# A condition on the potential for the existence of doubly periodic solutions of a semi-linear fourth-order partial differential equation * 

Chen Chang


#### Abstract

We study the existence of solutions to the fourth order semi-linear equation $$
\Delta^{2} u=g(u)+h(x) .
$$

We show that there is a positive constant $C_{*}$, such that if $g(\xi) \xi \geq 0$ for $|\xi| \geq \xi_{0}$ and $\lim \sup _{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} 2 G(\xi) / \xi^{2}<C_{*}$, then for all $h \in L^{2}(Q)$ with


 $\int_{Q} h d x=0$, the above equation has a weak solution in $H_{2 \pi}^{2}$.
## 1 Introduction

This paper is motivated by the study of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+g(u)=h(t)=h(t+2 \pi), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ and $h$ are continuous functions. It is assumed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(t) d t=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if $\hat{h}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(t) d t$, we may replace $g(u)$ by $g(u)-\hat{h}$ and $h$ by $h-\hat{h}$ in (1.1). We write $g \in \Sigma$ if there exists a constant $\xi_{0} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\xi) \xi \geq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad|\xi| \geq \mid \xi_{0} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $g \in \Sigma$, let $G^{\prime}(\xi)=g(\xi), G(0)=0$.
Recently Fernandes and Zanolin [2] proved the existence of $2 \pi$-periodic solutions of (1.1). Their work shows that if $g \in \Sigma$, (1.2) holds and either $\liminf _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} 2 G(\xi) / \xi^{2}<1 / 4$ or $\liminf _{\xi \rightarrow-\infty} 2 G(\xi) / \xi^{2}<1 / 4$, then there exists a $2 \pi$-periodic solution of (1.1). Earlier work of Mawhin and Ward showed that if

[^0]either $\lim \sup _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} g(\xi) / \xi<1 / 4$ or $\lim \sup _{\xi \rightarrow-\infty} g(\xi) / \xi<1 / 4$, then (1.1) has a solution.

These results led us to consider a more modest question for the partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+g(u)=h(x) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $h\left(x_{1}+2 \pi, x_{2}\right)=h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+2 \pi\right)=h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. Namely if $Q=[0,2 \pi] \times[0,2 \pi], g \in \Sigma, h \in L^{2}(Q)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q} h d x=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

does there exist a constant $C_{*}$ such that the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 G(\xi)}{\xi^{2}}<C_{*} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies the existence of a weak solution to (1.4) with the "boundary condition" $u\left(x_{1}+2 \pi, x_{2}\right)=u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+2 \pi\right) ?$

Thus we define a solution to be a member of the function space $H_{2 \pi}^{1}$ such that

$$
\int_{Q}\left[u_{x_{1}} v_{x_{1}}+u_{x_{2}} v_{x_{2}}-g(u) v-h(x) v\right] d x=0
$$

for all $v \in C_{2 \pi}^{\infty}$, the space of $C^{\infty}$ functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which are $2 \pi$-periodic in each variable. The space $H_{2 \pi}^{1}$ is the completion of this space with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left[\int_{Q}\left(u_{x_{1}}^{2}+u_{x_{2}}^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

The difficulty with this problem is that if $g$ is only assumed to be continuous and $u \in H_{2 \pi}^{1}$, it is not generally true that the function $g(u(x))$ is locally integrable. Also, unless $g$ satisfies a suitable growth condition, the functional, $f: H_{2 \pi}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
f(u)=\int_{Q} \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}-G(u)+h(x) u d x
$$

is not of class $C^{1}$. Thus we abandon this problem and considered the analogous fourth order semi-linear problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} u=g(u)+h(x) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u \in H_{2 \pi}^{2}$, where $h$ is in $L^{2}(Q)$ and $H_{2 \pi}^{2}$ denotes the completion of $C_{2 \pi}^{\infty}$ with respect to the norm

$$
\left\{\int_{Q}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} u_{x_{i} x_{j}}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{2} u_{x_{i}}^{2}+u^{2}\right] d x\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

By a weak solution of (1.7) we mean a $u \in H_{2 \pi}^{2}$ such that $\int_{Q}[\Delta u \Delta v-g(u) v-$ $h(x) v] d x=0$ for all $v$ in $C_{2 \pi}^{\infty}$.

