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# ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF A NON-NEWTONIAN FLOW WITH STICK-SLIP CONDITION 

FOUAD BOUGHANIM, MAHDI BOUKROUCHE, HASSAN SMAOUI


#### Abstract

This paper concerns the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the 3D non-newtonian fluid flow with slip condition (Tresca's type) imposed in a part of the boundary domain. Existence of at least one weak solution is proved. We study the limit when the thickness tends to zero and we prove a convergence theorem for velocity and pressure in appropriate functional spaces. The limit of slip condition is obtained. Besides, the uniqueness of the velocity and the pressure limits are also proved.


## 1. Introduction

In the case of polymer fluids the no slip condition on the fluid-solid interface is not always satisfied. This boundary condition is sometimes overpassed and we must deal with slip at the wall. This phenomenon has been studied in a lot of mechanical papers related to non newtonian fluids (see [7, 12]). For polymer fluids, slip at the wall is not surprising : entangled polymer have a mixed fluid and solid dynamic behavior.

We consider the incompressible isothermal viscous flow of a non newtonian fluid through a thin slab. The viscosity of fluid follows the power law (see (4). On the part of the boundary we consider the stick-slip condition given by Tresca law. We suppose that the flow is steady and the Reynolds number is proportional to $\varepsilon^{-\gamma}$. The inertia effects are neglected, this condition is proved in [2] for different cases corresponding to various values of $\gamma$ and of the power $r$ of the Carreau law. It is know that for polymer (non newtonian) flow through a thin slab the Hele-Shaw equation is used. Our goal is to give mathematical foundation for the nonlinear averaged momentum equation with stick-slip condition.

Let $\omega$ be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with sufficiently smooth boundary. The domain is thin slab defined by:

$$
\Omega_{\varepsilon}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3},\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \omega \text {, and } 0<x_{3}<\varepsilon h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

[^0]Where $h: \omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$, is a $C^{1}$. The incompressibility equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} v^{\varepsilon}=v_{i, i}^{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega_{\varepsilon} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity we take the constant density $\rho=1$. Then the equation of motion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sigma_{i j, j}^{\varepsilon}=f_{i} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{\varepsilon} \quad(i, j=1,2,3) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constitutive law is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\varepsilon}=-p^{\varepsilon} I+2 \eta_{0}\left(D_{I I}\right)^{\frac{r-2}{2}} D\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v^{\varepsilon}=\left(v_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ represents the velocity field, $\sigma^{\varepsilon}=\sigma_{i j}^{\varepsilon}$ the stress tensor, $f=$ $\left(\hat{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), f_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ the body forces, $D=D_{i j}$ the rate of strain tensor, given by $D_{i j}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{i, j}^{\varepsilon}+v_{j, i}^{\varepsilon}\right), D_{I I}=D_{i j}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) D_{i j}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right), p^{\varepsilon}$ denotes the pressure, $\eta_{0}$ the viscosity and $r>1$ the power of law which may represent a pseudo-plastic fluid if $1<r<2$, a dilatant fluid if $r>2$.

We consider the following conditions on $\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}=\omega \cup \Gamma_{a}^{\varepsilon}$ :

- On $\omega: v^{\varepsilon} . n=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\tau_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right|<g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \Rightarrow v_{t}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0  \tag{1.4}\\
\left|\tau_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right|=g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \Rightarrow \exists \lambda & \geq 0 \text { such that } v_{t}^{\varepsilon}=-\lambda \tau_{t}^{\varepsilon}
\end{align*}
$$

- On $\Gamma_{a}^{\varepsilon}: v^{\varepsilon}=0$

Here $n=\left(n_{i}\right)$ is the unit outward normal to $\partial \omega_{\varepsilon}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{t}^{\varepsilon}=v^{\varepsilon}-v_{n}^{\varepsilon} n, & v_{n}^{\varepsilon}=v_{i}^{\varepsilon} n_{i} \\
\tau_{t i}^{\varepsilon}=\sigma_{i j}^{\varepsilon} n_{j}-\sigma_{n}^{\varepsilon} n_{i}, & \sigma_{N}^{\varepsilon}=\sigma_{i j}^{\varepsilon} n_{i} n_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

are, respectively, the tangential velocity, normal velocity the components of tangential stress tensor and the normal stress. $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is a positive function in $L^{\infty}(\omega)$ and $f$ in $L^{r^{\prime}}(\Omega)$. We use the re-scaling $z=\frac{x_{3}}{\varepsilon}$ and the notation $v(\varepsilon)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, z\right)=$ $v^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \varepsilon z\right), p(\varepsilon)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, z\right)=p^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \varepsilon z\right)$. Hence, $v(\varepsilon)$ is sequence of functions defined on fixed domain $\Omega$, then the system (1.1)-(1.4) can be written

