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## AN INFINITE-HARMONIC ANALOGUE OF A LELONG THEOREM AND INFINITE-HARMONICITY CELLS

MOHAMMED BOUTALEB


#### Abstract

We consider the problem of finding a function $f$ in the set of $\infty$-harmonic functions, satisfying $$
\lim _{w \rightarrow \zeta}|\widetilde{f}(w)|=\infty, \quad w \in \mathcal{H}(D), \quad \zeta \in \partial \mathcal{H}(D)
$$ and being a solution to the quasi-linear parabolic equation $$
u_{x}^{2} u_{x x}+2 u_{x} u_{y} u_{x y}+u_{y}^{2} u_{y y}=0 \quad \text { in } D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$ where $D$ is a simply connected plane domain, $\mathcal{H}(D) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is the harmonicity cell of $D$, and $\widetilde{f}$ is the holomorphic extension of $f$. As an application, we show a $p$-harmonic behaviour of the modulus of the velocity of an arbitrary stationary plane flow near an extreme point of the profile.


## 1. Introduction

The complexification problems for partial differential equations in a domain $\Omega \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ include the introduction of a common domain $\widetilde{\Omega} \supset \Omega$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ to which all the solutions of a specified p.d.e. extend holomorphically. The complex domains in question are the so-called harmonicity cells $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, in [4], for the following set of $2 m$-order elliptic operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{m} u=\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^{2|\alpha|} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2 \alpha_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{n}^{2 \alpha_{n}}}=0, \quad m=1,2,3 \ldots \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

They often describe properties of physical processes which are governed by such a p.d.e [19]. The operator $\Delta^{2}$ has been widely studied in the literature, frequently in the contexts of biharmonic functions [3].

Motivation. Our objective is to introduce the complex domain $\widetilde{D}$, and the adequate solution $f=f_{\zeta}$ in the space of $\infty$-harmonic functions $\mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$, for equation (1.5), below. In view of Theorem 2.5, part 2, we assign a domain $\widetilde{D} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$, to the class $\mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$. The definition of $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$, is similar to the definition of $\mathcal{H}(D)$, although less explicit. Equation 1.5) is actually the formal limit, as

[^0]$p \rightarrow+\infty$, of the $p$-harmonic equation in $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \Delta u\right)=0, \quad 1<p<+\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

For every finite real $p>1$, the hodograph method transforms $\Delta_{p} u=0$ into a linear elliptic p.d.e. in the hodograph plane. Due to [5], the pull-back operation is possible from $\mathbb{R}^{2}\left(u_{x}, u_{y}\right)$ to the physical plane. Although linear, the obtained equation is not easily computed since its limit conditions become more complicated.

Preliminaries. Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2, \Omega \neq \emptyset, \partial \Omega \neq \emptyset$. In 1935, Aronszajn [3] introduced the notion of harmonicity cells in order to study the singularities of $m$-polyharmonic functions. These functions, used in elasticity calculus of plates, are $C^{\infty}$-solutions in $\Omega$ of 1.1 . Recall that $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ is the domain of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, whose trace $\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is $\Omega$, and represented by the connected component containing $\Omega$ of the open set $\mathbb{C}^{n}-\cup_{t \in \partial \Omega} \Gamma(t)$, where $\Gamma(t)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:\left(z_{1}-t_{1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(z_{n}-t_{n}\right)^{2}=0\right\}$ is the isotropic cone of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, with vertex $t \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Lelong [16] proved that $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ coincides with the set of points $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that there exists a path $\gamma$ satisfying: $\gamma(0)=z, \gamma(1) \in \Omega$ and $T[\gamma(\tau)] \subset \Omega$ for every $\tau$ in $[0,1]$, where $T$ is the Lelong transformation, mapping points $z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ to Euclidean $(n-2)$-spheres $S^{n-2}(x,\|y\|)$ of the hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined by: $\langle t-x, y\rangle=0$. If $\Omega$ is starshaped at $a_{0} \in \Omega, \mathcal{H}(\Omega)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} ; T(z) \subset \Omega\right\}$ is also starshaped at $a_{0}$. Furthermore, for bounded convex domains $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(\Omega)=\left\{z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \max _{t \in T(i y)} \max \left[\max _{\xi \in S^{n-1}}\left(\langle x+t, \xi\rangle-\max _{s \in \Omega}\langle\xi, s\rangle\right)\right]<0\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{n-1}$ is the Euclidean unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ 4, 6]. The harmonicity cell of the Euclidean unit ball $B_{n}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ gives a central example, since $\mathcal{H}\left(B_{n}\right)$ coincides with the Lie ball $L B=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} ; L(z)=\left[\|z\|^{2}+\sqrt{\|z\|^{4}-\left|z_{1}^{2}+\cdots+z_{n}^{2}\right|^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2}<1\right\}$, where $\|z\|=\left(\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Besides, representing also the fourth type of symmetric bounded homogenous irreducible domains of $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathcal{H}\left(B_{n}\right)$ has been studied (specially in dimension $n=4$ ) by theoretical physicits interested in a variety of different topics: particle physics, quantum field theory, quantum mechanics, statistical mechanics, geometric quantization, accelerated observers, general relativity and even harmony and sound analysis (For more details, see [11, 18, 19, 20].

From the point of view of complex analysis, Jarnicki [14 proved that if $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are two analytically homeomorphic plane domains of $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2}$ then their harmonicity cells $\mathcal{H}\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}\left(D_{2}\right)$ are also analytically homeomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. A generalization in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, n \geq 2$, of this Jarnicki Theorem is established by the author [8], as well as a characterization of polyhedric harmonicity cells in $\mathbb{C}^{2}[10]$. Furthermore, recall that if $\mathbf{A}(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{H a}(\Omega)$ denote the spaces of all real analytic and harmonic functions (respectively) in $\Omega$, then $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ is characterized by the following feature

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\cap_{f \in \mathbf{H a}(\Omega)} \Omega^{f}\right]^{0}=\mathcal{H}(\Omega) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $\left[\cap \Omega^{f}\right]^{0}=\emptyset$, when $f$ runs through $\mathbf{A}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega^{f}$ is the greatest domain of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ to which $f$ extends holomorphically. We emphasize that in $1.4, \Omega$ is actually required to be star-shaped at some point $a_{0}$, or a $C$-domain (that is, $\Omega$ contains the convex hull $\mathrm{Ch}\left(S^{n-2}\right)$ of any $(n-2)$-Euclidean sphere $S^{n-2}$ included in $\Omega$ ) or $\Omega \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{2 p}$ with $2 p \geq 4$, or $\Omega$ is a simply connected domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ (cf. [4]). The technique of holomorphic extension, used for harmonic functions in [22], has been generalized for solutions of partial differential equations with constant coefficients by Kiselman [15]. In a recent paper, Ebenfelt [12] considers the holomorphic extension to the
so-called kernel $\mathcal{N H}(\Omega)$ of $\Omega$ 's harmonicity cell, for solutions in simply connected domains $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, of linear elliptic partial differential equations of type: $\Delta^{k} u+$ $\sum_{|\alpha|<2 k} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} u=g$, where $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{H}(\Omega)=\{z \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega) ; \operatorname{Ch}[T(z)] \subset \Omega\}$. It can be observed that one of the central results in the theory of harmonicity cells is the following Lelong theorem (stated here in the harmonic case)

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Omega$ be a non empty domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, with non empty boundary and $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ its harmonicity cell in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. For every $\zeta \in \partial \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ there exists $f=f_{\zeta}$, a harmonic function in $\Omega$, which is the restriction to $\Omega=\mathcal{H}(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of a (unique) holomorphic function $\widetilde{f}_{\zeta}$ defined in $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ such that $\widetilde{f}_{\zeta}$ can not be extended holomorphically in any open neighborhood of $\zeta$.

