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# Semi-classical analysis and vanishing properties of solutions to quasilinear equations * 

Yves Belaud


#### Abstract

Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $b$ a measurable nonnegative function in $\Omega$. We deal with the time compact support property for $$
u_{t}-\Delta u+b(x)|u|^{q-1} u=0
$$ for $p \geq 2$ and $$
u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+b(x)|u|^{q-1} u=0
$$ with $m \geq 1$ where $0 \leq q<1$. We give criteria associated to the first eigenvalue of some quasilinear Schrödinger operators in semi-classical limits. We also provide a lower bound for this eigenvalue.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a regular bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 1)$ and $q \in[0,1)$. We consider the weak solution of the degenerate parabolic equations subject to the Neumann boundary condition:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}-\Delta u+b(x)|u|^{q-1} u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
\partial_{\nu} u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

and more generally,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+b(x)|u|^{q-1} u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
\partial_{\nu} u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

[^0]with $p \geq 2$, or
\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}-\Delta\left(u^{m}\right)+b(x)|u|^{q-1} u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
\partial_{\nu} u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

with $m \geq 1$.
Many authors have already dealt with such equations giving a wide range of applications in physical mathematics. Now, our task is to describe a compact compact support property, in time.

Definition. A solution $u$ satisfies the Time Compact Support property (for short TCS property) if there exists a time $T$ such that for all $t \geq T$ and all $x \in \Omega, u(x, t)=0$.

First, we study some simple cases for (1.1):

1) Suppose that there exists a real $\gamma$ such as $b(x) \geq \gamma>0$ a.e. in $\Omega$. From the maximum principle, $u(x, t) \leq(1-\gamma(1-q) t)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}$ in $\Omega \times(0, \infty)$. The nonlinear absorption is stronger than the diffusion and the TCS property holds.
2) We have a different feature if we assume that there exists a connected open set $\omega$ such as $b(x)=0$ a.e. in $\omega$ (no absorption in $\omega$ ). Then usually, $u$ has not the compact support property. Indeed, if we denote by $\lambda(\omega)$ the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in $W_{0}^{1,2}(\omega)$ and $\zeta$ the first eigenfunction with $\|\zeta\|_{L^{\infty}(\omega)}=1$ and $\zeta \geq 0$, then from the maximum principle, $u(x, t) \geq \zeta(x) e^{-\lambda(\omega) t}$ for all $x$ in $\omega$ and for all $t \geq 0$.

Up to some minor changes, the previous examples are also valid if $u$ satisfies (1.2) and (1.3). The compact support property is related to $\{x: b(x)=0\}$ and the behaviour of the function $b$ in a neighbourhood of this set.

## 2 The time compact support property

The starting idea was in the article of Kondratiev and Véron [7]. They established this property for (1.1) with the help of the following quantities

$$
\mu_{n}=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}+2^{n} b(x)|v|^{2}\right) d x: v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|v|^{2} d x=1\right\}
$$

with $n$ positive integer number. More precisely, up to a small change, they proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 Suppose that $u$ is a solution of (1.1) and

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\ln \mu_{n}}{\mu_{n}}<+\infty
$$

then there exists some $T>0$ such that $u(x, t)=0$ for $(x, t) \in \Omega \times[T,+\infty)$.

We see that $\mu_{n}$ are linked to well-known questions in the semi-classical limit of Schrödinger operator of the type $-\Delta+2^{n} b($.$) .$

In [3], the authors give a first extension of this theorem by replacing the sequence $2^{n}$ by any decreasing sequence going to zero. For the sake of simplicity, we denote by $\mu(\alpha)$ the lowest eigenvalue of the Neumann realization of the Schrödinger operator $-\Delta+\alpha^{q-1} b($.$) in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\alpha)=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}+\alpha^{q-1} b(x)|v|^{2}\right) d x: v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|v|^{2} d x=1\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

They proved the following theorem [3, page 50].