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\varepsilon^{\gamma} \operatorname{div}_{\varepsilon}\left(\left|D_{\varepsilon}(v(\varepsilon))\right|^{r-2} D_{\varepsilon}(v(\varepsilon))\right)+\nabla_{\varepsilon} p(\varepsilon)=f \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\operatorname{div}_{\varepsilon}(v(\varepsilon))=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

On $\Gamma_{a}: v(\varepsilon)=0$
On $\omega: v(\varepsilon) . n=0$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\tau_{t}(\varepsilon)\right|<g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \Rightarrow v_{t}(\varepsilon)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0 \\
\left|\tau_{t}(\varepsilon)\right|=g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \Rightarrow \exists \lambda \geq 0 \quad \text { such that } v_{t}(\varepsilon)=-\lambda \tau_{t}(\varepsilon) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\nabla_{\varepsilon}, D_{\varepsilon}, \operatorname{div}_{\varepsilon}$ are the corresponding rescaled differential operators defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\nabla_{\varepsilon} v\right)_{i, j}=\frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \quad \text { for } i=1,2,3 ; j=1,2 ; \\
\left(\nabla_{\varepsilon} v\right)_{i, 3}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial z} \quad \text { for } i=1,2,3 ; \\
D_{\varepsilon}(v)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\nabla_{\varepsilon} v\right)+\left(\nabla_{\varepsilon} v\right)^{t}\right), \quad \operatorname{div}_{\varepsilon}=\nabla_{\varepsilon} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Our main aim in this paper is to prove the existence of weak solution $(v(\varepsilon), p(\varepsilon))$ of problem (1.5) and to study the limit when the small thickness of the slab tends to zero.

## 2. FUNCTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND EXISTENCE

To formulate the notion of weak solution of the problem (1.5), we recall some Sobolev spaces

$$
\begin{gathered}
W^{1, r}(\Omega)=\left\{v \in L^{r}(\Omega) \text { and } \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{r}(\Omega), i=1,2,3\right\} \\
V^{r}=\left\{v \in\left(W^{1, r}(\Omega)\right)^{3}, v=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{a}, v \cdot n=0 \text { on } \omega\right\} \\
V_{\operatorname{div}}^{r}=\left\{v \in V^{r}, \operatorname{div}(v)=0 \text { in } \Omega\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

On $V^{r}$, we define the functional $j: V^{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad v \mapsto \int_{\omega} g(s)\left|v_{t}(s)\right| d s$. Note that $j$ is continuous convex, but non differentiable. The problem (2.1) has a variational formulation (see [5]) written as follos:
Find $(v(\varepsilon), p(\varepsilon)) \in V_{\text {div }}^{r} \times L_{0}^{r^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon^{\gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon}(v(\varepsilon))\right|^{r-2} D_{\varepsilon}(v(\varepsilon)) D_{\varepsilon}(w-v(\varepsilon)) d x+\int_{\Omega} p(\varepsilon) d i v_{\varepsilon}(w) d x+j(w)-j(v(\varepsilon)) \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} f(w-v(\varepsilon)) d x, \quad \forall w \in V^{r} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

For $v \in W^{1, r}(\Omega)$, we define the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{r}^{\varepsilon}(v)=\frac{\varepsilon^{\gamma}}{r} \int_{\Omega}|D(v)|^{r} d x-\int_{\Omega} f v d x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $F_{r}^{\varepsilon}$ is Gateaux-differentiable and strictly convex, and that for every $v, w$ in $W^{1, r}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle D F_{r}^{\varepsilon}(v), w\right\rangle=\varepsilon^{\gamma} \int_{\Omega}|D(v)|^{r-2} D(v) D(w) d x-\int_{\Omega} f w d x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes duality of pairing $W^{-1, r^{\prime}}(\Omega) \times W^{1, r}(\Omega)$, and $r^{\prime}$ is the conjugate number of $r,\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}=1\right)$.