Since it can be shown that $H_{2 \pi}^{2} \subset C_{2 \pi}$ (this is essentially the Sobolev embedding theorem), $u \in H_{2 \pi}^{2}$ implies that $g(u(x))$ is continuous. Moreover the compactness of $H_{2 \pi}^{2}$ in $C_{2 \pi}$ ensures that the functional $f: H_{2 \pi}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
f(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{(\Delta u)^{2}}{2}-G(u)-h(x) u\right] d x
$$

is of class $C^{1}$. We show that there exists $C_{*}>0$ such that if $g \in \Sigma$ and (1.6) holds, then for all $h$ satisfying (1.5), $h \in L^{2}(Q),(1.7)$ has a weak solution.

We have shown that if

$$
C_{*}=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} a_{*}^{2}+1}, \quad \text { where } \quad a_{*}^{2}=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(i^{2}+j^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

then this statement will be true. However, we feel that this is far from the optimal value of $C_{*}$.

It is clear that the optimal value must be less than 1 , since it can be shown that if $g(\xi)=\xi, h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sin x_{1}$, then (1.7) does not have a weak solution, because of resonance.

## 2 Definitions and preliminary lemmas

In this section we state some preliminary lemmas. These results follow more or less from known results (see for example [1]). Full details will be given elsewhere.

Let $Q=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mid 0 \leq x_{1} \leq 2 \pi, 0 \leq x_{2} \leq 2 \pi\right\}$. Let $L_{2 \pi}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ denote the set of real-valued measurable functions defined in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that if $u \in L_{2 \pi}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, then $u\left(x_{1}+2 \pi, x_{2}\right)=u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+2 \pi\right)=u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and such that $u$ restricted to $Q$ is in $L^{2}(Q)$.

We denote $C_{2 \pi}$ and $C_{2 \pi}^{\infty}$ the real-valued functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which are $2 \pi$-periodic in each variable, which are continuous and of class $C^{\infty}$ respectively.

We denote by $H_{2 \pi}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ the set of $u \in L_{2 \pi}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that for $p=1,2$ there exists $v_{p} \in L_{2 \pi}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that for all $\phi \in C_{2 \pi}^{\infty}$,

$$
-\int_{Q}\left(D_{p} \phi\right) u d x=\int_{Q} v_{p} \phi d x
$$

and for $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$ there exists $v_{p q} \in L_{2 \pi}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that for all $\phi \in C_{2 \pi}^{\infty}$,

$$
\int_{Q}\left(D_{p} D_{q} \phi\right) u d x=\int_{Q} \phi v_{p q} d x
$$

Here $D_{p}=\partial / \partial x_{p}, p=1,2$. It is clear that $v_{p}, p=1,2$, and $v_{p q}, p, q=1,2$, are determined uniquely and we write $v_{p}=D_{p} u, p=1,2$, and $v_{p q}=D_{p} D_{q} u$, $p, q=1,2$.

The space $H_{2 \pi}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is a real Hilbert space with inner product given by

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{Q}\left[u v+\sum_{p=1}^{2}\left(D_{p} u\right)\left(D_{p} v\right)+\sum_{p, q=1}^{2}\left(D_{p} D_{q} u\right)\left(D_{p} D_{q} v\right)\right] d x
$$

In the following we denote the Hilbert space $H_{2 \pi}^{2}$ by $\mathbb{E}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{E}}$ will denote the norm given by the inner product defined above.

Lemma 2.1 If $u \in \mathbb{E}$ then $u$ is equal almost everywhere to a unique function in $C_{2 \pi}$. If this function is again denoted by $u$, then there exists a constant $a_{0}$ such that for all $u \in \mathbb{E},\|u\|_{C_{2 \pi}}=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}}|u(x)| \leq a_{0}\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}}$. (see [1, p 167]).