Statement of the problem. In this paper we consider the simpler case of a nonempty plane domain $D$ (with $\partial D \neq \emptyset$ ) which we set to be simply connected and look for a suitable $\infty$-harmonic function $f_{\zeta}$ in $D$. We state the problem as follows:

Let $\zeta$ be a boundary point of $\mathcal{H}(D)$ and put $T(\zeta)=\left\{\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}, \bar{\zeta}_{1}+i \bar{\zeta}_{2}\right\}$. We will assume first that $\zeta$ belongs to $\Gamma\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right)$. The problem is to find a solution $f_{\zeta}$ in the classical sense, i.e. $f_{\zeta} \in C^{2}(D)$ and $f_{\zeta}$ a.e. continuous on $\partial D$ of the quasi-elliptic system:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{x_{1}}^{2} u_{x_{1} x_{1}}+2 u_{x_{1}} u_{x_{2}} u_{x_{1} x_{2}}+u_{x_{2}}^{2} u_{x_{2} x_{2}}=0 \quad \text { in } D  \tag{1.5}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}_{j}} \widetilde{u}=0 \quad j=1,2 \quad \text { in } \mathcal{H}(D)  \tag{1.6}\\
\lim _{w \rightarrow \zeta, w \in \mathcal{H}(D)}|\widetilde{u}(w)|=\infty . \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

This problem has already been considered in [16] in the harmonic case, and in [7] in the $p$-polyharmonic case. It has also been solved in the (non linear) $p$-harmonic case with $1<p<+\infty$ and $n=2$ [9]. We used in [9] radial $p$-harmonic functions and their stream functions, centered at points of $\partial D$; but this approach limited our results to finite real $p$ (with $p>1$ ) and to real valued $p$-harmonic functions. Our main result in the present paper consists of introducing infinite-harmonicity cells and proving an existence theorem for the $\infty$-Laplace equation. In Theorem 2.5 we prove that to $\zeta \in \partial \mathcal{H}(D)$ corresponds a $f_{\zeta} \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$ such that $\widetilde{f}_{\zeta}$ is holomorphic in $\mathcal{H}(D)$ and satisfies $\left|\widetilde{f}_{\zeta}(w)\right| \rightarrow \infty$, when $w \rightarrow \zeta$ with $w$ inside $\mathcal{H}(D)$.

## 2. Infinite-HARMONICITY CELLS

The next four propositions are used in this work and their proofs are found in the references as cited.

Proposition 2.1 ([16]). Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, $n \geq 2, \Omega \neq \emptyset, \partial \Omega \neq \emptyset$, and $\mathcal{H}(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be its harmonicity cell. For every point $\zeta \in \partial \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, the topological boundary of $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, one can associate a point $t \in \partial \Omega$, the topological boundary of $\Omega$, such that $\zeta \in \Gamma(t)$, the isotropic cone of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with vertex $t$.

Proposition 2.2 ([2, 17]). A classical solution $u=u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{2}$ of the partial differential equation

$$
\Delta_{\infty} u=u_{x_{1}}^{2} u_{x_{1} x_{1}}+2 u_{x_{1}} u_{x_{2}} u_{x_{1} x_{2}}+u_{x_{2}}^{2} u_{x_{2} x_{2}}=0
$$

in every non-empty domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, is real analytic in $D$, and cannot have $a$ stationary point without being constant

Proposition 2.3 (4). To every couple $(\Omega, f)$, where $\Omega$ is an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}=$ $\left\{x+i y \in \mathbb{C}^{n} ; y=0\right\}$ (equipped with the induced topology from $\mathbb{C}_{\widetilde{\Omega}}^{n}$ ), $f$ is a real analytic function on $D$, one can associate a couple $(\widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{f})$ such that $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is an open set of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ whose trace $\widetilde{\Omega} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the starting domain $\Omega$, and $\widetilde{f}$ is a holomorphic function in $\widetilde{\Omega}$ whose restriction $\widetilde{f} \mid \Omega$ to $\Omega$ coincides with $f$. Furthermore, (i) if $\Omega$ is connected, so is $\widetilde{\Omega}$; (ii) Among all the $\widetilde{\Omega}$ 's above, there exists a unique domain, denoted $\Omega^{f}$, which is maximal in the inclusion meaning.

Proposition 2.4 ([13]). Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a connected open set, $f$ and $g$ be two holomorphic functions in $A$ with values in a complex Banach space $E$. If there exists an open subset $U$ of $A$ such that $f(z)=g(z)$ for every $z$ in $U \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then $f(z)=g(z)$ for every $z$ in $A$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $D$ be a simply connected domain of $\mathbb{R}^{2} \simeq \mathbb{C}$, with $D \neq \emptyset$, and $\partial D \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{H}(D)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{2} ; z_{1}+i z_{2} \in D\right.$ and $\left.\bar{z}_{1}+i \bar{z}_{2} \in D\right\}$ be the harmonicity cell of $D$. Then
(1) For every $\zeta \in \partial \mathcal{H}(D)$, and every open neighbourhood $V_{\zeta}$ of $\zeta$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, there exists a classical $\left(\in C^{2}\right) \infty$-harmonic function $f_{\zeta}$ on $D$, whose complex extension is holomorphic in $\mathcal{H}(D)$, but cannot be analytically continued through $V_{\zeta}$.
(2) For the given domain $D$, let us denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$ the interior in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ of $\cap\left\{D^{u} ; u \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)\right\}$. The set $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$ which may be called the infinite-harmonicity cell of $D$, satisfies:
(a) The trace of $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is $D$, under the hypothesis that $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D) \neq \emptyset$
(b) $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$ is a connected open of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$
(c) The inclusion $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D) \subset \mathcal{H}(D)$ always holds
(d) If $D$ is such that every $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$ extends holomorphically to $\mathcal{H}(D)$ then $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D) \neq \emptyset$, and both the cells $\mathcal{H}(D)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$ coincide.
(e) Suppose $D$ is bounded and covered by a finite union of open rectangles $P_{2}^{r}\left(a_{j} ; \rho_{j 1}, \rho_{j 2}\right)$, centered at $a_{j} \in D, j=1, \ldots, m$, such that for every $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$