The operators $D F_{r}^{\varepsilon}$ is strictly monotone bounded coercive and hemicontinuous (see [14]). Now, we introduce an auxiliary problem.
Find $v(\varepsilon) \in V_{d i v}^{r}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle D F_{r}^{\varepsilon}(v(\varepsilon)), w-v(\varepsilon)\right\rangle+j(w)-j(v(\varepsilon)) \geq \int_{\Omega} f(w-v(\varepsilon)) d x, \quad \forall w \in V_{d i v}^{r} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we define the associated minimization problem: Find $u \in V_{\text {div }}^{r}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(u)=\inf _{w \in V_{d i v}^{r}}[\phi(w)], \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(w)=F_{r}^{\varepsilon}(w)+j(w)$.
Lemma 2.1. Problems (2.4) and 2.5 are equivalent.
Proof. The proof use the monotonicity of the operators $D F_{r}^{\varepsilon}$ and the convexity of $j$ (see [14]).

Theorem 2.2. For $r>1$ and fixed $\varepsilon$, 1.5 has a unique solution $(v(\varepsilon), p(\varepsilon))$ in $V_{\text {div }}^{r} \times L_{0}^{r^{\prime}}(\Omega)$.

Proof. From nonlinear operator theory we deduce that (2.4) has a unique solution $v(\varepsilon) \in V_{d i v}^{r}$ (see [9, 14]). Using Lemma 2.1 we have that $v(\varepsilon)$ is also a unique solution of the problem 2.5).

Let $Y=L^{r}(\omega) \times L^{r}(\Omega)$, we introduce the following indicator functionals

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
w \in L^{r}(\Omega) ; \quad \psi(w)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } w=0 \\
+\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
v \in V^{r} ; \quad \mathcal{G}(v)=(v / \omega, \operatorname{div}(v)) \in Y
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The unique solution $v(\varepsilon)$ of (2.4), satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(\varepsilon):=\inf _{w \in V^{r}}\left[F_{r}^{\varepsilon}(w)+\varphi(\mathcal{G}(w))\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The existence of pressure $p$ is assured by using the dual problem. The problem dual to 2.6 can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{*}=\left(p_{1}^{*}, p_{2}^{*}\right) \in Y ; \quad p *:=\sup _{q^{*} \in Y^{*}}\left[-F_{r}^{\varepsilon^{*}}\left(\mathcal{G}^{*}\left(q^{*}\right)\right)-\varphi^{*}\left(-q^{*}\right)\right] \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$v(\varepsilon)$ and $p^{*}$ are solutions of 2.6 and 2.7 verify the following extremality relation (see [6]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{r}^{\varepsilon}(v(\varepsilon))+\varphi(\mathcal{G}(v(\varepsilon)))+F_{r}^{\varepsilon^{*}}\left(\mathcal{G}^{*}\left(p^{*}\right)\right)+\varphi^{*}\left(-p^{*}\right)=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{r}^{\varepsilon^{*}}, \varphi^{*}$ denote the conjugates functionals of $F_{r}^{\varepsilon}$ and $\varphi$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{r}^{\varepsilon^{*}}\left(\mathcal{G}^{*}\left(p^{*}\right)\right)=\sup _{u \in V^{r}}\left\{<\mathcal{G}^{*}\left(p^{*}\right), u>-F(u)\right\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi^{*}\left(-q^{*}\right) & =\sup _{q \in Y}\left\{\left\langle-q^{*}, q\right\rangle-\varphi(q)\right\}  \tag{2.10}\\
& =\sup _{q_{1} \in L^{r}(\omega)}\left\{\left\langle-q_{1}^{*}, q_{1}\right\rangle-j\left(q_{1}\right)\right\}+\sup _{q_{2} \in L^{r}(\Omega)}\left\{\left\langle-p_{2}^{*}, q_{2}\right\rangle-\psi\left(q_{2}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Observing that $\sup _{q_{2} \in L^{r}(\Omega)}\left\{\left\langle-p_{2}^{*}, q_{2}\right\rangle-\psi\left(q_{2}\right)\right\}=0$ and replacing in $2.8 q$ by $\mathcal{G}(u)$, we obtain that $v(\varepsilon)$ satisfies
$F_{r}^{\varepsilon}(v(\varepsilon))-F_{r}^{\varepsilon}(u)+j\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}(v(\varepsilon))\right)-j\left(\mathcal{G}_{1}(u)\right)+\psi\left(\mathcal{G}_{2}(v(\varepsilon))\right)-\left\langle p_{2}^{*}, \operatorname{div}(u)\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall u \in V^{r}$.
Finally, we get the result by using lemma 2.1.

