-1}|w|^{r(x)-2} w\right) d x d t=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r(x)}|w(\tau)|^{r(x)} d x-\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r(x)}|w(s)|^{r(x)} d x$.

## 3. Existence of global solutions and exponential decay

In this section, we focus our attention on global solutions and exponential decay for problem (1.1).

Definition 3.1. Let $T>0$. A weak solution of (1.1) is a function $u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}\right)$, with $u_{t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and $\left(|u|^{r(x) / 2}\right)_{t} \in L^{2}(\Omega \times] 0, T[)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(1+a|u|^{r(x)-2}\right) u_{t} w d x d t+M\left(\|u\|_{w_{0}}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\langle u, w\rangle_{W_{0}} d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)\langle u(\tau), w\rangle_{W_{0}} d \tau d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} f(u) w d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $w \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}\right)$ and $u(x, 0)=u^{0}(x) \in W_{0}$, where for $s \in \mathbb{R}, f(s)=$ $\left(|s|^{\rho-2} s+|s|^{\sigma-2} s\right) \log |s|$.

Theorem 3.2 (Local solution). Assume $u^{0} \in W_{0} \backslash\{0\}, 2<r^{-}<2_{s}^{*}, r^{+}, \rho, \sigma \in$ $] 2,2_{s}^{*}[$, then problem (1.1) has a unique weak solution u for $T$ small enough.

Proof. We prove the existence of weak solutions by using the Faedo-Galerkin method with ideas from [7]. We choose a sequence $\left\{w_{\nu}\right\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \subseteq{\overline{\cup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} V_{m}}}^{C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})}
$$

and $\left\{w_{\nu}\right\}$ is a standard orthonormal basis with respect to the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and an orthogonal basis in $W_{0}$, where $V_{m}=\operatorname{spam}\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots . w_{m}\right\}$. Now, we construct approximate solutions $u_{m}(m=1,2, \ldots)$, of the problem 1.1), in the form

$$
u_{m}(x, t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{j m}(t) w_{j}(x)
$$

where the coefficient functions $g_{j m}$ satisfy the system of ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(1+a\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r(x)-2}\right) u_{m t}(t) w_{j} d x+M\left(\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{w_{0}}^{2}\right)\left\langle u_{m}(t), w_{j}\right\rangle_{W_{0}} \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)\left\langle u_{m}(\tau), w_{j}\right\rangle_{W_{0}} d \tau d t  \tag{3.1}\\
& =\int_{\Omega} f\left(u_{m}\right) w_{j} d x, \quad j=1,2, \ldots m \\
& \quad u_{m}(x, 0)=u_{m}^{0}(x) \rightarrow u^{0}(x) \quad \text { in } W_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us show that the system (3.1) is locally solvable. It is clear that (3.1) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \Phi\left(g_{m}(t)\right)= & -M\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{j m}(t) w_{j}(x)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}\right) B g_{m}(t) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) B g_{m}(\tau) d \tau+F\left(g_{m}(t)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{m}(t)=\left(g_{m 1}(t), g_{m 2}(t), \ldots, g_{m m}(t)\right)^{T}, \quad B=\left[\left\langle w_{i}, w_{j}\right\rangle\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \\
\Phi(\eta)=\left(\Phi_{1}(\eta), \Phi_{2}(\eta), \ldots, \Phi_{m}(\eta)\right)^{T} \quad \text { with } \eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \\
\Phi_{i}(\eta)=\int_{\Omega}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \eta_{j} w_{j}+\frac{a}{r(x)-1}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} \eta_{k} w_{k}\right|^{r(x)-2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \eta_{k} w_{k}\right\} w_{i} d x \\
i=1,2, \ldots, m ; \\
F(\eta)=\left(\int_{\Omega} f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \eta_{j} w_{j}\right) w_{1} d x, \ldots, \int_{\Omega} f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \eta_{j} w_{j}\right) w_{m} d x\right)^{T}
\end{gathered}
$$

This system is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(g_{m}(t)\right)= & \Phi\left(g_{m}(0)\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left[-M\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{j m}(t) w_{j}(x)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}\right) B g_{m}(t)\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{\xi} g(\xi-\tau) B g_{m}(\tau) d \tau+F\left(g_{m}(\xi)\right)\right] d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

and the fact that the map $s \mapsto f(s)$ is increasing for large $s$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Phi(\zeta)-\Phi(\eta), \zeta-\eta)_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \geq C_{m}|\zeta-\eta|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, where $C_{m}$ is a constant such that, for any $g_{m}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}\right|^{2} d x \geq C_{m}\left|g_{m}\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}^{2}
$$

So, by the elementary inequality $s \log s \geq s-1, \forall s>0$, we deduce that $\Phi$ is monotone coercive. Also it is obviously continuous. So, by the Brouwer theorem $\Phi$ is onto. In view of (3.3), $\Phi^{-1}$ is locally Lipchitz continuous.