Theorem 2.2 Assume that $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ is a decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\mu\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}\left(\ln \left(\mu\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)+\ln \left(\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{n+1}}\right)+1\right)<+\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then any solution of (1.1) satisfies the $\boldsymbol{T C S}$ property.
The proof is based on an iterative method using the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 Suppose that $b \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega, 0 \leq q<1$ and $u$ is a bounded weak solution of (1.1) such that $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \alpha$ for some $\alpha>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(., t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \min \left(1, C(\mu(\alpha))^{N / 4} e^{-t \mu(\alpha)}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C(\Omega)$ is a positive real number.

Outline of the proof. We use $u$ as test-function and since $u^{1-q} \geq \alpha^{1-q}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+b \alpha^{q-1} u^{2}\right) d x \leq 0 .
$$

The definition of $\mu(\alpha)$ and Hölder's inequality gives

$$
\|u(., s)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq e^{-s \mu(\alpha)}|\Omega|^{1 / 2}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}
$$

for all positive real number $s$. The regularizing effects associated to this type of equation can be write under the following form [11, 12]:

$$
\|u(., t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(1+\frac{1}{t-s}\right)^{N / 4}\|u(., s)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

for all $t>s$. Taking $t-s=1 / \mu(\alpha)$ completes the proof of the lemma.

Sketch of the proof of the theorem 2.2. $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ is a decreasing sequence which tends to zero. We shall construct an increasing sequence $\left(t_{n}\right)$ such that for all $n$,

$$
\forall t \geq t_{n},\|u(., t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \alpha_{n}
$$

If $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} t_{n}=T<+\infty$ then $u$ satisfies the TCS property. To do this, we use an iterative method to find an upper bound for $\sum_{n} t_{n+1}-t_{n}$ under the form of a convergent series. We set $t_{0}=0$ and $\alpha=\alpha_{0}=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$. Applying Lemma 2.1 gives an upper bound for $\|u(., t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} . t_{1}$ is defined by

$$
C\left(\mu\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right)^{N / 4} e^{-\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right) \mu\left(\alpha_{0}\right)} \alpha_{0}=\alpha_{1}
$$

A this point, we apply Lemma 2.1 but for time $t \geq t_{1}$ with $\alpha=\alpha_{1}$. Iterating this process provide us the formula

$$
C\left(\mu\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)^{N / 4} e^{-\left(t_{n+1}-t_{n}\right) \mu\left(\alpha_{n}\right)} \alpha_{n}=\alpha_{n+1} .
$$

So we obtain an upper bound for the series $\sum_{n} t_{n+1}-t_{n}$.
An analoguous result can be proved for (1.2). But before, we recall the regularizing effects for this type of equation [11, 12].
Theorem 2.3 Let $p>1$. Suppose that $u$ is a weak solution of

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)+B(x, t, u)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
\partial_{\nu} u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \in L^{r}(\Omega)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $B$ is a Caratheodory functions which satisfies $B(x, t, \rho) \rho \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega \times(0, \infty)$. If $r \geq 1, r>N(2 / p-1)$ then

$$
\|u(., t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\delta(r)}\|u(., 0)\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}^{\sigma(r)}
$$

with $C=C(\Omega, p), \delta(r)=\frac{N}{r p+N(p-2)}$ and $\sigma(r)=\frac{r p}{r p+N(p-2)}$.
In a similar way, we introduce
$\mu(\alpha, p)=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla v|^{p}+\alpha^{q-(p-1)} b(x)|v|^{p}\right) d x: v \in W^{1, p}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|v|^{p} d x=1\right\}$.
Here $\mu(\alpha, p)$ is the first eigenvalue in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ for the Neumann boundary condition of

$$
u \mapsto-\Delta_{p} u+\alpha^{q-(p-1)} b(.) u^{p-1} .
$$

The theorem states as follows [1]:
Theorem 2.4 Let $0 \leq q<1, p>2$ and assume that there exist two sequences of positive real numbers $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ and $\left(r_{n}\right)$ such that $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ is decreasing and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_{n}^{p-1}}{\alpha_{n+1}^{p-2} \mu\left(\alpha_{n}, p\right)^{\sigma\left(r_{n}\right)}}<+\infty \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then any solution of (1.2) with initial bounded data satisfies the TCS property.

