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# EXISTENCE OF TWO NONTRIVIAL SOLUTIONS FOR SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS 

ABDEL R. EL AMROUSS, FOUZIA MORADI, MIMOUN MOUSSAOUI


#### Abstract

This paper concerns the existence of multiple nontrivial solutions for some nonlinear problems. The first nontrivial solution is found using a minimax method, and the second by computing the Leray-Schauder index and the critical group near 0 .


## 1. Introduction

We consider the Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u=\lambda_{k} u+f(u) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonlinear function satisfying the Carathéodory conditions, and $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \ldots \lambda_{k} \leq \ldots$ is the sequence of eigenvalues of the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta u=\lambda u \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us denote by $E\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$ the $\lambda_{j}$-eigenspace and by $F(s)$ the primitive $\int_{0}^{s} f(t) d t$.
There are several works studying the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u=\lambda_{k} u+f(x, u)+h \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $h \in L^{2}(\Omega)$; see for example [4, 5, 6, 8, 9]. We write

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
l_{ \pm}(x)=\liminf _{s \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s}, & k_{ \pm}(x)=\limsup _{s \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s}, \\
L_{ \pm}(x)=\liminf _{s \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{2 F(x, s)}{s^{2}}, & K_{ \pm}(x)=\limsup _{s \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{2 F(x, s)}{s^{2}} .
\end{array}
$$

In [6], the solvability of 1.2 for every $h \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, is ensured when

$$
0<v_{k} \leq l_{ \pm}(x) \leq k_{ \pm}(x) \leq v_{k+1}<\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}
$$

[^0]where $v_{k}$ and $v_{k+1}$ are constants.
However, in the autonomous case $f(x, s)=f(s)$, De Figuerido and Gossez [5] introduced a density condition that requires $\frac{f(s)}{s}$ to be between 0 and $\alpha=\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}$ as $s \rightarrow \pm \infty$, and showed the existence of solution for any $h$. Next in 4], Costa and Oliveira proved an existence result for 1.2 under the following conditions:
\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leq l_{ \pm}(x) \leq k_{ \pm}(x) \leq \lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k} \quad \text { uniformly for a.e } x \in \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\
0 \preceq L_{ \pm}(x) \leq K_{ \pm}(x) \preceq \lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k} \quad \text { uniformly for a.e } x \in \Omega . \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

Here the relation $a(x) \preceq b(x)$ indicates that $a(x) \leq b(x)$ on $\Omega$, with strict inequality holding on subset of positive measure.

Later in [9], the authors proved an existence result in situation $L_{ \pm}(x)=0$ for a.e $x \in \Omega$ and $K_{ \pm}(x)=\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}$ for a.e $x \in \Omega$. They replaced (1.4) by classical resonance conditions of Ahmad-Lazer-Paul on two sides of 1.4 and showed that 1.2 is solvable. More recently, in [8, the author interested to study the existence of two nontrivial solutions in the case $k=1$ and under other weaker conditions cited above.

The aim of this paper is to generalize the above result for $k \geq 1$. We assume the following assumptions:
(F0) $\left|f^{\prime}(s)\right| \leq c\left(|s|^{p}+1\right), s \in \mathbb{R}, p<\frac{4}{n-2}$ if $n \geq 3$ and no restriction if $n=1,2$.
(F1) $s f(s) \geq 0$ for $|s| \geq r>0$ and

$$
\limsup _{s \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} \leq \lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}=\alpha
$$

(F2) $\lim _{\|v\| \rightarrow \infty, v \in E\left(\lambda_{k}\right)} \int F(v(x)) d x=+\infty$.
(F3) There exists $\eta \in \mathbb{R}, 0<\eta<\alpha$, such that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mu\left(G_{n}\right)}{n}>0
$$

where $G_{n}=\{s \in]-n, n\left[, s \neq 0\right.$, and $\left.\frac{f(s)}{s} \leq \alpha-\eta\right\}$ and $\mu$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$.
(F4) $f^{\prime}(0)+\lambda_{k}<\lambda_{1}$
Theorem 1.1. Let $f$ be $C^{1}$ function, with $f(0)=0$, that satisfies the conditions (F0)-(F4). Then 1.1 has at least two nontrivial solutions.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give some technical lemmas and some results of critical groups. The proof of our result is carried out in section 3.