Consider the map $L: C\left(0, T, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \rightarrow C\left(0, T, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(g_{m}\right)(t)= & \Phi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(g_{m}(0)\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left[-M\left(\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{j m}(t) w_{j}(x)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}\right) B g_{m}(t)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\int_{0}^{\xi} g(\xi-\tau) B g_{m}(\tau) d \tau+F\left(g_{m}(\xi)\right)\right] d \xi\right), \quad t \in[0, T]
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not hard to prove that $L$ is completely continuous and that there exist (sufficient small) $T_{m}>0$ and (sufficient large) $R>0$ such that $L\left(\overline{B_{R}}\right) \subseteq \overline{B_{R}}$, where $\overline{B_{R}}$ is the ball in $C\left(0, T_{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ with center the origin and radius $R$. Consequently, by Schauder's theorem, the operator $L$ has a fixed point in $C\left(0, T_{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. This fixed point is a solution of 3.2 . So, we can obtain an approximate solution $u_{m}(t)$ of (3.1) in $V_{m}$ over $\left[0, T_{m}[\right.$ and it can be extended to the whole interval $[0, T]$, for all $T>0$, as a consequence of the a priori estimates that shall be proven in the next step.

First estimate. Multiplying (3.1) by $g_{j m}(t)$ and adding in $j=1, \ldots, m$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(1+a\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r(x)-2}\right) u_{m t}(t) u_{m}(t) d x+M\left(\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{w_{0}}^{2}\right)\left\langle u_{m}(t), u_{m}(t)\right\rangle_{W_{0}} \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)\left\langle u_{m}(\tau), u_{m}(t)\right\rangle_{W_{0}} d \tau d t  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\rho}+\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\sigma}\right) \log \left|u_{m}(t)\right| d x
\end{align*}
$$

which implies, integrating with respect to the time variable from 0 to $t$ on both sides, using Lemma 2.7 that

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{m}(t)= & S_{m}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} d \lambda \int_{0}^{\lambda} g(\lambda-\tau)\left\langle u_{m}(\tau), u_{m}(\lambda)\right\rangle_{W_{0}} d \tau \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{m}(\tau)\right|^{\rho}+\left|u_{m}(\tau)\right|^{\sigma}\right) \log \left|u_{m}(\tau)\right| d x d \tau \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{m}(t)= & \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{2} d x+a \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r(x)}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r(x)} d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}+\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}\right) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us introduce the function $\Theta(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\lambda} g(\lambda-\tau)\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{W_{0}}$. Estimating the second term on right-hand side of (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} d \lambda \int_{0}^{\lambda} g(\lambda-\tau)\left\langle u_{m}(\tau), u_{m}(\lambda)\right\rangle_{W_{0}} d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}+\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}\right) d \tau+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \Theta^{2}(\lambda) d \lambda \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

But, using Young Inequality and noting that $\int_{0}^{\infty} g(\tau) d \tau<1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \Theta^{2}(\lambda) d \lambda \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} g(\tau) d \tau \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}+\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}\right) d \tau \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varrho_{\rho}:=2_{s}^{*}-\rho, \varrho_{\sigma}:=2_{s}^{*}-\sigma$. Since $\log \left(|u|^{\varrho}\right) \leq|u|^{\varrho}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\rho} \log \left|u_{m}(t)\right| d x & =\frac{1}{\varrho_{\rho}} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\rho} \log \left(\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\varrho_{\rho}}\right) d x  \tag{3.8}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varrho_{\rho}} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\rho+\varrho_{\rho}} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging (3.6)-(3.8) into (3.5), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{m}(t) \leq & S_{m}(0)+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\int_{0}^{\infty} g(\tau) d \tau\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}+\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}\right) d \tau  \tag{3.9}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\varrho_{\rho}}\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{\varrho_{\rho}+\rho}^{\varrho_{\rho}+\rho}+\frac{1}{\varrho_{\sigma}}\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{\varrho_{\sigma}+\sigma}^{\varrho_{\sigma}+\sigma}\right) d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate the last term in $\sqrt{3.9}$ we use Lemma 2.6