Consequently, if $r_{n}=\ln \mu\left(\alpha_{n}, p\right)$, we have the following statement.
Corollary 2.1 Under the same assumptions on $q$ and $p$, if there exists a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\ln \mu\left(\alpha_{n}, p\right)\right)^{p-1}}{\alpha_{n+1}^{p-2} \mu\left(\alpha_{n}, p\right)}<+\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then any solution of (1.2) satisfies the $\boldsymbol{T C S}$ property.
Theorem 2.4 comes from the following lemma.
Lemma 2.2 Suppose there exists a measurable function $u$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$which satisfies weakly (1.2) with $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \alpha$ for some $\alpha>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(., t)\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \leq\left(\frac{1}{\|u(., 0)\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}^{2-p}+C_{1} \mu(\alpha, p) t}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}=C_{1}(\Omega, r, p)$ is a positive real constant and there exist two positive real numbers $C=C(\Omega, p)$ and $C_{2}=C_{2}(r, p)$ such that

$$
\|u(., t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \min \left(C\left(1+\frac{2}{t}\right)^{\delta(r)}\left(\frac{1}{\|u(., 0)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{2-p}+C_{2} \mu(\alpha, p) t}\right)^{\frac{\sigma(r)}{p-2}}, 1\right)
$$

with $\delta(r)=\frac{N}{r p+N(p-2)}$ and $\sigma(r)=\frac{r p}{r p+N(p-2)}$.
Idea in the proofs. The principle to prove them remains true. It is a bit more complicated because the term $u_{t}$ is not homogenuous with $u^{p-1}$ but it follows exactly the Kondratiev-Vron method as shown in the proof of Theorem 2.2. The main differences are technical. Instead of using $u$ as test-function, we use $u|u|^{r_{n}-1}$ at each step of the iteration. An estimate of the asymptotic behaviour when $r \rightarrow+\infty$ for the constant $C_{2}=C_{2}(r, p)$ is needed. The proof of the theorem ends with sharp upper bounds for the series $\sum_{n} t_{n+1}-t_{n}$.

Now, let us talk about equation 1.3. Formally, replacing $p-1$ by $m$ give the same results [11, 12]:

Theorem 2.5 Let $m>0$ and $u$ be a weak solution of

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{t}-\Delta\left(u^{m}\right)+B(x, t, u)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
\partial_{\nu} u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega, \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \in L^{r}(\Omega),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $B$ is a Caratheodory function satisfying $B(x, t, \rho) \rho \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega \times(0, \infty)$. If $r \geq 1$ and $r>N(1-m) / 2$, then

$$
\|u(., t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\delta(r)}\|u(., 0)\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}^{\sigma(r)}
$$

with $C=C(\Omega, m), \delta(r)=\frac{N}{2 r+N(m-1)}$ and $\sigma(r)=\frac{2 r}{2 r+N(m-1)}$.

We set quantities adapted to the problem

$$
\mu^{\prime}(\alpha, m)=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}+\alpha^{q-m} b(x)|v|^{2}\right) d x: v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|v|^{2} d x=1\right\}
$$