$$
\limsup _{n_{k} \rightarrow+\infty}\left[\frac{1}{\left(n_{k}\right)!}\left|\frac{\partial^{n_{k}} u}{\partial x_{k}^{n_{k}}}\left(a_{j}\right)\right|\right]^{1 / n_{k}} \leq \frac{1}{\rho_{j k}}, \quad k=1,2,1 \leq j \leq m
$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D) \supset \cup_{j=1}^{m} P_{2}^{c}\left(a_{j}, \rho_{j}\right)$, and therefore $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D) \neq \emptyset$.
In the proof of Theorem 2.5 we will use the following two lemmas.
Lemma 2.6. In every sector $-\pi<\theta<\pi$, the $\infty$-Laplace equation $\Delta_{\infty} u=0$ has a solution in the form $u=\frac{v(\theta)}{\rho}$, where $\theta=\operatorname{Arg} z, \rho=|z|$, and $v$ satisfies the ordinary differential equation (not containing $\theta$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2} v^{\prime \prime}+3 v\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 v^{3}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is clear that we have to use polar coordinates. With $x_{1}=\rho \cos \theta, x_{2}=$ $\rho \sin \theta$ in 1.5), we get by a simple calculation: $u_{x_{1}}=u_{\rho} \cos \theta-\frac{1}{\rho} u_{\theta} \sin \theta, u_{x_{2}}=$ $u_{\rho} \sin \theta+\frac{1}{\rho} u_{\theta} \cos \theta, u_{x_{1} x_{1}}=u_{\rho \rho} \cos ^{2} \theta+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} u_{\theta \theta} \sin ^{2} \theta-\frac{1}{\rho} u_{\theta \rho} \sin 2 \theta+\frac{1}{\rho} u_{\rho} \sin \theta+$ $\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} u_{\theta} \sin 2 \theta, u_{x_{2} x_{2}}=u_{\rho \rho} \sin ^{2} \theta+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} u_{\theta \theta} \cos ^{2} \theta+\frac{1}{\rho} u_{\theta \rho} \sin 2 \theta+\frac{1}{\rho} u_{\rho} \cos ^{2} \theta-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} u_{\theta} \sin 2 \theta$, $u_{x_{1} x_{2}}=\frac{1}{2} u_{\rho \rho} \sin 2 \theta-\frac{1}{2 \rho^{2}} u_{\theta \theta} \sin 2 \theta+\frac{1}{\rho} u_{\theta \rho} \cos 2 \theta-\frac{1}{2 \rho} u_{\rho} \sin 2 \theta-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} u_{\theta} \cos 2 \theta$. Finally, after expanding the terms and rearranging, the $\infty$-Laplace equation (1.5) takes the
form (in polar coordinates)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\infty} u=u_{\rho}^{2} u_{\rho \rho}+\frac{2 u_{\rho} u_{\theta} u_{\rho \theta}}{\rho^{2}}+\frac{u_{\theta}^{2} u_{\theta \theta}}{\rho^{4}}-\frac{u_{\rho} u_{\theta}^{2}}{\rho^{3}}=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $u=\frac{v(\theta)}{\rho}$ in 2.2 we find that $v$ satisfies the non-linear o.d.e. 2.1).
Lemma 2.7. Let $D$ be a simply connected domain in $\mathbb{C}, D \neq \emptyset, \partial D \neq \emptyset$. For every $t \in \partial D$, there exists a complex valued $\infty$-harmonic function in $D$ which cannot be extended continuously in any given open neighborhood of $t$.

Proof. Let us look for a solution of 1.5) in $D$ in the form $u(z)=\frac{v(\theta)}{|z-t|}$, where the argument $\theta$ is the unique angle in $]-\pi, \pi\left[\right.$ satisfying $z-t=e^{i \theta}|z-t|, v$ is assumed to be $C^{2}$ in $]-\pi, \pi[$. Note here that the simple connexity of $D$ guarantees that $u$ is uniform in $D$. As it can be shown that the $\infty$-Laplacien operator: $\Delta_{\infty} u=u_{x_{1}}^{2} u_{x_{1} x_{1}}+2 u_{x_{1}} u_{x_{2}} u_{x_{x} x_{2}}+u_{x_{2}}^{2} u_{x_{2} x_{2}}$ is invariant under translations $\tau_{a}$ of $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2}, z=x_{1}+i x_{2}, a=a_{1}+i a_{2}$ - that is $\Delta_{\infty}\left(u \circ \tau_{a}\right)=\left(\Delta_{\infty} u\right) \circ \tau_{a}$ - we may assume without loss of generality that $t=0$. Insertion of $v=e^{\gamma \theta}$, where $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant, in (2.1) gives: $\gamma^{4}+3 \gamma^{2}+2=0$ or $\left(\gamma^{2}+1\right)\left(\gamma^{2}+2\right)=0$. Take $\gamma=i$ and consider the $\infty$-harmonic function in $D$ defined by: $u(z)=\frac{e^{i \theta}}{|z-t|}$, or more explicitly:

$$
u(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\left\lvert\, \frac{z-t \mid}{} \exp \left(i \arcsin \frac{x_{2}-t_{2}}{|z-t|}\right)\right.} & \text { if } x_{1} \geq t_{1} \\ \frac{\pi}{|z-t|}-\frac{1}{|z-t|} \exp \left(i \arcsin \frac{x_{2}-t_{2}}{|z-t|}\right) & \text { if } x_{1}<t_{1} \text { and } x_{2}>t_{2} \\ \frac{-\pi}{|z-t|}-\frac{1}{|z-t|} \exp \left(i \arcsin \frac{x_{2}-t t_{2}}{|z-t|}\right) & \text { if } x_{1}<t_{1} \text { and } x_{2}<t_{2}\end{cases}
$$

The result follows immediately by taking the principal argument $z \mapsto \operatorname{Arg} z \in$ $]-\pi, \pi\left[\right.$; here for $\delta \in[-1,1]$, arcsin $\delta$ signifies the unique number $\beta$ in $\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ satisfying $\sin \beta=\delta$.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. For $\zeta \in \partial \mathcal{H}(D)$ there exists, due to Proposition 2.1, a boundary point $t$ of $D$, such that $\zeta \in \Gamma(t)$ (which is equivalent to $t \in T(\zeta)$ ). As $T(w)$ reduces in the two-dimensional case to the pair $\left\{w_{1}+i w_{2}, \quad \bar{w}_{1}+i \bar{w}_{2}\right\}$, we have $t=\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}$ or $t=\bar{\zeta}_{1}+i \bar{\zeta}_{2}$.
1.a. Suppose at first that $t=\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}$. By Lemma 2.6 we deduce that (1.5) has a solution $u(z)$ in $D$ in the form $|z-t|^{-1} e^{i \theta}$. We conclude then as the solutions of (1.5) are in particular real analytic in $D$ (Proposition 2.2), that the so-defined function $u(z)$ (given by Lemma 2.7) has a holomorphic extension $\widetilde{u}$ to a maximal domain $A_{1}=D^{u}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ (Proposition 2.3). Since $\mathcal{H}(D)$ is the connected component containing $D$ of the open $\mathbb{C}^{2}-\cup_{t^{\prime} \in \partial D}\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}^{2} ;\left(w_{1}-t_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(w_{2}-t_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right\}$, we have $A_{1} \supset \mathcal{H}(D)$. Substituting in $u(z)$ complex variables $w_{1}, w_{2}$ to real ones and putting $h(w)=\sqrt{\left(w_{1}-t_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(w_{2}-t_{2}\right)^{2}}$, we obtain

$$
\widetilde{u}(w)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{h(w)} \exp \left(i \arcsin \frac{w_{2}-t_{2}}{h(w)}\right) & \text { if } \operatorname{Re} w_{1} \geq t_{1} \\ \frac{\pi}{h(w)}-\frac{1}{h(w)} \exp \left(i \arcsin \frac{w_{2}-t_{2}}{h(w)}( \right. & \text { if } \operatorname{Re} w_{1}<t_{1} \text { and } \operatorname{Re} w_{2}>t_{2} \\ \frac{-\pi}{h(w)}-\frac{1}{h(w)} \exp \left(i \arcsin \frac{w_{2}-t_{2}}{h(w)}\right) & \text { if } \operatorname{Re} w_{1}<t_{1} \text { and } \operatorname{Re} w_{2}<t_{2},\end{cases}
$$

where the branches are taken such that the square root is positive when it is restricted to $D$, and for arcsin the branch is chosen such that its values are real (in
$]-\pi, \pi[)$ whenever $z$ belongs to $D$. To see that $\widetilde{u}(w)$ is holomorphic in $\mathcal{H}(D)$, we consider