## 3. Convergence results

The limit model is obtained thanks to an asymptotic analysis. The used techniques emanate from the ones used in homogenization. In this section we adopt the following notation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
v(\varepsilon)=\left(\hat{v}(\varepsilon), v_{3}(\varepsilon)\right), \quad \hat{v}(\varepsilon)=\left(v_{1}(\varepsilon), v_{2}(\varepsilon)\right) \\
x=\left(x^{\prime}, z\right), \quad x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \quad \delta=\frac{r-\gamma}{r-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Also, we use the functional space

$$
\chi^{r}=\left\{v \in L^{r}(\Omega) \text { and } \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \in L^{r}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

and we will need the following results given by lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. For $v^{\varepsilon} \in$ $\left(L^{r}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{3}, 1 \leq r<+\infty$, we have for every $v \in\left(L^{r}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{3}$ : $\left\|v^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\left(L^{r}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{3}}=$ $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{r}}\|v(\varepsilon)\|_{\left(L^{r}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}$.

Lemma 3.1 (Poincaré inequality). For $v \in\left(W_{0}^{1, r}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{3}, 1<r<+\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\left(L^{r}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{3}} \leq \varepsilon\left\|\frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{\left(L^{r}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{3}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2 (Korn inequality). For $v \in\left(W_{0}^{1, r}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{3}, 1<r<+\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla v^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\left(L^{r}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{9}} \leq C .\left\|D\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{\left(L^{r}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{9}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $\varepsilon$ and $v^{\varepsilon}$.
The proof of the above lemma can be found in [8] and [10].
Proposition 3.3. Let $(v(\varepsilon), p(\varepsilon))$, be a sequence solution to problem (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{u}(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon^{-\delta} \hat{v}(\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup \hat{u} \quad \text { in }\left(\chi^{r}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
u_{3}(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon^{-\delta} \hat{v}_{3}(\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text { in } \chi^{r}  \tag{3.4}\\
p(\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup p\left(x^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { in } L_{0}^{r^{\prime}}(\Omega) \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Estimates for velocity and pressure are obtained from 2.1), by using the Poincaré and Korn inequalities. Taking $w=-v(\varepsilon)$ in 2.1) we obtain with Schwartz inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{\gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon}(v(\varepsilon))\right|^{r} d x \leq c\|v(\varepsilon)\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using 3.1 and 3.2, we deduce $\|v(\varepsilon)\|_{\left(L^{r}(\Omega)\right)^{3}} \leq\left\|\frac{\partial v(\varepsilon)}{\partial z}\right\|_{\left(L^{r}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}$ and

$$
\|v(\varepsilon)\|_{\left(L^{r}(\Omega)\right)^{3}} \leq C \varepsilon\left\|D_{\varepsilon}(v(\varepsilon))\right\|_{\left(L^{r}(\Omega)\right)^{3 \times 3}}
$$

which with (3.6) give

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|v(\varepsilon)\|_{\left(L^{r}(\Omega)\right)^{3}} \leq C . \varepsilon^{\delta} ; \quad\left\|\nabla_{x^{\prime}} v(\varepsilon)\right\|_{\left(L^{r}(\Omega)\right)^{3}} \leq C . \varepsilon^{\delta-1}, \\
\left\|\frac{\partial v(\varepsilon)}{\partial z}\right\|_{\left(L^{r}(\Omega)\right)^{3}} \leq C . \varepsilon^{\delta} . \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