Thus,
Theorem 2.6 ([1]) Let $0 \leq q<1, m>1$ and assume that there exists two sequences of positive real numbers $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ and $\left(r_{n}\right)$ such that $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ is decreasing and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_{n}^{m}}{\alpha_{n+1}^{m-1} \mu^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}, m\right)^{\sigma\left(r_{n}\right)}}<+\infty \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then any solution of (1.3) with initial bounded data satisfies the TCS property.
With $r_{n}=\ln \mu^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}, m\right)$, we deduce the following statement.
Corollary 2.2 Under the above assumptions on $q$ and $m$, if there exists a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\ln \mu^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}, m\right)\right)^{m}}{\alpha_{n+1}^{m-1} \mu^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n}, m\right)}<+\infty
$$

then any solution of (1.3) satisfies the $\boldsymbol{T C S}$ property.
The proof of Theorem 2.6 also comes from the following lemma.
Lemma 2.3 We suppose there exists a measurable function $u$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$which satisfies weakly (1.3) with $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \alpha$ for some $\alpha>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(., t)\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \leq\left(\frac{1}{\|u(., 0)\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}^{1-m}+C_{1} \mu^{\prime}(\alpha, m) t}\right)^{1 /(m-1)} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C_{1}=C_{1}(\Omega, r, m)$ and there exist two positive real numbers $C=C(\Omega, m)$ and $C_{2}=C_{2}(r, m)$ such that

$$
\|u(., t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \min \left(C\left(1+\frac{2}{t}\right)^{\delta(r)}\left(\frac{1}{\|u(., 0)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{1-m}+C_{2} \mu^{\prime}(\alpha, m) t}\right)^{\frac{\sigma(r)}{m-1}}, 1\right)
$$

where $\delta(r)$ and $\sigma(r)$ are defined in Theorem 2.5
The assumptions in Theorem 2.2 and Corollaries 2.1, 2.2 admit a simpler form. A comparaison between series and integral gives the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7 (Integral criterion [3, 1]) Let $0 \leq q<1$. 1) If $p \geq 2$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(\ln \mu(t, p))^{p-1}}{t^{p-1} \mu(t, p)} d t<+\infty
$$

then all solutions of (1.2) satisfy the $\boldsymbol{T C S}$ property.
2) If $m \geq 1$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\ln \mu^{\prime}(t, m)\right)^{m}}{t^{m} \mu^{\prime}(t, m)} d t<+\infty
$$

then all solutions of (1.3) satisfy the $\boldsymbol{T C S}$ property.
We remark that $\mu(t)=\mu(t, 2)$ and that (1.1) is a particular case of (1.2) for $p=2$ and (1.3) for $m=1$. The proof is first establish for $p=2$ [3, page 51$]$ and then for $p>2$ and $m>1$ [1]. What is remarkable is that this criterion has a same simple form in all cases.

For applications, $\mu(t, p)$ and $\mu^{\prime}(t, m)$ have to be linked directly to the function $b$. We recall that $\mu(\alpha, p)$ is the first eigenvalue in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ for the Neumann boundary condition of $u \mapsto-\Delta_{p} u+\alpha^{q-(p-1)} b(.) u^{p-1}$.

The aim of semi-classical analysis is to describe the behavior of the spectrum of the operator $u \mapsto-\Delta_{p} u+h^{-p} V(.) u^{p-1}$ in particular $\lambda_{1}(h)$ the lowest eigenvalue. $V$ is a function which holds in our case

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad \underset{\Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \inf } V=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\Omega} V(x) d x>0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\gamma$ a positive number which satisfies:

$$
\gamma \begin{cases}=\frac{N}{p} & \text { for } 1<p<N  \tag{2.10}\\ \in(1,+\infty) & \text { for } p=N \\ =1 & \text { for } p>N\end{cases}
$$

Corollary 2.3 If (2.9) holds then for $h$ small enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(h)\left(\operatorname{meas}\left\{x: V(x) \leq h^{p} \lambda_{1}(h)\right\}\right)^{1 / \gamma} \geq C, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C(p, N, \gamma, \Omega, V)$ is a positive constant.
$\mu(t, p)$ can be written as $\mu(t, p)=\lambda_{1}\left(t^{\frac{(p-1)-q}{p}}\right)$ which after a change of variables gives

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(\ln \mu(t, p))^{p-1}}{t^{p-1} \mu(t, p)} d t=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\ln \lambda_{1}(h)\right)^{p-1}}{h^{\frac{p(p-1)-(1+q)}{p-(1+q)}} \lambda_{1}(h)} d h .
$$

If we have an estimate of the type

$$
\lambda_{1}(h) \geq C \frac{1}{h^{\theta}}
$$

where $C$ and $\theta$ are two positive real numbers, then the integral criterion holds for $p>2$ provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta>\frac{p(p-2)}{p-(1+q)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar expressions can be found for $p=2$ and $m>1$. Finally, we obtain next theorem.