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\varrho_{\rho}}\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{\varrho_{\rho}+\rho}^{\varrho_{\rho}+\rho}+\frac{1}{\varrho_{\sigma}}\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{\varrho_{\sigma}+\sigma}^{\varrho_{\sigma}+\sigma}\right) d \tau  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq \epsilon \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}+\left\|u_{m}(\tau)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}\right) d \tau+C_{0} \int_{0}^{t}\left(S_{m}^{k_{1}}(\lambda)+S_{m}^{k_{2}}(\lambda)\right) d \lambda
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
k_{1}=\frac{2\left(\varrho_{\rho}+\rho\right)(1-\theta)}{r^{-}\left[2-\left(\rho+\varrho_{\rho}\right) \theta\right]}>1, \quad k_{2}=\frac{2\left(\varrho_{\sigma}+\sigma\right)(1-\theta)}{r^{-}\left[2-\left(\varrho_{\sigma}+\sigma\right) \theta\right]}>1
$$

Taking $\epsilon$ suitably small in (3.10), it follows from (3.5)-3.10 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}(t) \leq \hat{C}_{0}+\hat{C}_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left(S_{m}^{k_{1}}(\lambda)+S_{m}^{k_{2}}(\lambda)\right) d \lambda \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by employing [10, Theorem 1.2], there exists a constant $T_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}(t) \leq C_{T_{0}}, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right] \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second estimate. Multiplying (3.1) by $g_{j m}^{\prime}(t)$ and adding in $j=1, \ldots, m$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\{\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(g \diamond u)(t)\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\rho} \log \left|u_{m}(t)\right| d x+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{\rho}^{\rho} \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\sigma} \log \left|u_{m}(t)\right| d x+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma}\right\}  \tag{3.13}\\
& +\left\|u_{m t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+a \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r(x)-2}\left|u_{m t}(t)\right|^{2} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \diamond u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
(g \diamond u)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)\|u(t)-u(\tau)\|_{W_{0}}^{2} d \tau
$$

Integrating (3.13) on $[0, t], t \leq T_{0}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+a \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r(x)-2}\left|u_{m t}(t)\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}+\frac{l}{2}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left\|u_{m}(0)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{m}(0)\right\| \|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\rho} \log \left|u_{m}(t)\right| d x \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\left\|u_{m}(0)\right\|_{\rho}^{\rho}+\frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{\sigma} \log \left|u_{m}(t)\right| d x+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\left\|u_{m}(0)\right\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma} \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(0)\right|^{\rho} \log \left|u_{m}(0)\right| d x-\frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(0)\right|^{\sigma} \log \left|u_{m}(0)\right| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

From the assumptions on $u^{0},(3.8)$, Lemma 2.6 and the estimate 3.12 , it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+a \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r(x)-2}\left|u_{m t}(t)\right|^{2} d x  \tag{3.14}\\
& +\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}+\frac{l}{2}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2} \leq M_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constant $M_{1}>0$. By the above estimates 3.12 and 3.14, $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ have subsequences still denoted by $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{m} \rightarrow u \quad \text { weakly* in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; W_{0}\right) \\
& u_{m t} \rightarrow u_{t} \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T_{0} ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{3.15}\\
&\left(\left|u_{m}\right|^{r(x) / 2}\right)_{t} \rightarrow \chi \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T_{0} ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Also, reasoning as in [12], taking into account the compact embedding of $W_{0}$ into $L^{\beta}(\Omega), \beta=\rho, \sigma$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|u_{m}\right|^{\rho-2} u_{m} \log \left|u_{m}\right| \rightarrow|u|^{\rho-2} u \log |u| \quad \text { weakly* in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; L^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}(\Omega)\right), \\
& \left|u_{m}\right|^{\sigma-2} u_{m} \log \left|u_{m}\right| \rightarrow|u|^{\sigma-2} u \log |u| \quad \text { weakly* in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T_{0} ; L^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Employing the same arguments as in [9] we can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\left(|u|^{r(x) / 2}\right)_{t}, \quad\left|u_{m}\right|^{r(x) / 2} u_{m t} \rightarrow|u|^{r(x) / 2} u_{t} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\Omega \times] 0, T_{0}[) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, passing to the limit in (3.1) as $m \rightarrow+\infty$, by 3.15- 3.17), we can show that $u$ satisfies the initial condition $u(0)=u^{0}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(1+a|u|^{r(x)-2}\right) u_{t} w d x d t+M\left(\|u\|_{w_{0}}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\langle u, w\rangle_{W_{0}} d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)\langle u(\tau), w\rangle_{W_{0}} d \tau d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} f(u) w d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $w \in L^{2}\left(0, T_{0} ; W_{0}\right)$.
The uniqueness property of solutions can be derived as in 13, Theorem 3, p. 1095], observing that $\left(u+\frac{a}{r(x)-1}|u|^{r(x)-2} u\right) \in L^{2}(\Omega \times] 0, T_{0}[), F(s)=f(s) \log (|s|)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous and $A u=M\left(\|u\|_{w_{0}}^{2}\right)(-\Delta)^{s} u$ is a monotone operator. We omit the details.