## 2. Preliminaries Lemmas

Let us consider the functional defined on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{k} \int u^{2} d x-\int F(u) d x
$$

where $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space obtained through the completion of $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm induced by the inner product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x, \quad u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

It is well known that under a linear growth condition on $f$, the functional $\Phi$ is well defined on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, weakly lower semi-continuous and $\Phi \in C^{1}\left(H_{0}^{1}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, with

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x-\lambda_{k} \int u v d x-\int f(u) v d x, \quad \text { for } u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

Consequently, the weak solutions of the problem (1.1) are the critical points of the functional $\Phi$. Moreover, under the condition(F0), $\Phi$ is a $C^{2}$ functional with the second derivative given by

$$
\Phi^{\prime \prime}(u) v \cdot w=\int \nabla v \nabla w d x-\lambda_{k} \int v w d x-\int f^{\prime}(u) v w d x
$$

for $u, v, w \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
Since we are going to apply the variational characterization of the eigenvalues, we shall decompose the space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ as $E=E_{-} \oplus E_{k} \oplus E_{k+1} \oplus E_{+}$, where $E_{-}$is the subspace spanned by the $\lambda_{j}$ - eigenfunctions with $j<k$ and $E_{j}$ is the eigenspace generated by the $\lambda_{j}$-eigenfunctions and $E_{+}$is the orthogonal complement of $E_{-} \oplus$ $E_{k} \oplus E_{k+1}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and we shall decompose for any $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ as following $u=u^{-}+u^{k}+u^{+}$where $u^{-} \in E_{-}, u^{k} \in E_{k}, u^{k+1} \in E_{k+1}$ and $u^{+} \in E_{+}$. We can verify easily that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\lambda_{i} \int|u|^{2} d x \geq \delta_{i}\|u\|^{2} \quad \forall u \in \oplus_{j \geq i+1} E_{j}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \int|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\lambda_{i} \int|u|^{2} d x \leq-\delta_{i}\|u\|^{2} \quad \forall u \in \oplus_{j \leq i} E_{j} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{i}=\min \left\{1-\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i+1}}, \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i-1}}-1\right\}$.
2.1. A compactness condition. To apply minimax methods for finding critical points of $\Phi$, we need to verify that $\Phi$ satisfies a compacteness condition of the PalaisSmail type which was introduced by Cerami [2], and recently was generalized by the first author in [7].
Definition. Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $\Phi \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$.
(i) A sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is said to be a $(C)_{c}$ sequence, at the level $c \in \mathbb{R}$, if there is a sequence $\epsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c  \tag{2.3}\\
&\left\|u_{n}\right\|\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}, H^{-1}} \leq \epsilon_{n}\|v\| \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1} . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) A functional $\Phi \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$, is said to satisfy a condition $(C)_{c}$, at the level $c \in \mathbb{R}$, if every $(C)_{c}$ sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$, possesses a convergent subsequence.

Now, we present some technical lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. Let $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\left(p_{n}\right) \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be sequences, and let $A$ a nonnegative constant such that

$$
0 \leq p_{n}(x) \leq A \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega \text { and for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and $p_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in the weak ${ }^{*}$ topology of $L^{\infty}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, there are subsequences $\left(u_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right)$ satisfying the above conditions, and there is a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int p_{n} u_{n}\left(\left(u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{k}\right)-\left(u_{n}^{k+1}+u_{n}^{+}\right)\right) d x \geq \frac{-\delta_{k}}{2}\left\|u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right\|^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $p_{n} \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int p_{n} u_{n}\left(\left(u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{k}\right)-\left(u_{n}^{k+1}+u_{n}^{+}\right)\right) \\
& \geq-\int p_{n}\left(u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right)^{2} d x  \tag{2.6}\\
& \geq-\left[\int p_{n}\left(\frac{u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}}{\left\|u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right\|}\right)^{2} d x\right]\left\|u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, by the compact imbedding of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ into $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $p_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in the weak* topology of $L^{\infty}$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there are subsequences $\left(u_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\int p_{n}\left(\frac{u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}}{\left\|u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right\|}\right)^{2} d x \rightarrow 0
$$