Theorem $2.8(1 / b$ criterion $[3,1])$ Let $0 \leq q<1$ and $b$ be a bounded measurable function such that

$$
\underset{\Omega}{\operatorname{essinf}} b=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\Omega} b(x) d x>0
$$

1) If $p=2$ and $\ln (1 / b) \in L^{s}(\Omega)$ for some $s>N / 2$ then equation (1.1) satisfies the $\boldsymbol{T C S}$ property.
2) If $p>2$ and $(1 / b)^{s} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ for some $s$ with

$$
s> \begin{cases}\frac{p-2}{1-q}\left(\frac{N}{p}\right) & \text { for } p \leq N \\ \frac{p-2}{1-q} & \text { for } p>N\end{cases}
$$

then equation (1.2) satisfies the $\boldsymbol{T C S}$ property.
3) If $m>1$ and $(1 / b)^{s} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ for some $s$ with

$$
s> \begin{cases}\frac{m-1}{1-q}\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) & \text { for } N \geq 2 \\ \frac{m-1}{1-q} & \text { for } N=1\end{cases}
$$

then equation (1.3) satisfies the $\boldsymbol{T C S}$ property.
Outline of the proof. the three cases are based on Marcinkiewicz type inequalities. For 1)

$$
\text { meas }\left\{x \in \Omega: \ln \frac{1}{b(x)} \geq \ln \frac{1}{h^{2} \lambda_{1}(h)}\right\} \leq \frac{1}{\left(\ln \frac{1}{h^{2} \lambda_{1}(h)}\right)^{s}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\ln \frac{1}{b(x)}\right)^{s} d x
$$

and for 2 )

$$
\text { meas }\left\{x: \frac{1}{b(x)} \geq \frac{1}{h^{p} \lambda_{1}(h)}\right\} \leq\left(h^{p} \lambda_{1}(h)\right)^{s} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{b(x)}\right)^{s} d x .
$$

The proof ends with estimates such as (2.12) and some technical arguments.
Remark 2.1 In the case where $p=2$ and $N \leq 2$, estimate (2.11) is not enough sharp so we use the formula of Lieb and Thirring. See [3] for details.

Now we apply the previous theorem to the radial functions.
Corollary 2.4 Suppose that $0 \in \Omega$. 1) If $b(x)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{\|x\|^{\beta}}\right)$ with $\beta<2$ then any solution of (1.1) satisfies the $\boldsymbol{T C S}$ property.
2) If $b(x)=\|x\|^{\beta}$ with $p \leq N$ and $\beta<p(1-q) /(p-2)$ then any solution of (1.2) satisfies the $\boldsymbol{T C S}$ property.

One has the same conclusion if $p>N$ and $\beta<N(1-q) /(p-2)$.
3) If $b(x)=\|x\|^{\beta}$ with $N \geq 2$ and $\beta<2(1-q) /(m-1)$ then any solution of (1.3) satisfies the $\boldsymbol{T C S}$ property.

One has the same conclusion if $N=1$ and $\beta<(1-q) /(m-1)$.