Next, we consider the existence of global solutions and their energy decay for problem (1.1). For this purpose we define the energy associated with problem (1.1) by

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t)= & \frac{1}{2 \alpha}\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau\right)\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(g \diamond u)(t) \\
& -\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\Omega}|u(t)|^{\rho} \log |u(t)| d x+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\|u(t)\|_{\rho}^{\rho}  \tag{3.18}\\
& -\frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\Omega}|u(t)|^{\sigma} \log |u(t)| d x+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\|u(t)\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we easily can check that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} E(t)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \diamond u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}-\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& -a \int_{\Omega}|u(t)|^{r(x)-2} u_{t}^{2}(t) d x \leq 0 \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

for any regular solution. This remains valid for weak solutions by simple density argument. This shows that $E(t)$ is a nonincreasing function.

Before going on, we introduce the following notation

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1}=\sup _{u \in W_{0}, u \neq 0} \frac{\|u\|_{\rho+\varrho_{\rho}}}{\sqrt{l\|u\|_{W_{0}}^{2}}}, \quad B_{2}=\sup _{u \in W_{0}, u \neq 0} \frac{\|u\|_{\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}}}{\sqrt{l\|u\|_{W_{0}}^{2}}} \\
\gamma_{1}=\frac{1}{\rho \varrho_{\rho}} B_{1}^{\rho+\varrho_{\rho}}, \quad \gamma_{2}=\frac{1}{\sigma \varrho_{\sigma}} B_{2}^{\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\lambda)=\frac{1}{4} \lambda^{2}-\gamma_{1} \lambda^{\rho+\varrho_{\rho}}-\frac{3}{2} \gamma_{2} \lambda^{\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
h^{\prime}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{2} \lambda-\left(\rho+\varrho_{\rho}\right) \gamma_{1} \lambda^{\rho+\varrho_{\rho}-1}-\frac{3}{2}\left(\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}\right) \gamma_{2} \lambda^{\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}-1}
$$

So, choosing $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
0 \leq \lambda^{\rho+\varrho_{\rho}-1} \leq \frac{1}{4\left(\rho+\varrho_{\rho}\right) \gamma_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \leq \lambda^{\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}-1} \leq \frac{1}{6\left(\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}\right) \gamma_{2}}
$$

we obtain that these $\lambda$ s satisfy the inequality

$$
\frac{1}{2} \lambda \geq\left(\rho+\varrho_{\rho}\right) \gamma_{1} \lambda^{\rho+\varrho_{\rho}-1}+\frac{3}{2}\left(\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}\right) \gamma_{2} \lambda^{\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}-1}
$$

and $h^{\prime}(\lambda) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_{1}$ where

$$
\lambda_{1}=\min \left\{\left[\frac{1}{4\left(\rho+\varrho_{\rho}\right) \gamma_{1}}\right]^{1 /\left(\rho+\varrho_{\rho}-1\right)},\left[\frac{1}{6\left(\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}\right) \gamma_{1}}\right]^{1 /\left(\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}-1\right)}\right\} .
$$

