

## A BIHARMONIC EQUATION WITH DISCONTINUOUS NONLINEARITIES

EDUARDO ARIAS, MARCO CALAHORRANO, ALFONSO CASTRO

ABSTRACT. We study the biharmonic equation with discontinuous nonlinearity and homogeneous Dirichlet type boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 u &= H(u - a)q(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator,  $a > 0$ ,  $H$  denotes the Heaviside function,  $q$  is a continuous function, and  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N \geq 3$ .

Adapting the method introduced by Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz (The Dual Variational Principle), which is a modification of Clarke and Ekeland's Dual Action Principle, we prove the existence of nontrivial solutions to (1). This method provides a differentiable functional whose critical points yield solutions to (1) despite the discontinuity of  $H(s - a)q(s)$  at  $s = a$ .

Considering  $\Omega$  of class  $C^{4,\gamma}$  for some  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ , and the function  $q$  constrained under certain conditions, we show the existence of two non-trivial solutions. Furthermore, we prove that the free boundary set  $\Omega_a = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) = a\}$  has measure zero when  $u$  is a minimizer of the action functional.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The main objective of this work is to study the existence of solutions to the PDE

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 u &= H(u - a)q(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator,  $a > 0$ ,  $H$  denotes the Heaviside function,  $q \in C(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $\Omega$  is a domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N \geq 3$ .

The action functional associated with (1.1) is given by

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} ((\Delta u)^2 - Q(u)) \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall u \in H_0^2(\Omega), \tag{1.2}$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 31B30, 35J60, 35J65, 58E05.

*Key words and phrases*. Biharmonic equation; nonlinear discontinuity; critical point; dual variational principle; free boundary problem.

©2024. This work is licensed under a CC BY 4.0 license.

Submitted October 15, 2022. Published February 6, 2024.

where  $Q(t) := \int_0^t H(s-a)q(s) ds$ , and  $H_0^2(\Omega)$  denotes de Sobolev space of square integrable functions having square integrable first and second order partial derivatives and vanishing in  $\partial\Omega$  together with its first order partial derivatives. Since  $H$  is not continuous at  $s = a$ ,  $Q$  need not be differentiable at  $s = a$ , and, therefore,  $J$  need not be differentiable. We bypass this difficulty using the Dual Variational Principle introduced by Ambrosetti and Badiale (1989) which yields a differentiable functional even when  $Q$  is not continuous.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this article we assume that  $q$  is a continuous function and that

$$q(s) \geq 0 \text{ for all } s \geq 0, q \text{ is non-decreasing}; \quad (2.1)$$

$$q(s) \leq \alpha|s| + c_0, \text{ with } 0 < \alpha < \mu_1 \text{ and } c_0 \text{ a constant}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\mu_1$  is the first eigenvalue of the biharmonic operator with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Let us consider the multivalued function  $\hat{q}$  defined by

$$\hat{q}(s) := \begin{cases} q(s) & \text{if } s > a, \\ [0, q(a)] & \text{if } s = a, \\ 0 & \text{if } s < a. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a *multi valued solution* of the PDE (1) if  $u \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$  and  $u$  satisfies

$$\Delta^2 u \in \hat{q}(u), \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $u$  a solution of (1). The set

$$\Omega_a = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) = a\}$$

is called the *free boundary*.

Letting  $p(s) = H(s-a)q(s)$ , we rewrite (1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 u &= p(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

**Definition 2.3.** A function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a *solution* to the PDE (2.3) if  $u \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$  and  $u$  satisfies

$$\Delta^2 u = p(u) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Let us define  $p_m(s) := p(s) + ms$ . Note that, for  $m > 0$ , the function  $p_m$  is strictly increasing and (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 u + mu &= p_m(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Let us consider the multivalued function  $\hat{p}$  defined by

$$\hat{p}(s) := \begin{cases} p_m(s) & \text{if } s \neq a, \\ [ma, ma + q(a)] & \text{if } s = a, \end{cases}$$

where  $b = q(a)$ .