Therefore, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int p_{n}\left(\frac{u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}}{\left\|u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right\|}\right)^{2} d x \leq \frac{\delta_{k}}{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining inequalities 2.6 and 2.7), we get inequality 2.5.
Lemma 2.2. Let $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ be a $(C)$ sequence. If

$$
f_{n}(x)=\frac{f\left(u_{n}(x)\right)}{u_{n}(x)} \chi_{\left[\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq r_{\epsilon}\right]} \rightharpoonup 0
$$

in the weak* topology of $L^{\infty}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, there is subsequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ such that $\left(\left\|u_{n}^{-}+\left(u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right)\right\|\right)_{n}$ is uniformly bounded in $n$.

Proof. Since $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n} \subset H_{0}^{1}$ be a (C) sequence, (2.3) and 2.4) are satisfied. Now, we prove that the sequence $\left(\left\|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right\|\right)_{n}$ is uniformly bounded in $n$. Take $v=\left(u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{k}\right)-\left(u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right)$ in 2.4), $p_{n}(x)=f_{n}(x)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{array}{l}
\Lambda=\{
\end{array} & -\int\left|\nabla u_{n}^{-}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{k} \int\left|u_{n}^{-}\right|^{2} d x+\int\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \left.-\lambda_{k} \int\left|u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right|^{2} d x+\int p_{n} u_{n}\left(\left(u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{k}\right)-\left(u_{n}^{k+1}+u_{n}^{+}\right)\right) d x\right\} \\
\Gamma=\{ & \epsilon_{n}+\int_{\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \leq r_{\epsilon}} \mid f\left(u_{n}(x)| |\left(u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right)-\left(u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{k}\right) \mid d x\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$. By the Poincaré inequality, from (2.1), 2.2), 2.5), and $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$, it follows that there exists constants $A_{\epsilon}$ and $B_{\epsilon}$ such that

$$
\frac{\delta_{k}}{2}\left\|u_{n}^{-}+\left(u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \epsilon_{n}+A_{\epsilon}\left\|u_{n}^{-}+\left(u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right)\right\|+B_{\epsilon} .
$$

This gives that $\left(\left\|u_{n}^{-}+\left(u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right)\right\|\right)_{n}$ is uniformly bounded in $n$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $\left\|u_{n}^{-}+\left(u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right)\right\|$ is uniformly bounded in $n$ and there exists $A$ such that if $A \leq \Phi\left(u_{n}\right)$, then

$$
\int F\left(\frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}\right) d x \leq M
$$

Proof. From $A \leq \Phi\left(u_{n}\right)$, and Poincaré inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int F\left(\frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}\right) d x \leq-A+\int\left[F\left(\frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}\right)-F\left(u_{n}\right)\right] d x+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right\|^{2} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy (F1), there exists two functions $\gamma, h: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
f(t)=t \gamma(t)+h(t)
$$

with $0 \leq \gamma(t)=\frac{f(t)}{t} \chi[|t| \geq r] \leq \lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}$ and $h(t)=f(t) \chi[|t|<r]$. However, by the mean value theorem, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int\left[F\left(\frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}\right)-F\left(u_{n}\right)\right] d x= & \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} f\left(t \frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}+(1-t) u_{n}\right) d t\left(\frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}-u_{n}\right) d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} h\left(t \frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}+(1-t) u_{n}\right) d t\left(\frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}-u_{n}\right) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} \gamma\left(t \frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}+(1-t) u_{n}\right)\left[t\left(\frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}-u_{n}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}-u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $t_{1}=\min \left\{t \in[0,1]: \int_{0}^{1} h\left(t \frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}+(1-t) u_{n}\right) \neq 0\right\}$ and $t_{2}=\max \{t \in[0,1]:$ $\left.\int_{0}^{1} h\left(t \frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}+(1-t) u_{n}\right) \neq 0\right\}$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\left|\frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}-u_{n}\right| \leq 2 r \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that using $2.9,2.10$ and the Poincaré inequality, and an elementary inequality