$$
F(w)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{g(w)} \exp \left(i \arcsin \frac{w_{2}-\operatorname{Im}\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right)}{g(w)}\right) & \text { if } \operatorname{Re} w_{1} \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right) \\ \frac{\pi}{g(w)}-\frac{1}{g(w)} \exp \left(i \arcsin \frac{w_{2}-\operatorname{Im}\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right)}{g(w)}\right) & \text { if } \operatorname{Re} w_{1}<\operatorname{Re}\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right), \\ & \operatorname{Re} w_{2}>\operatorname{Im}\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right) \\ \frac{-\pi}{g(w)}-\frac{1}{g(w)} \exp \left(i \arcsin \frac{w_{2}-\operatorname{Im}\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right)}{g(w)}\right) & \text { if } \operatorname{Re} w_{1}<\operatorname{Re}\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right), \\ & \operatorname{Re} w_{2}<\operatorname{Im}\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right)\end{cases}
$$

where $g(w)=\sqrt{\left[\left(w_{1}+i w_{2}\right)-\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right)\right]\left[\left(\bar{w}_{1}+i \bar{w}_{2}\right)-\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right)\right]}$, and the branches are chosen as in $\widetilde{u}(w)$. Seeing that by [16], $\mathcal{H}(D)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}^{2} ; T(w) \subset D\right\}$, and noting that $g(w)=0$ if and only if $w \in \Gamma(t)$ with $t \in \partial D$, the function $F(w)$ is well defined in some open $A_{2} \supset \mathcal{H}(D)$. Observe that $\widetilde{u}$ and $F$ are both holomorphic in $A=A_{1} \cap A_{2}$ - since $\frac{\partial \widetilde{u}}{\partial \bar{w}_{j}}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{w}_{j}}=0$ in $A \supset \mathcal{H}(D), w_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}, j=1,2$ - having the same restriction on $D=U \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}$, with $U=\mathcal{H}(D):\left.\widetilde{u}\right|_{D}(z)=\left.F\right|_{D}(z)=u(z)$, with $z=x_{1}+i x_{2}$. By Proposition 2.4, we deduce that $\widetilde{u}=F$ in $\mathcal{H}(D)$. Furthermore, since $\zeta$ and $t$ satisfies $\left(\zeta_{1}-t_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\zeta_{2}-t_{2}\right)^{2}=0$, one has by letting $w \in \mathcal{H}(D)$ tend to $\zeta:|\widetilde{u}(w)|=\left|h(w)^{-1}\right| \rightarrow \infty$; consequently the function $\widetilde{u}(w)$ cannot be extended holomorphically across $\zeta \in \partial \mathcal{H}(D)$.
1.b If $t=\bar{\zeta}_{1}+i \bar{\zeta}_{2}$, the function $G(w)$ defined in the same way by substituting $\bar{\zeta}_{1}+i \bar{\zeta}_{2}$ to $\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}$ in $F(w)$ (with similar branches) satisfies: (i) $G(w)$ exists for every $w \in \mathcal{H}(D)$, (ii) $G(w)$ is holomorphic in $\mathcal{H}(D)$, (iii) $G(w)$ cannot be extended holomorphically to any open neighborhood of $\zeta$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ (since $|G(w)| \rightarrow \infty$ when $w \in \mathcal{H}(D) \rightarrow \zeta$ ), (iv) The restriction of $G(w)$ on $D$ is an infinite-harmonic function on $D$.
2) It might happen that the set $\cap\left\{D^{u} ; u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)\right\}$ reduces to only the starting domain $D$, we would obtain thus an empty $\infty$-harmonicity cell, and consequently (b), (c) are held to be true if this eventual case occur.
(a) Suppose the above intersection is of non empty interior in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Since $D$ is considered as a relative domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with respect to the induced topology from $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, and since $D^{u} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}=D$ for every $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$, we have: $\cap\left\{D^{u} ; u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)\right\} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}=$ $\cap\left\{D^{u} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2} ; u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)\right\}=D$; so $\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)=\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D) \cap \mathbb{R}^{2} \subset D$. On the other hand, since $D \subset D^{u}$ for every $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$, we have $D \subset\left(\cap_{u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)} D^{u}\right) \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Moreover, from the real analyticity of a function $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$ in $D$, we deduce that for every point $a \in D$, there exist radius $\rho_{j}^{u}=\rho_{j}^{u}(a)>0, j=1,2$, small enough such that $u(z)=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} a_{\alpha}(z-a)^{\alpha}$, for all $z$ in the rectangle $P_{2}^{r}\left(a, \rho_{j}^{u}(a)\right)=\{x \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{2} ;\left|x_{j}-a_{j}\right|<\rho_{j}^{u}(a), j=1,2\right\} \subset D$, where $(z-a)^{\alpha}=\left(x_{1}-a_{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{2}-a_{2}\right)^{\alpha_{2}}$. Substituting $w \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ to $z$, we obtain $\widetilde{u}(w)=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} a_{\alpha}(w-a)^{\alpha}$ which is of course holomorphic in the complex bidisk $P_{2}^{c}\left(a, \rho_{j}^{u}(a)\right)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}^{2} ;\left|w_{j}-a_{j}\right|<\rho_{j}^{u}(a), j=\right.$ $1,2\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$, where $(w-a)^{\alpha}=\left(w_{1}-a_{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\left(w_{2}-a_{2}\right)^{\alpha_{2}}$, the chosen branch being such that the restriction of $(w-a)^{\alpha}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is $>0$. Thus the domain of holomorphic extension of $u$ is nothing else but the union of all the $P_{2}^{c}\left(a, \rho_{j}^{u}(a)\right)$ 's with $a$ running through $D$. The above construction involves $D \subset\left[\cap\left\{D^{u} ; u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)\right\}\right]^{0} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}$; so one has $\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)=D$.
(b) Let $w, w^{\prime}$ be two arbitrary points in $B=\cap\left\{D^{u} ; u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)\right\}$. By (a), $B=\cap_{u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)} \cup_{a \in D} P_{2}^{c}\left(a, \rho_{j}^{u}(a)\right)$, where $\rho_{j}^{u}(a), j=1,2$, are the greatest radius corresponding to the power series expansion of $u$ at $a$. Note that the set $B$
is connected in case the above intersection reduces to $D$. Suppose then $B \neq D$ and take $w, w^{\prime}$ in $B$. Since $w, w^{\prime}$ are in $D^{u}$ for every $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$, there exist, by construction of $D^{u}$, $a, a^{\prime} \in D$, such that $w \in P_{2}^{c}\left(a, \rho_{j}^{u}(a)\right)$, and $w^{\prime} \in P_{2}^{c}\left(a^{\prime}, \rho_{j}^{u}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Putting $\rho_{j}(a)=\inf \left\{\rho_{j}^{u}(a) ; u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)\right\}, \rho_{j}\left(a^{\prime}\right)=\inf \left\{\rho_{j}^{u}\left(a^{\prime}\right) ; u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)\right\}$, we obtain $w \in P_{2}^{c}\left(a, \rho_{j}(a)\right), w^{\prime} \in P_{2}^{c}\left(a^{\prime}, \rho_{j}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right)$, with $\rho_{j}(a) \geq 0$ and $\rho_{j}\left(a^{\prime}\right) \geq 0$. Let then $\beta$ denote a path in $D$ joining $\operatorname{Re} w \in P_{2}^{r}\left(a, \rho_{j}(a)\right) \subset D$ to $\operatorname{Re} w^{\prime} \in P_{2}^{r}\left(a^{\prime}, \rho_{j}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right) \subset D$. The path $\gamma$, constituted successively with the paths $[w, \operatorname{Re} w], \beta$, and $\left[\operatorname{Re} w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right]$ joins $w$ to $w^{\prime}$ and is included into the union $P_{2}^{c}\left(a, \rho_{j}(a)\right) \cup D \cup P_{2}^{c}\left(a^{\prime}, \rho_{j}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right) \subset D^{u}$. We conclude that $\gamma \subset B, B$ is connected and therefore so is $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)=B^{0}$.
(c) By contradiction, suppose that $\mathcal{H}(D)$ does not contain $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$. Take $w_{0} \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$ with $w_{0} \notin \mathcal{H}(D)$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$ is connected and $D \subset \mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$, there would exist a continuous path $\gamma_{w_{0}, a}$ joining $w_{0}$ to some point $a \in D$, with $\gamma_{w_{0}, a} \subset$ $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$. Next, due to the inclusion $D \subset \mathcal{H}(D)$, we ensure the existence of a point $\zeta_{0}$ belonging to $\gamma_{w_{0}, a} \cap \partial \mathcal{H}(D)$. Due to Part 1 above, to the boundary point $\zeta_{0}$ of $\mathcal{H}(D)$ corresponds some function $f_{\zeta_{0}}$ which is $\infty$-harmonic in $D$ and whose extension $\widetilde{f_{\zeta_{0}}}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ is a holomorphic function in $\mathcal{H}(D)$ which can not be holomorphically continued beyond $\zeta_{0}$. Now, the $\infty$-harmonicity cell $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$ is characterized by: (i) Every $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$ is the restriction on $D$ of a holomorphic function $\widetilde{u}: \mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$; (ii) $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$ is the maximal domain of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, in the inclusion sense, whose trace on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is $D$, and satisfying (i). Then $\widetilde{f_{\zeta_{0}}}$ is not holomorphic at $\zeta_{0}$ with $\zeta_{0}$ inside $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$, which contradicts the property (i). Consequently, the inclusion $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D) \subset \mathcal{H}(D)$ always holds.
(d) By Proposition 2.3, given $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$, there exists a maximal domain $D^{u} \subset$ $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ to which $u$ extends holomorphically. The domain $D^{u}$ is then a domain of holomorphy of $\widetilde{u}$ (also called domain of holomorphy of $u$ ). Suppose that every $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$ extends holomorphically to $\mathcal{H}(D)$. One has then $\mathcal{H}(D) \subset D^{u}$, for every $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$; therefore, $\mathcal{H}(D)=\mathcal{H}(D)^{0} \subset\left[\cap_{u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)} D^{u}\right]^{0}=\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$. The result follows by (c).
(e) Due to Proposition 2.2, every $\infty$-harmonic function $u$ in $D$ is in particular real analytic in $D$, and thereby partially real analytic in $D$. Since $D \subset \cup_{j=1}^{m} P_{2}^{r}\left(a_{j}, \rho_{j}\right)$, there exist open rectangles $P_{2}^{r}\left(a_{j}, \rho_{j}^{u}\right) \subset D$ in which $u$ writes as the sum of a power series in $\left(x_{1}-a_{j 1}\right)\left(x_{2}-a_{j 2}\right)$. More, the convergence radius $\rho_{j 1}^{u}, \rho_{j 2}^{u}$ corresponding to the development of $x_{1} \mapsto u\left(x_{1}, a_{j 2}\right)$ and $x_{2} \mapsto u\left(a_{j 1}, x_{2}\right)$ at $a_{j 1}$ and $a_{j 2}$ (respectively) are given by $\rho_{j k}^{u}=\left\{\lim \sup _{n_{k} \rightarrow+\infty}\left[\left.\frac{1}{\left(n_{k}\right)!} \frac{\partial^{n_{k} u}}{\partial x_{k}^{n_{k}}}\left(a_{j}\right) \right\rvert\,\right]^{1 / n_{k}}\right\}^{-1} k=1,2$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. By assumption, the given covering of $D$ satisfies $\inf _{u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)} \rho_{j k}^{u} \geq \rho_{j k}$, that is for every $x \in P_{2}^{r}\left(a_{j}, \rho_{j}\right)$ :