Let  $p^*$  denote the generalized inverse of  $\hat{p}$  given by

$$p^*(w) = s \iff w \in \hat{p}(s).$$

**Remark 2.4.** The function  $p^*$  is a continuous though  $\hat{p}$  is a multivalued function, and

$$p^*(w) = a \iff ma \leq w \leq p_m(a) = ma + q(a).$$

Defining  $P^*(w) := \int_0^w p^*(s) ds$ , we see that  $P^* \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Also, from (2.2),

$$\frac{w}{m + \alpha} - \frac{c_0 + q(a)}{m} \leq p^*(w) \leq \frac{w}{m} \quad \text{for all } w \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.5}$$

From the above inequalities we obtain

$$P^*(w) \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{w^2}{m + \alpha} - \frac{c_0 + q(a)}{m} |w| \quad \text{for all } w \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$P^*(w) \leq \frac{w^2}{2m} \quad \text{for all } w \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.7}$$

Assuming that  $\Omega$  of class  $C^2$ , for every  $w \in L^2(\Omega)$  the problem

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta^2 + m)v &= w \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ v &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

has a unique weak solution  $v \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$ . Defining  $v = G(w)$ , elliptic regularity theory implies that  $G$  is a continuous linear operator from  $L^2(\Omega)$  into  $H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$ . Moreover,

$$\int_{\Omega} w(x)G(w)(x)dx \leq \frac{1}{m + \mu_1} \int_{\Omega} w^2(x)dx. \tag{2.8}$$

Next we define  $f : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(w) := \int_{\Omega} \left( P^*(w) - \frac{1}{2}wG(w) \right) dx.$$

Since  $P^*$  is a differentiable function,  $f \in C^1(L^2(\Omega))$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $w \in L^2(\Omega)$  is a critical point of  $f$ , then  $u := G(w)$  is a solution to (2.3) in the sense that  $u \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$  and  $\Delta^2 u = p(u)$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $w \in L^2(\Omega)$  be such that  $f'(w) = 0$ , then  $p^*(w) = G(w)$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Hence  $u := G(w) \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$  and satisfies  $(\Delta^2 + m)u = w$ . This implies that  $p^*(w) = u$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , and from the definition of  $p^*$  we obtain that  $w \in \hat{p}(u)$ , and hence

$$\Delta^2 u + mu \in \hat{p}(u) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

For  $x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_a$ , i.e., when  $u(x) \neq a$  we have  $\hat{p}(u(x)) = mu(x) + p(u(x))$  and then  $\Delta^2 u(x) = p(u(x))$  a.e.  $x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_a$ .

Since  $u$  is constant a.e. in  $\Omega_a$ ,  $\Delta^2 u = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega_a$ . Therefore,

$$\Delta^2 u + p_m(u(x)) = mu(x) + H(0)q(a) = ma \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Thus  $\Delta^2 u = p(u)$  a.e. in  $\Omega_a$ . These show that  $u$  is a solution of (2.3). □

Next we apply the *direct method of the calculus of variations* to prove the existence of a solution (2.3).

**Theorem 3.2** (First existence theorem). *There exists  $w_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that*

$$f(w_0) = \min_{w \in L^2(\Omega)} f(w).$$

Fixing  $u_0 := G(w_0)$ , where  $u_0$  is a solution of (2.3), the set

$$\Omega_a = \{x \in \Omega : u_0(x) = a\}$$

has zero measure.

*Proof.* For  $w \in L^2(\Omega)$ , from (2.8) and (2.6),

$$f(w) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{m + \alpha} - \frac{1}{m + \mu_1} \right] \|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - C \|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (3.1)$$

The hypothesis  $0 < \alpha < \mu_1$  and the inequality (3.1) implies

$$\lim_{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow +\infty} f(u) = +\infty. \quad (3.2)$$

That is,  $f$  is coercive. Let  $\hat{m} = \inf_{w \in L^2(\Omega)} f(w)$ . From the coercivity of  $f$ , we have  $\hat{m} > -\infty$ . This and the compactness of  $G$  imply that  $f$  attains its global minimum at some  $w_0$ . Let  $u_0 = G(w_0)$  be a solution of (2.3).