$$
\left(\frac{a}{2}-b\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{a}{2}-b\right) b \leq(a-b)^{2} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int\left[F\left(\frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}\right)-F\left(u_{n}\right)\right] d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} h\left(t \frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}+(1-t) u_{n}\right) d t\left(\frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}-u_{n}\right) d x+\frac{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}}{4 \lambda_{1}}\left\|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 2 r \sup _{|s| \leq r}|f(s)| \operatorname{meas}(\Omega)+\frac{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}}{4 \lambda_{1}}\left\|u_{n}^{-}+u_{n}^{+}+u_{n}^{k+1}\right\|^{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

From 2.8 and 2.11, there exists $M>0$ such that

$$
\int F\left(\frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}\right) d x \leq M
$$

2.2. Critical groups. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and $\Phi \in C^{1}(H, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the Palais-Smaile condition or the Cerami condition. Set $\Phi^{c}=\{u \in H \mid \Phi(u) \leq c\}$ and denote by $H_{q}(X, Y)$ the q-th relative singular homology group with integer coefficient. The critical groups of $\Phi$ at an isolated critical point u with $\Phi(u)=c$ are defined by

$$
C_{q}(\Phi, u)=H_{q}\left(\Phi^{c} \cap U, \Phi^{c} \cap U \backslash\{u\}\right) ; \quad q \in Z
$$

where $U$ is a closed neighborhood of $u$.

Let $K=\left\{u \in H \mid \Phi^{\prime}(u)=0\right\}$ be the set of critical points of $\Phi$ and $a<\inf _{K} \Phi$. The critical groups of $\Phi$ at infinity are defined by

$$
C_{q}(\Phi, \infty)=H_{q}\left(H, \Phi^{a}\right) ; \quad q \in Z
$$

We will use the notation $\operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi^{\prime}, U, 0\right)$ for the Leray-Schauder degree of $\Phi$ with respect to the set $U$ and the value 0 . Denote also by $\operatorname{index}\left(\Phi^{\prime}, u\right)$ the LeraySchauder index of $\Phi^{\prime}$ at critical point $u$. Recall that this quantity is defined as $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi^{\prime}, B_{r}(u), 0\right)$, if this limit exists, where $B_{r}(u)$ is the ball of radius $r$ centered at $u$.

Proposition 2.4 ([3]). If $u$ is a mountain pass point of $\Phi$, then

$$
C_{q}(\Phi, u)=\delta_{q, 1} Z
$$

Proposition 2.5 ([1]). Assume that $H=H^{+} \oplus H^{-}$, $\Phi$ is bounded from below on $H^{+}$and $\Phi(u) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ with $u \in H^{-}$. Then

$$
C_{\mu}(\Phi, \infty) \neq 0, \quad \text { with } \mu=\operatorname{dim} H^{-}<\infty
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

First, we prove that $\Phi$ satisfies the Cerami condition.
Lemma 3.1. Under the assumptions (F0)-(F3), $\Phi$ satisfies the $(C)_{c}$ condition on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proof. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n} \subset H_{0}^{1}$ be a $(C)_{c}$ sequence, i.e