$$
u(x)=\sum_{n_{1} \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n_{1}!n_{2}!} \frac{\partial^{n_{1}+n_{2}} u}{\partial x_{1}^{n_{1}} \partial x_{2}^{n_{2}}}\left(a_{j}\right)\left(x_{1}-a_{j 1}\right)^{n_{1}}\left(x_{2}-a_{j 2}\right)^{n_{2}}
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), a_{j}=\left(a_{j 1}, a_{j 2}\right)$ and $\rho_{j}=\left(\rho_{j 1}, \rho_{j 2}\right)$. It is clear that the complex series obtained by substituting $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ to $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ is convergent on every complex bidisk $P_{2}^{c}\left(a_{j}, \rho_{j}\right)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}^{2} ;\left|w_{1}-a_{j 1}\right|<\rho_{j 1}\right.$ and $\left.\left|w_{2}-a_{j 2}\right|<\rho_{j 2}\right\}$. Due to the maximality of $D^{u}$, we have $\cup_{j=1}^{m} P_{2}^{c}\left(a_{j}, \rho_{j}\right) \subset D^{u}$ for every $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$, and thereby $\cup_{j=1}^{m} P_{2}^{c}\left(a_{j}, \rho_{j}\right) \subset \cap\left\{D^{u} ; u \in \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)\right\}$. The last union being an open set, one deduces that $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D) \supset \cup_{j=1}^{m} P_{2}^{c}\left(a_{j}, \rho_{j}\right)$; this mean in particular that $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D) \neq$ $\emptyset$.

Remark 2.8. The significant fact of the inclusion $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D) \subset \mathcal{H}(D)$ is that the common complex domain $\widetilde{D}$, denoted $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D)$, for the whole class $\mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$, cannot pass beyond $\mathcal{H}(D)$. Nevertheless, given a specified $\infty$-harmonic function $u$ in $D$, we may have: $D^{u} \supset \mathcal{H}(D)$ with $D^{u} \neq \mathcal{H}(D)$.

Example 2.9. Consider $D=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; x_{1}>0, x_{2}>0\right\}$, and look for a $C^{2}$ solution $u$ in $D$ of $\Delta_{\infty} u=0$ in the form $u=A x_{1}^{\alpha}+B x_{2}^{\beta}$ (where $A, B, \alpha, \beta$ are constant). Since $\Delta_{\infty} u=A^{3} \alpha^{3}(\alpha-1) x_{1}^{3 \alpha-4}+B^{3} \beta^{3}(\beta-1) x_{2}^{3 \beta-4}$, we deduce that $u=x_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}}-x_{2}^{\frac{4}{3}}$ is a classical $\infty$-harmonic function $u$ in $D$. Putting $w_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}$, $j=1,2$ and $\widetilde{u}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=w_{1}^{\frac{4}{3}}-w_{2}^{\frac{4}{3}}$, where the branch is chosen such that the restriction of $\widetilde{u}$ to $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a real valued function, we observe that $\widetilde{u}$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^{2}-\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)$, where $L_{1}=\mathbb{C} \times\{0\}, L_{2}=\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$, and $\widetilde{u} \mid D=u$. Since $\mathbb{C}^{2}-\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)=\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a domain (connected open) in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, we deduce that $D^{u}=\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$. The harmonicity cell of $D$ is given explicitly by the set of all $w \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ satisfying: $w_{1}+i w_{2}=x_{1}-y_{2}+i\left(x_{2}+y_{1}\right) \in D$ and $\bar{w}_{1}+i \bar{w}_{2}=x_{1}+y_{2}+i\left(x_{2}-y_{1}\right) \in D$ (here $\mathbb{R}^{2} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ ). Thus $\mathcal{H}(D)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}^{2} ; x_{1}>\left|y_{2}\right|\right.$ and $\left.x_{2}>\left|y_{1}\right|\right\} \subset D^{u}$, and $\mathcal{H}(D) \neq D^{u}$.