Let  $\chi$  denote the characteristic function of  $\Omega_a$ . This results in

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} f(w_0 + \varepsilon\chi) &= \int_{\Omega} (p^*(w_0 + \varepsilon\chi) - \varepsilon G(\chi) - G(w_0)) \chi \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\Omega_a} p^*(w_0 + \varepsilon\chi) \, d\mathbf{x} - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \chi G(\chi) \, d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega_a} u_0 \, d\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ . From  $G(w_0) = u_0$  and  $\Delta^2 u_0 = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega_a$ , it follows that  $w_0 = ma$  a.e. in  $\Omega_a$ . Hence, taking  $0 < \varepsilon < b$ , one finds that

$$ma \leq w_0 + \varepsilon\chi \leq ma + b = ma + q(a)$$

a.e. in  $\Omega_a$ . Then  $p^*(w_0(x) + \varepsilon\chi(x)) = a$  a.e. in  $\Omega_a$  and

$$\int_{\Omega_a} p^*(w_0 + \varepsilon\chi) \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega_a} a \, d\mathbf{x} = a|\Omega_a| = \int_{\Omega_a} u_0 \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

Since  $\chi \in L^2(\Omega)$  by the definition of  $G$  there exists  $z \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$  such that  $z = G(\chi)$ , it follows that

$$(G(\chi) | \chi) = \int_{\Omega} (z\Delta^2 z + mz^2) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

The above equalities imply

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} f(w_0 + \varepsilon\chi) = -\varepsilon \left( \int_{\Omega} (\Delta z)^2 \, d\mathbf{x} + m\|z\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right).$$

If  $|\Omega_a| > 0$ , it follows that

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} f(w_0 + \varepsilon\chi) < 0$$

a contradiction, because  $w_0$  is the global minimum of  $f$ .  $\square$

We note that the last arguments of the proof are valid for any local minimum of  $f$ . The next lemma and Lemma 3.5 prove that the graph  $f$  satisfies the geometric hypotheses of the Mountain-Pass theorem.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For each  $a > 0$  and  $m > 0$ , there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that if  $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \epsilon$  then  $f(u) \geq \gamma\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ . Hence  $f$  attains a strict local minimum at  $u = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_1 \in (\alpha, \mu_1)$ . Since  $p^*(s) = ms$  for all  $s \in (-\infty, a]$ ,  $P^*(s) = \frac{s^2}{2m}$  for any  $s \in (-\infty, ma]$ . Also, from (2.2), there exists  $c_1 \geq ma$  such that

$$P^*(s) \geq \frac{1}{2(m + \alpha_1)}s^2 \quad \text{for } s \geq c_1. \tag{3.3}$$

For  $v \in L^2(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ , let  $W = \{x \in \Omega; ma \leq v(x) \leq c_1\}$ ,  $v_1 = \chi_{\Omega \setminus W}v$  and  $v_2 = \chi_W v$ , where  $\chi_S$  denotes the characteristic function of the set  $S$ . Thus,

$$\int_{\Omega} P^*(v_1)dx \geq \frac{1}{2(m + \alpha_1)} \int_{\Omega} v_1^2(x)dx. \tag{3.4}$$

Letting  $|W|$  denote the Lebesgue measure of the set  $W$ , we have

$$|W| \leq \frac{\|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}{m^2a^2} = \frac{\|v_2\|_{L^2(W)}^2}{m^2a^2}. \tag{3.5}$$

Since  $p^*(ma) = a$ , for  $s \in [ma, c_1]$  we have  $P^*(s) \geq \frac{a}{2c_1}s^2$ . Therefore

$$\frac{a}{2c_1} \int_W v_2^2(x)dx \leq \int_W P^*(v_2(x))dx \leq \frac{c_1^2}{2m}|W| \leq \frac{c_1^2}{2m^3a^2} \int_W v_2^2(x)dx. \tag{3.6}$$