Thus $h(0)=0$ and $h(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \in\left[0, \lambda_{1}\right.$ [ Therefore, from 3.20, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \lambda^{2}-\gamma_{1} \lambda^{\rho+\varrho_{\rho}}-\frac{3}{2} \gamma_{2} \lambda^{\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}} \geq 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in\left[0, \lambda_{1}[\right. \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if one considers

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+(g \diamond u)(t)<\lambda_{1}^{2} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (3.21), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{l\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+(g \diamond u)(t)}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{2}\left(\sqrt{l\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+(g \diamond u)(t)}\right)^{\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{l\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+(g \diamond u)(t)}\right)^{2}-\gamma_{1}\left(\sqrt{l\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+(g \diamond u)(t)}\right)^{\rho+\varrho_{\rho}} \\
& \quad-\gamma_{2}\left(\sqrt{l\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+(g \diamond u)(t)}\right)^{\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t) \geq & \frac{l}{2}\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(g \diamond u)(t)-\gamma_{1}\left(\sqrt{l\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+(g \diamond u)(t)}\right)^{\rho+\varrho_{\rho}} \\
& -\gamma_{2}\left(\sqrt{l\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+(g \diamond u)(t)}\right)^{\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{\left.l\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+(g \diamond u)(t)\right)^{2}}\right.  \tag{3.23}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{2}\left(\sqrt{l\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+(g \diamond u)(t)}\right)^{\sigma+\varrho_{\sigma}}, \quad t \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we are ready to state our main result.
Theorem 3.3. Assume that hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Consider $u_{0} \in W_{0}$, satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<l^{1 / 2}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W_{0}}<\lambda_{1}  \tag{3.24}\\
\left(\frac{4}{l} E_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}<\lambda_{1} \tag{3.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1}= & \frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}-\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{0}\right|^{\rho} \log \left|u^{0}\right| d x+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{\rho}^{\rho} \\
& -\frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{0}\right|^{\sigma} \log \left|u^{0}\right| d x+\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{\sigma}^{\sigma} \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the problem admits a global weak solution in time. In addition, if there exists a constant $\xi_{0}>0$ such that $g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\xi_{0} g(t)$, then this solution satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq L_{0} e^{-\gamma t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{0}$ and $\gamma$ are positive constants.

Proof. We will get global estimates for $u_{m}(t)$ solution of the approximate system (3.1) under the conditions (3.24-3.25) for $u^{0}$. For this, it suffices to show that

$$
E_{m}(t)+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+a \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r(x)-2}\left|u_{m t}(t)\right|^{2} d x
$$

where $E_{m}(t)$ is defined in 3.18 with $u(t)$ replaced by $u_{m}(t)$, is bounded and independently of $t$. From 3.13 and the definition of energy, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{m}(t)+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+a \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r(x)-2}\left|u_{m t}(t)\right|^{2} d x \leq E_{m}(0) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the convergence $u_{0 m} \rightarrow u^{0}$ in $W_{0}$ we see that $E_{m}(0)<\frac{l}{4} \lambda_{1}^{2}$ for sufficiently large $m$. We claim that there exists an integer $\nu_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{l\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\left(g \diamond u_{m}\right)(t)}<\lambda_{1} \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T_{m}\left[, m \geq \nu_{0} .\right.\right. \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Supposing that the claim is proved, $h\left(\sqrt{l\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\left(g \diamond u_{m}\right)(t)}\right) \geq 0$ and from (3.23), (3.28-(3.29) we obtain

$$
\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}+\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+a \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{m}(t)\right|^{r(x)-2}\left|u_{m t}(t)\right|^{2} d x \leq C
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $m$. Thus, we obtain the global solution.
Proof of Claim: Suppose 3.29 is not true. Thus, for each $m>\nu_{0}$, there exists $t_{1} \in\left[0, T_{m}[\right.$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{l\left\|u_{m}\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\left(g \diamond u_{m}\right)\left(t_{1}\right)} \geq \lambda_{1} . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we observe that, from (3.24) and the convergence $u_{0 m} \rightarrow u^{0}$ in $W_{0}$ there exists $\nu_{1}$ such that

$$
l^{1 / 2}\left\|u_{m}(0)\right\|_{W_{0}}<\lambda_{1} \quad \forall m>\nu_{1} .
$$