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c  \tag{3.1}\\
&\left\|u_{n}\right\|\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle_{H_{0}^{1}, H^{-1}} \leq \epsilon_{n}\|v\| \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$. It clearly suffices to show that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ remains bounded in $H_{0}^{1}$. Assume by contradiction. Defining $z_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$, we have $\left\|z_{n}\right\|=1$ and, passing if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume that $z_{n} \rightharpoonup z$ weakly in $H_{0}^{1}, z_{n} \rightarrow z$ strongly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $z_{n}(x) \rightarrow z(x)$ a.e. in $\Omega$. By the linear growth of $f$, the sequence $\left(\frac{f\left(u_{n}(x)\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\right)_{n}$ remains bounded in $L^{2}$, then for a subsequence, we have

$$
\frac{f\left(u_{n}(x)\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \rightharpoonup \zeta \quad \text { in } L^{2}
$$

and by standard arguments based on assumptions F0),F1), $\zeta$ can be written as $\zeta(x)=m(x) z(x)$, where $m$ satisfies (see 4]).

$$
0 \leq m(x) \leq \lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k} \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega .
$$

However, divide 3.2 by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}$ and goes to the limit we obtain

$$
\frac{\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}=\int \nabla z_{n} \nabla v-\lambda_{k} \int z_{n} v-\int \frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} v d x \rightarrow 0
$$

for every $v \in H_{0}^{1}$. On the other hand, since $z_{n}$ converges to $z$ weakly in $H_{0}^{1}$, strongly in $L^{2}$ and $\frac{f\left(u_{n}(x)\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$ converges weakly in $L^{2}$ to $\zeta$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow \int \nabla z \nabla v-\lambda_{k} \int z v-\int \zeta v d x=0 \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim: We will prove that $z_{n} \rightarrow z$ strongly in $H_{0}^{1}$. Indeed, taking $v=z$ in (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z\|^{2}=\lambda_{k} \int z^{2}+\int m(x) z^{2} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (3.2) it results

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} \rightarrow 1-\lambda_{k} \int z^{2}-\int m(x) z^{2}=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4) and (3.5), it follows $\|z\|=1$. Since $z_{n} \rightharpoonup z,\left\|z_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|z\|$ and $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is convex uniformly space the claim follows. So that, $z$ is a nontrivial solution of problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta z=\left(\lambda_{k}+m(x)\right) z \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
z=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega . \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

We now distinguish three cases: i) $\lambda_{k}<m(x)+\lambda_{k}$ and $m(x)+\lambda_{k}<\lambda_{k+1}$ on subset of positive measure; (ii) $m(x)+\lambda_{k} \equiv \lambda_{k}$; (iii) $m(x)+\lambda_{k} \equiv \lambda_{k+1}$.

Case i: We have $z$ is a nontrivial solution of problem (3.6), then 1 is an eigenvalue of this problem. On the other hand, by strict monotonicity $\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}+m(x)\right)<1$ and $\lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k}+m(x)\right)>1$, which gives a contradiction.

Case ii: By (F1), for $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $r_{\varepsilon}>r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \frac{f(s)}{s} \leq \lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}+\varepsilon \quad \forall|s| \geq r_{\varepsilon} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $f_{n}(x)=\frac{f\left(u_{n}(x)\right)}{u_{n}(x)} \chi\left\{\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq r_{\varepsilon}\right\}$, which remains bounded in $L^{\infty}$, passing if necessary to a subsequence, $f_{n} \rightarrow l$ in the weak* topology of $L^{\infty}$. By 3.7), the $L^{\infty}$-function $l$ satisfies

$$
0 \leq l(x) \leq \lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}+\varepsilon \quad \text { a.e.in } \Omega
$$

Multiply $f_{n}$ by $z_{n}^{2}$, integrate on $\Omega$ and going to the limit, to have

$$
\int f_{n} z_{n}^{2} d x=\int \frac{f\left(u_{n}(x)\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} z_{n} \rightarrow \int m(x) z^{2} d x=\int l(x) z^{2} d x=0
$$