From the definition of  $\mu_1$ , we have  $\int_{\Omega} G(v_1)v_1dx \leq \frac{1}{m+\mu_1} \int_{\Omega} v_1^2dx$ . By regularity properties of elliptic operators, there exist  $p > 2$  and  $K > 0$  such that

$$\|G(u)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K(p)\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } u \in L^2(\Omega). \tag{3.7}$$

Hence, for  $i = 1, 2$ , see (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} v_2(x)G(v_i(x))dx &= \int_W v_2(x)G(v_i(x))dx \\ &\leq \|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left( \int_W (G(v_i))^2(x)dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left( \int_W (G(v_i))^p(x)dx \right)^{1/p} |W|^{(p-2)/2p} \\ &\leq K(p)\|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|v_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}|W|^{(p-2)/2p} \\ &\leq \frac{K(p)}{(ma)^{(p-2)/p}} \|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2(p-1)/p} \|v_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} v(x)G(v(x))dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} (v_1G(v_1) + v_2G(v_1) + v_1G(v_2) + v_2G(v_2))dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{m + \mu_1} \|v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} (2v_2G(v_1) + v_2G(v_2))dx \\
&= \frac{1}{m + \mu_1} \|v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_W (2v_2G(v_1) + v_2G(v_2))dx \tag{3.9} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{m + \mu_1} \|v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{K(p)}{(ma)^{(p-2)/p}} \|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2(p-1)/p} (2\|v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{m + \mu_1} \|v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C\|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2(p-1)/p} (\|v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}),
\end{aligned}$$

with  $C > 0$  independent of  $v$ . Combining (3.4), (3.6), and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(v) &= \int_{\Omega} [P^*(v(x)) - \frac{1}{2}v(x)G(v(x))]dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2(m + \alpha_1)} \|v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{a}{2c_1} \|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{1}{2(m + \mu_1)} \|v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
&\quad - C\|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2(p-1)/p} (\|v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \\
&\geq \frac{\mu_1 - \alpha_1}{4(m + \alpha_1)(m + \mu_1)} \|v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{a}{2c_1} \|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \tag{3.10} \\
&\quad - C\|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2(p-1)/p} (\|v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \\
&\geq \gamma_1 \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2C\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1+2(p-1)/p} \\
&\geq \gamma_1 \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \left(1 - \frac{2C}{\gamma_1} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{(3p-2)/p}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma_1 = \min\left\{\frac{\mu_1 - \alpha_1}{4(m + \alpha_1)(m + \mu_1)}, \frac{a}{2c_1}\right\}.$$

Since  $p > 2$ ,  $(3p - 2)/p > 0$ . Hence taking  $\epsilon = (\gamma_1/(4C))^{p/(3p-2)}$  and  $\gamma = \gamma_1/2$ , the lemma is proven.  $\square$

The next lemmas show that, under suitable conditions on  $\Omega$  and an appropriate relationship between  $a$  and  $q(a)$ ,  $f$  possesses a pair of non-trivial critical points: a negative global minimum and a positive Mountain-Pass critical point.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $U$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ . We say that  $U$  is  $\epsilon$ -close in  $\mathcal{C}^{k,\gamma}$ -sense to the unit ball  $B$  if there exists a surjective mapping  $g \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\gamma}(\overline{B}; \overline{U})$  such that

$$\|g - Id\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k,\gamma}(\overline{B}; \overline{U})} \leq \epsilon.$$