Remark 2.10. The inclusion $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D) \subset \mathcal{H}(D)$ can be strengthened. Indeed, let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain, with smooth boundary, and let $\mathbf{H}_{q r}(D)$ denote the sub-class of all $\infty$-harmonic functions which are quasi-radial with respect to some boundary point of $D$. A function $u \in \mathbf{H}_{q r}(D)$ if there exists $t \in \partial D$ such that $u(z)=\rho^{m} f(\theta)$, where $z=t+\rho e^{i \theta} \in D, f$ is a real or complex-valued $C^{2}$ function in $]-\pi, \pi[$, and $m$ is a constant (no restriction on $m$ also). Note that by Aronsson [2], $\mathbf{H}_{q r}(D)$ is not empty. For instance, for $m>1$, one can find functions $Z=f(\theta)$ in parametric representation: $Z=\frac{C}{m}\left(1-\frac{1}{m} \cos ^{2} \tau\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \cos \tau$, $\theta=\theta_{0}+\int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau} \frac{\sin ^{2} \tau^{\prime}}{m-\cos ^{2} \tau^{\prime}} d \tau^{\prime}, \tau_{1}<\tau<\tau_{2}\left(C, \theta_{0}, \tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right.$ are constants). Similarly, let $\mathcal{H}_{q r}(D)$ denote the complex domain $\widetilde{D}$ corresponding to $\mathbf{H}_{q r}(D)$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{q r}(D)=$ $\left[\cap_{u \in \mathbf{H} q r(D)} D^{u}\right]^{0}, \mathbf{H}_{q r}(D) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\infty}(D)$, and the constructed function $f_{\zeta}$ in the proof of Theorem 2.5 is quasi-radial, we have: $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(D) \subset \mathcal{H}_{q r}(D) \subset \mathcal{H}(D)$.

Remark 2.11. To see that the property: $\lim _{w \rightarrow \zeta}|\tilde{f}(w)|=\infty,(w \in \mathcal{H}(D), \zeta \in$ $\partial \mathcal{H}(D))$ may fail, we give the following example.

Example 2.12. Let $D$ be an arbitrary simply connected plane domain, $D \neq \emptyset$, $\partial D \neq \emptyset$. For a fixed $\zeta \in \partial \mathcal{H}(D)$, take $t=\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2} \in T(\zeta)$ and consider

$$
F(w)=\sqrt{\left(w_{1}-t_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(w_{2}-t_{2}\right)^{2}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{w_{2}-t_{2}}{w_{1}-t_{1}}\right)
$$

where the branches are taken such that their restriction to $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is positive for the square root and in ] $-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ for $\operatorname{arctg})$. This function verifies: $F(w)$ is well defined and holomorphic on $\mathcal{H}(D)$, its restriction $f$ to $D$ is $\infty$-harmonic in $D$ since $f(z)=\sqrt{\rho} e^{\theta / 2}$ where $z-t=\rho e^{i \theta}$; nevertheless $\lim _{w \rightarrow \zeta}|F(w)|=0$. Indeed, if $\zeta$ is assumed in $\partial \mathcal{H}(D)-\partial D$, one has $w_{1}+i w_{2} \rightarrow \zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}$, so that $\left(w_{1}-t_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(w_{2}-t_{2}\right)^{2}=$ $\left[\left(w_{1}+i w_{2}\right)-\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right)\right]\left[\left(\bar{w}_{1}+i \bar{w}_{2}\right)-\left(\zeta_{1}+i \zeta_{2}\right)\right] \rightarrow 0$; on the other hand, by definition of $T(\zeta),\left(\zeta_{1}-t_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\zeta_{2}-t_{2}\right)^{2}=0$, thus $\arctan \frac{w_{2}-t_{2}}{w_{1}-t_{1}} \rightarrow \arctan \frac{\zeta_{2}-t_{2}}{\zeta_{1}-t_{1}}=$ $\arctan \pm i= \pm i \infty$, and $\left|\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{w_{2}-t_{2}}{w_{1}-t_{1}}\right)\right| \rightarrow 1$. Otherwise, the result is immediate if $\zeta \in \partial D \subset \partial \mathcal{H}(D)$.
sectionHolomorphic extension in Fluids dynamic
In this section, we consider two general examples where the above techniques, of complexification and analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, are used for the study of some physical problems. The main application we are interested in is the problem of the behaviour of a flow near an extreme point. In the following, $\mathbf{H}_{p}(D)$ denotes the class of all $p$-harmonic functions on $D$.

Proposition 2.13. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary profile limited by a connected closed curve $C$, and consider a stationary plane flow round $D$ defined by the data of a vanishing point and its velocity $V_{\infty}$ at the infinite. Suppose that $C$ contains two straight segments $\left[a, z_{1}\right],\left[a, z_{2}\right]$ originated at $a=a_{1}+i a_{2}$ and forming an angle $\nu \pi, 0<\nu<1$. Then there exist a suitable real $p>1$ and an open simply connected neighborhood $U$ of $a$, such that the quasi-linear p.d.e: $\Delta_{p} u=|\nabla u|^{2} \Delta u+$ $(p-2) \Delta_{\infty} u=0$, has a radial (with respect to a) positive solution $\varphi$ in $U$, which approximates the modulus of the velocity $V(z)$ of the fluid. More precisely:
(i) $|V(z)| \sim \varphi(z)$ as $z \rightarrow a,(z \in U)$.
(ii) $\varphi \in \mathbf{H}_{(3 \nu-4) /(2 \nu-2)}(U)$.
(iii) Let $C>0$ be a constant, and put $\delta=\left(\frac{2-\nu}{\nu} C\right)^{(\nu-2) /(2 \nu-2)}$; then a stream function $\varphi_{c}$ associated with a function $\varphi$ of the form $C|z-a|^{\nu /(2-\nu)}$ is given by

$$
\varphi_{c}\left(x_{1}+i x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\delta \arcsin \frac{x_{2}-a_{2}}{|z-a|} & \text { if } x_{1} \geq a_{1} \\ \delta \pi-\delta \arcsin \frac{x_{2}-a_{2}}{|z-a|} & \text { if } x_{1}<a_{1} \text { and } x_{2}>a_{2} \\ -\delta \pi-\delta \arcsin \frac{x_{2}-a_{2}}{|z-a|} & \text { if } x_{1}<a_{1} \text { and } x_{2}<a_{2}\end{cases}
$$

which is $q$-harmonic in $U$, with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, ; that is, $\varphi_{c} \in \mathbf{H}_{(3 \nu-4) /(\nu-2)}(U)$.
Proof. Since $D$ is connected and simply connected, there exists an injective holomorphic transformation $f_{1}$ sending the closed lower half-plane

$$
P^{-}-\{-i\}=\{\beta \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} \beta \leq 0, \beta \neq-i\}
$$