By the unique continuation Property of $\Delta$ and $l \geq 0$, we deduce that $l \equiv 0$ a.e.in $\Omega$. Then, by lemma 2.2 and lemma 2.3 there exists $M>0$ such that

$$
\int F\left(\frac{u_{n}^{k}}{2}\right) d x \leq M
$$

This is a contradiction with assumption (F2) and $\left\|u_{n}^{k}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$.
Case iii: If $m(x) \equiv \lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}$. Dividing (3.1) by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\Phi\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{\lambda_{k}}{2} \int z_{n}^{2}-\int \frac{\left.\overline{F( } u_{n}(x)\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} d x \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

However, it results that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int \frac{F\left(u_{n}(x)\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}} d x=\frac{1}{2} \alpha \int z^{2} d x
$$

Applying Fatou's lemma, we have

$$
\int_{z>0}\left(\alpha-K_{+}\right) z^{2} d x+\int_{z<0}\left(\alpha-K_{-}\right) z^{2} d x \leq 0
$$

This is a contradiction with assumption (F3), since (F3) is equivalent to $K_{ \pm}=$ $\lim \sup _{s \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{2 F(s)}{s^{2}}<\alpha$. (see [10]). The proof of lemma is complete.

Lemma 3.2. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. the functional $\Phi$ has the following properties:
(i) $\Phi(w) \rightarrow+\infty$, as $\|w\| \rightarrow+\infty, w \in W^{+}=E_{k+1} \oplus E_{+}$.
(ii) $\Phi(v) \rightarrow-\infty$, as $\|v\| \rightarrow+\infty, w \in W^{-}=E_{k} \oplus E_{-}$.

Proof. (i) $\Phi$ is coercive on $W^{+}$. Indeed, the assumption (F3) is equivalent to $K_{ \pm}=\lim \sup _{s \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{2 F(s)}{s^{2}}<\alpha$. Thus, there exists an $B_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ such that

$$
F(s) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} s^{2}-\varepsilon s^{2}+B_{\varepsilon} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Hence, for every $w \in W^{+}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(w) & =\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^{2}-\frac{\lambda_{k}}{2} \int w^{2}-\int F(w) d x \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k}}{2 \lambda_{k+1}}\|w\|^{2}-\frac{\alpha-2 \varepsilon}{2} \int w^{2}-B_{\varepsilon}|\Omega| \\
& \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{k+1}}\|w\|^{2}-B_{\varepsilon}|\Omega|
\end{aligned}
$$

However, $\Phi(w) \rightarrow+\infty$, as $\|w\| \rightarrow+\infty$.
(ii) Assume by contradiction that there exists a constant $B>0$ and a sequence $\left(v_{n}\right) \subset V$ with $\left\|v_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
B \leq \Phi\left(v_{n}\right) \leq-\delta\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}
$$

Therefore, by lemma 2.3. since $\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\|$is bounded, there exists $M>0$ such that

$$
\int F\left(\frac{v_{n}^{k}}{2}\right) d x \leq M
$$

which contradicts (F2).
Lemma 3.3. Under the condition (F4), the functional $\Phi$ has the following properties:
(i) There is an $R>0$ and $\beta>0$ such that $\Phi \geq \beta$ on $\partial B_{R}(0)$.
(ii) $C_{q}(\Phi, 0)=\delta_{q, 0} Z$

Proof. (i) We start by proving the first assertion. On one hand, it is easy to see that if $\lambda_{k}+f^{\prime}(0) \leq 0$ we have

$$
\Phi^{\prime \prime}(0) u . u \geq\|u\|^{2}
$$

On the other hand, where $\lambda_{k}+f^{\prime}(0)>0$, the Poincaré's inequality gives that

$$
\Phi^{\prime \prime}(0) u . u=\|u\|^{2}-\lambda_{k} \int u^{2}-\int f^{\prime}(0) u^{2} d x \geq\left(1-\frac{\lambda_{k}+f^{\prime}(0)}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\|u\|^{2}
$$