## 3 A lower bound for the first eigenvalue

This section is dedicated to estimating the first eigenvalue, in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, of the operator $u \mapsto-\Delta_{p} u+h^{-p} V(.) u^{p-1}$. We have seen that a lower bound is fundamental for applications. First, we introduce a sequence of definitions. We consider a non-empty connected open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a mesurable function $V$ defined in $\Omega$. We set

$$
W^{1, p, V}(\Omega)=\left\{\psi \in W^{1, p}(\Omega): V(x)\left|\psi^{p}\right| \in L^{1}(\Omega)\right\} .
$$

If $W^{1, p, V}(\Omega) \neq\{0\}$ and $\psi \in W^{1, p, V}(\Omega)$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{V}(\psi)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \psi|^{p}+V(x)|\psi|^{p} d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\inf \left\{F_{V}(\psi): \psi \in W^{1, p, V}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|\psi|^{p} d x=1\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $h>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(h)=\inf \left\{F_{h^{-p} V}(\psi): \psi \in W^{1, p, V}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|\psi|^{p} d x=1\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\lambda_{1}(h)$ is the first eigenvalue of the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \mapsto-\Delta_{p} u+h^{-p} V(.)|u|^{p-2} u . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $W^{1, p, V}(\Omega)$ with Neumann boundary condition if the infimum is achieved by a regular enough element of $W^{1, p, V}(\Omega)$ and $\partial \Omega \mathcal{C}^{1}$.
We start with a simple result which enlights our arguments. On the contrary to the linear case ( $p=2$ ), our proof is not based on the theory of pseudodifferential operators but on the continuous injections of $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ into the $L^{s}$ spaces for suitable $s$.

Theorem 3.1 Suppose $N>p>1$. Then either $\lambda_{1}=-\infty$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{V(x) \leq \lambda_{1}}\left(\lambda_{1}-V(x)\right)^{N / p} d x\right)^{p / N} \geq C(p, N) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C(p, N)>0$ is the positive constant of the Sobolev inequality.
In addition, if there exists a minimizer in $W^{1, p, V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{V(x)<\lambda_{1}}\left(\lambda_{1}-V(x)\right)^{N / p} d x\right)^{p / N} \geq C(p, N) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\psi$ be in $W^{1, p, V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\|\psi\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=1$ then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \psi|^{p} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)|\psi|^{p} d x=F_{V}(\psi)=F_{V}(\psi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\psi|^{p} d x
$$

The integral with $V$ is split in two parts, that is,
$\mathbb{R}^{N}=\left\{x: V(x)<F_{V}(\psi)\right\} \cup\left\{x: V(x) \geq F_{V}(\psi)\right\}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \psi|^{p} d x \leq \int_{V(x)<F_{V}(\psi)}\left(F_{V}(\psi)-V(x)\right)|\psi|^{p} d x \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hölder's inequality leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \psi|^{p} d x \\
& \leq\left(\int_{V(x)<F_{V}(\psi)}\left(F_{V}(\psi)-V(x)\right)^{N / p} d x\right)^{p / N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\psi|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{1-\frac{p}{N}} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\left\{x: V(x)<F_{V}(\psi)\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Non zero constants do not belong to $W^{1, p, V}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and so all functions $\psi$ satisfy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \psi|^{p} d x>0$. We can apply Sobolev inequality. The Beppo-Levi theorem completes the proof.
Remark 3.1 If $\Omega$ is any open domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we define

$$
W_{0}^{1, p, V}(\Omega)=\left\{\psi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega): V(x)\left|\psi^{p}\right| \in L^{1}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

and if $W_{0}^{1, p, V}(\Omega) \neq\{0\}$,

$$
\tilde{\lambda_{1}}=\inf \left\{F_{V}(\psi): \psi \in W_{0}^{1, p, V}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|\psi|^{p} d x=1\right\}
$$

then the estimates in Theorem 3.1 hold for $\tilde{\lambda_{1}}$.
When $\Omega$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $V$ is a measurable function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad \underset{\Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \inf } V=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\Omega} V(x) d x>0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

we set $u_{h}$ the first eigenfunction related to the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(h)$.
Recall that $\gamma$ is a positive number which satisfies

$$
\gamma \begin{cases}=\frac{N}{p} & \text { for } 1<p<N  \tag{3.10}\\ \in(1,+\infty) & \text { for } p=N \\ =1 & \text { for } p>N\end{cases}
$$