We denote by $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ the set of $u \in \mathbb{E}$ such that $\int_{Q} u d x=0$.
The following result can be proved using multiple Fourier series.
Lemma 2.2 An inner product on $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ which is equivalent to the $\mathbb{E}$-inner product is given by

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{\hat{\mathbb{E}}}=\int_{Q}(\Delta u)(\Delta v) d x
$$

where, as usual $\Delta u=D_{1}^{2} u+D_{2}^{2} u$.
Lemma 2.3 The best possible constant $a_{*}$ such that for all $u \in \hat{\mathbb{E}}$,

$$
\|u\|_{c_{2 \pi}}=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}}|u(x)| \leq a_{*}\|u\|_{\hat{\mathbb{E}}}
$$

where $\|u\|_{\hat{\mathbb{E}}}=\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}(Q)}$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{*}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbf{Z}^{2} \\ k \neq(0,0)}} \frac{1}{|k|^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

it where $\mathbf{Z}^{2}=\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}=\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots\}$, and if $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{2}$, $|k|=\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}$.

This lemma and the next are proved using multiple Fourier series.
Lemma 2.4 If $u \in \hat{\mathbb{E}}$, then $\int_{Q} u^{2} d x \leq \int_{Q}(\Delta u)^{2} d x$.
The following result is proved using the idea of the proof given in [5, p. 216] except Fourier series are used instead of Fourier transform.

Lemma 2.5 Let $0<\alpha<1$. There exists $M(\alpha)$ such that if $u \in \mathbb{E}$, then for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$

$$
|u(x)-u(y)| \leq M_{(\alpha)}\|u\|_{\mathbb{E}}|x-y|^{\alpha}
$$

Here, for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$,

$$
|x-y|=\sqrt{\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

The final preliminary lemma follows from Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.5 and Ascoli's Lemma.

Lemma 2.6 The injection from $\mathbb{E}$ to $C_{2 \pi}$ is compact, that is, if $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{E}$, then there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\left\{u_{n_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

## 3 Periodic solutions of a semi-linear elliptic fourthorder partial differential equation

In this section $g$ will always denote a real-valued function defined and continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, and $G$ will denote the function such that $G^{\prime}(\xi)=g(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ with $G(0)=0 . \quad \hat{L}_{2 \pi}^{2}$ will denote the closed subspace of $L_{2 \pi}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that for all $h \in \hat{L}_{2 \pi}^{2}, \int_{Q} h(x) d x=0$.

We consider the question of existence of weak solution of the problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Delta^{2} u=g(u)+h(x)  \tag{3.1}\\
u \in H_{2 \pi}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $h \in \hat{L}_{2 \pi}^{2}$. This is defined to be a function $u \in H_{2 \pi}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that for all $v \in \mathbb{E}\left(=H_{2 \pi}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q}[(\Delta u)(\Delta v)-g(u) v-h(x) v] d x=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u$ is a function of class $C^{4}$ which is $2 \pi$-periodic in each variable, then (3.1) holds if and only if (3.2) holds.

Let $f: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function

$$
f(u)=\int_{Q}\left[\frac{|\Delta u|^{2}}{2}-G(u)-h(x) u\right] d x
$$

Since $\mathbb{E} \subset C_{2 \pi}$, standard arguments (see, for example, [4]) show that $f \in C^{1}$. For $v \in \mathbb{E}$,

$$
f^{\prime}(u)(v)=\int_{Q}[(\Delta u)(\Delta v)-g(u) v-h(x) v] d x
$$

Therefore, weak solutions of (3.1) coincide with critical points of $f$.
Let $\Sigma$ denote the set of continuous $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that there exists some $\xi_{0}$, depending on $g$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\xi) \xi \geq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad|\xi| \geq \xi_{0} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1 Let $a_{*}$ be as in (2.1) and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{*}=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} a_{*}^{2}+1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $g \in \Sigma$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 G(\xi)}{\xi^{2}}<C^{*} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for all $h \in \hat{L}_{2 \pi}^{2}$, there exists a weak solution of (3.1).

Sketch of Proof: The proof is an application of Rabinowitz's Saddle-Point Theorem [4]. Assume first that $g$ satisfies the stronger condition: There exist $\delta>0$ and $\xi_{0} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\xi| \geq \xi_{0} \text { implies } \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) g(\xi) \geq \delta \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that (3.5) holds there exist constants $C_{2} \geq 0$ and $C_{1}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}<C_{*} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\xi) \leq C_{1}\left(\frac{\xi^{2}}{2}\right)+C_{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that the functional $f$ defined above satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. To see this let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{E}$ such that $\left\{f\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ and $f^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathbb{E}^{*}$, the topological dual space of $\mathbb{E}$.