sharpened at $-i$, onto the the exterior of $D$, with $a=f_{1}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im} \beta_{0}=0$. The composed map $\gamma=g_{1}(\beta)=\left[f_{1}(\beta)-a\right]^{1 /(2-\nu)}$ is holomorphic, injective, and sends an open simply connected neighborhood $\mathcal{V}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \subset P^{-}-\{-i\}$ of $\beta_{0}$ onto a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}(0) \subset P_{1}$, where $P_{1} \subset \mathbb{C}[\gamma]$ is one of the half-planes limited by the straight line passing through $\gamma_{1}=\left(z_{1}-a\right)^{1 /(2-\nu)}$ and $\gamma_{2}=\left(z_{2}-a\right)^{1 /(2-\nu)}$. Due to the symmetry principle of Schwartz [13], the function $g_{1}$ extends as a holomorphic function $\widetilde{g_{1}}$ in some open simply connected neighborhood $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}-\{-i\}, \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \supset \mathcal{V}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$. Thus, for every $\beta \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$, one has the absolutely convergent expansion for $\widetilde{g_{1}}$ : $\widetilde{g_{1}}(\beta)=\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} A_{j}\left(\beta-\beta_{0}\right)^{j}$. Moreover, seeing that $\widetilde{g_{1}}$ is holomorphic and injective in a neighborhood of $\beta_{0}$, the first coefficient $A_{1}=\left[\widetilde{g_{1}}\right]^{\prime}\left(\beta_{0}\right)=g_{1}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$ is $\neq 0$. This implies in particular: $f_{1}(\beta)=a+\left(\beta-\beta_{0}\right)^{2-\nu} f_{2}(\beta)$, for $\beta \in \mathcal{V}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$, where the function $f_{2}(\beta)=\left[\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} A_{j}\left(\beta-\beta_{0}\right)^{j-1}\right]^{2-\nu}$ is a holomorphic function in $\mathcal{V}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$ and may be taken uniform (seeing that $A_{1}$ is $\neq 0$ ) and holomorphic in a certain open neighborhood $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{1}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$. Thus, for $\beta \in \mathcal{V}_{1}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$, one has $f_{1}(\beta)=a+(\beta-$ $\left.\beta_{0}\right)^{2-\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} B_{j}\left(\beta-\beta_{0}\right)^{j}$, and

$$
f_{1}(\beta)-a \sim B_{0}\left(\beta-\beta_{0}\right)^{2-\nu} \quad \text { as } \beta \rightarrow \beta_{0}
$$

where $B_{0}=A_{1}^{2-\nu}$. Recall that the flow is supposed to be held round a profile $D$ with an angular point $a$. Due to the well known Chaplygine condition, the vanishing point of the current moves under the effect of viscosity and the formation of whirlpools, to the extreme point $a$ of $\bar{D}$. As a simple calculus reveals, the complex potential of the flow round $D$ is given by:

$$
w=f(z)=R e^{-i \theta} g(z)+\frac{R e^{i \theta} r^{2}}{g(z)}-[2 i r R \sin (\psi-\theta)] \ln (z)
$$

where $\mu=g(z)$ is the bijective holomorphic function from $D^{c}$, the exterior of $D$, onto the domain $|\mu|>r$. The values of $r$ and $\psi$ are such that $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} g(z)=\infty$, $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} g^{\prime}(z)=1, \mu_{0}=g(a)=r e^{i \psi}$ and $V_{\infty}=R e^{i \theta}$ is the velocity at the infinite. The holomorphic bijection $f_{3}=f_{1}^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$ maps $\{|\mu| \geq r\}$ onto $P^{-}-\{-i\}$. Thus (2) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1} \circ f_{3}(\mu)-f_{1} \circ f_{3}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \sim B_{0}\left[f_{3}(\mu)-f_{3}\left(\mu_{0}\right)\right]^{2-\nu} \quad \text { as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_{0} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \neq 0$ one has $f_{3}(\mu)-f_{3}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \sim f_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{0}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{0}\right)$ as $\mu \rightarrow \mu_{0}$, so that 2.3) implies $g^{-1}(\mu)-g^{-1}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \sim C_{0}\left(\mu-\mu_{0}\right)^{2-\nu}$, where

$$
C_{0}=B_{0} f_{3}^{\prime}\left(\mu_{0}\right)^{2-\nu}=\left[\frac{g_{1}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \cdot g^{\prime}(a)}{f_{1}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{0}\right)}\right]^{2-\nu}
$$

that is, $g(z)-g(a) \sim C_{0}^{1 /(\nu-2)}(z-a)^{1 /(2-\nu)}$ as $z \rightarrow a$. Consequently, near the vanishing point $a$ of the flow, the derivative of $g$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(z) \sim \frac{g(z)-g(a)}{z-a} \sim C_{0}^{1 /(\nu-2)}(z-a)^{1 /(2-\nu)-1}=C_{0}^{1 /(\nu-2)}(z-a)^{(\nu-1) /(2-\nu)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $z \rightarrow a$. On the other hand, putting $\mu=g(z)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f}{d \mu}=R e^{-i \theta}-R e^{i \theta} \frac{r^{2}}{\mu^{2}}-\frac{2 i r R}{\mu} \sin (\psi-\theta) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the velocity satisfies $V(z)=f^{\prime}(z)$, for $z \in D^{c}$, Equality 2.5) at $\mu_{0}$ gives

$$
R e^{-i \theta}-R e^{i \theta} \frac{r^{2}}{\mu_{0}^{2}}-\frac{2 i r R}{\mu_{0}} \sin (\psi-\theta)=0
$$

From the above equation and 2.5), we get for $|\mu| \geq r: \frac{d f}{d \mu}(\mu)-\frac{d f}{d \mu}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=$ $\left(\mu-\mu_{0}\right) h(\mu)$, with $h(\mu)=r^{2} R e^{i \theta} \frac{\mu+\mu_{0}}{\mu^{2} \mu_{0}^{2}}+\frac{2 i r R}{\mu \mu_{0}} \sin (\psi-\theta)$. By a simple calculus, $\lim _{\mu \rightarrow \mu_{0}} h(\mu)=\frac{2 R}{r} e^{-2 i \psi} \cos (\theta-\psi) \neq 0$, here we will have to suppose that $V_{\infty}$ is such that $\theta \neq \psi \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ (otherwise, if $\theta=\psi \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$, a direct calculus will do). Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f}{d \mu} \sim D_{0}(z-a)^{1 /(2-\nu)} \quad \text { as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_{0} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $D_{0}=2 C_{0}^{1 /(\nu-2)} R \cos (\theta-\psi) /\left(r e^{2 i \psi}\right)$. Writing $\frac{d f}{d z}=\frac{d f}{d \mu} \cdot \frac{d \mu}{d z}$ and combining 2.4 and 2.6), we obtain the equivalence $\frac{d f}{d z} \sim C_{0}^{1 /(\nu-2)} D_{0}(z-a)^{1 /(2-\nu)}(z-a)^{\frac{\nu-1}{2-\nu}}$ as $z \rightarrow a$. Consequently $|V(z)| \sim C|z-a|^{\nu /(2-\nu)}$, where

$$
C=\frac{2 R|\cos (\theta-\psi)|}{r}\left|\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{0}\right)}{\left.g_{1}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \cdot g^{\prime} a\right)}\right| .
$$