In 2020 Grunau and Sweers[13] show that there is  $\epsilon_N > 0$  such that if  $\Omega$  is  $\epsilon$ -close in  $\mathcal{C}^{4,\gamma}$ -sense to the unitary ball  $B$  with  $\epsilon < \epsilon_N$ , then the first eigenfunction  $\varphi_1$  for

the first eigenvalue  $\mu_1$  of

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 \varphi &= \mu \varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

is unique (up to normalization), and  $\varphi_1 > 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\Omega$  be  $\varepsilon$ -close in  $C^{k,\gamma}$ -sense to the unit ball  $B$ . If*

$$\frac{q(a)}{a} = \frac{b}{a} > 2\mu_1 \frac{\|\varphi_1\|_{L^1(\Omega)}}{\|\varphi_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}, \tag{3.11}$$

then  $f(b\varphi_1) < 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $0 < b\varphi_1(x) \leq b$  and  $p^*(w) \leq a$ , for  $0 \leq w \leq b$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(b\varphi_1) &= \int_{\Omega} P^*(b\varphi_1) \, d\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}b^2 \int_{\Omega} G(\varphi_1)\varphi_1 \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &\leq ba\|\varphi_1\|_{L^1(\Omega)} - \frac{b^2}{2\mu_1} \|\varphi_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This and (3.11) imply  $f(b\varphi_1) < 0$ . □

Finally, we prove that  $f$  satisfies a weak form of (PS) condition.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\{w_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  be such that  $\{f'(w_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to 0 and  $\{f(w_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a real number  $c$ , then there exists  $w \in L^2(\Omega)$  with  $f(w) = c$ ,  $f'(w) = 0$ , and  $w_k \rightharpoonup w$ .*

*Proof.* The coercivity of the functional  $f$  implies, up to subsequences, the existence of  $w \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that  $w_n \rightharpoonup w$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . From  $f'(w_k) \rightarrow 0$  and the compactness of  $G$ , it follows that  $G(w_n) \rightarrow v := G(w)$ , strongly in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , and a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Let  $\Gamma = \{x \in \Omega : v(x) = a\}$  and  $\Omega_1 = \Omega \setminus \Gamma$ .

Let us begin studying the convergence in  $\Omega_1$ . Since  $p \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{a\})$  and  $p^*(w_k) \rightarrow v$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , hence  $w_k \rightarrow p(v)$  a.e. in  $\Omega_1$ . Clearly,  $|w| \leq C_1|p^*(w)| + C_2$ ; this and the convergence of  $\{p^*(w_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  imply that there exists  $h \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that  $|w_k| \leq h$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem:  $w_k \rightarrow p(v)$  a.e. in  $L^2(\Omega_1)$ . From the uniqueness of the weak limit, one infers that  $w = p(v)$  in  $L^2(\Omega_1)$ . Since  $p^*$  is asymptotically linear, it follows that

$$p^*(w_k) \rightarrow p^*(w) \text{ in } L^2(\Omega_1), \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega_1} P^*(w_k) \, d\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_1} P^*(w) \, d\mathbf{x}. \tag{3.12}$$

On the other hand, for a.e.  $x \in \Gamma$ , one has  $w(x) = mv(x) = ma$  and hence  $p^*(w(x)) = p^*(ma) = a = v(x)$ . This jointly with (3.12) imply  $p^*(w) = v$ , which in turn  $f'(w)v = 0$ , hence  $f'(w) = 0$ . In a similar way, from (3.12) and the definition of  $P^*(s)$  for  $s \in [ma, ma + b]$ , one finds that

$$\int_{\Omega} P^*(w_k) \, d\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} P^*(w) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

Letting  $c = \int_{\Omega} [P^*(w) - \frac{1}{2}wG(w)] \, d\mathbf{x}$  it follows that  $f(w) = c$ , which completes the proof. □

**Theorem 3.7.** *Assume that the domain  $\Omega$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close in  $C^{k,\gamma}$ -sense to the unit ball  $B$ . Suppose that (2.1), (2.2), and (3.11) hold. Then the problem (2.3) has two distinct solutions  $u_0 \neq u_1$ , and one of these solutions, obtained through the minimizer, has a free boundary set of measure zero.*

*Proof.* Let  $w_0$  be the global minimum of  $f$  given by Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 3.5,  $f(w_0) < 0$ . Hence  $w_0 \neq 0$  and  $u_0 = G(w_0)$  is a non-trivial solution of (2.3) and the free boundary  $\Omega_a(u_0) = \{x \in \Omega : u_0(x) = a\}$  has zero measure.