Hence, by continuity there exists

$$
t^{*}=\inf \left\{t \in \left[0, T_{m}\left[: \sqrt{l\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\left(g \diamond u_{m}\right)(t)} \geq \lambda_{1}\right\}\right.\right.
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{l\left\|u_{m}\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\left(g \diamond u_{m}\right)\left(t^{*}\right)}=\lambda_{1} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.23), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{m}\left(t^{*}\right) & \geq h\left(\sqrt{l\left\|u_{m}\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\left(g \diamond u_{m}\right)\left(t^{*}\right)}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(l\left\|u\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+(g \diamond u)\left(t^{*}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{1}^{2} \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts $E_{m}(t) \leq E_{m}(0)<\frac{l}{4} \lambda_{1}^{2}$. Therefore our claim is true. The above estimates permit us to pass to the limit in the approximate equation.

To show the uniform decay of the solution we introduce the perturbed energy functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=E(t)+\epsilon \Phi(t) \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a positive constant which shall be determined later, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t)=\int_{\Omega}\left(|u|^{2}+\frac{a}{r(x)}|u|^{r(x)}\right) d x \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to see that $F(t)$ and $E(t)$ are equivalent in the sense that there exist two positive constants $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ depending on $\epsilon$ such that for $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1} E(t) \leq F(t) \leq \beta_{2} E(t) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking the time derivative of the function $F$ defined in (3.33), using (3.19), and performing several integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} F(t)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \diamond u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}-\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}-a \int_{\Omega}|u(t)|^{r(x)-2} u_{t}^{2}(t) d x \\
& -\epsilon\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}-\epsilon\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u f(u) \log |u(t)| d x \\
& +\epsilon \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)\langle u(\tau), u(t)\rangle_{W_{0}} d \tau \tag{3.36}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, from (3.23) and the monotonicity of $E(t)$ we have $\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}} \leq$ $4 E(0) / \sqrt{l}$, which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} u f(u) \log \right| u|\mid \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varrho_{\rho}}\|u(t)\|_{\varrho_{\rho}+\rho}^{\varrho_{\rho}+\rho}+\frac{1}{\varrho_{\sigma}}\|u(t)\|_{\varrho_{\sigma}+\sigma}^{\varrho_{\sigma}+\sigma} \\
& \leq \frac{C_{* \rho}^{\varrho_{\rho}+\rho}}{\varrho_{\rho}}\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{\varrho_{\rho}+\rho}+\frac{C_{* \sigma}^{\varrho_{\sigma}+\sigma}}{\varrho_{\sigma}}\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{\varrho_{\sigma}+\sigma}  \tag{3.37}\\
& \leq\left[\frac{C_{* \rho}^{\varrho_{\rho}+\rho}}{\varrho_{\rho} l}\left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{l}} E(0)\right)^{\varrho_{\rho}+\rho-2}+\frac{C_{* \sigma}^{\varrho_{\sigma}+\sigma}}{\varrho_{\sigma} l}\left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{l}} E(0)\right)^{\varrho_{\sigma}+\sigma-2}\right] l\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2} \\
& \equiv \theta l\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\frac{1}{c_{* \delta}}=\inf _{u \in W_{0} \backslash 0} \frac{\|u\|_{W_{0}}}{\|u\|_{\delta}},
$$

with $\delta=\rho, \sigma$. From Young's inequality and the fact that $\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau) d \tau \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} g(\tau) d \tau=$ $1-l$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)\langle u(\tau), u(t)\rangle_{W_{0}} d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)\left(\|u(\tau)-u(t)\|_{W_{0}}+\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}\right) d \tau\right\}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(1+\eta)\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}} d \tau\right)^{2}  \tag{3.38}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\eta}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)\|u(\tau)-u(t)\|_{W_{0}} d \tau\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(1+\eta)(1-l)^{2}\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\eta}\right)(1-l)(g \diamond u)(t)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\eta>0$. Now, letting $\eta=\frac{l}{1-l}>0,3.38$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau)\langle u(\tau), u(t)\rangle_{W_{0}} d \tau \leq \frac{2-l}{2}\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\frac{1-l}{2 l}(g \diamond u)(t) \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting 3.39 into 3.36, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} F(t) \leq & -\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{0}-\epsilon \frac{1-l}{l}\right)(g \diamond u)(t)-\epsilon\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha}-\frac{\epsilon l}{2}\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2} \\
& +\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u f(u) \log |u(t)| d x . \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the definition of $E(t)$ and 3.37 , for any positive constant $M$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} F(t) \leq & -M \epsilon E(t)+\epsilon\left(\frac{M}{2 \alpha}-1\right)\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2 \alpha} \\
& +\epsilon\left\{\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{c_{* \rho}^{\rho}}{\rho^{2}}\left(\frac{4 E(0)}{\sqrt{l}}\right)^{\rho-2}+\frac{c_{* \sigma}^{\sigma}}{\sigma^{2}}\left(\frac{4 E(0)}{\sqrt{l}}\right)^{\sigma-2}\right] M\right.  \tag{3.41}\\
& +(M+2) \theta l-l\}\|u(t)\|_{W_{0}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\epsilon\left(\frac{1-l}{l}+\frac{M}{2}\right)-\xi_{0}\right](g \diamond u)(t)
\end{align*}
$$