We have, with incompressibility condition, for any $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1, r^{\prime}}(\Omega)$,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}_{\varepsilon}(v(\varepsilon)) \varphi d x^{\prime} d z=\int_{\Omega} d i v_{x^{\prime}} v(\varepsilon) \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \varphi d x^{\prime} d z+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial v_{3}(\varepsilon)}{\partial z} \varphi d x=0
$$

using Green's formula, we obtain

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial v_{3}(\varepsilon)}{\partial z} \varphi d x\right| \leq \varepsilon\|v(\varepsilon)\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, r^{\prime}}(\Omega)}
$$

which with (3.7) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial v_{3}(\varepsilon)}{\partial z}\right\|_{W^{-1, r}(\Omega)} \leq C \varepsilon^{\delta+1} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi \in\left(W_{0}^{1, r}(\Omega)^{3}\right.$, multiplying, the first equation of 1.5$)$, by $\varphi$ and integrating over $\Omega$, we obtain with Green's formula and Schwartz inequality

$$
\left\langle\nabla_{\varepsilon} p(\varepsilon), \varphi\right\rangle \leq \varepsilon^{\gamma}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon}(v(\varepsilon))\right|^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r^{r}}}\left\|D_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)\right\|_{\left(L^{r}(\Omega)\right)^{3}}+C\|\varphi\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} .
$$

Using the inequality $\left\|D_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)\right\|_{\left(L^{r}(\Omega)\right)^{3 \times 3}} \leq C \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot\|\nabla \varphi\|_{\left(L^{r}(\Omega)\right)^{3 \times 3}}$ and 3.7 we deduce

$$
\left\langle\nabla_{\varepsilon} p(\varepsilon), \varphi\right\rangle \leq C \varepsilon^{\gamma-1}\left\|D_{\varepsilon}(v(\varepsilon))\right\|^{\frac{r}{r^{\prime}}}\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, r}(\Omega)}+C\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1, r}(\Omega)}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|p(\varepsilon)\|_{L_{0}^{r^{\prime}}(\Omega)} \leq C ; \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\frac{\partial p(\varepsilon)}{\partial z}\right\|_{W^{-1, r^{\prime}}(\Omega)} \leq C \varepsilon \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) are direct consequence of the a priori estimates (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9).

Proposition 3.4. The function $\bar{u}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ defined by $\bar{u}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{h\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \hat{u}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) d z$ satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\operatorname{div}_{x^{\prime}}\left(\bar{u}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=0 & \text { in } \omega \\
\nu \cdot \bar{u}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0 & \text { on } \partial \omega \tag{3.10}
\end{array}
$$

where $\nu$ is the unit outward normal to $\partial \omega$.
Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\omega)$, using the incompressibility condition and Green's formula, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \nabla_{\varepsilon} \cdot u(\varepsilon)\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} d z \\
& =-\int_{\Omega} u(\varepsilon)\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} d z+\int_{\partial \Omega} u(\varepsilon)\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) \cdot n \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

as $u(\varepsilon) \cdot n=0$ on $\partial \Omega$, we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{x^{\prime}} \cdot\left(\int_{0}^{h\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \hat{u}(\varepsilon)\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) d z\right)=0  \tag{3.11}\\
&\left\|\int_{0}^{h\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \hat{u}(\varepsilon)\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) d z\right\|_{\left(L^{r}(\omega)\right)^{2}}^{r}=\int_{\omega}\left|\int_{0}^{h\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \hat{u}(\varepsilon)\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) d z\right|^{r} d x^{\prime} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\hat{u}(\varepsilon)\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)\right|^{r} d x^{\prime} d z\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{0}^{h\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \hat{u}(\varepsilon)\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) d z\right\|_{\left(L^{r}(\omega)\right)^{2}} \leq C \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi \in W^{1, r^{\prime}}(\omega)$, multiplying 3.11 by $\varphi$ and integrating over $\omega$, we obtain with Green's formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \int_{\partial \omega} \nu \cdot & \left(\int_{0}^{h\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \hat{u}(\varepsilon)\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) d z\right) \cdot \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d \gamma \mid \leq C \cdot\|\varphi\|_{W^{1, r^{\prime}}(\omega)} \\
& \left\|\nu \cdot\left(\int_{0}^{h\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \hat{u}(\varepsilon)\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) d z\right)\right\|_{W^{-\frac{1}{r}, r}(\omega)} \leq C
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we prove 3.10 passing to the limit in 3.11