Therefore, (i) and (ii) may be obtained by taking $p=\frac{3 \nu-4}{2 \nu-2}, \eta=0, \varepsilon=\frac{\nu C}{2-\nu}$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.14. For every real $p>1$ and fixed complex point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$, the $p$-Laplace equation (1.2) has radial solutions (with respect to the origin point $z_{0}$ ) defined in any sharpened disk $X^{*}$ at $z_{0}: X^{*}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} ; 0<\left|z-z_{0}\right|<R_{0}\right\}$. All these functions may be given by: $\varepsilon \frac{p-1}{p-2}\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}+\eta$, if $p \neq 2$, and $\varepsilon \ln \left|z-z_{0}\right|+\eta$, if $p=2$, where $a, b$ are arbitrary in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.14. Since $\Delta_{p}\left(u \circ \tau_{z_{0}}\right)=\left(\Delta_{p} u\right) \circ \tau_{z_{0}}$, we may assume that $z_{0}=0$. Firstly, the case $p=2$ is well known since a 2 -harmonic function $u$ in a domain $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is also harmonic outside the zeros of $\operatorname{grad} u$. If $p \neq 2$ and if $x=\rho \cos \theta$, $y=\rho \sin \theta$ is used in the $p$-Laplace equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p} u=(p-2)\left[u_{x}^{2} u_{x x}+2 u_{x} u_{y} u_{x y}+u_{y}^{2} u_{y y}\right]+\left(u_{x}^{2}+u_{y}^{2}\right)\left(u_{x x}+u_{y y}\right)=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we observe, via a simple substitution of $u_{x}, u_{y}, u_{x x}, u_{y y}, u_{x y}$, expressed by means of the polar coordinates $(\rho, \theta)$, and taking into account that the usual Laplace operator $\Delta$, and the gradient of $u$ give in polar form: $\Delta u=u_{\rho \rho}+\rho^{-1} u_{\rho}+\rho^{-2} u_{\theta \theta}$ ,$|\nabla u|^{2}=u_{\rho}^{2}+\rho^{-2} u_{\theta}^{2}$, that 2.7) takes the form
$\Delta_{p} u=(p-2)\left[u_{\rho}^{2} u_{\rho \rho}+\frac{2 u_{\rho} u_{\theta} u_{\rho \theta}}{\rho^{2}}+\frac{u_{\theta}^{2} u_{\theta \theta}}{\rho^{4}}-\frac{u_{\rho} u_{\theta}^{2}}{\rho^{3}}\right]+\left(u_{\rho}^{2}+\frac{u_{\theta}^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\right)\left[u_{\rho \rho}+\frac{u_{\rho}}{\rho}+\frac{u_{\theta \theta}}{\rho^{2}}\right]=0$
To look for a radial solution $u$ of (2.7), it suffices to put $u(x+i y)=h(\rho)$ in (2.8). We obtain $\Delta_{p} u=\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left[(p-1) h^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\rho} h^{\prime}\right]=0$ which is computed without difficulty and gives the result stated in Lemma 2.14 ,
(iii) Writing 2.7 in the divergence form, and seing that $U$ is simply connected, one can associate to $\varphi=C|z-a|^{\nu /(2-\nu)}$ a conjugate $q$-harmonic function $\varphi_{c}$ in $U$, defined by $\left(\varphi_{c}\right)_{x_{1}}=-|\nabla \varphi|^{\nu /(2-\nu)} \varphi_{x_{2}}$ and $\left(\varphi_{c}\right)_{x_{2}}=|\nabla \varphi|^{\nu /(2-\nu)} \varphi_{x_{1}}$.

Remark 2.15. There is a physical interpretation of the $p$-Laplace equation 1.2 in terms of the laminar pipe flow of so-called power-law fluids [1]. Using the terminology of non-linear fluid mechanic, one is motivated to call the stream function $v$, corresponding to the potential $u$, the solution of $\Delta_{q} v=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla v|^{q-2} \Delta v\right)=0$, $1<q<+\infty$, where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. In the language of Potential theory we say that $u$ and $v$ are conjugate functions.
Proposition 2.16. Under the same hypothesis than proposition above, suppose that $a$ is a non angular point, $V(a+i \gamma) \neq 0$ for $\gamma$ real $\neq 0$ sufficiently small, and $\frac{\partial^{r} V}{\partial x_{2}^{r}}(a) \neq 0$ for some integer $r \geq 1$. Then in some neighborhood $U^{\prime}$ of $a$, the velocity of the fluid writes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(z)=\left[\left(x_{2}-a_{2}\right)^{r}+\left(x_{2}-a_{2}\right)^{r-1} h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+h_{r}\left(x_{1}\right)\right] h(z)=W\left(x_{2}-a_{2}\right) h(z) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=x_{1}+i x_{2}, a=a_{1}+i a_{2}, h$ is a real analytic function in some neighborhood $U^{\prime}$ of a with $h(z) \neq 0$ for every $z \in U^{\prime}$, and $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}$, appearing in the Weierstrass' unitary polynomial in $\left(x_{2}-a_{2}\right)$, are real analytic functions in some interval $] a_{1}-$ $\varepsilon, a_{1}+\varepsilon[, \varepsilon>0$.

Proof. Due to Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 above, we can extend holomorphically in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ the velocity function $V: \Omega=(\bar{D})^{c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mapsto V\left(x_{1}+i x_{2}\right)$, which is real analytic (in fact even antiholomorphic) in $\Omega$. Using the same technique above, and putting: $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}+i y_{1}, x_{2}+i y_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$, we find a maximal domain $\Omega^{V}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ whose trace with $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is $\Omega$, and to which $V$ extends holomorphically. Let then $\widetilde{V}$ denote the unique complexified function of $V$ with $\left.\widetilde{V}\right|_{\Omega}=V$ and $\widetilde{V}$ is holomorphic in
$\Omega^{V}$. Since $\tilde{V}: \Omega^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies also $\tilde{V}(a)=V(a)=0, \widetilde{V}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+\gamma\right)=V\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+\gamma\right)$ is $\neq 0$ for some $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}+\gamma\right) \in \Omega \subset \Omega^{V}$ with $\gamma \neq 0$, and $\frac{\partial^{r} \widetilde{V}}{\partial w_{2}^{r}}(a) \neq 0$-seing that $\frac{\partial^{r} \tilde{V}}{\partial w_{2}^{r}}(a)=\left.\frac{\partial^{r} \tilde{V}}{\partial w_{2}^{r}}\right|_{\Omega}(a)=\frac{\partial^{r} V}{\partial x_{2}^{r}}(a)$ - there exist, owing to Weierstass' preparation Theorem in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ [21, p.290] with $n=2, r$ functions $H_{1}\left(w_{1}\right), \ldots, H_{r}\left(w_{1}\right)$ which are holomorphic in some open neighborhood $\widetilde{\Omega}_{1}$ of $a_{1}$ in $\mathbb{C}$, and a function $H(w)$ which is holomorphic in some open neighborhood $\widetilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega^{V}$ of $a$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with $H(w) \neq 0$ in $\widetilde{\Omega}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}(w)=\left[\left(w_{2}-a_{2}\right)^{r}+\left(w_{2}-a_{2}\right)^{r-1} H_{1}\left(w_{1}\right)+\cdots+H_{r}\left(w_{1}\right)\right] H(w) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $w$ in some open neighborhood $(\widetilde{\Omega})^{\prime}$ of $a$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with $(\widetilde{\Omega})^{\prime} \subset \widetilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega^{V}$. Taking now the restriction of Equality $\left(2.10\right.$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and seeing that the restriction $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}$ of each holomorphic function $H_{1}\left(w_{1}\right), \ldots, H_{r}\left(w_{1}\right)$ is (real) analytic in $\widetilde{\Omega}_{1} \cap \mathbb{R}$, we find the announced result 2.9 by putting $\left.H_{j}\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}=h_{j},\left.H\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}=h$, and $(\widetilde{\Omega})^{\prime} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}=U \subset \Omega$. Note also that the restriction $h$ is analytic in $U$.

Some concrete examples and physical interpretations of the above results will be discussed in a further paper; nevertheless, the determination of the $h_{j}$ 's rests heavily upon an identification process and a residue formula. These functions stand for the analytic coefficients of what we will call the Weierstrass polynomial associated to the velocity of the flow in a neighborhood of a vanishing point.

Following Lelong's method who introduced the transformation $T$ in 1954 (which was useful for constructing the harmonicity cells defined by Aronszajn in 1936), it seems advisable now that an analogue $T_{\infty}$ of $T$ must be precise in order to give explicitly some infinite-harmonicity cells.
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