At this point, we choose $1>M>0$ and $E(0)$ small sufficiently such that $\frac{M}{2 \alpha}-1<0$ and

$$
\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{c_{* \rho}^{\rho}}{\rho^{2}}\left(\frac{4 E(0)}{\sqrt{l}}\right)^{\rho-2}+\frac{c_{* \sigma}^{\sigma}}{\sigma^{2}}\left(\frac{4 E(0)}{\sqrt{l}}\right)^{\sigma-2}\right] M+(M+2) \theta l-l<0 .
$$

After $M$ is fixed, we choose $\epsilon$ small enough such that

$$
\epsilon\left(\frac{1-l}{l}+\frac{M}{2}\right)-\xi_{0}<0 .
$$

Inequality 3.41 becomes $\frac{d}{d t} F(t) \leq-M \epsilon E(t)$. Then by 3.35), we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t} F(t) \leq-M \beta_{2} \epsilon F(t)
$$

So $F(t) \leq C e^{-K t}$ where $K=M \beta_{2} \epsilon>0$. Consequently, by using 3.35 once again, we conclude the result. Hence, the proof of Theorem 3.3 is complete.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments which improve the original manuscript. This work is partially supported by the Proyecto de Investigación $N^{0}$ B19140042, R.R. no. 05129-R-19