We first show that the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $L^{2}(Q)$. Assuming the contrary, we may assume, by considering a subsequence, that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}} \neq 0$ for all $n$ and that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By assumption, there exists a constant $C_{3}$ such that $f\left(u_{n}\right) \leq C_{3}$ for all $n \geq 1$ or

$$
\int_{Q}\left[\frac{\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}-G\left(u_{n}\right)-h(x) u_{n}\right] d x \leq C_{3}
$$

for all $n$. From (3.7) we have that for $n \geq 1$

$$
\int_{Q}\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{2} d x \leq C_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+8 \pi^{2} C_{2}+2\|h\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}+2 C_{3}
$$

Setting $w_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ for $n=1,2, .$. we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q}\left(\Delta w_{n}\right)^{2} d x \leq C_{1}+\frac{2\|h\|_{L^{2}}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}}+\frac{8 \pi^{2} C_{2}+2 C_{3}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$.
If $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ is defined as in the previous section and if we identify the constant functions with the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}=\hat{\mathbb{E}} \oplus \mathbb{R} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n \geq 1$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}=z_{n}+\tau_{n} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{n} \in \hat{\mathbb{E}}$ and $\tau_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\left\|\Delta z_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}=\left\|\Delta w_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}$, it follows from (3.8) and Lemma 2.2 that the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$. Therefore, since for all $n \geq 1,4 \pi^{2} \tau_{n}^{2} \leq\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=1$, we infer the existence of a constant $C_{4}$ such that $\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{E}}<C_{4}$ for all $n$.

It follows that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which converges weakly to $w$ in $\mathbb{E}$. By considering a subsequence, we may assume, without loss of generality, that the sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ itself converges weakly to $w$.

If $w=z+\tau$ where $z \in \hat{\mathbb{E}}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, then $z_{n}$ converges weakly to $z$ and $\tau_{n}$ converges to $\tau$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From Lemma 2.6, it follows that the sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly to $w$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n}=\tau$, we see that $\left\{z_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly to $z(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

The uniform convergence implies that $\|w\|_{L^{2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}=1$. From the lower semi-continuity of a norm with respect to weak convergence, it follows from (3.8) that

$$
\|\Delta z\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\|\Delta w\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\Delta w_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C_{1}
$$

Therefore, $\|\Delta z\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C_{1}\|w\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=C_{1}\left(\|z\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+4 \pi^{2} \tau^{2}\right)$ and since, according to Lemma 2.4, $\|z\|_{L^{2}} \leq\|\Delta z\|_{L^{2}}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Delta z\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{C_{1} 4 \pi^{2} \tau^{2}}{1-C_{1}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(That $C_{1}<1$ follows from (3.4) and (3.6)). Since $1=\|w\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\|z\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+4 \pi^{2} \tau^{2}$, we see that $\tau \neq 0$.

According to lemma 2.3

$$
\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}}|z(x)|^{2} \leq\left(\frac{a_{*}^{2} C_{1} 4 \pi^{2}}{1-C_{1}}\right) \tau^{2}
$$

and from (3.4) and (3.6)

$$
\frac{a_{*}^{2} C_{1} 4 \pi^{2}}{1-C_{1}}<\frac{a_{*}^{2} C_{*} 4 \pi^{2}}{1-C_{*}}=1
$$

Therefore,

$$
\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}}|z(x)|<|\tau|
$$

Since $\tau \neq 0$ it follows that either $w(x)=z(x)+\tau>0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ or $w(x)<0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Since $u_{n}(x)=\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}} w_{n}$ either $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ or $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow-\infty$ uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. From (3.6) it follows that in the first case

$$
\int_{Q} g\left(u_{n}(x)\right) d x \geq 4 \pi^{2} \delta
$$

for $n$ sufficiently large, and in the second case

$$
\int_{Q} g\left(u_{n}(x)\right) d x \leq-4 \pi^{2} \delta
$$

for $n$ sufficiently large. But since $h \in \hat{L}_{2 \pi}^{2}, f^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)(1)=\int_{Q}-\left[g\left(u_{n}(x)\right)+\right.$ $h(x)] d x=-\int_{Q} g\left(u_{n}(x)\right) d x$. Since $f^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, therefore we have
a contradiction. This contradiction proves the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $L^{2}(Q)$.