Put $\gamma=1-\frac{\lambda_{k}+f^{\prime}(0)}{\lambda_{1}}$ and by (F4), we have $\gamma>0$ and

$$
\Phi^{\prime \prime}(0) u . u \geq \gamma\|u\|^{2}
$$

Taylor's formula implies

$$
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \Phi^{\prime \prime}(0) u . u+o\left(\|u\|^{2}\right) \geq\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}+\frac{o\left(\|u\|^{2}\right)}{\|u\|^{2}}\right)\|u\|^{2}
$$

with $\frac{o\left(\|u\|^{2}\right)}{\|u\|^{2}} \rightarrow 0$, as $\|u\| \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, the assertion (i) follows.
(ii) Since $u=0$ is a local mininum of $\Phi$, we have

$$
C_{q}(\Phi, 0)=\delta_{q, 0} Z
$$

Lemma 3.4. The functional $\Phi$ has at least one critical point $u_{0}$, such that

$$
C_{q}\left(\Phi, u_{0}\right)=\delta_{q, 1} Z
$$

Proof. According to (ii) of Lemma 3.2, $\Phi$ is anti-coercive on $W^{-}$we can find an $e \in H_{0}^{1}$ such that $\|e\| \geq M>R$ and $\Phi(e) \leq 0$. So by mountain pass theorem, there exists a critical point $u_{0}$ of mountain pass type, such that

$$
C_{1}\left(\Phi, u_{0}\right) \neq 0
$$

By proposition 2.4 it results that $C_{q}\left(\Phi, u_{0}\right)=\delta_{q, 1} Z$. The proof of lemma is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For this proof we distinguish two cases.
Case 1: If $k=1$, we assume that $\left\{0, u_{0}\right\}$ is the critical set of $\Phi$ and let $R>0$, such that $\left\{0, u_{0}\right\} \subset B_{R}(0)$. By the Riesz representation theorem we can write

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\langle u, v\rangle-\langle N u, v\rangle, \quad \text { for all } u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

where $\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v$ and $\langle N u, v\rangle=\int\left[\lambda_{1} u+f(u)\right] v d x$. So that, $\Phi^{\prime}=I-N$ and By the Sobolev embedding theorem, $N$ is compact. We see that $\Phi^{\prime}$ has the form Identity-compact, so that Leary-Shauder techniques are applicable

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi^{\prime}, B_{R}(0), 0\right) & =\operatorname{index}\left(\Phi^{\prime}, 0\right)+\operatorname{index}\left(\Phi^{\prime}, u_{0}\right) \\
& =\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{q} \operatorname{dim} C_{q}(\Phi, 0)+\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{q} \operatorname{dim} C_{q}\left(\Phi, u_{0}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& =1-1=0
\end{align*}
$$

In a similar way we can define a compact map $T: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\langle T u, v\rangle=\int\left(\lambda_{1}+\mu\right) u v d x
$$

where $0<\mu<\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}$. Now we claim that there is a priori bound in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ for all possible solutions of the family of equations (see [12])

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta u-\lambda_{1} u=(1-t) \mu u+t f(u) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

The homotopy invariance of Leray-Schauder degree implies

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\Phi^{\prime}, B_{R}(0), 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(I-T, B_{R}(0), 0\right)=-1
$$

This contradicts (3.8).

Case 2: If $k \geq 2$, by Lemma 3.1, the functional $\Phi$ satisfies the condition (C). Since $\Phi$ is weakly lower semi continuous and coercive on $W^{+}, \Phi$ is bounded from below on $W^{+}$. Moreover, by (ii) of Lemma 3.2, $\Phi$ is anti-coercive on $W^{-}$, thus we can apply the proposition 2.5 and we conclude that

$$
C_{\mu}(\Phi, \infty) \neq 0
$$

where $\mu=\operatorname{dim} W^{-} \geq k \geq 2$. It follows from the Morse inequality that $\Phi$ has a critical point $u_{1}$ with

$$
C_{\mu}\left(\Phi, u_{1}\right) \neq 0
$$

Since $\mu \neq 1$ and $\mu \neq 0$, then the problem 1.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions. The proof of theorem is complete.
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