## References

[1] Acerbi, E.; Mingione, G.; Regularity results for stationary electro-rheological fuids, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 164(2002), 213-259.
[2] Antontsev, S. N.; Shmarev, S. I.; A model porous medium equation with variable exponent of nonlinearity: existence, uniqueness and localization properties of solutions, Nonlinear Anal., 60 (2005), 515-545.
[3] Antontsev S. N.; Oliveira H. B.; Qualitative properties of the ice-thickness in a 3D model, WSEAS Trans. Math., $7(3)(2008), 78-86$.
[4] Antontsev, S. N.; Shmarev, S. I.; Simsen, J.; Simsen, M. S.; On the evolution p-Laplacian with nonlocal memory, Nonlinear Anal., 134(2016), 31-54.
[5] Applebaum, D.; Lévy process -from probability to finance and quantum groups, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., 51(2004), 1336-1347.
[6] Bellman, R.; Inequalities, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelgerg, New York, (1971).
[7] Blanchard, D., Francfort G. A.; Study of a doubly nonlinear heat equation with no growth assumptions on the parabolic term, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 19(5)(1988), 1032-1056.
[8] Bokalo, T. M.; Some formulas of integration by parts in the spaces of functions with variable exponent of nonlinearity, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh. Mat., 71(2009), 5-18 .
[9] Bokalo, T. M.; Buhrii, O. M.; Doubly nonlinear parabolic equations with variable exponents of nonlinearity, Ukrainian Math. J. 63(2011), 709-728.
[10] Boukerrioua, K.; Guezane-Lakoud, A.; Some nonlinear integral inequalities arising in differential equations, Electron. J. Diff. Eqns., Vol. 2008 (2008), No. 80, pp. 1-6.
[11] Chen, Y., Levine, S., Rao, M.; Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 66 (2006), 1383-1406.
[12] Chen, H.; Tian, S. Y.; Initial boundary value problem for a class of semilinear pseudoparabolic equations with logarithmic nonlinearity, J. Differential Equations, 258 (2015), 44244442.
[13] Díaz, J.; Thélin, F.; On a nonlinear parabolic problem arising in some models related to turbulent flows, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 25 (1994), 1085-1111.
[14] Ding, H.; Zhou, J.; Global Existence and Blow-up for a parabolic problem of Kirchhoff type with logarithmic nonlinearity, Appl. Math. Optim., (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00245-019-09603-z
[15] Edmunds, D. E.; Rákosník, J.; Sobolev embedding with variable exponent, Studia Math., 143 (2000), 267-293.
[16] Fan, X. L.; Zhao, D.; On the Spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{m, p(x)}$, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 263 (2001), 424-446.
[17] Fan, X. L.; Shen, J. S.; Zhao, D.; Sobolev embedding theorems for spaces $W^{k ; p(x)}(\Omega)$, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 262 (2001), 749-760.
[18] Fiscella, A.; Valdinoci, E.; A critical Kirchhoff type problem involving a nonlocal operator, Nonlinear Anal., 94 (2014), 156-170.
[19] Gilardi, G.; Stefanelli, U.; Time-discretization and global solution for a doubly nonlinear Volterra equation, J. Differential Equations, 228 (2006), 707-736.
[20] Ji, C ., Szulkin, A.: A logarithmic Schödinger equation with asymptotic conditions on the potential. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 437 (2016) (1), 241-254
[21] Kováčik, O.; Rákosník, J.; On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, Czechoslovak Math. J., 41 (1991), 592-618.
[22] Laskin, N.; Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals, Physics Letters A, 268 (2000), 298-305.
[23] Liu, H.; Liu, Z.; Xiao, Q.; Ground state solution for a fourth-order nonlinear elliptic problem with logarithmic nonlinearity. Appl. Math. Lett. 79, 176-181 (2018)
[24] Mingqi, X.; Rădulescu, V.; Zhang, B.; Nonlocal Kirchhoff diffusion problems: local existence and blow-up of solutions, Nonlinearity 31 (2018), 3228-3250.
[25] Nguyen, H-M.; Squassina, M.; Fractional Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities, J. Funct. Anal., 274 (9) (2018), 2661-2672.
[26] Pan, N.; Zhang, B.; Cao, J.; Degenerate Kirchhoff diffusion problems involving fractional pLaplacian, Nonlin. Anal. RWA., 37 (9) (2017), 56-70.
[27] Servadei, R.; Valdinoci, E.; Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 33 (2013), 2105-2137.
[28] Servadei, R.; Valdinoci, E.; Mountain Pass solutions for non-local elliptic operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 389 (2012), 887-898.
[29] Servadei, R.; Valdinoci, E.; The Brezis-Nirenberg result for the fractional Laplacian, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 367 (2015), 67-102.
[30] Shin, K.; Kang, S.; Doubly nonlinear Volterra equations involving the Leray-Lions operators, East Asian Math. J., 29 (2013), 69-82.
[31] Stefanelli, U.; Well-posedness and time discretization of a nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential equation, J. Integral Equations Appl., 13 (2001), 273-304.
[32] Stefanelli, U.; On some nonlocal evolution equations in Banach spaces, J. Evol. Equ., 4(2004), 1-26.
[33] Tartar, L.; Topics in Nonlinear Analysis, Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay, Université Paris-Sud, Orsay, 1978.
[34] Truong, L. X., Van, Y. N.; On a class of nonlinear heat equations with viscoelastic term, Comp. Math. App., 72 (1) (2016), 216-232.
[35] Vázquez, C.; Schiavi, E.; Durany, J.' Díaz, J. I.; Calvo, N.; On a doubly nonlinear parabolic obstacle problem modelling ice sheet dynamics, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 63 (2) (2003), 683-707.
[36] Valdinoci, E.; From the long jump random walk to the fractional Laplacian, Bol. Soc. Esp. Mat. Apl. SMA, 49 (2009), 33-44.
[37] Zhou, J.; Ground state solution for a fourth-order elliptic equation with logarithmic nonlinearity modeling epitaxial growth. Comput. Math. Appl., 78 (6 (2019)), 1878-1886.

Eugenio Cabanillas Lapa
Instituto de Investigación, Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas UNMSM, Lima-Perú
Email address: cleugenio@yahoo.com


[^0]:    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J30, 35J92,47H05, 47H30.
    Key words and phrases. Kirchhoff type problem; fractional Laplacian; Galerkin method; logarithmic nonlinearity.
    (C) 2020 Texas State University.

    Submitted January 19, 2020. Published December 20, 2020.