with $\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}=+\infty$ if $\gamma=1$. This $\gamma$ is such that $W^{1, p}$ imbeds $L^{q}(\Omega)$ continuously with $q=p \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}$.
Theorem 3.2 Assume that (3.9) holds. Then for $h$ small enough,

$$
\left(\int_{V(x)<h^{p} \lambda_{1}(h)}\left(\lambda_{1}(h)-\frac{V(x)}{h^{p}}\right)^{\gamma} d x\right)^{1 / \gamma} \geq C
$$

where $C=C(p, N, \gamma, \Omega, V)$ is a positive real constant.

Proof. We start with (3.8) because the beginning is similar. Replacing $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, $\psi$ and $V$ by $\Omega, u_{h}$ and $\frac{V}{h^{p}}$ the Hölder's inequality gives

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{h}\right|^{p} d x \leq\left(\int_{V(x)<h^{p} \lambda_{1}(h)}\left(\lambda_{1}(h)-\frac{V(x)}{h^{p}}\right)^{\gamma} d x\right)^{1 / \gamma}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{h}\right|^{q} d x\right)^{p / q}
$$

where $q=p \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}$. Thus, by the imbeddings,

$$
\left(\int_{V(x)<h^{p} \lambda_{1}(h)}\left(\lambda_{1}(h)-\frac{V(x)}{h^{p}}\right)^{\gamma} d x\right)^{1 / \gamma} \geq C \frac{\left\|\nabla u_{h}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}}{1+\left\|\nabla u_{h}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}},
$$

with $C=C(p, N, \Omega, \gamma)$ a positive real number. The main idea is to prove that

$$
\liminf _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\nabla u_{h}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}>0
$$

Suppose that there exists a sequence $\left(h_{n}\right)$ of positive real numbers which goes to zero such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\nabla u_{h_{n}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}=0
$$

Hence $\left(u_{h_{n}}\right)$ is bounded in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, so there exists a function $u_{0}$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that, up to a subsequence, $u_{h_{n}} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ weakly in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Obviously, $\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}=0$. Therefore, $u_{0}=C$ where $C$ is a real. Thanks to the RellichKondrachov theorem, up to a subsequence, $u_{h_{n}} \rightarrow C$ strongly in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ so $C=\left(\frac{1}{\text { meas }(\Omega)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. We deduce that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} h_{n}^{p} \lambda_{1}\left(h_{n}\right)=\frac{\int_{\Omega} V(x) d x}{\text { meas }(\Omega)}$. But from lemma 3.2 in [3], $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} h^{p} \lambda_{1}(h)=0$ which leads to a contradiction.

A simpler form is provided in the following corollary.
Corollary 3.1 If (3.9) holds then for $h$ small enough,

$$
\lambda_{1}(h)\left(\operatorname{meas}\left\{x: V(x)<h^{p} \lambda_{1}(h)\right\}\right)^{\gamma} \geq C,
$$

where $C=C(p, N, \gamma, \Omega, V)$.
We end this section by quoting a theorem. For $\Omega$ a domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ bounded or not, regular or not and $V$ a mesurable function defined on $\Omega$ such that $W^{1, p, V}(\Omega) \neq\{0\}$, we define a well for a mesurable function $V[1]$.

Definition. We say that $V$ has a well in $U$ if $U$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ bounded, connected, non-empty open set of $\Omega$ and if there exists $\psi_{0} \in W^{1, p, V}(\Omega)$ with $\left\|\psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}=1$ such that $\int_{\Omega} V(x)\left|\psi_{0}\right|^{p} d x<a=\underset{\Omega \backslash U}{\operatorname{essinf}} V$ with meas $(\Omega \backslash U)>0$.