## 4. Limit problem

Theorem 4.1. The cluster point $\left(\hat{u}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right), p\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ defined by (3.3) and 3.5 verify the following limit problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{-1}{2^{r / 2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial z}\right|^{r-2} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial z}\right)+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} p=\hat{f} \quad \text { in } W^{-1, r^{\prime}}(\Omega) \\
\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial z}\right|<\left(g\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \Rightarrow \hat{u}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)=0  \tag{4.1}\\
\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial z}\right|=\left(g\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \Rightarrow \exists \lambda \geq 0 \text { such that } \hat{u}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)=\lambda \hat{\tau}\left(x^{\prime}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\hat{\tau}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial z}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)\right|^{r-2} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial z}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)$.
Proof. To linearize the problem we use the Minty's lemma (see 6]), we obtain that (2.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon^{\gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon}(w)\right|^{r-2} D_{\varepsilon}(w) D_{\varepsilon}(w-v(\varepsilon)) d x+\int_{\Omega} p(\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_{\varepsilon}(w) d x+j(w)-j(v(\varepsilon)) \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} f(w-v(\varepsilon)) d x, \quad \forall w \in V^{r} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

as $u(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon^{-\delta} v(\varepsilon)$, we take in 4.2, $w=\left(\hat{w}, w_{3}\right)=\varepsilon^{\delta} w$ and we divide the inequality by $\varepsilon^{\delta}$, we obtain that $u(\varepsilon)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon^{r} \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon}(w)\right|^{r / 2} D_{\varepsilon}(w) D_{\varepsilon}(w-u(\varepsilon)) d x+\int_{\Omega} p(\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_{\varepsilon}(w) d x+j(w)-j(u(\varepsilon)) \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} f(w-u(\varepsilon)) d x, \quad \forall w \in V^{r} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Using proposition 3.1, we pass to the limit in the first term of 4.3), and we obtain that

$$
\varepsilon^{r} \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{\varepsilon}(w)\right|^{r / 2} D_{\varepsilon}(w) D_{\varepsilon}(w-u(\varepsilon)) d x
$$

converges to

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial z}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial w_{3}}{\partial z}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{r-2}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial\left(w_{i}-u_{i}\right)}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial w_{3}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial\left(w_{3}-u_{3}\right)}{\partial z}\right) d x
$$

With (2.9) we have that $u_{3}=0$, then we can choose $w_{3}=0$, using (3.3), (3.5) and the fact $j$ is convex and continuous, when passing to limit in (4.3), we get to $\hat{u}$ and $p$ are the solution of the following inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2^{r / 2}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial z}\right|^{r-2} \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial(\hat{w}-\hat{u})}{\partial z} d x+\left\langle\nabla_{x^{\prime}} p, \hat{w}-\hat{u}\right\rangle+j(\hat{w})-j(\hat{u})  \tag{4.4}\\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} \hat{f}(\hat{w}-\hat{u}) d x, \quad \forall \hat{w} \in V^{r}
\end{align*}
$$

Using again Minty's lemma, the last inequality is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2^{r / 2}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial z}\right|^{r-2} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial(\hat{w}-\hat{u})}{\partial z} d x+\left\langle\nabla_{x^{\prime}} p, \hat{w}-\hat{u}\right\rangle+j(\hat{w})-j(\hat{u}) \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} \hat{f}(\hat{w}-\hat{u}) d x, \quad \forall \hat{w} \in V^{r} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we use the density result shown in ([3]): there exists a sequence of functions in $V^{r}$ which has $\hat{u}$ as a limit in $\chi^{r}$, then we can take in 4.5 $\hat{w}=\hat{u} \pm \varphi$, where $\varphi \in\left(W_{0}^{1, r}(\Omega)\right)^{2}$, and we get the first equation of 4.1.