Taking  $\rho = \varepsilon/2 > 0$  and  $\beta = \gamma\varepsilon/2 > 0$  in Lemma 3.3 we see that  $f(u) \geq \beta > 0$  for  $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \rho > 0$ . This Lemmas 3.5, and 3.6 allow us to apply the Mountain-Pass Theorem (see [5]), yielding a second non-trivial critical point  $w_1$ , with  $f(w_1) \geq \beta > 0$ . Hence  $u_1 = G(w_1) \neq 0$  is a second non-trivial solution of (2.3). Since  $f(w_0) < 0 < f(w_1)$ ,  $w_0 \neq w_1$  and as a consequence  $u_0 \neq u_1$ .

Finally, the zero measure of  $\Omega_a(u_0)$  follows from the fact that  $u_0$  minimizes  $f$  over all functions with zero measure on the set  $\Omega_a(u_0)$ , as proven in Theorem 3.2. However, it is possible for the free boundary of  $u_1$  to have positive measure.

Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, problem (2.3) has two different solutions  $u_0 \neq u_1$ , with the free boundary of  $u_0$  having zero measure.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank the Escuela Politécnica Nacional for providing financial support through the proyecto semilla PIS-17-01 during the development of this work.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti; *Critical points and nonlinear variational problems*, Société Mathématique de France, Mémoire (49), Supplément au Bulletin de la S.M.F., Tome 120, (2), 1992.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, M. Badiale; *The dual variational principle and elliptic problems with discontinuous nonlinearities*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 140 (2) (1989), 363–373.
- [3] A. Ambrosetti, A. Malchiodi; *Nonlinear analysis and semilinear elliptic problems*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [4] A. Ambrosetti, G. Prodi; *A primer of nonlinear analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [5] A. Ambrosetti, P. Rabinowitz; *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 14 (4) (1973), 349–381.
- [6] D. Arcoya, M. Calahorrano; *Some discontinuous problems with a quasilinear operator*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 187 (3) (1994), 1059–1072.
- [7] M. Calahorrano, J. Mayorga; *Un problema discontinuo con operador cuasilineal*, Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas, 35 (2001), 1–11.
- [8] K.-C. Chang; *Variational methods for non-differentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 80 (1) (1981), 102–129.
- [9] D. G. Costa, J. V. A. Gonçalves; *Critical point theory for nondifferentiable functionals and applications*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 153 (2) (1990), 470–485.
- [10] F. Gazzola, H.-C. Grunau, G. Sweers; *Polyharmonic boundary value problems: positivity preserving and nonlinear higher order elliptic equations in bounded domains*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [11] N. Ghoussoub, D. Preiss; *A general mountain pass principle for locating and classifying critical points*, Annales de l’IHP Analyse Non Linéaire, 6 (5) (1989), 321–330.
- [12] H.-C. Grunau, G. Sweers; *The maximum principle and positive principal eigenfunctions for polyharmonic equations*, Reaction diffusion systems (Trieste, 1995), 163–182, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 194, Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [13] H.-C. Grunau, G. Sweers; *The maximum principle and positive principal eigenfunctions for polyharmonic equations*, Reaction diffusion systems, CRC Press, <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003072195>, 2020.

- [14] A. Szulkin; *Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory on  $C^1$ -manifolds*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis, 5 (2) (1988), 119–139.

EDUARDO ARIAS  
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ESCUELA POLITÉCNICA NACIONAL, QUITO PO-Box 17-01-2759,  
ECUADOR  
*Email address:* `marcelo.arias@epn.edu.ec`, `eduardo.arias.94@outlook.es`

MARCO CALAHORRANO  
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ESCUELA POLITÉCNICA NACIONAL, QUITO PO-Box 17-01-2759,  
ECUADOR  
*Email address:* `marco.calahorrano@epn.edu.ec`

ALFONSO CASTRO  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVEY MUDD COLLEGE, CLAREMONT, CA 91711, USA  
*Email address:* `castro@g.hmc.edu`