The term of well generalizes the definition in [8].
Theorem 3.3 ([3]) If $V$ has a well in $U$, for $h$ small enough,

$$
\left(\int_{V(x) \leq h^{p} \lambda_{1}(h)}\left(\lambda_{1}(h)-h^{-p} V(x)\right)^{\gamma} d x\right)^{1 / \gamma} \geq C
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant which does not depend on $h$.
In addition, if there exists a minimizer in $W^{1, p, V}(\Omega)$,

$$
\left(\int_{V(x)<h^{p} \lambda_{1}(h)}\left(\lambda_{1}(h)-h^{-p} V(x)\right)^{\gamma} d x\right)^{1 / \gamma} \geq C
$$

The proof is technical but some arguments have already been used for Theorem 3.2.

## 4 Summary and open questions

For the sake of completeness, we quote another theorem of.
Theorem 4.1 ([3]) Suppose that $b$ is a continuous and nonnegative function defined in $\bar{\Omega}$ which satisfies for some $x_{0} \in \Omega$

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{2} \ln \left(1 /\|b\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}\right)=\infty
$$

If $u$ is a weak solution of (1.1) then $u$ does not satisfies the $\boldsymbol{T C S}$ property.
Up to now, we have the following:

|  | $p=2$ | $p>2$ | $m>1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Integral criterion | $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln \mu(t)}{t \mu(t)} d t<\infty$ | $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(\ln \mu(t, p))^{p-1}}{t^{p-1} \mu(t, p)} d t<\infty$ | $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(\ln \mu^{\prime}(t, m)\right)^{m}}{t^{m} \mu^{\prime}(t, m)} d t<\infty$ |
| $1 / b$ criterion with | $\begin{gathered} \ln (1 / b) \in L^{s} \\ s>\frac{N}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 / b \in L^{s} \\ s>\frac{p-2}{1-q} \frac{N}{p}, N \geq p \\ s>\frac{p-2}{1-p}, N<p \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 / b \in L^{s} \\ s>\frac{m-1}{1-q} \frac{N}{2}, N \geq 2 \\ s>\frac{m-1}{1-q}, N=1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Radial case <br> for $\beta \geq 0$ <br> and | $\begin{gathered} \exp \left(-1 /\\|x\\|^{\beta}\right) \\ \beta<2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \\|x\\|^{\beta} \\ \frac{p(1-q)}{p-2}, N \geq p \\ \beta<\frac{N(1-q)}{p-2}, N<p \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \\|x\\|^{\beta} \\ \beta<\frac{2(1-q)}{m-1}, N \geq 2 \\ \beta<\frac{(1-q)}{m-1}, N=1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Converse | yes | no | no |
| Non TCS property for | $\begin{gathered} \exp \left(-1 /\\|x\\|^{\beta}\right) \\ \beta>2 \end{gathered}$ | : | $\vdots$ |

## Open questions

1. What happens for $p=2$ and $\beta=2$ ? It does not seem within sight.
2. We have no genuine converse for $p>2$ and $m>1$. A converse has been found for $p=2$ because $L^{2}(\Omega)$ has an inner product. More precisely, for $p>2, \int_{\Omega} u^{p-1} v d x \neq \int_{\Omega} v^{p-1} u d x$ in general. We search for another test-functions (see [3] for details).
3. When $p>2$, we have a good generalization of the Cwikel, Lieb and Rosenblyum formula, that is, for large dimension $(N>p)$. The estimate for $N \leq p$ is far from the optimum. When $p=2$, the Lieb and Thirring formula works well. We hope that we will find an equivalent.
4. In [7], they also deal with second order elliptic equations with a strong absortion, i.e., $u_{t t}+\Delta u-a(x) u^{q}=0$. Heuristically speaking, changing $\mu(\alpha)$ into $\sqrt{\mu(\alpha)}$ gives a sufficient condition for the TCS property. We are working on this type of equation when $a$ depends also on $t$.
5. More generally, the following problem $\Delta_{p} u-a(x) u^{p-1}=0$ in an outside domain is difficult to handle. On $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ minus a ball, a similar technique may be possible.
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