From 4.5, the first equation of (4.1) and by using Green's formula, we show that $\hat{u}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega}(g|\hat{w}|-\hat{\tau} \hat{w}) d \Gamma-\int_{\omega}(g|\hat{u}|-\hat{\tau} \hat{u}) d \Gamma \geq 0, \quad \forall \hat{w} \in\left(W^{1, r}(\Omega)\right)^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $\hat{w}$ in 4.6 such that $\hat{w}= \pm \lambda \hat{w}$, where $\lambda \geq 0$, we obtain that for all $\hat{w} \in\left(W_{0}^{1, r}(\Omega)\right)^{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\omega}(g|\hat{w}| \pm \hat{\tau} \hat{w}) d \Gamma \geq 0  \tag{4.7}\\
& \int_{\omega}(g|\hat{u}|-\hat{\tau} \hat{u}) d \Gamma \leq 0 \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we introduce the functional space

$$
\mathcal{I}=\left\{\psi \in\left(W^{1-\frac{1}{r}, r}(\partial \Omega)\right), \text { whith a compact support on } \omega\right\}
$$

From (4.7), we deduce that the function $\hat{w} \in \mathcal{I}, \hat{w} \rightarrow \int_{\omega} \hat{\tau} \hat{w} d \Gamma$ is continuous for the topology induced by $\left(L^{r}(\omega)\right)^{2}$. Since $g$ is in $L^{\infty}(\omega)$ and strictly positive, with 4.7 we have

$$
\int_{\omega}\left(g^{-1} \hat{\tau}\right)(g \hat{w}) d \Gamma \leq \int_{\omega} g|\hat{w}| d \Gamma=\|g \hat{w}\|
$$

Since $\mathcal{I}$ is dense in $L^{1}(\omega)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{-1} \hat{\tau} \in L^{\infty}(\omega) \quad \text { and } \quad|\hat{\tau}| \leq g \text { a.e. on } \omega . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which with (4.9) and using inequality (4.8) implies the boundary conditions on $\omega$.

Theorem 4.2. The limit problem 4.1 has a unique solution ( $\left.\hat{u}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right), p\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ in $\left(\chi^{r}\right)^{2} \times L_{0}^{r^{\prime}}(\omega)$.