From the condition $f\left(u_{n}\right) \leq C_{3}$ for all $n$ and the condition (3.7), it follows from Lemma 2.2, that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{E}$. Therefore, from the form of $f^{\prime}$ and Lemma 2.6, standard arguments (see for example [4]) shows that $f^{\prime}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

The existence of a critical point of $f$ follows from Rabinowitz's Saddle Point Theorem [4] corresponding to the direct sum decomposition $\mathbb{E}=\hat{\mathbb{E}} \oplus \mathbb{R}$. Since, according to Lemma 2.4, for all $z \in \hat{\mathbb{E}},\|z\|_{L^{2}} \leq\|\Delta z\|_{L^{2}}$, it follows that for all $z \in \hat{\mathbb{E}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q}\left[\frac{(\Delta z)^{2}}{2}-G(z)-h(x) z\right] d x \\
& \quad \geq \int_{Q}\left[\frac{(\Delta z)^{2}}{2}-\frac{C_{1}}{2} z^{2}-C_{2}\right] d x-\|h\|_{L^{2}}\|z\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \quad \geq\left(\frac{1-C_{1}}{2}\right) \int_{Q} \frac{(\Delta z)^{2}}{2} d x-C_{2} 4 \pi^{2}-\|h\|_{L^{2}}\|\Delta z\|_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, as shown above, $C_{1}<1$ it follows that

$$
\inf _{z \in \hat{\mathbb{E}}} f(z)>-\infty
$$

The condition $g(\xi) \operatorname{sgn} \xi \geq \delta$ for $|\xi| \geq \xi_{0}$ implies that $G(\xi) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, since $h \in \hat{L}_{2 \pi}^{2}$, it follows that for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
f(\xi)=\int_{Q}[-G(\xi)-\xi h(x)] d x \leq-4 \pi^{2} G(\xi) \rightarrow-\infty
$$

as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus there exists $b>0$ such that

$$
\max \{f(b), f(-b)\}<\inf _{z \in \hat{\mathbb{E}}} f(z)
$$

Since $f$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, it follows that if $\Gamma$ denotes the set of all continuous mappings $\gamma:[-b, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ with $\gamma( \pm b)= \pm b$,

$$
C_{0}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{\xi \in[-b, b]} f(\gamma(\xi))
$$

then there exits $u_{0} \in \mathbb{E}$ such that $f\left(u_{0}\right)=C_{0}$ and $f^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$. This $u_{0}$ is a solution of problem (3.1).

To prove that (3.1) has a solution when it is only assumed that $g(\xi) \operatorname{sgn} \xi \geq 0$ for $|\xi| \geq \xi_{0}$. We can use a perturbation argument. We define

$$
r(\xi)= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } \xi \leq-\xi_{0} \\ -1+\frac{2\left(\xi+\xi_{0}\right)}{2 \xi_{0}} & \text { if }|\xi| \leq \xi_{0} \\ 1 & \text { if } \xi \geq \xi_{0}\end{cases}
$$

For $m=1,2,3, \ldots$, set $g_{m}(\xi)=g(\xi)+\frac{r(\xi)}{m}$. Then $g_{m}(\xi) \xi \geq \frac{1}{m}$ for $|\xi| \geq \xi_{0}$ and we still have

$$
\limsup _{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 G_{m}(\xi)}{\xi^{2}}<C^{*}
$$

By what has been shown, (3.1) has a solution when $g=g_{m}$. The conditions of the theorem imply that there is a priori bound on this solution (the one characterized by the Saddle Point Theorem) in $\mathbb{E}$, which is independent of $m$. Using a compactness argument this implies the existence of a solution of (3.1). The computational details of this proof will be published somewhere else.
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