Proof. The uniqueness will be proven by using proposition 3.2. As usual, we assume that the problem (4.1) has at least two solutions $\left(\hat{u}_{1}, p_{1}\right)$ and ( $\hat{u}_{2}, p_{2}$ ). Integrating the first equation with respect to $z$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2^{r / 2}}\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{1}}{\partial z}\right|^{r-2} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_{1}}{\partial z}=\tau_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-z\left(\hat{f}-\nabla_{x^{\prime}} p_{1}\right)  \tag{4.10}\\
& \frac{1}{2^{r / 2}}\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{2}}{\partial z}\right|^{r-2} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_{2}}{\partial z}=\tau_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-z\left(\hat{f}-\nabla_{x^{\prime}} p_{2}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{i}}{\partial z}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)\right|^{r-2} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_{i}}{\partial z}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right), i=1,2$. We consider the function $\eta_{r}$ defined by $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \eta_{r}(\xi)=|\xi|^{r-2} \xi$, which satisfies (see [14], [13]),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\eta_{r}\left(\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{2}}{\partial z}\right)-\eta_{r}\left(\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{1}}{\partial z}\right), \frac{\partial \hat{u}_{2}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{1}}{\partial z}\right) \\
& \geq \begin{cases}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{r-1}\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{2}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{1}}{\partial z}\right|^{r} & \text { if } r \geq 2 \\
(r-1)\left(\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{2}}{\partial z}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{1}}{\partial z}\right|\right)^{r-2}\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{2}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{1}}{\partial z}\right|^{2} & \text { if } 1<r<2 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\beta\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\tau_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\beta\left(x^{\prime}\right)+z \nabla_{x^{\prime}}\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\hat{u}_{2}-\hat{u}_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \begin{cases}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{r-1}\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{2}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{1}}{\partial z}\right|^{r} & \text { if } r \geq 2 \\
(r-1)\left(\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{2}}{\partial z}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{1}}{\partial z}\right|\right)^{r-2}\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{2}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{1}}{\partial z}\right|^{2} & \text { if } 1<r<2\end{cases} \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the boundary conditions on $\omega$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega}\left(\beta\left(x^{\prime}\right), \hat{u}_{2}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)-\hat{u}_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)\right) d x^{\prime} \geq 0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating 4.12) over $\Omega$, from Green's formula proposition 3.2 and 4.13), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{2}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{1}}{\partial z}\right|^{r}=0 \quad \text { if } r \geq 2 \quad \text { and }  \tag{4.14}\\
\left(\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{2}}{\partial z}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{1}}{\partial z}\right|\right)^{r-2}\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{2}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{1}}{\partial z}\right|^{2}=0 \quad \text { if } 1<r<2 . \tag{4.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally, since $\hat{u}_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, h\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=u_{2}\left(x^{\prime}, h\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$, we deduce that $\hat{u}_{2}=\hat{u}_{1}$ a.e. in $\Omega$ and $p_{2}=p_{1}$ a.e. on $\omega$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\delta>0$ and $0<\delta \leq h\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leq 1$. Then $p\left(x^{\prime}\right), \hat{\tau}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, and $\overline{\hat{u}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=$ $\int_{0}^{h\left(x^{\prime}\right)} \hat{u}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) d z$ satisfy $p\left(x^{\prime}\right) \in W^{1, r^{\prime}}(\omega)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{div}_{x^{\prime}}\left(\overline{\hat{u}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \omega, \\
\nu . \overline{\hat{u}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \omega .
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\hat{u}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)= & \int_{0}^{h\left(x^{\prime}\right)}\left(\int_{0}^{z}\left|\hat{\tau}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\gamma\left(x^{\prime}\right) \xi\right|^{r^{\prime}-2}\left(\hat{\tau}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\gamma\left(x^{\prime}\right) \xi\right) d \xi\right) d z \\
& +h\left(x^{\prime}\right) \int_{0}^{h\left(x^{\prime}\right)}\left|\gamma\left(x^{\prime}\right) \xi-\hat{\tau}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{r^{\prime}-2}\left(\gamma\left(x^{\prime}\right) \xi-\hat{\tau}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\gamma\left(x^{\prime}\right)=2^{r / 2}\left(\hat{f}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\nabla_{x^{\prime}} p\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
Proof. As a weak limit $\hat{u} \in\left(\chi^{r}\right)^{2}$, and then

$$
\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial z}\right|^{r-2} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial z} \in\left(L^{r^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{2}\right.
$$

Taking the test function $\hat{\phi}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=\mathcal{G}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \psi(z)$, for all $\mathcal{G} \in\left(C_{0}^{\infty}(\omega)\right)^{2}$, and for fixed $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(0, \delta), \psi \geq 0, \int_{0}^{\delta} \psi(z) d z=1$, we have by first equation of 4.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2^{r / 2}} \int_{\Omega} \hat{w} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial z} d x^{\prime} d z-\int_{\omega} p\left(x^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{div}_{x^{\prime}} \mathcal{G}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\int_{\omega} \hat{f}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mathcal{G}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ is regular, 4.16 shows that $\nabla_{x^{\prime}} p \in L^{r^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, and we have

$$
\frac{-1}{2^{r / 2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\left|\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial z}\right|^{r-2} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial z}\right)+\nabla_{x^{\prime}} p=\hat{f} \quad \text { in } L^{r^{\prime}}(\Omega)
$$

Integrating this equation twice with respect to $z$, we obtain

$$
\hat{u}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right)=\int_{0}^{z}\left|\hat{\tau}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\gamma\left(x^{\prime}\right) \xi\right|^{r^{\prime}-2}\left(\hat{\tau}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\gamma\left(x^{\prime}\right) \xi\right) d \xi+\hat{u}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)
$$

Since $\hat{u}\left(x^{\prime}, h\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$, we get

$$
\hat{u}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)=\int_{0}^{h\left(x^{\prime}\right)}\left|\gamma\left(x^{\prime}\right) \xi-\hat{\tau}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{r^{\prime}-2}\left(\gamma\left(x^{\prime}\right) \xi-\hat{\tau}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) d \xi
$$

Finally, we obtain the result for proposition 3.2.
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